Physical Fitness Is Independently Related to Blood Leptin Concentration and Insulin Sensitivity Index in Male Subjects with Central Adiposity
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Abstract
Aim: To compare the maximal power output (MPO) of subjects presenting a central adiposity to those of controls and to study the links between plasma leptin or indices of insulin sensitivity (QUICKI) and physical fitness (PF).

Methods: MPO was determined for 169 middle-aged men divided into two groups according to waist circumference (WC– < 94 cm, WC+ ≥ 94 cm) each subdivided in two subgroups with low and high PF (WC-L, WC-H, WC+L, WC+H) determined from the median MPO relative to fat free mass (3.06 W/kg FFM).

Results: MPO (W/kg FFM) was lower in WC+ than in WC–. Expressed relative to fat mass, leptin was lower and QUICKI higher in WC– than in WC+. In WC+H, leptin and QUICKI were significantly less disturbed than in WC+L and were independently correlated to MPO (r = –0.36 and r = 0.32 respectively; p < 0.001). In WC+, when visceral perimeter was added to the analysis, the relationships MPO/leptin remained significant but not MPO/QUICKI.

Conclusion: The low PF in subjects with abdominal obesity is independently linked to plasma leptin and insulin sensitivity even if leptin and insulin may share common pathways in their peripheral effects. Visceral adiposity participates to the link between MPO and QUICKI, but not between MPO and leptin.

Copyright © 2012 S. Karger GmbH, Freiburg
Introduction

Obesity is associated with an increase in mortality [1] and in cardiovascular disease [2]. Within obesity, the accumulation of visceral fat at the abdominal level is a specific marker of these increased risks combined to a cluster of metabolic abnormalities often referred to as metabolic syndrome [3]. Currently, a simple, reliable tool for determining levels of abdominal adiposity is the use of waist circumference (WC) measurements, which have been shown to be a predictive tool for the evaluation of cardiovascular [4, 5] or metabolic [6] risks. As illustrated in an early epidemiological study [7], increased cardiovascular risk under central obesity is inversely related to occupational activity. Sports and physical leisure activities are also inversely related to WC [8]. For a given BMI, WC was found lower in subjects with high than in those with low cardiorespiratory fitness [9]. Moreover, a decrease in maximal exercise capacity is related to abdominal adiposity in subjects with type 2 diabetes [10]. Consequently, along with the incidence of diet as a main contributor to energy balance and to body weight changes [11], there would be a vicious circle between physical inactivity and abdominal obesity [12], each of these factors being independent mortality predictors while physical inactivity would be a stronger causal element than obesity [13].

Insulin resistance has been widely described in strong relationship with human obesity [2, 3] and is thought to have a role in obesity-induced cardiovascular diseases [14]. Insulin resistance in overweight or obese subjects decreases following exercise training [15, 16] and can be predicted by the level of physical fitness (PF) [6]. Among the network of factors that potentially alter the insulin sensibility is leptin [17]. The peripheral effects of leptin through its receptors, especially in muscles, have pivotal roles in metabolic and insulin signalling modulation in obesity [18]. High levels of plasma leptin in obese humans associated with the decrease of its peripheral effects lead to the concept of leptin resistance [19]. This resistance is at least partly due to down-regulation of the leptin receptors of skeletal muscle [20]. On the other hand, elevated blood leptin concentrations may trigger for cardiac dysfunctions [21]. The coronary flow velocity reserve as a marker of cardiac microvascular function in healthy adults was shown to be linked either positively to PF (maximal power, W/kg) or negatively to blood leptin concentration [22]. Significant relationships were also observed between physical activity energy expenditure and leptin concentration [23, 24].

Using simple morphological, physiological and biological data about abdominal adiposity, PF, insulin sensitivity and plasma leptin concentration, we thought to reinvestigate the following questions: Is maximal exercise capacity linked to leptin concentration and insulin resistance in middle-aged men with visceral adiposity? Are these potential relations independent of fat mass and of visceral fat?

Consequently, the aim of the present study was i) to study a sample of middle-aged healthy men comprising subjects with abdominal adiposity and to compare them to lean control subjects of the same age, ii) to measure the maximal exercise capacity of this human sample, and iii) to examine plasma leptin concentration and indexes of insulin resistance and their potential relationships with maximal exercise capacity.

Material and Methods

Institutional Approval

This investigation was approved by the Committee governing Medical Research in Human Subjects in the University Hospital of Saint Etienne (CPP, decision n° 200519). Subjects were informed of all procedures and gave their written informed consent before testing.
Subjects

This cross-sectional report was initiated for the design of a further interventional study on the potential effects of exercise training in overweight middle-aged men. The sample was constituted in response to a newspaper article directed at 45- to 65-year-old male subjects living in the town of SaintEtienne and its suburbs. The article called for volunteers and explained that we required 20 subjects with abdominal obesity to be included in a physical training program. The physiological characteristics of the responders were wider than expected since a lot of normal-weight subjects were interested in participating. The participants were referred to the Clinical Physiology and Exercise Department. There the subjects were questioned about their personal history of hypertension (HT), diabetes mellitus or impaired glucose tolerance, and dyslipidemia. Others topics such as medical treatment, smoking, and personal physical activity were reported. All subjects underwent a standardized clinical examination, and those presenting any chronic illness, with the exception of HT, dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes, were excluded. Subsequently, a general medical and biological examination was completed for the 169 subjects of the present study, before their final inclusion in the training program. All interviews and examinations were carried out by the same medical investigator (M.A.S.). This investigation was approved by the Committee governing Medical Research in Human Subjects in the University Hospital of Saint Etienne (decision n° 200519) according to the French law and to the Declaration of Helsinki. Subjects were informed of all procedures and gave their written informed consent before testing.

Anthropometric and Clinical Measurements

Body weight (BW; kg) and height (m) were measured, and BMI kg/m² was calculated. With the subject standing and breathing normally, WC (cm) determined with a tape measure was taken at the point midway between the costal margin and crest in the mid-auxiliary line, as was hip circumference at the widest point around the greater trochanter [25]. The waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) was calculated. Skin fold thicknesses were measured at four sites (triceps, biceps, suprailiacally (Ssi) and subscapularily) using a Holtain skin fold calliper. Fat (FM) and fat free mass (FFM) distributions were estimated according to [26].

The visceral circumference (VC) was estimated as follows:

\[
VC = 2\left(\frac{WC}{2} - \frac{Ssi}{2}\right)
\]

VC, WC and Ssi expressed in cm. VC was considered as an indicator of the intra-abdominal volume. As such, this factor was appraised after integrating WC as a circle circumference. As this estimation was not supported by referenced procedures, 30 measurements of anatomical VC (RM imaging) were compared to the estimate of VC in a subset of WC + Ssi subjects of this study. Means are similar (95.9 ± 11.7 and 96.7 ± 5.5 cm), the correlation between paired measurements is significant (r = 0.69, p < 0.0001) while moderate in precision (mean difference ± SD of the difference = 0.88 ± 8.9 cm).

Biochemical and Hormonal Assays

Venous blood was collected in the early morning on 12-hour fasting subjects. Total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride (TG), apolipoprotein-A1 (Apo-A1), apolipoprotein-B (Apo-B), C-reactive protein, plasma glucose, glycosyl hemoglobin type A1c (HbA1c) were determined in the Hospital's Biochemistry Laboratory. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL-C) was determined indirectly by using Friedewald's formula: \[LDL = TC - HDL + (TG/5)\]. Leptin and insulin were determined with radioimmunoassay kits (Linco Research, St. Charles, MO, USA) at the Hospital's Nuclear Medicine Laboratory. The quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) was calculated as follows: \[QUICKI = 1/(\log{fasting \text{ serum insulin (µU/ml)}} + \log{fasting \text{ plasma glucose (mg/dl)}})\] [27, 28].

Maximal Power Output (MPO)

Respiratory gas exchange measurements could not be engaged in the whole group. The level of MPO (Watts) was measured during a progressive to maximal cycle ergometer protocol using a Monark cycle ergometer (Ergomeca 839E; Monarko, Varberg, Sweden). The pedalling rate was targeted at 75 pedal rotations per minute. The exercise test began with a 4-min warm-up at 20 W, and then the work rate was increased by 10 W every minute. The test stopped when power output and pedalling rate could not be sustained by the subject despite energetic verbal encouragement. MPO was taken as that of the last 1-min stage before exhaustion. The mean total duration of the exercise stress was 20 min (range 12–33 min). To check that a true maximal exercise was achieved, heart rate (from ECG) at the end of exercise and blood lactate concentration (from a fingertip, YSI 2300; YSI Inc. Life Sciences, Yellow Springs, OH, USA) at the
3rd minute of recovery were measured. The metabolic link between MPO and maximal oxygen uptake obtained by respiratory gas exchanges was verified by 40 measurements in a subset of overweight subjects of this study. The linear relation was highly significant (r = 0.92), leading to the following equation: MPO (W) = 74.9 \( VO_2 \) (l/min) - 14.8, with MPO ranging from 120 to 274 W (mean = 193 W) and \( VO_2 \) ranging from 1.70 to 3.84 l/min (mean = 2.77 l/min).

**Statistical Analysis**

The data are expressed as mean ± SD. The analyses were conducted with Statview software (version 5.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Distribution statistics were calculated to determine whether assumptions of normality were acceptable (i.e. skewness and kurtosis < 2.0). For TGs, glucose, insulin, leptin and QUICKI, the hypothesis of compatibility to normality could not be accepted. Therefore, these data were log transformed for statistical analysis; the raw data are presented in the text and tables for more meaningful comparisons. From WC measurements on the 169 subjects, a control group was constituted with the subjects having WC < 94 cm (WC−; n = 47); the remaining subjects with WC ≥ 94 cm constituted the abdominal obesity group (WC+; n = 122). This was followed, using the median calculated from MPO expressed relative to FFM on all subjects, by subdividing each group as low (WC-L, WC+L) or high (WC-H, WC+H) aerobic capacity. This methodological choice permitted to compare subjects with similar low MPO and high MPO in the normal-weight and overweight groups where MPO was determined with the same methodology.

Categorical variables were compared using ANOVAs associated with post-hoc tests. Correlation coefficients were calculated to assess the degree of association between variables. To control that a given significant linear correlation is not due to another variable, partial correlation coefficients were calculated between MPO, leptin, QUICKI and VC. This partial correlation procedure allows verifying a given correlation between two variables when other correlated factors are considered as constant.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the 169 men that took part in this study. The sample was separated in two groups with respect to WC (cutting off = 94 cm). The sticking difference between the 2 groups is present for morphological, metabolic (except total cholesterol), and exercise parameters.

The median for MPO calculated from the 169 subjects was 3.06 W/kg\(_{FFM}\). After each group (WC−, WC+) was subdivided in 2 subsets with median MPO as separating limit, aerobic fitness is found similar between WC-L and WC+L (1 factor ANOVA; table 2). However, it can be pointed out that in WC+, fitter subjects are significantly characterized by lower adiposity, either by WC or FM estimates, lower leptin, and higher QUICKI than low-fit subjects (table 3).

Due to the expected significant correlation between FM and either leptin (r = 0.77; p < 0.0001) or QUICKI (r = −0.57; p < 0.00001) the expression of blood leptin concentration and QUICKI relative to FM permits to illustrate that the difference between WC+L and WC+H remains significant for leptin (fig. 1) and for QUICKI (fig. 2).

In line with ANOVA analysis, leptin and QUICKI, both expressed relative to FM, are significantly correlated to MPO/FFM whatever the groups were (table 4; fig. 3). In order to verify if the correlation between MPO and either leptin or QUICKI are not only due to the functional link between leptin and QUICKI (r = −0.48 and −0.38; p < 0.001 for whole group and WC+ respectively), a partial correlation analysis was conducted (table 4). Following partial correlation analysis, MPO remains correlated both to leptin after controlling for QUICKI and to QUICKI after controlling for leptin in the whole group (r = −0.28; p < 0.001 and r = 0.35, respectively; p < 0.001) and in the WC+ (r = −0.27; p < 0.01 and r = 0.21, respectively; p < 0.05) group (table 4).

Due to the fact that abdominal obesity is partitioned between visceral and subcutaneous fat, VC, introduced as controlling factor, invalidates the existent correlation between...
QUICKI/FM and MPO in WC+ (table 5). However, VC is strongly negatively correlated with QUICKI/FM ($r = -0.67; p < 0.001$), but not with leptin/FM (table 5), which illustrates the well-known worsening effect of visceral adiposity upon insulin resistance.

To summarize, the link between MPO and leptin observed in WC+ is independent of QUICKI and VC. However, the correlation between MPO and QUICKI appears to be dependent on VC.

**Discussion**

The present study illustrates that abdominal obesity (WC+) is accompanied by a lower MPO than in control subjects (WC-). The main result is that such a low MPO is statistically independently linked to both blood leptin concentration and to QUICKI, even if these parameters are expressed relative to FM.
The reliability of MPO as an indicator for peak aerobic power and, by additionally measuring peak oxygen consumption (O2peak), for aerobic PF is generally accepted when an incremental exercise is performed on a mechanically break-loaded cycle ergometer until voluntary exhaustion [29]. This general concept could be applied in our laboratory when considering the highly significant correlation obtained between MPO and VO2peak in a subset of WC+ subjects (see 'Material and Methods'). The higher MPO observed in WC- than in WC+ is significant whether expressed in gross value, relative to body weight or to FFM. 

**Table 2. Values of MPO expressed absolute (Watts) or relative to BW or FFM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MPO</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>Watts/kgBW</td>
<td>Watts/kgFFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean values ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-L (n = 11)</td>
<td>142 ± 19</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.64 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-H (n = 36)</td>
<td>206 ± 35</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.58</td>
<td>3.91 ± 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC+L (n = 72)</td>
<td>147 ± 24</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.28</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC+H (n = 50)</td>
<td>202 ± 26</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-hoc tests after ANOVA**

| WC-L versus WC-H | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 |
| WC-L versus WC+L | p = 0.54    | p < 0.01   | p = 0.40   |
| WC-L versus WC+H | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.01   | p < 0.0001 |
| WC-H versus WC+L | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 |
| WC-H versus WC+H | p = 0.49    | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.001  |
| WC+L versus WC+H | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 |

**Table 3. Analysis of variance through the 4 subgroups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WC, cm</th>
<th>FM, kg</th>
<th>Leptin, µg/l</th>
<th>QUICKI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean values ± SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-L (n = 11)</td>
<td>87.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>18.2 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC-H (n = 36)</td>
<td>87.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>18.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC+L (n = 72)</td>
<td>105.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>31.4 ± 7.6</td>
<td>11.5 ± 6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC+H (n = 50)</td>
<td>100.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>28.8 ± 6.1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Post-hoc tests after ANOVA**

| WC-L versus WC-H | p = 0.89    | p = 0.78   | p = 0.99   | p = 0.27 |
| WC-L versus WC+L | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.001 |
| WC-L versus WC+H | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.001 |
| WC-H versus WC+L | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 |
| WC-H versus WC+H | p < 0.0001  | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 | p < 0.0001 |
| WC+L versus WC+H | p < 0.001   | p < 0.03   | p < 0.001  | p < 0.01  |
studies did not distinguish such a difference of PF between normal-weight and overweight adult subjects when expressed in terms of MPO or \( V_{O2}\text{peak} \) [30, 31]. Two biases could have been introduced in our results. First of all, it could be questioned if WC+ subjects really achieved maximal exercise. By reference to heart rate at exhaustion, it would be doubtful. However, the mean blood lactate concentration (>10 mmol/l) is a strong parameter of maximal metabolic stress. As the exercise tests were conducted by the same professionals in a random order for the 169 subjects, at least the ‘near to maximal’ exercise stress could

---

**Fig. 1.** Blood leptin concentration relative to fat mass in the different subgroups (for abbreviations see text). Bars denote standard deviation. Statistical comparisons of means were obtained from ANOVA and post-hoc tests.

**Fig. 2.** QUICKI relative to fat mass in the different subgroups (for abbreviations see text). Bars denote standard deviation. Statistical comparisons of means were obtained from ANOVA and post-hoc tests.
be retained. Secondly, in our procedure of recruitment the calling paper was directed toward WC+ subjects in the aim to constitute an exercise training study. In fact, most of the responders were overweight but a lower part was constituted of WC− subjects who were also interested in participating to an exercise training program. They were previously more active than their overweight counterparts. It is likely that lean sedentary subjects do not respond while overweight sedentary subjects do due to medical preventive reasons.

In order to investigate the aerobic power of muscle tissue, we choose to focus the statistical analysis on MPO relative to FFM [32]. At least in middle-aged men, studies with expression of PF relative to FFM are scarce. In a recent study on 14 young adult monozygotic twin pairs, where one twin presented an acquired obesity with regard to his normal-weight co-twin, $V_{\text{O2peak}}$ and MPO were found to be lower in the overweight twin when expressed relative to FFM [33]. Consequently these results suggest that the FFM unit, in which muscle represents almost half, would be less aerobically powerful in WC+ than in control subjects. A lower mitochondrial function could be related to the decreased PF in WC+ both at the skeletal muscle [34] and myocardial [35] levels. With respect to maximal exercise, central cardiocirculatory dysfunction may be more suspected than skeletal muscle dysfunction. Interestingly, weight loss either by exercise training [36] or by diet [37] can improve cardiac function in obese adults. The clinical consequences of obesity and PF and their respective

**Fig. 3.** Correlations of **A** blood leptin concentration and **B** QUICKI both expressed relative to fat mass, with maximal power output (W/kgFFM).
interactions are complex. While WC could have been described as a better predictor of cardiovascular disease than aerobic fitness [38], it remains that improvement in PF decreases the incidence of metabolic syndrome [39] and the risk of cardiovascular diseases [40].

Within the WC+ group, plasma leptin, insulin resistance index and FM were significantly more elevated in the low (WC+L) than in the high (WC+H) PF group. Differences for leptin and QUICKI remain significant when expressed relative to FM. This finding raises the question of whether the level of PF may interact with the regulatory metabolic mechanisms in which leptin and insulin are involved.

The relationship between leptin concentrations and aerobic PF was previously presented by Kiviniemi et al. [22] but was not observed by others [23, 41]. Sabatier et al. [40] studied women and found however that the cardiovascular consequence of leptin and fatness through increased arterial pressure can be diminished by the level of PF. In the study of Franks et al. [23], PF was estimated as $V_{O2\text{peak}}$ from a submaximal exercise extrapolated to theoretical maximum heart rate (220 – age). In this same study, the physical activity energy expenditure (PAEE) was also estimated, showing a significant negative correlation with blood leptin. These results were comforted by another study by the same team with the

---

**Table 4. Simple and partial correlations between MPO and leptin or QUICKI in the whole sample or in WC- and WC+ subgroups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO/FFM</th>
<th>whole (n = 169)</th>
<th>WC- (n = 47)</th>
<th>WC+ (n = 122)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>partial r</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>partial r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leptin</td>
<td>-0.45***</td>
<td>-0.28***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leptin</td>
<td>-0.38***</td>
<td>-0.33*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.30*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leptin</td>
<td>-0.36***</td>
<td>-0.27**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Leptin and QUICKI are expressed relative to FM.
*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

**Table 5. Simple and partial correlations between MPO and leptin, QUICKI or VC in WC+ subgroup**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WC+ (n = 122)</th>
<th>MPO/FFM</th>
<th>Leptin/FFM</th>
<th>QUICKI/FFM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPO/FFM</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leptin/FFM</td>
<td>-0.36***</td>
<td>-0.26**</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUICKI/FFM</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>-0.38***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>-0.45***</td>
<td>-0.33***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.72***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.67***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.
description of the consistent link between baseline leptin and both the decline in physical activity and worsening in insulin resistance during a 5-year follow-up [24]. It is out of the scope of the present study to discuss the respective interests to use estimates of daily energy expenditure or of maximal aerobic fitness in determinants of metabolic homeostasis. We also tried to point out PAEE in the present study by a validated (against VO2peak) questionnaire procedure [42]. Questionnaires were administered for autofilling by each subject which probably leads to uncertain data capture (data not shown); this is in line with debates upon the use of self-reported questionnaires to assess physical activity [42]. Independently, the level of physical activity was also grossly interpreted during the interview preceding the medical examination. While there are significantly more active subjects in WC+H than in WC+L (and so on in the WC- subgroup, data not shown), the two classifications (PF by MPO measurement and physical activity by interview) are far from being superimposed. Nevertheless, the approach of Franks et al. [23] of measuring physical activity based on 4-day recording of heart rate in relation with an individual work rate versus heart rate relationship (Flex Heart Rate technique) likely brings higher precision in PAEE determination [23, 24].

To summarize, the present results add further evidence in line with the relation that may exist between blood leptin concentration and either PF or PAEE in adults with visceral adiposity.

Several studies have emphasized the involvement of leptin in muscle metabolism. In normal-weight subjects, leptin stimulates fatty acid oxidation and glucose uptake in muscle tissue [17]. These effects are mainly direct and controlled by the muscle’s AMP-activated kinase (AMPK) but also indirect secondarily through central pathways [43]. In obese subjects with excess muscle fatty acids, where there is leptin resistance which is characterized by an increase in circulating leptin, AMPK-induced fatty acid oxidation is impaired [18], leading to accumulation of triacylglycerol and long-chain fatty acids in the muscles which is responsible for ceramide synthesis and the appearance of insulin resistance [44]. In addition, through AMPK activation and the following deacetylation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactivator 1α (PGC-1α), leptin participates to mitochondrial biogenesis in muscle [45]. The concept of selective leptin resistance in muscle, which is associated with central adiposity, can lead to a decrease in the oxidative capacity of skeletal and myocardial muscle [35]. During exercise, this basal metabolic environment may disturb the capacity of skeletal muscle to reach maximal power and myocardium to permit maximal cardiac output. These data suggest that muscle leptin resistance may be one of the factors which are implicated in the decline of PF observed in subjects with central obesity.

The relation between insulin sensitivity and aerobic PF which is illustrated in the present study was previously shown in healthy men [6, 46] and in overweight and obese healthy subjects [47]. These studies outlined the earlier observation of the increased risk for developing impaired fasting glucose and type 2 diabetes in subjects with low physical fitness [48]. It was also demonstrated that VO2peak and concomitantly oxidative muscle capacity were strong independent predictors of whole body insulin sensitivity [49]. As stated above, AMPK being activated by exercise and training [50] is a potential contributor to insulin sensitivity through PGC-1α regulation [51].

In the present study, plasma leptin and QUICKI in the WC+ subgroup appear statistically independently related to PF. This would suggest that cellular mechanisms supporting these links are partly different. One postulated related factor may be visceral obesity (VC) which statistically explains the relation between QUICKI and PF, but does not contribute to the link between leptin and PF. On the other hand, independently of PF, in WC+ subjects who are characterized by high levels of leptin, for a given total body fat an increase in the visceral fat accumulation would be a factor contributing to insulin resistance, as explained by the significant negative simple or partial correlations between QUICKI/FM and VC. This is in line with
Klöting et al. [52] who demonstrated, in the case of morbid obesity, that subjects may be insulin-sensitive with reference to other insulin-resistant subjects with similar BMI, FM and leptin, only due to the fact that the former ones have lower visceral fat.

In conclusion, in a group of middle-aged men, subjects identified by WC ≥ 94 cm demonstrate a lower MPO than those without abdominal obesity. MPO of these subjects with abdominal obesity is related both to blood leptin concentration and QUICKI, independently of total body fat. Visceral area statistically contributes to explain the link between MPO and QUICKI, but not between MPO and leptin. Further studies will be necessary to establish the effects of exercise training on these interrelationships.
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