A Mother and Daughter with Unexplained Renal Failure
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Case Presentation

\textit{Dr. Christiansen:} Patient 1 (the mother) was referred to the nephrology department in 1991 at the age of 45. Her serum creatinine value had been slowly increasing and she had been receiving treatment for hypertension since the age of 35. She had a prior medical history of hysterectomy due to myoma uteri and a thyroidectomy due to papillary carcinoma, and had received thyroxin substitution. At referral, her blood pressure was 137/75 mm Hg and her clinical status was normal. Her laboratory values were: serum creatinine, 145 μmol/l (1.9 mg/dl); hemoglobin, 12.8 g/l; serum albumin, 42 g/l; calcium, 2.25 mmol/l (2.2–2.55); C-reactive protein, 1 mg/l (<5), and erythrocyte sedimentation rate, 9 mm/h. Standard immunological tests (ANA, ANCA, anti-GBM, C3/C4, immunoelectrophoresis) as well as urine dip stick and urine microscopy were normal. Renal ultrasonography showed slightly reduced kidney size (9.3 and 9.1 cm), and normal echogenicity. A renal angiogram showed no evidence of renal artery stenosis. A kidney biopsy was performed, and considered nondiagnostic, and a new biopsy was performed in 1994. During the following years, renal function gradually deteriorated and she underwent successful deceased donor kidney transplantation in 2007.

Patient 2, the daughter of patient 1, was referred to a nephrologist in 1999 at the age of 31. At that stage she had minimal proteinuria (0.4 g/24 h), no hematuria, a normal serum creatinine...
value and her blood pressure was normal. Six years later she was re-referred due to increasing serum creatinine value and hypertension, for which she had been treated with an angiotensin receptor blocker since the age of 35. Her past medical history was significant for repeated urinary tract infections, one episode of gout, and preeclampsia in two pregnancies. At referral, her blood pressure was 135/85 mm Hg and the physical exam was normal. Laboratory values showed: serum creatinine, 120 μmol/l (1.57 mg/dl); urea, 10.1 mmol/l (28.3 mg/dl); uric acid, 525 μmol/l (8.83 mg/dl), and hemoglobin, 12.7 g/l. Urinary dip stick and urine microscopy were normal, but minimal microalbuminuria (albumin:creatinine ratio of 4 mg/mmol) was observed. ANA, ANCA, immunoglobulins, complement C3 and C4, serum and urine electrophoresis were negative or normal. Renal ultrasound examination showed marginally normal-sized kidneys (10.5 cm right, 10 cm left kidney), with reduced cortical thickness in the left kidney and normal echogenicity. A kidney biopsy was performed.

**Dr. Leh:** The first renal biopsy of the mother was taken at the age of 45. The biopsy (fig. 1) showed arteriosclerosis and focal tubular atrophy, but was not representative since there was only one globally sclerosed glomerulus. At the corticomedullary border, there were some slightly widened tubules with thickened basement membranes, but there were no cysts. The second biopsy (fig. 1) of the mother 3 years later (at the age of 48) showed 4 glomeruli: one was globally sclerosed, the other glomeruli seemed normal. Again there was arteriosclerosis and focal tubular atrophy. The biopsy findings were unspecific and the descriptive diagnosis was benign nephrosclerosis.

Ten years later we examined the biopsy of the 37-year-old daughter (fig. 2). The biopsy contained 13 glomeruli, 6 of which were globally sclerosed. There were foci of tubular atrophy and interstitial fibrosis. The glomeruli looked normal, although some were enlarged. Only a couple of tubules showed segmentally thickened basement membranes and an abrupt transition from normal epithelium to dedifferentiated epithelium. Immunohistochemistry was negative. Electron microscopy showed thinning of the basement membranes in some capillary loops (fig. 3). The interpretation of the findings in the biopsy of the daughter was of moderate nephron loss of uncertain cause. The mother’s biopsies were then re-examined, and electron microscopic investigation confirmed similar changes as in the daughter’s biopsy with several capillary loops with thinned basement membranes (not shown).

**Discussion 1**

**Dr. Christiansen:** A hereditary disease was suspected and the following diagnostic possibilities were considered. First, because of the finding of one or two tubuli with segmentally thickened basement membranes, we discussed whether this could be a disease related to the group of nephronophthisis/medullary cystic disease. However, no cysts were seen and the findings mentioned were minimal. Therefore, we felt this was speculative and likely not correct. In addition, nephronophthisis was re-
A Mother and Daughter with Unexplained Renal Failure

Questions and Answers

Dr. Singh: There was segmental thinning of the glomerular basement membranes, but there were no Alport-typical changes, so at least the basement membrane changes would be more in line with the thin basement membrane abnormalities observed in thin basement membrane disease rather than in Alport’s disease. In a prospective study of patients with thin basement membrane nephropathy, 13% initially had global glomerular sclerosis indicating nephron loss. In the follow-up, about 30% became hypertensive and a few showed proteinuria. However, all patients had hematuria [1].

Dr. Fervenza: The thinning of the glomerular basement membranes suggested that this is a collagen IV nephropathy, maybe related to a mutation in the collagen IV alpha 3 or alpha 4 genes [2]. There is a series from Cyprus of 116 patients from 13 families with clinically diagnosed thin basement membrane nephropathy. [3]. Mutations in both collagen IV alpha 3 or alpha 4 genes were found in 82 patients from 10 families. In 20 patients, a dual diagnosis of thin basement membrane nephropathy and FSGS was made. During a follow-up of over 30 years, 31 of these 82 patients (37.8%) developed chronic renal failure. More recently, the same group expanded their observations in 127 patients in 11 large families, where heterozygous mutations in collagen IV alpha 3 or alpha 4 genes were associated with hematuria, thin basement membrane nephropathy, late development of proteinuria, chronic renal failure, and ESRD due to FSGS [4]. Apparently there is a spectrum of diseases that can differ from the old-fashioned benign thin basement membrane or ‘benign familial hematuria’. However, and different from the present case, all patients from the series from Cyprus have hematuria.

Dr. Singh: There is a lot of controversy around what criteria you use to make a diagnosis of thin basement membrane disease. Some people believe that less extensive thinning would also be acceptable to meet the diagnosis. The width of the basement membrane does not influence clinical presentation or outcome of thin glomerular basement membrane disease with persistent hematuria [5].

Dr. Leh: Our criteria are thinning of the glomerular basement membranes less than 250 nm in males and less than 220 nm in females, and at least 50% of the basement membranes should be involved [6]. We consider segmental thinning as a lesion of uncertain significance. However, in a study of 26 consecutive patients with hematuria, thin basement membranes, and no other glomerular pathology, the thinning of the basement membrane was segmental in about 30% of cases [7]. So there is no clear consensus about the criteria. When I was confronted with this family with a combination of partly unspecific, partly uncertain findings, I felt it right to suggest this differential diagnosis from a morphological point of view. Of course, learning that the patients did not have hematuria makes the diagnosis unlikely.

Dr. Singh: On the other hand, the patients do not have the features one normally would associate with nephronophthisis. There were no corticomedullary cysts and the fibrosis is rather focal than diffuse, as it is typical for nephronophthisis.

Fig. 3. Ultrastructure of the daughter’s biopsy showing a glomerulus with capillary loops with thinned basement membranes (*). There are no Alport-typical changes. The foot processes are preserved. Scale bar = 5 μm.
Discussion II

**Dr. Christiansen:** We knew that our patients probably suffered from an unrecognized inherited nephropathy, but further diagnostic workup was halted for a long time until the patients provided information confirming that several family members were suffering from renal disease. Dr. Fiskerstrand will demonstrate the pedigree and explain the genetic investigations done.

**Dr. Fiskerstrand:** Looking at the pedigree (fig. 4), this fits with an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance. So how could we identify the gene harboring a mutation and, thus, causing the disease in this Norwegian family? It could be a gene previously known to cause nephropathy, or we could be facing an unknown gene. We gathered blood samples from affected (n = 7) and unaffected (n = 7) individuals (14 samples), purified DNA, and performed whole genome analysis with single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) arrays. We used microarrays with 250,000 SNP markers. Linkage analysis (a specialized statistical analysis) was used on these marker data to identify a chromosomal region which was highly likely to harbor the mutation. In such a region, the affected have inherited the same (SNP) marker variants on one of their DNA strands (haplotypes), whereas the nonaffected have different haplotypes. The threshold for a significant linkage between a chromosomal region and the disease is set at a LOD score of 3 (linkage is 1,000 times more likely compared to nonlinkage).

Our linkage analysis identified a 3.3-Mb (i.e. million base pairs) region on chromosome 16 with a LOD score of 2.3 (fig. 5). This was about the maximum LOD score we could achieve for any region in this family, due to the limited number of individuals analyzed. The candidate region contained a maximum of 57 genes and among these was *UMOD*, encoding uromodulin (Tamm-Horsfall protein). This is a moderately sized gene of 11 exons and mutations in this gene were known to cause nephropathy. Uromodulin is the most common protein in the urine, excreted by cleavage of the GPI anchor in this transmembrane glycoprotein located in the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle.

By sequencing, we identified heterozygosity for a novel missense mutation in *UMOD* in all affected individuals (fig. 6). In position 800, there was a base exchange (c.800G>T) which theoretically led to the replacement of the amino acid cysteine with phenylalanine in the protein in codon 267 (p.Cys267Phe). Nearly all mutations in the *UMOD* gene are missense. Moreover, about two thirds of these involve a cysteine residue. Thus, the mutation found in the affected members in this family is highly likely to be pathogenic, and compatible with the diagnosis of uromodulin-related nephropathy. The present mutation sits in a cysteine-rich DBC domain which is important for correct folding of the protein. According to Williams et al. [8], mutant uromodulin may be retained in the endoplasmic reticulum and cellular trafficking is delayed, leading to reduced uromodulin secretion into urine. The protein might undergo degradation via the proteosomal or autophagic pathways. Uromodulin is expressed in cilia and therefore *UMOD* mutation is a form of ciliopathy [9].

**Dr. Leh:** Having found a mutation in the *UMOD* gene and knowing that uromodulin is produced in the straight part of the distal tubules, i.e. the thick ascending limb of the loop of Henle, we undertook a re-examination of the biopsies with special focus on the distal tubules. The epithelial cells showed weakly stained inclusions (fig. 7a). These inclusions are well demarcated in an immunohistochemical stain for cytokeratin (fig. 7b). The inclusions can also be seen in the semi-thin sections for electron microscopy (fig. 7c). The inclusions are moderately electron dense in the ultrastructure (fig. 8a). In addition, many distal tubular epithelial cells show densely packed lamellae representing hyperplastic endoplasmic reticulum (fig. 8a, b). Some cisternae are dilated and contain amorphous material (fig. 8b). Of note, the tertiary structure of uromodulin might be changed because of the mutation. The inclusions and the dilated cisternae represent accumulated uromodulin. According to this, both immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence show intensely...
positive accumulations of uromodulin in distal tubular epithelial cells compared to normal controls (fig. 9). In conclusion, the biopsy changes in the distal tubules of the mother and daughter are in line with a previous report by Nasr et al. [10] and compatible with the diagnosis of a UMOD mutation.

Dr. Christiansen: As we have shown, this family has uromodulin-associated kidney disease. UMOD mutations show an autosomal dominant inheritance pattern and the main phenotypic expressions are medullary cystic kidney disease type II and familial hyperuricemic nephropathy; both phenotypes were seen in our family. It is important to recognize that hyperuricemia is present in the majority of individuals with UMOD-related kidney disease and occurs independently of the development of kidney failure [11]. However, gout is recorded in only 45% of individuals with a UMOD mutation, with onset of gout ranging from age 8 to 38 years [12]. Gout tends to worsen and the frequency of attacks increases deterioration of kidney function.

Patients with medullary cystic kidney disease type II typically develop renal failure at 40–70 years of age and seldom have gout, while patients with familial juvenile hyperuricemic nephropathy usually develop renal failure.
earlier (at 30–40 years of age) and frequently present with gout and hyperuricemia. There seems to be a consensus now that these presentations are variants of the same disease [13].

Although uromodulin is the most abundant protein in urine, its biological function is unclear. It has been implicated in binding and excretion of injurious products from tubular fluids as well as in protection against infections. On the other hand, interstitial accumulation seems to be associated with inflammation and progressive scarring in some patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [14]. UMOD mutations lead to decreased urinary levels of uromodulin and possibly aggregation of uromodulin in tubular epithelium.
Questions and Answers

Dr. Fervenza: This is a most interesting case. Does the lesion in the distal tubule cause any urinary concentration abnormalities or renal acidosis?

Dr. Christiansen: We do not have any evidence of that, and no acidosis was demonstrated in our patients.

Dr. Leh: I have no good explanation for the thin glomerular basement membrane in these patients. The glomeruli in the daughter were enlarged; one might speculate that this could lead to some distension of the capillary walls and, thus, to thinning of the glomerular basement membrane [15].

Dr. Singh: A number of conditions are associated with thinning of the glomerular basement membrane, e.g. IgA nephropathy and lupus nephritis [16], and might imply some impairment of glomerular basement membrane synthesis that may arise for a variety of reasons. Thus, the presence of these changes could constitute a nonspecific finding. These cases demonstrate an interesting journey of discovery which included a morphological and genetic diagnosis. There are a few comments I would like to make. There is a convergence of studies now suggesting that uromodulin is an important factor in the development of CKD, perhaps of unknown identity. In fact, Köttgen et al. [17], using a genome-wide association study, recently reported on a link between uromodulin and CKD. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association data on about 60,000 individuals with CKD was performed, demonstrating a significant association between uromodulin and susceptibility to CKD. So it seems that the UMOD gene may be associated with significant kidney disease and this case might somewhat illustrate this.

Dr. Fervenza: As mentioned by Dr. Singh, genome-wide association studies have been used to investigate genetic components of phenotypes that do not exhibit classical Mendelian inheritance as a result of single gene mutation. This approach has led to identification in many parts of the human genome associated with complex...
traits such as diabetes and hypertension [18, 19]. An association of variants at UMOD with chronic kidney disease and kidney stones has also been reported using this approach [20]. However, genome-wide association studies require DNA samples from a large number of individuals with or without a demonstrable phenotype in order to provide adequate statistical power to identify SNPs that are associated with a particular trait.

Dr. Fervenza: I am a bit curious as to why the patients get hyperuricemia. Are the cilia somehow involved in uric handling and reabsorption?

Dr. Leh: Due to the UMOD mutation, the sodium reabsorption in the distal tubules is disturbed and urine concentration capacity is reduced. According to the same mechanism as in long-term administration of loop diuretics, sodium uptake in proximal tubules is increased and is accompanied by increased urate reabsorption [21].

Dr. Singh: Considering the management of these patients, how did you deal with genetic counseling?

Dr. Fiskerstrand: We offered genetic counseling to all affected individuals before the genetic analysis and again after the mutation was found.

Dr. Singh: There is now a possibility for uromodulin staining by immunohistochemistry/immunofluorescence. Would it be possible to stain, for example, nephrosclerosis cases retrospectively and pick up the cases without even having to do more careful genetic studies?

Dr. Leh: This is a very good idea. It would be interesting to look at biopsies from patients with unexplained CKD to see whether there is accumulation of uromodulin.

Dr. Singh: We have this large diagnostic basket labeled ‘benign nephrosclerosis’ – some of them are not even biopsied. This opens up the possibility of further diagnostic identification with biopsy, immunofluorescence, and genome studies. It opens up new diagnostic possibilities.

Dr. Fiskerstrand: There are also exciting new possibilities for genetic diagnostics with the new whole genome ‘deep’ sequencing technique, which soon may be used in diagnostic routine, at least exome sequencing where you just sequence all the exons (coding parts of the genes). Then, if you have a case of, for example, unexplained possibly inherited nephropathy, you may run an exome sequencing to see if there are mutations in any known disease genes, or if you accidentally find a mutation in new possible candidate genes for this type of disease.

Dr. Svarstad: Another consequence of these new techniques would of course be that some familiar renal diseases may be correctly diagnosed without the need of a renal biopsy. In some of these cases, the biopsy indication may shift from a diagnostic to a more prognostic or staging purpose.

Dr. Singh: I am again trying to simulate the action of cilia. Some people in the field of polycystic kidney disease (PKD) firmly believe that the cilia modify the PKD phenotype, and in this particular case the cilia may be involved not in cysts but in distal tubules. What is notable is that in some of these diseases, such as UMOD mutations, you see corticomedullary cysts, not the widespread cysts as in PKD, suggesting that either this is not such a profound disease of the cilia or that PKD reflects simply more than abnormalities in the cilia. We seem to develop a cadre of diseases with abnormalities in cilia function and structure.

Dr. Fervenza: Why do the patients develop renal failure?

Dr. Leh: Mutated uromodulin is accumulated in distal tubular cells. The hypothesis is that these cells go into apoptosis which triggers inflammation and fibrosis and subsequent renal failure [10, 22].

Dr. Svarstad: Is it possible to measure uromodulin excretion in the urine to distinguish this type of hereditary nephropathy?

Dr. Fervenza/Dr. Singh: We do not measure uromodulin excretion at Harvard or the Mayo Clinic. We do not think the awareness at this stage is high among clinicians for considering the possibility of UMOD-associated disease and you probably also need more studies on the predictive value of uromodulin excretion in relation to diagnosis of this condition.

Conclusion

Uromodulin-associated kidney disease is a hitherto rare and probably underdiagnosed disease. Patients with this disorder may show few symptoms and findings except hypertension, slowly progressive kidney failure, and gout. The diagnostics of rare inherited kidney diseases is a multidisciplinary challenge, and genetic work-up should be introduced at an early stage. Our cases demonstrate the use of linkage analysis using SNP microarrays in the diagnosis of a novel missense-mutation in UMOD. New powerful techniques like exome sequencing are rapidly emerging and probably have the capacity to identify a substantial amount of hitherto unexplained hereditary renal diseases. Generally, many laboratories offering linkage analysis would be interested in potential collaborative programs on a research basis, and thereby allow access to this technique to countries where this technology is not available.
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