Comparison of Substrate Oxidation during Walking and Running in Normal-Weight and Overweight/Obese Men
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Abstract
Objective: The aim of the present study is to examine the differences in fat and carbohydrate (CHO) oxidation during walking and running between normal-weight and overweight/obese young adult men. Methods: 19 healthy, normal-weight (age = 21.9 ± 0.7 years, BMI = 22.6 ± 0.4 kg, n = 10) and overweight (age = 21.4 ± 0.6 years, BMI = 31.6 ± 1.1, n = 9) young men volunteered to participate in this study. Body composition was assessed by bioelectrical impedance. Maximal oxygen uptake and maximal fat oxidation rate were determined with indirect calorimetry by using an incremental exercise test on a motor-driven treadmill. The participants’ individual preferred transition speeds between walking and running were determined. Indirect calorimetry was used to calculate fat and CHO oxidation during the resting, walking and running tests. Results: Maximal fat oxidation rates during the graded exercise test were not significantly different between the groups. Changes in CHO and fat oxidation in the resting, walking and running tests were similar in the normal-weight and overweight groups. Conclusion: The study results suggest that with regard to changes in CHO and fat oxidation, normal-weight and overweight/obese individuals have similar responses to walking and running at preferred speeds, despite significant differences in oxygen uptake during activity and body composition.
Introduction

The global increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity is now reaching epidemic proportions in both developed and developing countries [1]. Regular physical activities, with no changes in diet, are one of the most effective methods of body fat reduction and obesity prevention [2]. Walking and running are the most important forms of physical activity and exercise, and should be encouraged in order to improve public health, given that walking and running are the activities most widely available. Also, they do not require special training or skills [3].

Carbohydrate (CHO) and fat are the most important sources of energy for the body at rest and during physical activity [4]. Walking and running are terms that describe the most preferred movement patterns at lower and higher locomotive speeds, respectively [5]. During walking and/or running activity, the contribution of fat and CHO oxidation to total energy expenditure is modulated by the intensity of physical activity [6, 7]. The greater the activity intensity, the larger the contribution of CHO oxidation to the total energy expenditure [8], while fat oxidation interactions with activity intensity and/or type seem to be more complex [9]. In addition, fat oxidation during physical activity may be affected by age [10], gender [7], body composition [11], activity duration [12], diet, and training status [13, 14]. Also, substrate oxidation rates may be different because of different physical activity types [6].

For overweight/obese individuals, the frequency, intensity, and duration of physical activity are more focussed on increasing energy expenditure than on increasing fat oxidation. However, finding the optimal exercise intensity for fat oxidation may improve weight loss and long-term control of body weight [15]. A low resting metabolic rate for a given body composition, a low rate of fat oxidation, and low levels of physical activity are risk factors for body weight gain [16]. Fat oxidation is reduced in obese individuals when measured at the whole-body level or in skeletal muscle at rest [17, 18]. The reduction in the capacity for lipid oxidation in the skeletal muscle of such individuals may be an integral component of the obese state [19]. It is known that reduced capacity for fat oxidation and inflexibility in regulating fat oxidation in obesity is related to insulin resistance [20], and obese insulin-resistant individuals have been shown to exhibit lower basal fat oxidation than those with normal weight. In the presence of increased adiposity and hyperinsulinemia, reduced fasting fat oxidation develops longitudinally, along with increased fasting CHO oxidation [21].

A number of studies comparing substrate oxidation at different exercise intensities showed that overweight/obese individuals exhibited lower fat oxidation ability and an earlier shift from lipid to CHO-derived fuel than normal-weight or physically active persons [22–25]. On the other hand, several studies have also demonstrated that total fat oxidation during exercise was greater in obese than normal-weight individuals [26–28]. Furthermore, other reports have suggested that fat oxidation during exercise was similar in overweight/obese and normal-weight individuals [29–32]. However, the effect of body composition on fat oxidation during exercise is unclear because of conflicting results from previous studies [22, 24–32]. Walking and running are the most common types of physical activities prescribed for the prevention and treatment of overweight and obesity. Determining the optimal activity type and intensity for fat oxidation in overweight/obese individuals may help regulating energy balance, increasing weight loss, and preventing weight regain. The purposes of present study, therefore, were to evaluate fat and CHO oxidation rates in normal-weight and overweight/obese young men during physical activity and to compare fat oxidation during walking and running at self-selected speeds. The study hypothesizes that the changes in fat and CHO oxidation during walking and running are different in normal-weight and overweight/obese young adult men.
Participants and Methods

Participants

Nine normal-weight and 10 overweight/obese (overweight n = 3 / obese n = 6) young men participated in the present study (table 1). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Physical Education and Sports at Selçuk University. The procedures and risks were thoroughly explained to the participants, and their written and informed consent was obtained. Participants were eligible for participation in the study if they had normal weight (BMI 18.50–24.99 kg/m²) or are overweight/obese (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²), according to the classification of the World Health Organization [1]. All participants met the following criteria: i) no participation in regular physical activity within the previous year, ii) non-smokers, and iii) no history of cardiovascular, metabolic, or respiratory diseases.

General Design

The participants came to the laboratory on 5 separate days for i) anthropometric measurements and the incremental exercise test for the determination of the maximal oxygen uptake (VO₂max); ii) the determination of the individual preferred transition speed between walking and running for the determination of the walking speed and the running speed; iii) a 45-min control test in supine position; iv) a 45-min walking test (1 km/h slower walk than the individual preferred transition speed); and v) a 45-min running test (1 km/h faster run than the individual preferred transition speed). Between the tests were at least 3 days but not more than 4 days.

Experimental Design

Participants were barefoot and in their underwear for the anthropometric measurements. Body weight was measured with a SECA scale (SECA, Hamburg, Germany), and body height with a stadiometer that was incorporated into the scale. Body composition was determined with multifrequency bioimpedance...
All participants were accustomed to walking and running on a treadmill before the beginning of the study (Cosmed S.R.L., T150E, Rome, Italy). A submaximal graded exercise test using the Modified Bruce Treadmill Protocol was conducted while expired gases were collected via facemask and analyzed (Cosmed K4b2 portable metabolic system, Cosmed S.R.L., Rome, Italy) for determination of VO₂max. The test protocol begins at a speed of 2.7 km/h at 0% grade for 3 min then progresses to 2.7 km/h at 5% grade for 3 min. After this stage, the protocol is identical to that of the Bruce Protocol [34]. The indirect calorimetry system was calibrated prior to each test according to manufacturer specifications. The criteria for achieving VO₂max were evaluated as maximum heart rate with respect to age (220 beats/min – age), VE/VO₂ value close to 30 l/min and respiratory exchange ratio (RER) greater than 1.15. It was calculated as the average oxygen uptake over the last 60 s of the test. The physical characteristics of the participants are shown in table 1.

Preferred transition speed was determined using much the same protocol as that employed by Rotstein et al. [35]. At the beginning, the treadmill speed was set at a comfortable walking speed of 4 km/h for the participant, which was increased by 0.5 km/h every minute. The participants were requested to walk as long as they were comfortable and to start running at a particular speed they felt running was more comfortable. At this point, the participant was requested by the test administrator to run for 30 s and then to walk for 30 s. These walking-running intervals were repeated until the participant was sure with his individual walk-to-run transition speed. If the participant decided to walk, the speed was increased until the participant was certain that running was preferred. After a 15-min rest, a similar procedure was performed by starting the treadmill at a relatively fast speed of 9 km/h (speed was set at 8 km/h for the overweight/obese) while the participants were running. Speed was then decreased gradually by 0.5 km/h every minute until a velocity was reached where the subject preferred to walk. This transition-walking speed was defined as the run-walk transition. The average of the walk-run and run-walk transition speed was defined as the preferred transition speed between walking and running (PTSWR) of the participant.

The participants reported to the laboratory after a 15-hour fast. They were instructed to avoid caffeine, alcohol, strenuous and exhaustive physical activity for 3 days before the experiment. Each participant performed 45 min of walking at 1 km/h slower than individual PTSWR, 45 min of running at 1 km/h faster than individual PTSWR, and 45-min control test with the participant in supine position. The expired air was measured and analyzed breath-by-breath using an automated online system, and heart rate was monitored and recorded throughout resting, walking and running tests. Each subject was tested at the same time of day (12:00–14:00 a.m.) in order to minimize the effects of diurnal biological variation.

**Indirect Calorimetry and Calculations**

Indirect calorimetry was used to estimate CHO and fat oxidation at rest and during walking and running. The calculations were performed for both the 15-min intervals during the tests and total test times. CHO and fat oxidation during the tests were calculated according to the stoichiometric equations [36] assuming that the urinary nitrogen excretion rate was negligible:

\[
\text{CHO oxidation (g/min)} = 4.55 \times \text{VCO}_2 - 3.21 \times \text{VO}_2
\]

\[
\text{Fat oxidation (g/min)} = 1.67 \times \text{VO}_2 - 1.67 \times \text{VCO}_2
\]
The relative contributions to energy expenditure from CHO and fat oxidation were calculated using the following equation [37]:

\[
\% \text{Fat} = \left( \frac{1 - \text{RER}}{0.29} \right) \times 100 \\
\% \text{CHO} = \left( \frac{(\text{RER} - 0.71)}{0.29} \right) \times 100
\]

Resting energy expenditure was calculated using the equation of Weir [38]. Average values for VO₂ and VCO₂ were calculated over the last 2 min for every stage of the modified Bruce treadmill test, and the following variables were identified: highest fat oxidation rate (Fat_max), %VO₂max at which the highest fat oxidation was observed, VO₂, and RER at the Fat_max [6].

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows (Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical evaluation of the data was accomplished by using a two- and three-way analysis of variance with repeated-measures design. The two factors were body weight classification (normal weight and overweight/obese) and repeated measures (rest, walking, running). Also, the three factors were body weight classification (normal weight and overweight/obese), test condition (rest, walking, running), and repeated measures (time intervals). When the time effect was significant in the ANOVA of repeated measures, one-way analysis of variance with post-hoc Bonferroni test was applied to identify the tests and/or times responsible for the difference. Unpaired t-tests were used to compare mean values between groups. Statistical significance was set at a p < 0.05 level, and data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean.

**Results**

The age, height, and maximum ventilation were similar in the normal-weight and the overweight/obese subjects. Body weight, BMI, body fat, fat mass, lean body mass, dry lean mass, total body water, waist-to-hip ratio, preferred transition speed between walking and running, maximal oxygen uptake, peak respiratory exchange ratio, maximum heart rate, and resting energy expenditure were significantly different between normal-weight and overweight/obese groups (p < 0.05).

Fat_max expressed in lean body mass, oxygen uptake at intensity at Fat_max (VO₂/kg at Fat_max), percentage of maximal oxygen uptake that elicited Fat_max (VO₂% at Fat_max), RER at intensity at Fat_max (RER at Fat_max), and maximum heart rate at intensity at Fat_max were not different between the groups (p > 0.05).
Changes in CHO oxidation (group-test interaction effect $F = 0.27$, group effect $F = 0.38$), fat oxidation (group-test interaction effect $F = 0.09$, group effect $F = 1.03$), and energy expenditure (group-test interaction effect $F = 0.67$, group effect $F = 1.06$), were not different between the groups ($p > 0.05$). CHO oxidations (test effect $F = 90.83$), fat oxidation (test effect $F = 79.71$), and energy expenditure (test effect $F = 297.19$) were significantly different among the resting, walking and running tests for both the normal-weight and the overweight/obese groups ($p < 0.05$). However, according to post hoc analysis, fat oxidation was not different between walking and running for both groups.

Rates of CHO and fat oxidation were significantly different among the rest, walking and running tests in the normal-weight and the overweight/obese subjects ($p > 0.05$). CHO and fat oxidation rates during the running test were higher than at rest and during walking. The changes in CHO (group effect $F = 0.88$, group-condition interaction $F = 0.74$; $p > 0.05$) and fat oxidation rates (group effect $F = 0.24$, group-condition interaction $F = 0.02$; $p > 0.05$) during the 15-min intervals in rest, walking, and running were not different between the groups. In both the groups, CHO oxidation rates in the 2nd and the 3rd 15-min intervals were significantly lower compared to the 1st interval in the walking and running (time effect $F = 16.09$, time-condition interaction $F = 9.38$; $p < 0.05$). The fat oxidation rates during walking in the 3rd 15-min interval were significantly higher than during the 2nd interval in the normal-weight group. They were significantly higher than the first and 2nd time intervals in overweight/obese group, too. Rates of fat oxidation during running were significantly different between the 3rd and 1st time intervals in the normal-weight group. However, it was significantly different among the time intervals in the overweight/obese group (time effect $F = 49.50$, group-time interaction $F = 3.96$, time-condition interaction $F = 32.67$; $p < 0.05$). Oxygen uptake during walking and running in the normal-weight group was significantly higher than in the overweight/obese group in all the time intervals (group effect $F = 72.17$, condition effect $F = 740.90$, group-condition interaction $F = 15.93$; $p < 0.05$).

### Table 3. Differences in carbohydrate oxidation, fat oxidation, and energy expenditure between normal-weight and overweight/obese participants during resting, walking and running

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>resting</td>
<td>walking</td>
<td>running</td>
<td>test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOox, g/min</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03 a</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07 b</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.16 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04 a</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.07 b</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.25 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FATox, g/min</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01 a</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02 b c</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.07 b c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02 a</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.06 b c</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.03 b c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE, kcal/min</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.11 a</td>
<td>7.24 ± 0.40 b</td>
<td>12.43 ± 0.42 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.13 a</td>
<td>7.66 ± 0.66 b</td>
<td>13.60 ± 1.00 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NW = Normal weight (n = 10); OW = overweight/obese (n = 9); CHOox = carbohydrate oxidation; FATox = fat oxidation; EE = energy expenditure.

*P<0.05; compared between the groups for the tests (two-way repeated measures analysis of variance). Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni.

a–cDifferent superscript letters in the same row indicate significant difference with exercise for variables (ANOVA with a Bonferroni post hoc test).
Table 4. Changes in carbohydrate oxidation, fat oxidation, oxygen uptake, respiratory exchange ratio, and heart rate for the normal-weight and overweight participants during resting, walking and running at 0–15, 15–30 and 30–45 minute interval.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>GxC</th>
<th>GxT</th>
<th>CxT</th>
<th>GxCxT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHOox</strong>, g/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.09*</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>75.07*</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.15&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.25&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FATox</strong>, g/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;ba&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>49.5*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>61.17*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.96*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;ba&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;bac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;/kg</strong>, ml/kg/min</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>4.21 ± 0.38</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.38</td>
<td>3.93 ± 0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.49 ± 0.12</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>21.13 ± 1.14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.97 ± 0.95&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.65 ± 0.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>72.17*</td>
<td>740.90*</td>
<td>15.93*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>14.99 ± 0.66</td>
<td>15.07 ± 0.63</td>
<td>15.24 ± 0.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>35.08 ± 0.49&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.12 ± 0.62&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>36.63 ± 0.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>26.88 ± 0.82</td>
<td>27.8 ± 1.13</td>
<td>27.57 ± 1.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RER</strong></td>
<td>Rest</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.04*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OW</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;abc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 4 continued on next page*
Discussion

The purpose of the present study was to examine the change in fat and carbohydrate oxidation rates during walking and running activities, and to determine differences between normal-weight and overweight/obese young adult men. Specifically, the aim was to determine the changes in fat and CHO oxidation over time (0–45 min). The primary findings of the present study were that, despite significant differences in body composition and aerobic capacity, there were no differences in CHO and fat oxidation rates when comparing normal-weight and overweight individuals while walking or running. Also, another important finding was that the changes in fat oxidation rates during the time intervals (15 min) in walking and running were similar in the groups.

In the present study, results with regard to resting fat oxidation differences between normal-weight and overweight/obese are consistent with those of the fasting fat oxidation at rest discussed by Blaak et al. [39]. Fasting fat oxidation significantly increased with increasing BMI category (<25, 30–35, 35–40, >40 kg/m²), whether unadjusted or adjusted for lean body mass. In addition, Ekelund et al. [40] have emphasized that resting fat oxidation in the obese is significantly greater than in normal-weight young men. The rate of fat oxidation is positively related to body fat mass and negatively related to VO₂max [41]. However, this could not be confirmed the present study. The resting fat oxidation rates are similar in normal-weight and overweight/obese young men even after correcting for body weight and lean body mass (data not shown). Similarly, other studies have reported that fat oxidation rates at rest are not affected by body fat and distribution in females [22, 27, 30].

In normal-weight individuals, fat oxidation rates are high over a large range of intensities of physical activity. Besides, at activity intensities higher than Fat max intensity, fat oxidation rates decreased markedly [42]. Maximal rates of fat oxidation have been shown to be achieved...
at activity intensities between 47–52% of maximum oxygen consumption in the general population [23]. Bogdanis et al. [25] have observed that the Fat\textsubscript{max} in overweight and sedentary men and women have a low exercise intensity (about 50% and 40% VO\textsubscript{2max}, respectively). Ara et al. [43] have reported that young male obese individuals have higher exercise intensity than normal-weight individuals for the Fat\textsubscript{max}. In contrast, the present study results show that Fat\textsubscript{max} and the corresponding exercise intensity are similar in the groups for absolute and relative lean body mass. The interindividual variation in Fat\textsubscript{max} remains largely unexplained. Also, body fatness is not a predictor for maximal fat oxidation during exercise [7].

The studies emphasize that overweight individuals need to practise physical activity at a lower intensity than normal-weight individuals [11, 22, 44]. During moderate exercise, overweight men have increased rates of fatty acid oxidation and reduced rates of CHO oxidation when compared with normal-weight men [28]. Previous studies related to the effect of body composition on fat oxidation showed conflicting results. Several studies have found that total fat oxidation during exercise was greater in obese than in normal-weight individuals [26–28]. On the other hand, other reports, comparing substrate oxidation at different exercise intensities, showed that overweight/obese people exhibited lower fat oxidation ability than normal-weight people [22, 24, 25]. However, in the present study, changes in CHO and fat oxidation rate during the resting, walking, and running tests do not differ between the overweight/obese and normal-weight groups, despite significant differences in oxygen consumption during the walking and running between the groups. Similarly, the studies by Kanaley et al. [29], Ezell et al. [30], Steffan et al. [31], and Mittendorfer et al. [32] have suggested that fat oxidation rates during exercise are similar in obese and lean individuals. Moreover, total fat oxidation in men during exercise is similar for the two obesity phenotypes (visceral fat and abdominal subcutaneous fat) [45]. Also, Geerling et al. [46] have reported that there is no relationship between body fat and fat oxidation during exercise. There are several possible reasons for these conflicting results. One possibility is that overweight/obese individuals may be a heterogeneous group of participants, some of whom may have increased or decreased metabolic efficiency and/or insulin resistance/sensitivity. The shift in substrate use during exercise may be mediated by insulin resistance rather than by body fat per se [47]. Also, conflicting results can be explained by differences in study designs, such as exercise intensity studied [42] or the age [48] and gender [49] of the subjects. Differences in exercise duration may be especially significant, because the ratio between fat and carbohydrate oxidation during exercise depends on exercise duration [50, 51]. In the present study, results demonstrate that the relative contribution of fat oxidation to total energy expenditure during walking and running (for 45 min) may increase when the duration of activity is increased. Fat oxidation during walking in the 3rd time interval is higher than in the 1st and the 2nd time intervals in both groups. Fat oxidation during running in the 3rd interval is higher than in the 1st in the normal-weight group. However, it is higher than both the 1st and the 2nd intervals in the overweight/obese.

Different activity types may affect substrate oxidation during exercise. Fat oxidation is significantly higher during running activity than during cycling at the same relative intensity [6, 14, 52]. Compared to walking, running involves increased velocities, joint range of motion, forces, muscle activity, joint movements, and joint powers [53]. In the present study, CHO oxidations, oxygen uptakes and heart rates were significantly higher during running compared with walking in both groups. Willis et al. [54] have reported that CHO oxidation rate remains very low at speeds less than preferred walking speed (4.8 km/h), and fat oxidation provides most of the energy to approach this speed. Maximal fat oxidation occurred at 6.4 km/h in normal-weight individuals. At speeds above the preferred walking speed, CHO oxidation increases and becomes the primary source of energy. A recent study by Entin et al. [55] indicates that fat oxidation rate in normal-weight individuals is not significantly
affected by walking speed (2.9–6.5 km/h). Anthropometric, kinetic, mechanical, kinematic, perceptual, and particularly energetic factors are likely to play a role in the walk-to-run transition in humans. As speed of locomotion increased to higher than the preferred transition speed, walking, compared with running, became increasingly more dependent upon CHO oxidation [56]. In the present study, fat oxidation during physical activity was not significantly different between walking and running for both groups. However, running activity may lead to slightly greater absolute fat oxidation than walking in both groups. Ganley et al. [56] reported that fat oxidation is higher during running compared with walking at all speeds (between approximately 6.70 and 8.28 km/h) in normal-weight individuals. CHO oxidation progressively rises across speeds during walking. The lack of increase in fat oxidation with walking suggests that almost all of the extra energy needed to walk at speeds higher than the preferred transition speed is met by increasing CHO oxidation. Running has a greater energy cost than walking on either a track or treadmill [57]. On the other hand, greater fat oxidation may be related mainly to the larger muscle mass involved in running compared with cycling. Muscle mass involved in walking and running activity is similar; however, this may be connected to oxygen uptake levels during physical activity.

The present study has a few limitations. First, fat oxidation during exercise may be influenced by many factors (e.g., age, gender, body composition, activity duration, activity type, diet, and training status). Although several important factors regulating substrate oxidation have been identified, it is apparent that a considerable degree of intersubject variability in substrate utilization persists and cannot be explained by the foregoing factors [58]. Also, insulin resistance was not measured, which is a known factor the balance of substrate oxidation during exercise. Second, energy balance and macronutrient composition of the diet may influence substrate oxidation rates. The participants were informed of dietary measures and content, but they were not monitored during the previous day before the tests. The third limitation is the relatively small sample size, which may have limited the study’s statistical power to detect the differences.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the results of the present study suggest that changes in CHO and fat oxidation rates during resting, walking, and running are not different between normal-weight and overweight/obese individuals. With regard to changes in CHO and fat oxidation, normal-weight and overweight/obese individuals have similar responses to walking and running at self-selected speeds, despite significant differences in oxygen uptake during activity, maximal oxygen uptake, and body composition. If running speed is slightly faster than the individual preferred transition speed between walking and running (PTSWR + 1 km/h), fat oxidation may be slightly increased in normal-weight and overweight/obese individuals. However, running is not recommended for beginners as it may lead to increased risk of injury.
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