Mechanisms behind the Synergistic Effect of Sirolimus and Imatinib in Preventing Restenosis after Intimal Injury
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Abstract
Background: We have shown that the combination of sirolimus and imatinib synergistically inhibits denudation-induced neointimal hyperplasia in rats. We have now dissected the mechanisms behind this synergy and evaluated its long-term efficacy. Methods: After aortic denudation injury, rats received established submaximal doses of sirolimus (1.0 mg/kg/day), imatinib (10.0 mg/kg/day), the combination of these, or vehicle per os from 3 days before the operation until 14 days after injury. Vessel histology and complete blood counts were monitored until 90 days after injury. Neointimal cell outgrowth, migration and proliferation were evaluated in ex vivo vessel cultures. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and immunohistochemistry were used for gene and protein expression analysis. Results: The combination therapy caused a synergistic decrease in the number of neointimal nuclei and area throughout the observation period. It also prevented postinjury thrombocytosis and leukocytosis, and almost abolished neointimal cell outgrowth and migration. Furthermore, the combination therapy resulted in upregulation of smooth muscle cell (SMC) markers SM22α and cysteine and glycine-rich protein 2, and of the anti-apoptotic BCL2 mRNA. Conclusions: Combination therapy confers superior long-term vasculoprotection, possibly by inhibition of postoperative thrombocytosis and leukocytosis, inhibition of neointimal cell migration to the injury site and maintenance of cell integrity by inhibition of apoptosis and SMC dedifferentiation.

Introduction

The success of angioplasty and endovascular surgery is severely limited by fibroproliferative restenosis. The introduction of stents coated with the mTOR inhibitor sirolimus has dramatically reduced restenosis rates after percutaneous coronary revascularization [1]. However, recent reports indicate a higher incidence of late stent thrombosis and hypersensitivity reactions and a possible higher rate of death associated with sirolimus-eluting stents (SESSs) compared to bare metal stents [2, 3]. After SES implantation, lifelong antiplatelet treatment might be required, entailing risks and patient compliance issues [2]. Furthermore, the currently considered safe use of SESs is also limited to very specific vessel and lesion types [4]. Prevention of restenosis with oral sirolimus has been successful after angioplasty in animal models [5] and after implantation of bare metal stents in clinical trials [6, 7], but in patients, the treatment has been limited by side effects related to the high drug doses needed for inhibition of restenosis [8].
Synergistic Effect of Sirolimus and Imatinib

Imatinib mesylate is an inhibitor of the platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor kinase, bcr-abl kinase, and c-Kit receptor kinase [9] and is in clinical use for the treatment of several cancer types. In animal models, imatinib has shown vasculoprotective properties; it has been reported to inhibit denudation-injury-induced neointimal hyperplasia [10, 11], transplant arteriopathy [12] and diabetes-associated atherosclerosis [13].

We have recently reported a synergistic effect between sirolimus and imatinib in the prevention of restenosis after balloon injury in rats, lasting up to 40 days after injury [14]. The synergy was seen already at well-tolerated submaximal doses of the drugs. This suggests a potential for this oral combination therapy as an alternative for the prevention of neointimal hyperplasia after endovascular interventions.

This study was designed to elucidate the long-term efficacy of the combination treatment by extending the follow-up to 90 days and to gain mechanistic insights by investigating how the drugs separately, and in combination, affect the proliferation and migration of neointimal cells and gene expression in the injured rat vascular wall.

Materials and Methods

Aortic Denudation Injury
Aortic denudations were performed on male Wistar rats (250–300 g, n = 5–7 per group; Harlan, Horst, The Netherlands) as described [15]. The rats were anesthetized with 240 mg/kg i.p. chloral hydrate. Buprenorphine (Temgesic, Reckitt Coleman, Hull, UK) was given for postoperative pain relief. All animals received humane care in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH Publication No. 85-23, revised 1996). The protocol for this study was approved by the Local Research Ethics Committee of the University of Helsinki and Uusimaa Health District (licence No. STU162A).

Drugs, Treatments and Vessel Histology
Sirolimus (Rapamune oral solution; Wyeth Europa, Berkshire, UK) and imatinib (Glivec capsules; Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) were administered orally once a day via a curved gavage needle. Sirolimus was stored in the dark at 4°C and used as prescribed. Imatinib was dissolved in PBS at a concentration of 10 mg/ml, stored at 4°C and used within 3 days.

The rats were treated with previously established submaximal doses of (1) sirolimus 1.0 mg/kg/day, (2) imatinib 10.0 mg/kg/day, (3) a combination of these, or (4) vehicle [14]. Treatment was initiated 3 days before the operation and continued until 14 days after the injury. Sirolimus treatment was started, as in the clinic, with an oral loading dose of 3.0 mg/kg. The animals were weighed daily during the treatment period, and thereafter at 40 and 90 days after the injury.

The animals were sacrificed at 4, 14, 40 and 90 days after the injury for evaluation of the treatments. For the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (QRT-PCR), a set of animals was also sacrificed at 0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 14, 30 and 60 days after injury. The midsection of the vessel was used for histology, and the end parts for RNA isolation. Histological specimens were processed as described [15]. Cell numbers were quantitated microscopically from paraffin cross-sections stained with Mayer’s hematoxylin-eosin, using ×400 magnification; the histological picture was digitalized with an Olympus video microscope and quantitated with Windows Image-Pro Plus Ver. 4.1.0.0 software. The measurements included the areas inside the internal elastic lamina and the external elastic lamina, and the lumen perimeter length.

Complete Blood Counts
Whole blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes at sacrifice at 4, 14, 40 and 90 days after injury for a complete blood count including white blood cell count, white blood cell differential count, red blood cell count, hemoglobin, hematocrit, platelet count, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular hemoglobin and mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration. Samples from untreated and unoperated rats were collected to obtain normal values. All samples were analyzed at the Central Laboratory of the Department of Clinical Veterinary Sciences at the University of Helsinki with a Cell-Dyn 3700 System hematology analyzer (Abbott Laboratories, Santa Clara, Calif., USA).

Explant Culture
Aortic explants were obtained and cultured as described [16]. The culture medium consisted of Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Gibco, Paisley, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 100 IU/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin and 2 mmol/l L-glutamine.

The animals were sacrificed at day 2 after injury, 2 h after drug administration. The aortas, including all layers of the vessel, were opened longitudinally and explants measuring 1 × 1 mm were prepared using a McIlwain tissue chopper (Mickle Laboratory Engineering, Guilford, UK). The explants were placed individually into the wells of a flat-bottomed 96-well plate (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) with 200 μl test medium. The plates were placed in a 37°C incubator with an atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2.

After 48 h of culture, each well was observed and counted as positive for outgrowth if one or more cells had grown out of the explants. The distance that the leading edge of migrating cells in each well had traveled from the explant was measured using a calibrated graticule (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). To quantitate proliferating cells, we measured the incorporation of tritiated thymidine (25 Ci/mmol 1H-TdR; Amersham International, Amersham, UK) in the explant.

Quantitative Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction
Total RNA was extracted from harvested rat arteries using RNeasy Mini spin columns (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The quality of recovered RNA was determined on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Palo Alto, Calif., USA) and the RNA integrity value was always better than 5. Pooled rat total RNA samples were amplified according to an established technique [17]. Five micrograms of amplified anti-sense RNA were reverse transcribed in a standard reaction containing 4 μg of random hexamers and 1 μl of SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen,
Carlsbad, Calif., USA) in a total volume of 20 μl. Nascent cDNA was diluted to 10 ng/ml with water.

QRT-PCR analysis was performed on a RotorGene 3000 (Corbett Life Science, Sydney, Australia) apparatus using SYBR Green chemistry. The total sample volume of 10 μl consisted of 10 ng of cDNA, 500 nmol/l of both primers and 5 mmol/l MgCl₂, 1:10,000 diluted SYBR Green, 1 mmol/l dNTP, 5 mmol/l MgCl₂, 1:10,000 diluted SYBR Green, 1 mmol/l dNTP, 5 mmol/l Amplitaq Gold; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif., USA). Primers listed in table 1 were picked from PrimerBank [18]. Standard conditions for QRT-PCR were used for all amplifications – initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min followed by cycling protocol, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 30 s and denaturation at 95°C for 15 s. After 40 cycles, the final extension was carried out at 72°C for 10 min. Changes in gene expression levels were calculated using RocheGene software (Corbett Life Science) with a comparative quantitation algorithm. Changes in expression levels are expressed as n-fold changes (log2).

**Immunohistochemistry**

To localize the proteins coding for the genes of interest, we used polyclonal antibodies for CSRP2, cysteine- and glycine-rich protein 2 (chicken, 1:200; AbCam, Cambridge, UK), BCL2 (rabbit, 1:100, RDI-MBCL2abr; Fitzgerald, Concord, Mass., USA), von Willebrand factor (vWF, rabbit, 1:200, A0082; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and a monoclonal antibody for SM22α (mouse, 1:100, 10H12; Novocastra, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK). The primary antibody was incubated at room temperature for 60 min. The immunostaining was graded separately from the intima, media and adventitia as follows: 0 = no visible staining; 1 = some cells with weak staining; 2 = moderate staining with multifocal expression; 3 = intense staining throughout the vessel compartment.

**Statistical Analysis**

The data were evaluated with one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction or, in the case of nonparametric comparisons, the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn correction. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Table 1. Primers used for QRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Sequence 5′→3′</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM22α sense</td>
<td>TGAGGCAAGTGGGTGAAACACG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM22α antisense</td>
<td>ATTGAGGCCACCTGTTCCATC</td>
<td>M83107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRSP2 sense</td>
<td>TAATGGTGATGCGCTTACC</td>
<td>U44948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRSP2 antisense</td>
<td>GGATGGGCAAGGAGGAGTGTAG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2 sense</td>
<td>GTTGAGGAAACACCTTCAGGGA</td>
<td>S74122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2 antisense</td>
<td>TTGACGCTCTCCACACACATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF sense</td>
<td>GCAGTCAGCTGCTGGCTGCTTACC</td>
<td>U50044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF antisense</td>
<td>AGGCGGTGAATAACCTTAACC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**

### Long-Term Effects of Combination Therapy on the Vascular Wall

We have previously shown that the combination treatment of sirolimus and imatinib at submaximal doses (1 + 10 mg/kg/day, p.o.) for 14 days is well tolerated and produces synergistic suppression of rat neointimal hyperplasia that persists until day 40 after injury [14]. In the present study, we extended the follow-up period to 90 days to determine the long-term efficacy of this combination therapy. When given individually, only sirolimus showed a moderate vasculoprotective effect throughout the observation period while imatinib lost its effect 14 days after injury. Imatinib or sirolimus administered alone resulted in a 24 and 40% reduction in the number of intimal nuclei (p = NS and p = 0.004), respectively, a 28 and 51% reduction in intimal area (p = NS and p = 0.0319) and a 4 and 7% increase in lumen perimeter length (p = NS), respectively. However, early combination therapy with sirolimus and imatinib, discontinued at day 14 after injury, showed a sustained synergistic effect that was statistically significant even at 90 days with a 64% suppression in the number of intimal nuclei (p < 0.0001), 82% suppression in intimal area (p = 0.0048) and a 13% increase in lumen perimeter length (p = 0.0103) (fig. 1). No changes were seen in the number of medial and adventitial nuclei or areas (data not shown).

### Dissecting the Mechanisms behind the Synergistic Effects of Combination Therapy with an ex vivo Aortic Explant Assay

Aortic explants measuring 1 × 1 mm were obtained at day 2 after injury from rats treated with vehicle, imatinib, sirolimus, or the combination therapy, starting at day –3. The explants were individually placed in the wells of a 96-well-plate, and outgrowth of cells was investigated after 48 h of culture and expressed as percentage of explants showing outgrowth (percentage of wells with sprouts).

Both imatinib (18.8 ± 2.7 vs. 65.6 ± 2.6%; p<0.0001, compared to control) and sirolimus (10.1 ± 3.0 vs. 65.6 ± 2.6%; p<0.0001, compared to control) treatment inhibited the outgrowth of cells from the explants. The combination treatment was, however, the most effective, reducing explant outgrowth to 4.5 ± 2.2% (p<0.0001, compared to control) (fig. 2a).

Migration was evaluated as the distance of the leading edge of the sprouting cells from the explant. Imatinib treatment reduced the migration distance by 76% (43 ±
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10 vs. 182 ± 18 μm; p = 0.0005, compared to control) and sirolimus treatment by 85% (28 ± 8 vs. 182 ± 18 μm; p = 0.0002, compared to control). The combination treatment showed the best efficacy again, as the migration distance was only 5 ± 3 μm (97% reduction, p < 0.0001 compared to control, p = 0.035 compared to the sirolimus group) (fig. 2b).

Cell replication was measured as 3H-TdR uptake at 48 h upon termination of the cultures. All sprouted cells as well as the explant itself were included in the measure-

Fig. 1. Representative photomicrographs from paraffin cross-sections of injured rat arteries at 90 days after denudation injury and an early brief treatment with vehicle, imatinib, sirolimus, or the combination of imatinib and sirolimus. Hematoxylin-eosin. ×400.

Fig. 2. Effect of imatinib, sirolimus, and the combination treatment on outgrowth (a), migration (b), and proliferation (c) in whole vessel aortic explants after injury. Outgrowth was measured as the percentage of explants showing outgrowth, migration as the distance the leading edge of the sprouting cells had traveled from the explant and proliferation as the 3H-TdR incorporation (CPM). The data are expressed as means ± SEM of 4 separate experiments. * p < 0.5, ** p < 0.001, compared to control.
mments. Sirolimus treatment was the most effective in inhibiting DNA synthesis (126 ± 25 counts per minute (CPM), p < 0.0001 compared to control), followed by the combination treatment (237 ± 42 CPM, p < 0.0001 compared to control). DNA synthesis in samples from imatinib-treated rats was 2,370 ± 269 CPM, half of the control level of 4,667 ± 326 CPM (p < 0.0001) (fig. 2c).

**Effects of Drug Treatment on Gene Expression and Protein Localization in the Vascular Wall**

To assess how the different drug treatments affected gene expression in the vascular wall at day 4 and 40 after injury, we analyzed the expression and protein localization of selected genes known to play a pivotal role in vascular repair after injury.

**SM22α Regulation**

SM22α is a smooth muscle cell (SMC) differentiation marker associated with cytoskeletal actin filament bundles in contractile SMCs [19]. In untreated rats, its mRNA expression was sharply downregulated immediately after denudation injury. By day 7, the SM22α expression level rapidly returned to the control level and was slowly downregulated thereafter. On day 4, the expression of SM22α mRNA was unchanged in the imatinib group, downregulated in the sirolimus group and strongly upregulated in the combination therapy group. On day 40 after injury, its expression was upregulated in all treatment groups when compared to control (fig. 3a).

By immunohistochemistry, SM22α protein was shown to localize to medial cells on day 4 and to both neointima and media on day 40 after injury. On day 4, the staining intensity in the medial layer was higher in the combination therapy group than in the other groups, which may reflect the strong upregulation of SM22α mRNA at this time point (fig. 3b).

**Cysteine and Glycine-Rich Protein 2**

Cysteine and glycine-rich protein 2 (CSRP2) mRNA, expressed in differentiated SMCs, was slightly repressed in untreated rats during days 2–5 after injury. It returned back to the control level by day 7 and was slowly downregulated thereafter. At 4 days, CSRP2 mRNA expression was downregulated in the imatinib group and upregulated in the sirolimus and combination treatment groups when compared to control. However, at 40 days, CSRP2 mRNA expression was below the control level in all groups (fig. 4a).

By immunohistochemistry, CSRP2 protein expression was localized to the media and adventitia on day 4 after injury and by day 40, protein expression had shifted to the media and neointima (fig. 4b).

**BCL2 Regulation**

After injury, early downregulation of the anti-apoptotic BCL2 mRNA was followed by rapid upregulation between days 5 and 7, after which sustained downregulation was observed. The BCL2 mRNA increased over the control level in the combination therapy and the sirolimus groups, but remained close to the control level with imatinib. On day 40 after injury, the BCL2 mRNA was downregulated in all treatment groups compared to control (fig. 5a).

BCL2 immunoreactivity was observed in all layers of the vascular wall. The upregulation of BCL2 mRNA expression on day 4 in the combination therapy and sirolimus groups was reflected mainly by higher staining intensity in the media and adventitia and the downregulation on day 40 by a lower staining intensity in the media (fig. 5b).

**Von Willebrand Factor**

vWF mRNA, characteristic of endothelial cells, was highly downregulated in control rats after complete denudation of the endothelial layer on days 2–5. The expression returned to the preinjury level on days 5–7, when first signs of re-endothelialization were observed. This was followed by sustained downregulation of mRNA expression. On day 4, sirolimus treatment upregulated vWF mRNA expression while imatinib treatment repressed it. mRNA expression in the combination therapy group remained at the control level. On day 40 after injury, sirolimus and combination therapy downregulated vWF expression compared to control while the expression remained unchanged in the imatinib group (fig. 6a).

In the uninjured aorta, vWF protein was localized to the endothelial lining of the artery lumen and to the adventitial microvessels. On day 4, the lumen was mainly denuded of endothelium, but a few vWF-positive cells were seen in the developing neointima; the adventitial microvessels also stained positive for vWF in all treatment groups. On day 40, vWF protein was localized to the neointima and to the adventitial microvessels (fig. 6b).

On day 40, re-endothelialization was still incomplete and about 30–40% of the luminal cells in the control and imatinib treatment groups stained positive with the vWF antibody. Both sirolimus and combination treatment delayed re-endothelialization, sirolimus by 62% (p = 0.0469, compared to control) and combination treatment by 54% (p = 0.0423, compared to control) (data not shown).
Treatment Tolerability

As in our previous study [14], the treatments were well tolerated. Cardiac, gastric, enteric, hepatic and renal histology were previously shown to be normal [14]. In this study, there were no significant weight differences between treatment groups and no signs of adverse effects from the treatments such as delayed wound healing, diarrhea, overall sickness behavior or hair loss (data not shown).

Furthermore, analysis of blood counts after individual or combination treatment showed no toxic effects on blood cells or hematopoiesis (tables 2–4).

The mean hemoglobin level in untreated, unoperated rats was 147 ± 3.2 g/l and it decreased early after the op-
operation, reaching its minimum level in control rats at 14 days after injury after which it returned to the preinjury level. A similar drop in blood hemoglobin level was seen when sirolimus and imatinib were given individually. However, combination therapy completely prevented this decrease (148.3 ± 0.3 vs. 143.7 ± 0.7 g/l, p = 0.003, compared to control) (table 2). There were no changes in erythrocyte indexes in these early time points to explain the effect (data not shown).

Blood leukocyte count in control rats peaked at 4 days after the operation (15.1 ± 2.0 × 10⁹/l vs. pre-operation level of 8.6 ± 1.3 × 10⁹/l, p = 0.036). The same trend was seen when the drugs were administered separately. However, in the combination therapy group, the leukocyte count remained at the preinjury level throughout the observation period (p = 0.046 at day 4, compared to control) (table 3). This difference in leukocyte count early after vascular injury was mainly due to a lower number of lym-

---

**Table 2. Effect of drug treatment on blood hemoglobin level after denudation injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Blood hemoglobin level, g/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>147.2 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>138.3 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirolimus</td>
<td>135.7 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>148.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p = Compared to control.

**Table 3. Effect of drug treatment on blood leukocyte concentration after denudation injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Blood leukocyte concentration, ×10⁹/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirolimus</td>
<td>11.8 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p = Compared to control.

**Table 4. Effect of drug treatment on blood thrombocyte concentration (×10⁹/l) after denudation injury**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Blood thrombocyte concentration, ×10⁹/l</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>859.7 ± 81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imatinib</td>
<td>921.0 ± 205.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirolimus</td>
<td>956.0 ± 113.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination</td>
<td>870.3 ± 83.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p = Compared to control.
phocytes in the combination therapy group (data not shown).

Blood thrombocyte concentration increased after balloon injury, reaching its maximum at 14 days (1,129.0 ± 84.9 vs. preinjury level of 709 ± 161 ×10^9/l, p = NS), after which it slowly decreased close to the pretreatment level.

Similar thrombocytosis was seen with sirolimus and imatinib, but combination therapy completely prevented the rise in thrombocyte concentration (670.3 ± 83.5 vs. 1,086.0 ± 94.0 ×10^9/l, at 4 days; p = 0.03, compared to control) (table 4).

**Fig. 4.** a The time-related expression of the CSRP2 gene in response to denudation injury in vessels from untreated rats, and from rats treated with vehicle, imatinib, sirolimus, or combination therapy. Changes in expression levels are expressed as n-fold changes (log2). b Representative photomicrographs of the time-related immunohistochemical localization of CSRP2 in paraffin cross-sections of rat aorta after denudation injury. Objective magnification in main frames ×400; insets: ×1,000. Hematoxylin counterstain.
Discussion

We have recently shown the superior vasculoprotective efficacy of the combination of submaximal doses of the cell cycle inhibitor sirolimus and the PDGF receptor and c-Kit kinase inhibitor imatinib. Here, we show that the synergistic effect of the properly timed, oral combination therapy persists until day 90 after injury and define mechanisms behind this synergy. This drug combination and administration strategy might be of importance when developing oral treatment options for the prevention of neo-intimal hyperplasia after endovascular interventions.

Fig. 5. a The time-related expression of the BCL2 gene in response to denudation injury in vessels from untreated rats, and from rats treated with vehicle, imatinib, sirolimus, or combination therapy. Changes in expression levels are expressed as n-fold changes (log2). b Representative photomicrographs of the time-related immunohistochemical localization of BCL2 in paraffin cross-sections of rat aorta after denudation injury. Objective magnification in main frames ×400; insets: ×1,000. Hematoxylin counterstain.
Vascular repair after endothelial injury is a complex process involving vascular inflammation, re-establishment of the luminal endothelial lining, phenotypic switch of SMCs to a synthetic phenotype, SMC proliferation and migration [20] as well as progenitor cell recruitment [21]. These processes are most active during the first weeks after vascular injury [22] and the most prominent changes in mRNA expression levels were observed shortly after injury also in this study. This underlines the importance of early therapeutic intervention to prevent excess intimal hyperplasia. However, targeting mainly SMC proliferation briefly after injury has consistently failed to produce more than short-term vasculoprotection. This has been observed both with PDGF inhibitors [23] and siro-
limus [24]. Imatinib monotherapy lost its efficacy already by day 40 after injury also in this study, and some catch-up in intimal growth was also observed in the sirolimus group. Still, the combination of both drugs provided sustained synergistic vasculoprotection.

Neointimal cell migration has been suggested to be the main response to intimal injury [25]. To evaluate the effect of the drugs on neointimal cell migration versus proliferation, we used ex vivo aortic explants. While sirolimus alone had a higher antiproliferative effect, the combination treatment had a higher antimigratory capacity ex vivo, which explains its superior in vivo effects.

To obtain further mechanistic insights into the effects of the combination therapy on vascular repair, gene expression in the vascular wall after the different treatments was also investigated. The expression of the SMC cytoskeletal protein SM22α is downregulated in damaged arteries when vascular SMCs assume a synthetic phenotype [26, 27], and it has been proposed that SM22α participates in cytoskeleton organization and regulation of SMC morphology [28]. Here, the expression of SM22α was heavily downregulated during the first days after injury in untreated rats, possibly reflecting the SMC phenotypic switch associated with acute vascular injury [29]. In the combination therapy group, a strong upregulation of SM22α expression was observed in the media at day 4 after injury, suggesting that this treatment might interfere with the phenotypic change of neointimal SMCs early after injury and inhibit intimal hyperplasia by maintaining SMCs in the more passive contractile phenotype.

CSRP2 is a protein associated with the cell actin cytoskeleton and it is assumed to help maintain SMC and fibroblast cytoarchitecture and to keep the cell in a differentiated state [30, 31]. In mice, the absence of CSRP2 is known to increase neointimal hyperplasia after arterial injury correlating with increased SMC migration and CSRP2 deficiency does not affect SM22α expression [31]. On day 4 after injury, the combination treatment increased CSRP2 expression. On day 40 after injury, CSRP2 expression was downregulated in all treatment groups, but the least in the combination treatment group. By immunohistochemistry, CSRP2 expression was localized mainly to the medial SMC and adventitial myofibroblasts at day 4 after injury, and by day 40 after injury, the expression had switched to the neointimal and medial cells. These findings provide further evidence that the effect of the combination treatment operates at least partly through its effect on SMC differentiation and migration.

The control of SMC phenotypic switch is not very well understood. However, several growth factors are involved, including PDGF-BB that suppresses SM22α and induces SMCs into a synthetic phenotype [32, 33] and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) that drives SMCs to show contractile properties [34]. Both sirolimus and imatinib affect growth factor receptor-mediated signaling events; imatinib by inhibiting PDGF receptor kinases [9] and sirolimus by increasing TGF-β expression [35]. Thus, the sustained SMC differentiation observed in the combination treatment group might be due to a modulation of growth-factor-related processes. Further studies will be necessary to clarify the effect of the combination treatment on SMC behavior after vascular injury.

A strong upregulation of the BCL2 gene, involved in the regulation of cell apoptosis, was seen at day 4 after injury in rats treated with the sirolimus or combination therapy. The upregulation was localized to the medial SMCs and the adventitia. At day 40 after injury, the combination treatment had the strongest antiapoptotic effect compared to the other groups. This finding suggests that the combination treatment may not induce SMC apoptosis to the same extent as the drugs administered separately. As stabilizing cell integrity and inhibiting SMC apoptosis have recently emerged as promising strategies in the prevention of intimal hyperplasia [36], the antiapoptotic effect of the combination therapy could also partly explain its vasculoprotective effects.

SES implantation has been associated with impaired re-endothelialization, which most likely increases the risk for late stent thrombosis [2]. A tendency towards prolonged healing of the luminal endothelial lining was seen in this study as well, and the expression of the endothelial cell marker vWF was heavily downregulated in the sirolimus and combination treatment groups at day 40 after injury. Re-endothelialization was delayed as well, assessed on day 40 after injury by the number of vWF-positive luminal cells. This delay in re-endothelialization needs to be acknowledged when assessing the benefits and possible risks of the combination treatment.

Complete blood counts were initially determined only to assess treatment tolerability and safety. Interestingly, an operation-induced leukocytosis and thrombocytosis was seen in rats receiving vehicle and sirolimus or imatinib alone but not in the combination treatment group. This lack of acute-phase systemic leukocyte and thrombocyte activation might explain some of the vasculoprotective effects of the combination treatment, as it could attenuate the initial injury response.

Taken together, our study demonstrates that a brief, properly timed oral combination therapy with sirolimus
and imatinib at submaximal doses leads to a long-lasting synergistic suppression of intimal hyperplasia in the rat. We suggest that the effects of the combination treatment are mediated through inhibition of acute postoperative thrombocytosis and leukocytosis, inhibition of neointimal cell migration, inhibition of apoptosis and interference with the phenotypic switch of SMCs characteristic of intimal hyperplasia. Thus, the combination of sirolimus and imatinib represents a potential target for the development of oral vasculoprotective therapies.
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