Receptor Subtypes and Signal Transduction Mechanisms Contributing to the Estrogenic Attenuation of Cannabinoid-Induced Changes in Energy Homeostasis
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Abstract
We examined the receptor subtypes and signal transduction mechanisms contributing to the estrogenic modulation of cannabinoid-induced changes in energy balance. Food intake and, in some cases, O₂ consumption, CO₂ production and the respiratory exchange ratio were evaluated in ovariectomized female guinea pigs treated s.c. with the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 or its cremophor/ethanol/0.9% saline vehicle, and either with estradiol benzoate (EB), the estrogen receptor (ER) α agonist PPT, the ERβ agonist DPN, the Gq-coupled membrane ER agonist STX, the GPR30 agonist G-1 or their respective vehicles. Patch-clamp recordings were performed in hypothalamic slices. EB, STX, PPT and G-1 decreased daily food intake. Of these, EB, STX and PPT blocked the WIN 55,212-2-induced increase in food intake within 1–4 h. The estrogenic diminution of cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia correlated with a rapid (within 15 min) attenuation of cannabinoid-mediated decreases in glutamatergic synaptic input onto arcuate neurons, which was completely blocked by inhibition of protein kinase C (PKC) and attenuated by inhibition of protein kinase A (PKA). STX, but not PPT, mimicked this rapid estrogenic effect. However, PPT abolished the cannabinoid-induced inhibition of glutamatergic neurotransmission in cells from animals treated 24 h prior. The estrogenic antagonism of this presynaptic inhibition was observed in anorexigenic proopiomelanocortin neurons. These data reveal that estrogens negatively modulate cannabinoid-induced changes in energy balance via Gq-coupled membrane ER- and ERα-mediated mechanisms involving activation of PKC and PKA. As such, they further our understanding of the pathways through which estrogens act to temper cannabinoid sensitivity in regulating energy homeostasis in females.

Introduction
Estrogens exert far-reaching effects on mammalian biology – from reproduction to energy homeostasis. While many actions require gene transcription and protein synthesis to alter cell function via the activation of estrogen receptor (ER) subtypes ERα and ERβ (which takes hours to days), it is now well recognized that estrogenic signaling can take place on a much more rapid timescale (minutes [1]). These rapid effects usually involve the activation of membrane-delimited ERs, which...
triggers enzymatic cascades [e.g. phospholipase C (PLC)/protein kinase C (PKC)/phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, protein kinase A (PKA)] that ultimately lead to protein phosphorylation and altered enzyme activity and ion channel function. The elucidation of these rapid events has greatly bolstered our understanding of how estrogens impact cell proliferation, learning and memory, energy balance, sexual behavior and feedback on the reproductive axis [1–4].

Recent evidence indicates that several ER receptor subtypes contribute to these rapid, membrane-delimited actions. For example, ERα and ERβ can associate with the plasma membrane in a caveolin-dependent fashion [2, 5]. Membrane-initiated signaling via these receptor subtypes in neurons of the hippocampus and hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (ARC) leads to increased PKC and mitogen-activated protein kinase activity, decreased L-type Ca^2+ channel activity, as well as altered cAMP response element binding protein (CREB)-mediated phosphorylation [2, 3, 6, 7]. In hypothalamic astrocytes membrane-associated ERα activation elicits Ca^2+ mobilization from intracellular stores [8], whereas in endothelial cells it stimulates the activity of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) [5]. ERβ is tethered to the plasma membrane in hippocampal neurons where its activation attenuates Ca^2+ channel-dependent CREB phosphorylation [2], in primary hypothalamic cultures where it mediates neuronal NOS stimulation and subsequent nitric oxide production [9], and in hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons where its activation rapidly increases neuronal firing by reducing Ca^2+ -dependent K^+ currents [10]. There is also the Gq-coupled membrane ER (mER) that disrupts the coupling between metabotropic, Gq-coupled receptors (e.g. μ-opioid receptors, GABA_B receptors) from their G protein-gated, inwardly rectifying K^+ (GIRK) channels in proopi melanocortin (POMC) neurons [1]. These GIRK channels are localized presynaptically and postsynaptically, and allow for K^+ efflux that hyperpolarizes the plasma membrane – ultimately leading to reduced neuronal excitability and transmitter release [1, 11]. In addition, there is another G protein-coupled estrogen receptor, GPR30, that appears to play a role in the estrogen stimulation of prolactin secretion from the anterior pituitary [12], and in desensitizing the 5HT1A Receptor-mediated increase in oxytocin and adrenocorticotropic secretion from the hypothalamus [13]. Finally, the plasma membrane ER, termed ER-X, is expressed in the neocortex during the neonatal period and following ischemic or neurodegenerative insult in the adult [14], but little to no evidence exists that would suggest a role for this receptor in regulating hypothalamic or homeostatic function.

It has long been known that estrogens reduce energy intake [15, 16] and core body temperature [17, 18] in both humans and rodent animal models. This would indicate an integral role of estrogens in modulating energy homeostasis. We have previously demonstrated that cannabinoids influence energy homeostasis in a sexually differentiated fashion – with male rodents being more sensitive to the appetite-modulating and temperature-regulating effects of cannabinoid CB1 receptor activation and ablation than their female counterparts [19]. This can be attributed to differential, pleiotropic actions of cannabinoids at anorexigenic ARC POMC synapses [19]. In addition, estrogens rapidly further diminish cannabinoid responsiveness in females as manifested by (1) a blockade of the hyperphagia, (2) a reduction in the magnitude and duration of the hypothermia, (3) a downregulation of hypothalamic cannabinoid CB1 receptors, and (4) a prevalent disruption of the presynaptic inhibition of excitatory glutamatergic input onto ARC POMC neurons, caused by activation of the CB1 receptor [7, 20, 21]. Given the multiplicity of ER subtypes that conceivably could alter cell function in a rapid timescale, we wanted to determine those which contribute to the powerful estrogenic impediment to these cannabinoid-induced changes in energy balance. In addition, we endeavored to elucidate the signal transduction pathways responsible, at least in part, for uncoupling the CB1 receptor from effector systems that ultimately serve to decrease glutamatergic transmission at ARC POMC synapses. To this end, the non-selective endogenous steroid 17β-estradiol (E2), the ERα selective agonist PPT, the ERβ-selective agonist DPN, the Gq-coupled mER agonist STX and the GPR30 agonist G-1 were evaluated for their ability to attenuate basal and/or cannabinoid receptor agonist-induced increases in cumulative and daily food intake. We also examined whether these estrogenic agents could curtail cannabinoid-induced decreases in glutamatergic synaptic input onto ARC POMC neurons, and whether this could be attributed to estrogenic modulation of the expression and activity of PKA and PKC.

Materials and Methods

Animals
All animal procedures described in this study have been approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Western University of Health Sciences. Female Topeka guinea pigs (350–600 g; 36–94 days of age) were obtained from Elm Hill Western University of Health Sciences. Female Topeka guinea pigs (350–600 g; 36–94 days of age) were obtained from Elm Hill Western University of Health Sciences. Female Topeka guinea pigs (350–600 g; 36–94 days of age) were obtained from Elm Hill Western University of Health Sciences. Female Topeka guinea pigs (350–600 g; 36–94 days of age) were obtained from Elm Hill Western University of Health Sciences.
Breeding Labs (Chelmsford, Mass., USA) or bred in our animal care facility, kept under controlled temperature (21–23°C) and light (12 h on, 12 h off), and provided with food and water ad libitum. They were ovariectomized under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia (33 and 6 mg/kg, respectively; s.c.) supplemented with 2% bitum. They were ovariectomized under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia (33 and 6 mg/kg, respectively; s.c.) supplemented with 2% bitum.

### Drugs

Unless otherwise indicated, all drugs were obtained from Tocris Cookson, Inc. (Ellisville, Mo., USA). For the feeding, moxifloxacin 4–8 days prior to experimentation.

**Breeding Labs (Chelmsford, Mass., USA)** or bred in our animal care facility, kept under controlled temperature (21–23°C) and light (12 h on, 12 h off), and provided with food and water ad libitum. They were ovariectomized under ketamine/xylazine anesthesia (33 and 6 mg/kg, respectively; s.c.) supplemented with 2% bitum.

#### Table 1. Information table for the compounds utilized in the present study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Dose (in vivo studies)</th>
<th>Concentration (in vitro studies)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estradiol benzoate</td>
<td>EB</td>
<td>modified endogenous steroid</td>
<td>sesame oil</td>
<td>10 µg s.c.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4,4′- (4-propyl-[1H]-pyrazole-1,3,5-triy1)triphenol</td>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>ERα agonist</td>
<td>sesame oil (in vivo)/punctilious ethanol (in vitro)</td>
<td>200 µg s.c.</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-propionitrile</td>
<td>DPN</td>
<td>ERβ agonist</td>
<td>sesame oil</td>
<td>500 µg s.c.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X isomer of the non-steroidal diphenclycylamide made by Sandra Tobias</td>
<td>STX</td>
<td>G_c-coupled mER agonist</td>
<td>propylene glycol (in vivo)/DMSO (in vitro)</td>
<td>3 mg s.c.</td>
<td>10 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+-)1-([3αR*,4S*,9bS*]-4-(6-bromo-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinolin-8-yl)ethanone</td>
<td>G-1</td>
<td>GPR30 receptor agonist</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>400 µg s.c.</td>
<td>3 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R)-(+)-[2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinoethyl)pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoaxin-6-yl]-1-naphthalenylmethanone</td>
<td>WIN</td>
<td>cannabinoid CB1/CB2 receptor agonist</td>
<td>cremephor/ethanol/saline (in vivo)/DMSO (in vitro)</td>
<td>0.1 mg/kg s.c.</td>
<td>0.03–10 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide</td>
<td>AM251</td>
<td>CB1 receptor antagonist</td>
<td>cremephor/ethanol/saline (in vivo)/DMSO (in vitro)</td>
<td>3 mg/kg s.c.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17β-estradiol</td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>endogenous ER agonist</td>
<td>punctilious ethanol</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17α-estradiol</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>inactive enantiomer</td>
<td>punctilious ethanol</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(25S)-2,6-diamino-N-[[1-(1-oxotridecyl)-2-piperidinyl]methyl]hexanamide dihydrochloride</td>
<td>NPC</td>
<td>PKC inhibitor</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>30 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9R,10S,12S)-2,3,9,10,11,12-hexahydro-10-hydroxy-9-methyl-1-oxo-9,12-epoxy-1H-diindolone[1,2,3-fg3,2′,1′-kl]pyrrolo[3,4-i][1,6]benzodiazone-10-carboxylic acid, hexyl ester</td>
<td>KT 5720</td>
<td>PKA inhibitor</td>
<td>DMSO</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>300 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(G-I) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to a stock concentration of 4 mg/ml. The non-steroidal diphenacylcyamide STX was dissolved in propylene glycol to a stock concentration of 30 mg/ml. N-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-iodophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxamide (AM251) and (R)-(+)-[2,3-dihydro-5-methyl-3-(4-morpholinylmethyl)pyrrolo[1,2,3-de]-1,4-benzoaxin-6-yl]-1-naphthalenylmethanone mesylate (WIN 55,212-2) were dissolved in cremephor/ethanol/0.9% saline (1/1/18; v/v/v). For the electrophysiological experiments involving the perfusion of drugs in hypothalamic slices, tetrodotoxin (TTX) with citrate (Alomone Labs, Jerusalem, Israel) and 6-imino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-pyridazinebutanoic acid hydrobromide (SR 95531) were dissolved in ultrapure H2O to stock concentrations of 1 and 10 mM, respectively. E2 (Steraloids), 17α-estradiol (Steraloids) and PPT were dissolved in punctilious ethanol to stock concentrations of 1, 1 and 10 mM, respectively. WIN 55,212-2, STX, G-1, (2S)-2,6-diamino-N-[[1-(1-oxotridecyl)-2-piperidinyl]methyl]hexanamide dihydrochloride (NPC 15437) and (9R,10S,12S)-2,3,9,10,11,12-hexahydro-10-hydroxy-9-methyl-1-oxo-9,12-epoxy-1H-diindolone[1,2,3-fg3,2′,1′-kl]pyrrolo[3,4-i][1,6]benzodiazone-10-carboxylic acid, hexyl ester (KT 5720)
Feeding and Metabolic Studies

The feeding studies were performed using a Comprehensive Lab Animal Monitoring System (CLAMS; Columbus Instruments, Columbus, Ohio, USA) as previously described [20]. Briefly, food intake was monitored around the clock for 7 days in females ovariectomized 4 days prior to acclimation to the CLAMS chambers, and 7 days prior to the start of the monitoring (fig. 1). Our CLAMS also was upgraded recently to measure O₂ consumption, CO₂ production and the respiratory exchange ratio, and therefore equipped to monitor energy expenditure. Each morning at 8:00 a.m. the animals were injected with either the mixed cannabinoid CB₁/CB₂ receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 (0.1 mg/kg; s.c.) or its propylene glycol vehicle (0.1 ml/kg; s.c.). Every other morning they were also injected either with EB (10 μg; s.c.), PPT (200 μg; s.c.) G-1 (400 μg; s.c.), DPN (500 μg; s.c.), or their sesame oil (EB, PPT, DPN) or DMSO (G-1) vehicle (0.1 ml s.c.). In experiments involving STX, animals were injected s.c. daily with either a dose of 3 mg or its propylene glycol vehicle (0.1 ml) as described previously [18]. All of the doses of the estrogenic and cannabinoid ligands used in these in vivo studies were ascertained after careful consideration of the literature, or derived from our previously published work [18, 20, 22, 23]. A time line for the execution of these studies is provided in figure 1.

Quantitative PCR

To determine the effect of CB₁ receptor antagonism on the expression of the PKCδ isofrom and the PKA type I regulatory subunit α (PKA RIIα) in the ARC, some animals were treated for 7 days with either AM251 (3 mg/kg; s.c.) or its cremophor/ethanol/0.9% saline vehicle. At the end of the 7 days, the animals were decapitated and the brain rapidly removed. Three coronal slices (1 mm in thickness) spanning the rostral-caudal extent of the ARC were prepared using a guinea pig brain matrix (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, Calif., USA), and stored in RNA later (Ambion, Inc., Austin, Tex., USA) for 2–3 h. The ARC was then microdissected from 2 to 3 of these slices according to the guinea pig brain atlas generated by Tindal [24].

The primer sequences for PKCδ and PKA RIIα were synthesized by and purchased from InVitrogen (Carlsbad, Calif., USA). They were chosen by analyzing sequences originally designed, characterized and used elsewhere [25, 26] that, in our hands, met the efficiency and melting point dissociation criteria described below.

Total RNA from each sample was extracted using the RNaseasy-Micro Kit (Ambion, Inc.) as per the manufacturer’s specifications. It was then quantified with a NanoVue spectrophotometer (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, N.J., USA), and treated with DNase I (DNA free, Ambion; 37°C for 30 min) to minimize contamination by genomic DNA. SuperScript® III reverse transcriptase (200 U; Invitrogen), along with 3 μl 5× buffer, 15 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dNTP, 100 ng random hexamer primers, 40 U/μl RNaseOUT™ and 100 mM dithiothreitol (in diethylpyrocarbonate water) were used to generate cDNA from 200 ng RNA (20 μl total reaction volume). Reverse transcription was carried out as follows: 5 min at 25°C, 60 min at 50°C, 15 min at 70°C and 5 min at 4°C. The resultant cDNA was then diluted 20× with nuclease-free water (Ambion Inc.) and stored at −20°C.

For quantitative PCR, cDNA (3–4 μl) was amplified with a Power SYBR® Green master mix (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, Calif., USA) using an ABI 7300 Fast Real-time PCR machine. Standard curves for each pair of primers were generated using serial dilutions of cDNA and were used to calculate their efficiency (E) via the following relationship: \( E = 10^{(-1/m)} - 1 \), where m equals the slope of the standard curve. All of the primer efficiencies were >90%. The amplification of cDNA started with an initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 15 s (denaturing) and at 60°C for 30 s (annealing), and completed with a dissociation step for melting point analysis consisting of 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 s, 60–95°C at 1°C increments over 1 min and 95°C for 15 s. All amplification runs included the appropriate positive and negative controls as used by others, and relative quantification was performed using the comparative CT method as described in detail elsewhere [27–29].

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological recordings of ARC neurons were performed in hypothalamic slices prepared from female guinea pigs ovariectomized 6–8 days prior to experimentation as previously described [7]. Briefly, electrode resistances varied from 3–8 MΩ. Membrane currents were recorded in voltage clamp with access resistances ranging from 8–20 MΩ, and underwent analog-digital conversion via a Digidata 1322A interface coupled to pClamp 8.2 software (Axon Instruments). The access resistance, as well as the resting membrane potential and the input resistance, were monitored throughout the course of the recording. If the access resistance deviated >20% of its original value, the recording was ended. To ascertain the ER subtypes that contribute to the rapid attenuation of the cannabinoid receptor agonist-induced decreases in glutamatergic mEPSCs, cells were perfused in artificial cerebrospinal fluid in the presence of 500 nM TTX and 10 μM SR
Results

Experiment 1: Estrogenic and Cannabinoid Effects on Food Intake and Energy Expenditure

We first set out to determine the receptor subtypes responsible for the estrogenic attenuation of food intake in ovariectomized female guinea pigs. As shown in figure 2, EB (10 μg; s.c.), the ERα-selective agonist PPT (200 μg; s.c.), the Gq-coupled mER ligand STX (3 mg; s.c.), and the GPR30-selective agonist G-1 (400 μg; s.c.) all reduced total 24-hour intake. The ERβ-selective agonist DPN (500 μg; s.c.) was without effect. On the other hand, the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 (0.1 mg/kg; s.c.) stimulated food intake (fig. 3a). This hyperphagic response is associated with time-dependent decreases in O2 consumption (fig. 3b) and CO2 production (fig. 3c), with no effect on the respiratory exchange ratio (fig. 3d), and is consistent with what we have demonstrated previously [19, 20].

Experiment 2: The Rapid Modulatory Effects of ER Activation on Cannabinoid-Induced Hyperphagia

EB abolishes cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia and hypothermia [20]. As such, we wanted to see whether STX, PPT and/or G-1 could similarly attenuate the increases in appetite produced by WIN 55,212-2. As shown in figure 4a, EB produced its rapid and complete antagonism of the cannabinoid-induced increase in cumulative intake that was observed no later than 1 h after injection. STX per se decreased food intake 4 h after its injection. STX per se decreased food intake 4 h after its injection.
administration and, like EB, rapidly (within 1 h) and completely blocked the cannabinoid agonist-induced increase in food intake (fig. 4b). On the other hand, PPT also blocked the cannabinoid-induced increase in consumption, but this was not apparent until 4 h following injection (fig. 4c). By contrast, G-1 was ineffectual against the hyperphagia produced by WIN 55,212-2 (fig. 4d).

**Experiment 3: The Role of PKC and PKA in the Rapid Estrogenic Modulation of Cannabinoid-Induced Decreases in Glutamatergic Neurotransmission at POMC Synapses**

We have previously shown that estrogens dramatically attenuate the CB1 receptor agonist-induced presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic transmission at ARC POMC synapses in a rapid and sustained fashion via an ER-mediated mechanism [7, 34]. This is manifest by a marked, E2-induced diminution of the dose-dependent decrease in mEPSC frequency produced by WIN 55,212-2 (fig. 5a–d). Of the 26 cells (out of 139 in total) tested in the present study based on the criteria described in the Electrophysiology section of the Materials and Methods, 20 were immunopositive for α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH), cocaine- and amphetamine-regulated transcript (CART), or β-endorphin. The estrogenic effect is stereoselective in that transient (10–15 min) exposure of the hypothalamic slices to 17α-estradiol (100 nM) failed to replicate the impairment of the cannabinoid-induced decrease in mEPSC frequency produced by an equivalent exposure and concentration of E2 (fig. 6). Given that estrogens uncouple metabotropic, G_i/o-coupled receptors from GIRK channels in POMC neurons via a PLC/PKC/PKA pathway [1], we decided to test whether inhibition of PKC or PKA also could prevent the E2-induced impediment to the presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic neurotransmission produced by cannabinoid receptor activation. As shown in figure 7, the PKC inhibitor NPC 15437 (30 μM) completely restored the ability of WIN 55,212-2 to decrease mEPSC frequency at POMC synapses, whereas the PKA inhibitor KT 5720 (300 nM) at least partially overcame the negative modulatory effects of E2 in this regard. This is consistent with the increase in PKCδ expression and the modest, albeit statistically insignificant, elevation in PKA R1α expression seen in the ARC following treatment with the CB1 receptor antagonist AM251 (3 mg/kg; fig. 8).
Experiment 4: The Role of the G_q-Coupled mER, ERα and GPR30 in the Rapid Estrogenic Modulation of Cannabinoid-Induced Decreases in Glutamatergic Neurotransmission at POMC Synapses

To determine the receptor subtypes responsible for the ER-mediated disruption of cannabinoid-induced decrements in glutamatergic neurotransmission, we evaluated the effects of WIN 55,212-2 on mEPSC frequency in slices treated with STX (10 nM), PPT (1 μM), G-1 (3 μM) or their respective vehicle solutions. Like E_2, the transient application of STX markedly diminished the decrease in mEPSC frequency caused by WIN 55,212-2 (fig. 9, 10c). However, similar treatment with PPT was without effect (fig. 10). In addition, G-1 was unable to prevent the reduction in mEPSC frequency (fig. 10), which is in keeping with its lack of effect on the cannabinoid-evoked hyperphagia.

Fig. 4. EB (a), STX (b) and PPT (c), but not G-1 (d), negatively modulate cannabinoid-induced changes in food intake. Bars represent means and vertical lines 1 SEM of the food intake measured at 1, 2 and 4 h for 7 days. In b, animals were treated daily (8:00 a.m.) with STX (3 mg; s.c.) or its propylene glycol vehicle (0.1 ml; s.c.), and/or with WIN 55,212-2 (0.1 mg/kg; s.c.) or its cremophor/ethanol/saline vehicle. In a, c, and d, animals were subject to the same dosing regimen as described above for WIN 55,212-2 and its vehicle, and treated every other day with EB (10 μg; s.c.), PPT (200 μg; s.c.), G-1 (400 μg; s.c.) or their respective vehicle solutions (0.1 ml; s.c.). * Values of food intake measured in animals treated with WIN 55,212-2 that are significantly different (multifactorial ANOVA/LSD; p < 0.05; n = 4–7) than those measured in vehicle-treated controls. # Values from STX-, PPT-, EB- or G-1-treated animals that are significantly different (multi-factorial ANOVA/LSD; p < 0.05; n = 4–7) than those from their vehicle-treated counterparts.
Fig. 5. E₂ rapidly attenuates the cannabinoid-induced presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic input onto ARC POMC neurons. a To the left are the membrane current tracings showing the spontaneous mEPSCs recorded in a vehicle-treated ARC neuron at baseline and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2. The bottom traces represent excerpts from expanded portions of their respective upper traces that are contained within the bracket. To the right lies the cumulative probability plot illustrating the increase in interval (inverse of frequency) between contiguous mEPSCs. WIN 55,212-2 elicited an approximately 51% decrease in mEPSC frequency (2.9 vs. 6.0 Hz under basal conditions). b To the left are the membrane current tracings showing spontaneous mEPSCs in a cell perfused with 100 nM E₂ at baseline and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2. To the right is the cumulative probability plot illustrating the interval between contiguous mEPSCs that substantiates the attenuated cannabinoid effect in this E₂-treated slice. c The double labeling of the cells observed in a (top) and b (bottom). The cell in a is presumably coupled. (1) Color photomicrographs of the biocytin-streptavidin-AF488 labeling seen in these ARC neurons. (2) Color photomicrographs of the α-MSH (top) and CART (bottom) immunofluorescence in the perikarya of the cells on the left as visualized with AF546. (3) Composite overlay illustrating the double labeling in these ARC neurons. d Composite dose-response curves for the decrease in mEPSC frequency produced by WIN 55,212-2 in ARC neurons from ethanol vehicle-treated (closed circle) and E₂-treated (open circle) slices (n = 4–17). The curves were fit via logistic equation to the data points. Symbols represent means and vertical lines 2 SEM of the mEPSC frequency seen with varying concentrations of WIN 55,212-2 that were normalized to their respective control values. * Distribution of the mEPSC interval in the presence of WIN 55,212-2 that is significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05) than that observed under basal conditions.
systemically 24 h prior to experimentation likewise disrupts the decrease in mEPSC frequency [34]. And although PPT failed to rapidly attenuate this cannabinoid-induced presynaptic inhibition, the possibility certainly exists that it could do so when delivered systemically 1 day earlier – especially considering that PPT per se decreased daily food intake 24 h after administration, and abolished the cannabinoinduced increase in food intake seen at 4 h, after administration. As shown in figure 11, PPT (200 μg; s.c.) given 24 h prior to experimentation nullified the reduction in mEPSC frequency produced by WIN 55,212-2.

Discussion

Taken together, these data indicate that both the Gq-coupled mER and ERα (but not ERβ or GPR30) contribute to the rapid and sustained, estrogenic impairment of cannabinoid-induced changes in energy homeostasis. The Gq-coupled mER clearly triggers the rapid effects that occur within minutes, whereas ERα participates in the more prolonged attenuation. These conclusions are based on the following observations: (1) EB, the ERα-selective ligand PPT, the Gq-coupled mER-selective ligand STX, and the GPR30-selective ligand G-1 all decrease food intake per se, whereas the ERβ-selective agonist DPN does not; (2) the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 increases food intake, and decreases O2 consumption and CO2 production; (3) EB, STX, and PPT all antagonize elevations in intake caused by the cannabinoid receptor agonist WIN 55,212-2 (EB and STX within 1 h; PPT within 4 h), whereas G-1 does not; (4) E2 and STX, but not 17α-estradiol, PPT or G-1, rapidly impede the cannabinoid-induced presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic input onto anorexigenic POMC neurons, and (5) systemically administered PPT reversed the cannabinoid-mediated decrease in glutamatergic neurotransmission at POMC synapses.

It is well established that CBI receptor agonists stimulate appetite in humans [37, 38] and rodent animal models [19, 39, 40]. We have previously demonstrated that the cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia observed in our guinea pig animal model is associated with hypothermia [19, 20], and here we show that the increase in appetite correlates with reductions in two additional indices of energy expenditure – namely, O2 consumption and CO2 production. Despite a previous report that cannabinoids do not modulate appetite via POMC neurons in transgenic Aβ mice [41], the previous work from our lab, as well as others, has revealed that CBI receptor activation decreases

---

Fig. 6. 17α-estradiol does not affect the cannabinoid-induced presynaptic inhibition of glutamatergic synaptic input onto POMC neurons. a Spontaneous mEPSCs in a cell perfused with 100 nM 17α-estradiol at baseline (left) and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2 (right). b The cumulative probability plot illustrating the interval between contiguous mEPSCs that substantiates the inability of 17α-estradiol to attenuate the inhibitory effect of WIN 55,212-2 on mEPSC frequency.* Distribution of the mEPSC interval in the presence of WIN 55,212-2 that is significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05) than that observed under basal conditions. c The composite bar graph illustrating the stereospecificity of the E2-induced diminution of the decrease in mEPSC frequency caused by WIN 55,212-2. Bars represent means and vertical lines 1 SEM of the cannabinoid receptor agonist-induced decrease in mEPSC frequency normalized to baseline control conditions. * Values measured in E2-treated slices that are significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis test/median-notched box and whisker plot; p < 0.05; n = 5–8) than those measured in the other treatment conditions.
**Fig. 7.** PKC and PKA inhibition with NPC 15437 and KT 5720, respectively, at least partially prevents the E$_2$-induced antagonism of cannabinoid-evoked decreases in glutamatergic input onto POMC neurons. a To the left are the spontaneous mEPSCs recorded in an ARC neuron treated with 100 nM E$_2$ and 30 μM NPC 15437 at baseline and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2. To the right is the cumulative probability plot illustrating the ability of NPC 15437 to restore the cannabinoid receptor agonist-induced decrease in mEPSC frequency. * Distribution of the mEPSC interval in the presence of WIN 55,212-2 that is significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05) than that observed under basal conditions. b To the left are the spontaneous mEPSCs in a cell perfused with E$_2$ and 300 nM KT 5720 at baseline and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2. To the right is the cumulative probability plot illustrating the interval between contiguous mEPSCs that demonstrates the lack of steroid effect in the KT 5720-treated slice. * Distribution of the mEPSC interval in the presence of WIN 55,212-2 that is significantly different (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, p < 0.05) than that observed under basal conditions. c The double labeling of the neurons observed in a (top) and b (bottom). (1) refers to the biocytin-streptavidin-AF488 labeling, (2) depicts the β-endorphin immunofluorescence visualized by AF546, and (3) shows composite overlay. d This composite bar graph illustrates the efficacy of NPC 15437 and KT 5720 to block the E$_2$-induced impairment of the cannabinoid-evoked decrease in mEPSC frequency. Bars represent means and vertical lines 1 SEM of the normalized decrease in mEPSC frequency in neurons from slices treated with E$_2$, either alone or in combination with NPC 15437 or KT 5720. * E$_2$-induced changes in the cannabinoid-evoked decrease in mEPSC frequency that are significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis test/median-notched box and whisker plot; p < 0.05; n = 5–15) than those measured in the other treatment conditions.
excitatory glutamatergic neurotransmission at POMC synapses [34, 42, 43]. Furthermore, the activation of central melanocortin receptors not only decreases appetite but also increases energy expenditure and uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1) expression in adipose tissue [44, 45]. These latter findings are paralleled by the fact that blockade of central CB1 receptors not only increases excitatory glutamatergic neurotransmission at POMC synapses, but also increases energy expenditure and UCP1 expression in adipose tissue [34, 43, 46]. It would appear, therefore, that cannabinoids-induced effects on energy balance can be attributed, at least in part, to the inhibition of POMC neuronal activity and melanocortin signaling.

It is also well known that estrogens decrease energy intake in rodents [15, 47], and in human females consumption is at its lowest during the periovulatory phase of the menstrual cycle – when estrogen levels are at their highest [16]. In the present study, both STX and PPT (but not DPN) decreased intake, which is consistent with previous studies demonstrating a role for the Gq-coupled mER and ERα (but not ERβ) in regulating energy balance [18, 22, 48]. Most interestingly, we observed that both STX and PPT abolished the cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia – albeit on a different time course. STX completely blocked the hyperphagic response within 1 h, whereas PPT took up to 4 h following administration. The comparatively slower response following ERα activation with PPT is somewhat puzzling. ERα is expressed in the plasma membrane of cultured rat hypothalamic neurons [49], and a 1-hour incubation with either E2 or PPT decreases K+ -stimulated neuropeptide Y secretion in neuronal cell models (i.e. mHypoE-42 and mHypoA-2/12) [50]. However, the vast majority of ERα expressed in POMC neurons from ovariec-tomized female guinea pigs is localized to the nucleus and/or cytoplasm [27]. Coupled with the fact that E2 itself is required for the induction of ERα trafficking to the plasma membrane [49], this may help to explain the comparative lag time between the response to Gq-coupled mER and ERα activation. In any case, our data point to an important interaction between the Gq-coupled mER and the ERα in negatively modulating cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia. Interestingly, we found that while GPR30 activation also reduced food intake, it failed to appreciably influence cannabinoid receptor-mediated increases in consumption. GPR30 colocalizes with neurosecretory cells in the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus, including corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) neurons, and participates in desensitizing 5HT1A receptor-mediated increases in adrenocorticotropic secretion from the anterior pituitary [13]. Given that CRH neurons represent an important anorexigenic component of the hypothalamic feeding circuitry [51], it appears that GPR30 activation suppresses appetite via this neuroanatomical substrate. This suggests that mechanisms other than suppression of cannabinoid signaling within the hypothalamic feeding circuitry can also contribute to the estrogenic reduction in energy intake.

In addition to abrogating the cannabinoid-induced hyperphagia and hyperthermia [20], we have previously shown that estrogens dramatically blunt the cannabinoid-induced, presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release at POMC synapses in a rapid and sustained fashion via an ER-mediated mechanism [7, 34]. Collectively, these findings are consistent with those of Riebe et al. [21], who showed that estrogens downregulate hypothalamic CB1 receptors. In the present study, we observed that this negative modulatory effect of E2 was stereoselective, as bath application of 17α-estradiol had no effect on the cannabinoid receptor-mediated decrease in glutamatergic input onto POMC neurons. Given that 17α-estradiol is the preferred ligand for ER-X [14], this would indicate that this ER subtype, as with ERβ and GPR30, is not involved in the estrogenic antagonism of the cannabinoid regulation of energy homeostasis. In addition, we discovered that inhibition of PKC completely restores the ability of cannabinoids to presynaptically decrease glutamate release onto POMC neurons, whereas inhibition of PKA is partially effective in this regard. The concentration of KT
5720 used in the present study is still highly selective for PKA despite being approximately 5× higher than the reported $K_i$ [32]. Moreover, CB1 receptor antagonism up-regulates ARC PKC$\delta$ expression, but produces only a modest, statistically insignificant increase of the expression of PKA R1/H9251. These findings would suggest that PKC plays a critical role, whereas PKA plays an ancillary role, in the estrogenic attenuation of CB1 receptor-mediated changes in energy balance.

Nevertheless, this functional antagonism of the cannabinoid system is in agreement with how estrogens rapidly uncouple metabotropic $\mu$-opioid and GABA$_B$ receptors from their GIRK channels in POMC neurons, which occurs via the activation of the G$_q$-coupled mER and the subsequent activation of a PLC/PKC/PKA pathway [1]. Our findings provide further evidence that this negative estrogenic modulation of the function of G$_{i/o}$-coupled receptors is not restricted to the postsynaptic membrane, and indeed can extend to upstream glutamatergic nerve terminals that influence the excitability of anorexigenic POMC neurons. Taking into consideration that the estrogen-induced impairment of the function of presynaptically and postsynaptically localized G$_{i/o}$-coupled receptors also involves alterations in the activity of PI3K and AMP-activated kinase [7, 28], these findings provide additional critical insight that advances our understanding of, and appreciation for, the complexity of the signal transduction mechanism(s) that underlie this process. This ER-mediated signaling that disrupts the cannabinoid regulation of energy balance may be initiated upstream of the POMC neuron – at the nerve terminal membrane of glutamatergic inputs arising from the hypothalamic ventromedial nucleus [52]. Alternatively, it could be generated postsynaptically and perhaps lead to the formation of a diffusible retrograde messenger like nitric oxide [53] that ultimately disrupts CB1 receptor/effecter coupling. Future studies will determine if this is in fact the case.

We also found that similar to E$_2$, activation of the G$_q$-coupled mER upon bath application of STX for 10–15 min powerfully disrupted cannabinoid signaling at POMC synapses. However, this was not the case for the activation of ER$\alpha$ with PPT or GPR30 with G-1. We know that the estrogenic diminution of the cannabinoid-induced decrease in glutamate release at POMC synapses is quite prolonged; lasting at least 24 h following systemic administration of EB [34]. In the present study, we found that although activation of ER$\alpha$ during bath application of PPT failed to rapidly alter this reduction in excitatory glutamatergic synaptic input, ablation of the resultant can-

![Fig. 9. STX antagonizes the cannabinoid-induced decrease in glutamatergic input onto POMC neurons. a Spontaneous mEPSCs in a cell perfused with 10 nM STX at baseline and following exposure to 1 μM WIN 55,212-2. b The cumulative probability plot illustrating the interval between contiguous mEPSCs that substantiates the ability of STX to attenuate the inhibitory effect of WIN 55,212-2 on mEPSC frequency. c Photomicrographs that illustrate the double labeling of the cell. (1) Biocytin-streptavidin-AF488 labeling. (2) α-MSH immunofluorescence visualized by AF546. (3) Composite overlay.](image-url)
nabinoïd receptor-mediated inhibition of POMC neurons was observed over the longer term upon systemic delivery 24 h prior to experimentation. This is in keeping with the time course of the effects of ER$\alpha$/H9251 activation that we saw for basal and cannabinoid-stimulated food intake.

The disparate effect of cannabinoids on energy homeostasis in males and females is consistent with numerous other reports detailing sex differences in cannabinoid-regulated biology. However, the direction of the sex difference, and how they are modulated by gonadal steroids, depends on the system being impacted. For example, female rats are more sensitive than their male counterparts to the antinociceptive effects of cannabinoids in response to noxious thermal and mechanical stimuli [54, 55], as well as the cannabinoid-induced hyperlocomotion and catalepsy [54–56]. Estradiol enhances the cannabinoid-induced antinociception, whereas testosterone attenuates the cannabinoid-induced catalepsy [57]. In addition, women are more susceptible to the cannabinoid-induced hemodynamic changes observed with the
transition between the supine and standing position [58], and are more vulnerable to the cannabinoid-induced impairment of visuospatial memory [59] than their male counterparts. Moreover, adolescent exposure to CB1 receptor agonists will differentially alter cannabinoid-induced changes in food intake, growth trajectory, locomotion, emotional reactivity and memory, as well as CB1 receptor density and agonist-stimulated [35S]GTPγS binding in the limbic system and ventral tegmental area, of males and females when evaluated in adulthood [60–62]. On the other hand, male rats are more sensitive to the stimulatory effect of the centrally administered CB1 receptor agonist CP 55,940 on the consumption of sweetened condensed milk [63]; a dose 10× higher is required to elicit an equivalent intake in females, which is congruent with the cannabinoid-induced changes in energy homeostasis that we see in the guinea pig.

Thus, it is clear that POMC neurons are a major focal point in the estrogenic regulation of energy homeostasis. Estrogens promote formation of excitatory synapses making contact with POMC perikarya [47], and upregulate the expression of GluR1–3 receptors [64]. They also increase POMC expression [27] and increase the release of POMC-derived peptides [65]. These latter effects can be attributed to the ER-mediated attenuation of the coupling of presynaptic and postsynaptic metabotropic receptors to their effector systems [1, 7, 28]. In the considerable majority of studies touting the therapeutic efficacy of cannabinoid agonists to stimulate appetite, promote weight gain, reduce nausea and relieve pain, the gender ratio of participants was markedly skewed towards men [66–69]. However, in the one study in which the gender ratio was more equitable, the ability of cannabinoids to affect changes in appetite was much less impressive [70]. Thus, it follows that the results of the present study further solidify the idea that the cannabinoid system is a critical component targeted and antagonized by estrogens, which contributes to the activation of POMC neurons and the formation of a negative energy balance, and helps to explain why females are less sensitive than males to cannabinoid effects on energy homeostasis [19].

In conclusion, these results reveal that estrogen exerts rapid, negative modulatory influences on the cannabinoid regulation of energy balance. They also uncover an important interaction between the Gq-coupled mER and EROs in suppressing basal and cannabinoid-stimulated food intake, as well as CB1 receptor-mediated activation in glutamatergic nerve terminals and subsequent inhibition of POMC neurons via activation of PKC and PKA. The Gq-coupled mER mediates the initial rapid phase, whereas
ERα participates by prolonging and sustaining the antagonism of the cannabinoid-induced hyperpahragia and signaling at POMC synapses. The data provide additional insight into the interaction between estrogen and cannabinoids in the hypothalamic regulation of energy homeostasis, as well as a heightened appreciation for the gender differences in the therapeutic efficacy of cannabinoids.
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