Circulating Markers of Inflammation and Their Link to Indices of Adiposity

Lucy M. Browning\textsuperscript{a} Jeremy D. Krebs\textsuperscript{a} Edel C. Magee\textsuperscript{a} Gema Frühbeck\textsuperscript{b} Susan A. Jebb\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}MRC Human Nutrition Research, Elsie Widdowson Laboratory, Cambridge, UK
\textsuperscript{b}Metabolic Research Laboratory, Clinica Universitaria, University of Navarra, Pamplona, Spain

\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Background:} Adipose tissue produces a number of inflammatory mediators. Circulating concentrations of these inflammatory markers are increasingly used as markers of local or systemic inflammation. This study compares results for 3 inflammatory adipokines measured using 2 techniques, (multiplex and ELISA), and determines the relationships with C-reactive protein (CRP), obesity, and the impact of moderate weight loss. 

\textbf{Subjects and Methods:} Fasting blood samples were collected at baseline and after a 24-week weight loss intervention. Interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumour necrosis factor \( \alpha \) (TNF-\( \alpha \)), and monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1) were measured using a standard ELISA technique or a new multiplex technique. A total of 54 women with complete data were included in this analysis. 

\textbf{Results:} Multiplex showed poor correlation with ELISA results, and were not significantly correlated with CRP. Using ELISA data, IL-6 and CRP were significantly correlated with body mass index (BMI) (\( r = 0.42 \) and \( r = 0.55 \)), but MCP-1 and TNF-\( \alpha \) were not (\( r = -0.07 \) and \( r = 0.06 \)). Changes in MCP-1, TNF-\( \alpha \), and IL-6 were not significantly different between control and weight loss groups. CRP was significantly reduced in weight loss vs. control group (\( p < 0.05 \)), and change in CRP correlated with change in BMI (\( r = 0.31 \)).

\textbf{Conclusion:} Circulating IL-6 and CRP, but not MCP-1 and TNF-\( \alpha \), are significantly associated with indices of adiposity in obese women. This study suggests that circulating IL-6 and CRP, but not MCP-1 and TNF-\( \alpha \), are useful markers of obesity-related inflammation.

\textbf{Introduction}

Obesity is associated with a number of circulating markers of inflammation including the cytokines interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumour necrosis factor \( \alpha \) (TNF-\( \alpha \)), and acute phase markers C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen, and sialic acid [1–3]. Novel multiplex techniques are now available to measure multiple cytokines. They have the advantage over traditional techniques of being able to offer multiple results, on a small sample volume, within a single test. However, the precision of the results for human plasma/serum samples is not clear. It is hypothesised that these circulating markers are indicative of inflammatory processes within adipose tissue, since adipose tissue has been shown to produce a number of these inflammatory mediators [4, 5]. Recent evidence suggests that as fat accumulates in adipose tissue, macrophages infiltrate the site, producing cytokines and contributing to the systemic pro-inflammatory state observed in obese subjects [6, 7]. Monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1), a protein responsible for recruiting macrophages, is produced by adipose tissue, and in morbidly obese subjects adipose tissue expression of MCP-1 is correlated with obesity [8].

Diet- and surgery-induced weight loss are effective strategies to reduce obesity-related inflammation [9–12], and are associated with improvements in a number of co-morbidities, including insulin resistance, hypertension, and dyslipidaemia [13–15]. Adipose tissue MCP-1 mRNA is significantly reduced by large weight losses (mean 22.1 kg) following bariatric surgery [8]. Reductions in circulating MCP-1 have been shown to be significantly reduced following similarly large (mean 18.9 kg) weight losses in morbidly obese subjects on an acute weight loss dietary intervention [16]. However, the impact of moderate weight loss on circulating MCP-1 concentrations has not been determined in a controlled study. This study will compare the results obtained from both traditional ELISA tech-
niques and multiplex techniques for 3 inflammatory markers of interest, and go on to explore the relationships between circulating inflammatory markers and measures of obesity.

Subjects and Methods

Population
Overweight female subjects were recruited from the community to participate in a 24-week randomised controlled intervention study at MRC Human Nutrition Research. The study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (2000) of the World Medical Association, and was approved by the Cambridge Local Research Ethics Committee. All subjects gave written informed consent. Subjects were overweight or obese (body mass index (BMI) > 27 kg/m²) and hyperinsulinaemic at a screening appointment (fasting plasma insulin > 70 pmol/l). Subjects were excluded if they were pregnant, had symptoms of intercurrent infection, known diabetes, a chronic inflammatory condition, treated dyslipidaemia, liver disease, or malignancy. Smokers, subjects with excessive alcohol consumption (greater than 25 units per week), and those taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), steroids, or oil supplements were excluded.

Study Design
The design and main findings of the study have been described previously [17]. Briefly, subjects were randomly assigned to either a control group (no weight loss and placebo oil) or 1 of 2 weight loss intervention groups prescribed either supplemental long-chain (LC) n-3 PUFA or placebo oil (5 g per day comprising either 1.3 g eicosapentaenoic acid and 2.9 g docosahexaenoic acid, or 2.8 g heneic acid and 1.4 g oleic acid). For the purposes of the present study, both weight loss groups have been analysed together. The weight loss programme was designed to achieve a 10% weight loss in 12 weeks, followed by a 12-week weight maintenance phase. Investigations included weight and body composition measurements. Fasting blood collections were made at baseline and 24 weeks. A total of 93 women completed the intervention study; 74 women were included in the comparison study of the 2 methods. Subjects without adequate sample volumes remaining or a coefficient of variation (CV) of greater than 10% between duplicates for all adipokine measurements were excluded. A total of 54 women remained in the analysis of the relationship between circulating adipokines and obesity before and after weight loss. For the analysis of weight loss data, 14 women were randomised to the control group and 40 to the weight loss group.

Weight Loss Programme
Subjects in the weight loss groups attended fortnightly group sessions where they received detailed advice regarding dietary composition and total energy intake. These subjects also received standard advice to increase their physical activity. Subjects were advised to consume an energy-restricted diet of approximately 3.3–3.8 MJ/day (800–900 kcal/day), predominantly of semi-skimmed milk for the first 5 weeks to facilitate acute weight loss. This was followed by a staged re-introduction of meals so that by the end of 12 weeks subjects were prescribed a diet providing sufficient energy to match their maintenance energy requirements (approximately 2,500 kcal/day) and a prescribed composition of 15% protein, 35% fat, and 50% carbohydrate. The control group received no dietary advice.

Methods
Weight was measured to the nearest 10 g using a digital scale. Height was measured to the nearest 10 cm using a wall-mounted stadiometer. Waist circumference was taken as the smallest circumference between the lower costal margin and the pelvic brim measured to the nearest 0.5 cm. Body fat mass and abdominal fat mass were measured using dual X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) on a Hologic QDR-1000W scanner (Hologic Inc., Waltham, MA, USA). A measurement of abdominal fat was calculated by isolating the section between the L2 and L4 lumbar vertebrae. All assays were completed in duplicate, with results rejected if the intra-individual CV was greater than 10%. Fasting plasma and serum samples were collected for inflammation assays. IL-6 and TNF-α were measured using high sensitivity ELISA assays (Quantikine kit, R and D Systems, Abingdon, UK), and MCP-1 using an ELISA method (Quantikine kit, R and D Systems). Limits of detection are published at 0.04 pg/ml, 0.1 pg/ml, and 5 pg/ml, respectively. TNF-α, IL-6, and MCP-1 were also measured using a bead-based luminex technique, on a human 17-plex panel (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA). Limits of detection are published at 10 pg/ml for all 17 cytokines. Standard dilutions were run to 2 pg/ml. CRP was measured using a high sensitivity assay (Dade Behring, Walton, UK) as an independent index of inflammation.

Statistics
Data was analysed using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Where necessary, data was log transformed to normalise distributions before analysis, and is expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) for normally distributed data, or as geometric mean and SD (calculated before transformation) for transformed data. Bland Altman analysis was used to assess the agreement between the 2 methods for each adipokine, with Pearson’s correlation coefficients to explore relationships at baseline or the change in variables with the intervention. Simple statistical comparisons between control and weight loss groups were made using t-tests.

Results
Comparison of the Two Methods
Baseline TNF-α was undetectable in 63 out of 74 subjects using the luminex method. Bland Altman plots showed poor agreement between the 2 methods for all 3 inflammatory markers (graphs not shown), and correlations were poor (IL-6 r = 0.11, TNF-α r = 0.16, MCP-1 r = 0.42) (fig. 1). None of the luminex results were significantly correlated with CRP, the independent index of inflammation, while IL-6, measured by ELISA, was significantly related (r = 0.45, p < 0.01). For this reason, ELISA inflammatory marker data was used for all further analyses.

Table 1. Baseline subject characteristics (n = 54)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>21.0–69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>70.0–129.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>27.2–47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA fat mass, kg</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>27.1–71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA abdominal fat, kg</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.2–13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, pg/ml</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.7–23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6, pg/ml</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.6–21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α, pg/ml</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.1–18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1, pg/ml</td>
<td>236.3</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>96.6–417.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Variables log, transformed before analysis.
Baseline Assessment

The baseline characteristics of subjects who completed the study are shown in table 1. All women were overweight, with a weight in the range 70.0–129.1 kg (mean 94.7 ± 16.1 kg), BMI range 27.2–47.6 kg/m² (mean 35.8 ± 6.0 kg/m²), with a body fat percentage in the range 35.0–61.4% (mean 47.7 ± 6.3%), and aged between 21 and 69 years (mean 44.5 ± 13.1 years). Figure 2 shows the relationships between markers of inflammation and BMI at baseline. Correlation coefficients showed that IL-6 and CRP were significantly correlated with each other (r = 0.33, p < 0.05) and with BMI, waist circumference, total fat mass, or abdominal fat mass (table 2; p < 0.01 for all comparisons). In contrast, there was no significant relationship between MCP-1 or TNF-α and any of the indices of obesity (table 2; p > 0.05). Neither MCP-1 nor TNF-α were significantly associated with any of the other circulating adipokines.

Effect of Weight Loss on Markers of Adipose Tissue Inflammation

The change in weight, BMI, waist circumference, total fat mass, and abdominal fat mass were significantly different between the weight loss and control groups (p < 0.0001 for all), with a mean difference in weight of 10.8 kg, BMI of 4.1 kg/m², waist circumference of 11.1 cm, total fat mass of 10.9 kg, and abdominal fat mass of 2.1 kg. Table 3 shows the relationships between changes in measures of obesity, and changes in adipokine concentrations. The change in CRP was significantly different between the weight loss and control groups (p < 0.05). In contrast, there were no significant differences in the change in MCP-1, TNF-α, or IL-6 between the weight loss and control groups (p = 0.48, p = 0.44, and p = 0.62, respectively). Changes in MCP-1 and TNF-α were not significantly correlated with any other changes. The change in CRP was significantly correlated with the change in adiposity (table 3). Change in
IL-6 was not significantly correlated with the indices of obesity, although it was significantly correlated with the change in CRP ($r = 0.37, p < 0.01$).

**Discussion**

This study has shown that despite the advantage of being able to analyse a single sample for multiple results at once, the multiplex technique results do not correlate well with results obtained using traditional ELISAs. Multiplex results also do not correlate with the independent marker of inflammation, CRP. Furthermore, while IL-6 and MCP-1 values are within detectable limits, TNF-α is not detectable, and hence the multiplex assay is unable to provide values for all cytokines of interest within a single test in plasma samples from healthy subjects. For this reason, care should be taken when interpreting results obtained using the multiplex technique for human plasma samples.

Using the established ELISA technique, this study has shown that circulating MCP-1 is not associated with BMI, waist circumference, total fat mass, or abdominal fat mass in over-
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**Fig. 1.**

[a] Scattergraph showing significant relationship between MCP-1 measured by ELISA and multiplex ($r = 0.42$).

[b] Scattergraph showing poor correlation between IL-6 measured by ELISA and multiplex (5 outliers removed from figure, $r = -0.11$).

[c] Scattergraph showing poor correlation between TNF-α measured by ELISA and multiplex techniques (5 outliers removed from figure, $r = 0.16$).
weight and obese insulin-resistant women, and does not decrease with moderate weight loss (mean 11.4 kg). This result contrasts with that of Christiansen et al. [16] who showed that MCP-1 in the circulation was significantly correlated with BMI and decreased significantly with substantial weight loss (mean 18.9 kg). However, our study population was much larger with 54 subjects, compared to 8 subjects in the previous cross sectional analysis and 23 in the weight loss analysis. Subjects had a similar range of adiposity. In our study, subjects were studied after a 12-week period of weight maintenance at the lower weight, and data from a weight-stable control group was also analysed.

The results of the present study also contrast with the study by Kim et al. [18], which showed a significant association between circulating MCP-1 and waist circumference in Korean men and women. In their study, the correlation appears to be driven by 4 subjects with MCP-1 concentrations more than 2-fold greater than any measured in the present study. The present
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**Fig. 2.**

a Relationship between obesity and circulating MCP-1 concentrations.  
b Relationship between obesity and circulating IL-6 concentrations.  
c Relationship between obesity and circulating TNF-α concentrations.  
d Relationship between obesity and circulating CRP concentrations.
study of Caucasian women does not include any lean subjects but covers a wider range of obesity (mean 35.8 vs. 30.6 kg/m²), with 11 subjects with a BMI greater than 40 kg/m².

A number of studies have shown that adipose tissue MCP-1 mRNA is correlated with obesity [8, 16, 19] and reduced with substantial weight losses, such as those seen following bariatric surgery [8]. Further, depot-specific differences in adipose tissue MCP-1 expression have been shown [20]. While it was not possible to measure adipose tissue MCP-1 mRNA in this study, taken together these data suggest that circulating MCP-1 may not be representative of the local adipose tissue inflammatory environment. This explanation is consistent with the action of MCP-1 in recruiting macrophages to the local area, causing them to infiltrate the adipose tissue, which in turn may promote inflammation both locally and systemically.

In the present study, the circulating adipokines IL-6, TNF-α, and CRP were also measured. Similar to MCP-1, TNF-α was not significantly correlated with obesity or reduced with weight loss. It has previously been suggested that TNF-α has a greater role in promoting local inflammation and stimulating production of other inflammatory mediators [21]. In contrast, both IL-6 and CRP were significantly correlated with all measures of obesity, and significant reductions in CRP were shown with moderate weight loss. CRP has often been reported to be associated with obesity [22, 23] and has been used as a marker of inflammation and shown to be a predictor of obesity-related disease in many large scale prospective studies [24–27]. Further, CRP seems to be a stronger predictor of metabolic disease than the cytokine that stimulates its production, IL-6, supporting a role as a circulating marker of obesity-related inflammation [25, 26].

In conclusion, this study shows that in obese women circulating MCP-1 and TNF-α, and CRP were also measured. Similar to MCP-1, TNF-α was not significantly correlated with obesity or reduced with weight loss. It has previously been suggested that TNF-α has a greater role in promoting local inflammation and stimulating production of other inflammatory mediators [21]. In contrast, both IL-6 and CRP were significantly correlated with all measures of obesity, and significant reductions in CRP were shown with moderate weight loss. CRP has often been reported to be associated with obesity [22, 23] and has been used as a marker of inflammation and shown to be a predictor of obesity-related disease in many large scale prospective studies [24–27]. Further, CRP seems to be a stronger predictor of metabolic disease than the cytokine that stimulates its production, IL-6, supporting a role as a circulating marker of obesity-related inflammation [25, 26].
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