Calcium Pre-Rinse Greatly Increases Overnight Salivary Fluoride after a 228 ppm Fluoride Rinse
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Abstract
Background: Large increases in salivary fluoride were reported 1 h after a calcium pre-rinse/NaF rinse. Aims: This study examined the persistence of these increases. Methods: 12 subjects rinsed in the evening with water, with a 228 μg/g (ppm) F rinse or with 150 mmol/l calcium lactate followed by a 228 μg/g F rinse. In a second experiment these same patients rinsed with a 912 μg/g F rinse. Saliva samples were obtained the morning after rinsing, centrifuged and the supernatants analyzed. Results: The Ca pre-rinse/228 μg/g F rinse induced an increase in overnight salivary F over the 912 μg/g F rinse (=2.5 times) and a statistically significant increase over the 228 μg/g F rinse (=5.5 times). Conclusions: The results suggest that a Ca pretreatment may increase the cariostatic effect of topical F agents.

Materials and Methods

Subject Protocol, Rinse Administration and Sample Collection
Rinse administration and the collection of samples were done with the informed consent of the subjects following protocols reviewed and approved by the appropriate institutional review boards. The 12 subjects (8 males, 4 females, age range 24–65 years, mean age 42.5 years) were screened before participation to ensure good oral health (no signs of neglect or untreated decay) and normal salivary gland function (unstimulated salivary flow rate >0.2 g/min). All subjects lived in an area with fluoridated water (2007.
average F = 0.99 μg/g, range 0.49–1.3 μg/g) and were instructed to use their normal oral hygiene procedures until the day before the study. The day before the study the subjects were instructed to avoid tea (a high F beverage) and to use F-free baking soda powder (Arm & Hammer, Church & Dwight, Princeton, N.J., USA) as a dentifrice. They were asked to brush in the morning and to brush again in the evening, about 2 h before use of the test or control rinses. No food or beverage, gum chewing, or other oral hygiene measures (mouth rinses) were allowed after this brushing until the sample collection the next morning. Each subject rinsed with one of the experimental solutions (or solution combinations): (1) a 228 μg/g (ppm) F rinse (12 mmol/l NaF), (2) a 150 mmol/l Ca lactate rinse (Ca lactate pentahydrate, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo., USA), immediately followed by the above F rinse, or (3) a distilled water rinse (control). These rinses were given in a random order, based on the subject’s name and a random number sequence. The subjects were not aware of the order of the test materials. At least 5 days separated the use of each rinse. As in previous studies [Vogel, 2006a, b, 2008], all rinses were 20 ml in volume and the rinse times were 1 min. As close as possible to 12 h after use of these rinses, weighed unstimulated saliva samples then were collected the next morning by expectoration for 2 min [Vogel et al., 2006a, b]. The samples were then centrifuged (5 min, 2°C, 1,466 rad/s) and the recovered clear supernatant diluted (9 parts sample with 1 part TISAB III, Thermo-Orion, Shelton, Conn., USA). The diluted samples, and similarly diluted F standards, were analyzed in our laboratory using the inverted electrode apparatus previously described [Vogel et al., 1990]. It should be noted however that an acetone cleaning of the electrode followed by an extensive ‘conditioning’ [Vogel et al., 1990] of the electrode was employed to lower the detection limits. The analysts were not aware of the identity of the recovered samples. The entire study (recruitment to data analysis) was performed in April and May of 2007. Six months after this study a second study was performed in which the same subjects were not aware of the order of the test materials. At least 5 days separated the use of each rinse. As in previous studies [Vogel, 2006a, b, 2008], all rinses were 20 ml in volume and the rinse times were 1 min. As close as possible to 12 h after use of these rinses, weighed unstimulated saliva samples then were collected the next morning by expectoration for 2 min [Vogel et al., 2006a, b]. The samples were then centrifuged (5 min, 2°C, 1,466 rad/s) and the recovered clear supernatant diluted (9 parts sample with 1 part TISAB III, Thermo-Orion, Shelton, Conn., USA). The diluted samples, and similarly diluted F standards, were analyzed in our laboratory using the inverted electrode apparatus previously described [Vogel et al., 1990]. It should be noted however that an acetone cleaning of the electrode followed by an extensive ‘conditioning’ [Vogel et al., 1990] of the electrode was employed to lower the detection limits. The analysts were not aware of the identity of the recovered samples. The entire study (recruitment to data analysis) was performed in April and May of 2007. Six months after this study a second study was performed in which the same subjects rinsed with 912 μg/g F (48 mmol/l NaF). The same subject protocol and analysis methods were employed.

**Statistical Procedures**

A significance level of p < 0.05 was used in all statistical tests which were performed using SigmaStat software (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, Calif., USA). The null hypothesis that there was no difference between the treatments was examined by a one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). However, because of the separation between treatments, the 912 ppm F rinse was not included in the statistical analysis. In order to employ a parametric repeated measures analysis of variance, a logarithmic transformation of the salivary F levels was required. The Holm-Sidak pairwise multiple comparison procedure was then used to examine the effects of the individual rinses. The standard error is used here as measures of the standard uncertainty.

**Results**

Unlike our previous 1-hour data on oral fluid F [Vogel et al., 2006a, b, 2008] both the salivary mass and salivary F data were normally distributed, hence the arithmetic average of these values is reported (table 1). No statistically significant differences were found in the salivary flow rates. There was no statistically significant difference between the water rinse and the 228 μg/g F rinse. The Ca pre-rinse induced a statistically significant increase in salivary F over the 228 μg/g F rinse (by 5.5×; p < 0.0002). The 912 μg/g F rinse increased overnight salivary F relative to the water rinse or 228 μg/g F rinse by 3.3× and 2.5×, respectively.

**Discussion**

The overnight salivary F values after the water and 228 μg/g F rinses found in this study are somewhat (≈30%) lower than previous overnight values reported by us [Vogel et al., 1997], due most probably to the different subject population and the changes in analytical techniques noted above. It should be noted that, although our 1997 study found, as in the current study, no overnight increase in centrifuged salivary F after use of the 228 μg/g F rinse, a nearly 2× increase in whole (uncentrifuged) salivary F was observed. Whole saliva F, which is often measured in studies of salivary F [Pessan et al., 2006], includes a large contribution from F-containing particles. However, free salivary F, which is similar to the F measured in centrifuged saliva, is the parameter related to the interaction of F with the tooth surface or plaque.

Soft tissue F reservoirs appear to be the source of saliva F after use of topical F agents [Jacobson et al., 1992; Zero et al., 1992]. Given the central role ascribed to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rinse</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Salivary mass g/min</th>
<th>Saliva fluoride μmol/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca rinse/228 μg/g F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.662 ± 0.082</td>
<td>11.0 ± 1.9a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 μg/g F rinse</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.730 ± 0.079</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled water rinse</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.748 ± 0.069</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.12b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>912 μg/g F rinse</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.776 ± 0.092</td>
<td>4.6 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For saliva F, means followed by different superscript letters have a statistically significant difference (Holm-Sidak pairwise multiple comparison test, p < 0.05). The 912 μg/g F rinse data was obtained 6 months later and hence was not included in the statistical analysis.

1 Data were obtained from centrifuged saliva.
Ca-mediated F reservoirs in maintaining oral fluid F [Arends and Christoffersen, 1990; Rolla and Saxegaard, 1990; Rose et al., 1996], the 6 times increase in overnight salivary F observed in this study, relative to a 228 μg/g F rinse, can be ascribed to an increased formation of soft tissue ‘Ca-F’ reservoirs as a result of the use of the Ca pre-rinse. A study with Brazilian schoolchildren (noted above) [Pessan et al., 2006] found an increase in 1 h whole saliva F when a Ca pre-rinse was used with a F dentifrice compared to the F dentifrice alone, but no increase in overnight F levels. The different results of the dentifrice and aqueous rinse studies, which we have also observed in short-term (1 h) studies [Vogel et al., 2006b], suggest that Ca-binding agents found in dentifrices, in particular the surfactant sodium lauryl sulfate [Pessan et al., 2006; Vogel et al., 2006b], may be precipitating some of the additional Ca deposited in the oral tissue by the pre-rinse. Alternatively, the use of a post-dentifrice water rinse may have extracted some of the additional Ca-F reservoirs before they have time to become fixed in the oral tissue.

The results reported here (table 1) demonstrate that the 6–9 times increases in salivary F previously reported [Vogel et al., 2006a, b] with the use of a Ca pre-rinse/NaF rinse are persistent overnight. The difference between the results of using a Ca pre-rinse with an aqueous F rinse, and those obtained using the Ca pre-rinse with a F dentifrice, suggests that if these techniques are to be used as part of a tooth-brushing regimen, F dentifrices may need to be reformulated to reduce the concentration of Ca-binding surfactants. Alternatively, the method of Ca and F application could be altered. As an example of the former approach the non-Ca-binding surfactant Tauranol (Fintex, Lakewood Park, N.J., USA), which has been tested as an antiplaque agent [Nabi et al., 1996], could be substituted for sodium lauryl sulfate. A particularly attractive example of the latter approach, which also obviates the danger of water-rinse extraction of the Ca-F reservoirs noted above, is the use of a Ca dentifrice with an aqueous F rinse (in place of the usual water rinse). This method of Ca and F application in conjunction with tooth brushing was also shown to produce high levels of salivary F [Vogel et al., 2006b].

Finally, it may be questioned if the overnight increase in salivary F found in this study could be expected to induce an increased cariostatic effect. (1) The previous 12-hour study (noted above) [Vogel et al., 1997] also examined a Ca-containing experimental F rinse based on sodium hexafluorosilicate that has been shown to produce a very large increase in remineralization [Chow et al., 2000, 2002] in an in situ model. Given that such models, if properly designed, have been described as ‘predictors of clinical efficacy’ [Stookey et al., 1992], it is noteworthy that the increase in 12 h salivary F from the previously tested rinse was only about 20% of the increase observed in the current study. (2) Plaque fluid, rather than saliva, is the oral fluid that is most relevant to the de- and remineralization process associated with caries. A re-examination of the data [unpubl. data] of the previous overnight study noted above [Vogel et al., 1997] revealed, in agreement with a 2-hour study [Vogel et al., 1992], that there is statistically significant correlation between centrifuged salivary F and plaque fluid F concentration. (3) The Ca pre-rinse/228 μg/g F produced a ≈2.5 times higher centrifuged salivary F than the 912 μg/g F rinse, although the latter rinse has 4 times more F (table 1). The apparent correlation between salivary F and plaque fluid F noted above (at least for post-rinse times ≥2 h), and the theoretical relationship of the latter quantity to the cariostatic effect of this ion [Vogel et al., 2008; Yamazaki et al., 2007] then suggests that the potential increase in cariostatic effect with a Ca pre-rinse/F rinse would be greater than that found on going from a conventional dentifrice to one with a considerably high F content. (4) Several studies in fact have concluded that such high-strength dentifrices do confer an additional cariostatic effect [Bartizet et al., 2001; Biesbrock et al., 2003; Tavss et al., 2003; Stookey et al., 2004]. Thus, although the true value of Ca pre-application procedure will need to be assessed in a clinical trial, the data presented here suggest that this methodology has the potential to produce an enhanced cariostatic effect without increasing the amount of applied F.
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