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Abstract
Background/Aims: In a 35+-year dialysis patient, a series of spontaneous fractures and a history of severe somatic pains of unknown origin, superimposed on a history of generally adequate laboratory values, prompted an attempt at diagnosis of the cause of the condition. The patient was on a regimen of nocturnal home hemodialysis, a 4-night, 7-hour treatment. Physicians were divided on whether the cause might be neuropathic, muscular or osteopathic. Methods: A bone biopsy was conducted to evaluate the integrity of the bones. Results: The biopsy resulted in a diagnosis of osteomalacia – severe mineral depletion of the skeletal system. This was surprising because the hematologic laboratory values did not seem to indicate this. The calcium level in the dialysate was greatly increased, which was supplemented by moderate inclusion of sodium phosphate. Conclusion: The bones strengthened over time, resulting in no further fractures, an easing of general pain, and significantly improved mobility. The results demonstrate that customization of the dialysis prescription should be readily available for hemodialysis, whether treated in-center or at home.

Introduction

When my husband Joel and I were preparing for our upcoming trip to Israel, I assembled all the medical documentation needed. The package included a detailed history and physical examination (H&P). When I revisit my H&P, I am a bit amazed. This all actually happened. I do kidney dialysis for more than 38 years now and am probably more in awe of that statement than anyone who hears it. Looking at something like my H&P only magnifies that awe. On a day-day basis, however, it is not something that is first and foremost in my mind. As a friend and mentor, the late nephrologist who himself did dialysis for more than 2 decades, Dr. Peter Lundin, once said to me, 'We dialyze to live. We don’t live to dialyze'.

I survived the primitive early years of dialysis, complete with the occasional ruptured coil and my blood sprayed over the ceiling of the pediatric dialysis unit. The process of blood purification was a dicey one. Fluid loss was obtained via the ‘squeezing’ of a clamp on the venous line. The clamp would sometimes loosen and fall to the ground. Fluid removal was a little like gambling back then
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with the stakes being how I would feel afterward. Removal of toxins was limited to small molecules. Middle molecule clearance would not be addressed until years later. Water purification levels for the dialysate were not even close to the standards of the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation of today. Inter- as well as postdialytic symptoms were severe. Cramping, low blood pressure, nausea, and headaches were commonplace. The only way for me to minimize the severe effects of the dialysis disequilibrium was to dialyze in the evenings so that I could go home afterwards, go to sleep, wake up in the morning to go to high school, to go to college and then graduate school, and to go to work, as the years went by.

When I initiated dialysis treatments as an adolescent in 1975, I strictly adhered to the dietary guidelines of the time: severely restricted protein, potassium, phosphorus and sodium, and no more than 500 ml of liquid daily. As a lifelong lover of food, this was no easy task, but I rose to it, adamant to do whatever was in my power to safeguard my health. I followed the renal diet to the letter, even going so far as to weigh the protein I ate on a postage scale to comply with the 40 g of protein a day limit. Fruits and vegetables were severely cut back. I took it on as a challenge, very serious about not doing anything to endanger myself. Eventually, by studying the laboratory results, I came to the realization that I could reintroduce beloved fruits and vegetables into my diet without ill effect. If a cup of tomatoes was considered off limits as a high potassium selection. I realized that the dietitian walking around the dialysis unit uttering punitive dicta to people was not effective. Adult men and women hearing ‘No, No, No’, was not very productive.

The calcium-phosphorus balance has always been an essential part of management. The phosphate binder used in the late 70s and early 80s was aluminum hydroxide gel (Amphogel), which led to severe aluminum toxicity in my body after 7 years. A bone biopsy followed, leading to my participation in the deferoxamine (Desferal) study led by Dr. Jack Coburn at UCLA. Thankfully, it removed the aluminum from my system and fully addressed the bone pain after decades of dialysis. My body recognized the ‘normal’ cells in the membranes that line renal capillaries as abnormal. It therefore produces a destructive immune response to those ‘normal’ cells, which causes damage to transplanted kidney tissue. This was only revealed after my third attempt at transplantation.

Dialysis is thus the renal replacement therapy for me. This included 15 years of stable in-center hemodialysis, 12 years of highly successful continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, and eventually 11+ years of nocturnal home hemodialysis (including 4 great years of extended therapy with NxStage System One).

However, all the close attention to nutrition, exercise and proactive management of my care could not fully do away with the reality of living without kidney function for decades. The house I live in was showing signs of wear and tear. The accumulation of amyloid was creating new challenges. Neuropathy began and worsened over time. I experienced pain in my extremities. Was it the muscles, nerves or fascia?

Spontaneous stress fractures began occurring with disturbing regularity. A broken toe, a fractured rib, a fractured metacarpal and then… a broken right femoral neck. The pain was excruciating as the paramedics lifted me in a chair down the stairs. Less than 6 months later, follow-

### Table 1. Laboratory values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Ca, mg/dl</th>
<th>PO₄, mg/dl</th>
<th>Vitamin D, pg/dl [1,25(OH)₂D₃]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 7, 2010</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 6, 2010</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 4, 2011</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 1, 2011</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 24, 2011</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 28, 2012</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 13, 2012</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 6, 2012</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 4, 2012</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 7, 2013</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 13, 2013</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference range 8.6–10.2 2.5–5.0 31–100
ing hip surgery, a nagging pain in the center of my left shin would not subside as I struggled to walk again. I was told it could be nerve pain, or muscle pain, or neither. A simple X-ray revealed a fracture in the left tibia.

Support in a boot for the next month did not help; further displacement had occurred. X-rays revealed both a fractured left tibia and fibula. Monthly trips to the orthopedic clinic ensued. The cast was changed regularly and X-rays showed no signs of healing. A trauma surgeon wanted to insert a pin, stating only a 50/50 chance of success. ‘That’s a coin flip’, I said. He shrugged. ‘You have no other choice’. My nephrologist was horrified. If it did not work, I could be worse off.

I did have a choice, and I chose the counsel of some of the doctors who I trusted and admired most, in addition to my retired and current nephrologists.

My email search, borne from speaking around the country for more than 1 decade and developing connections with physicians who I respected, led me from Tom Golper, Robert Lockridge and Christopher Blagg to Stuart Sprague, Frederick Singer and finally to Isidro Salusky, who practices pediatric nephrology with a specialty in bone disease in my own backyard at UCLA. A dialogue amongst these excellent physicians ensued, which by no means led to consensus, but indicated that a bone biopsy was the prudent way to go. By that time, simply getting me on the table was a painful ordeal.

The bone biopsy resulted in a diagnosis of severe osteomalacia. All that undiagnosed pain was coming from the bones themselves. I was told that of all the possible etiologies, severe depletion of calcium and phosphorus was one that was treatable. The course of action suddenly seemed so simple. It was a revelation.

Vitamin D$_2$ was added to my medication, the dosage of calcitriol was increased, and more importantly, the dialysate prescription itself was changed. The amount of calcium was increased from 2.5 to 4.0 (it was slowly decreased over time to 3.0) and sodium phosphate was added to the dialysate. (I was clearing the shelves of Fleet Enema from my local pharmacy. God knows what the cashier was thinking.)

The ordeal of having two broken bones in my leg for 1.5 years (and the accompanying muscle atrophy) was finally coming to a close. After all that time in a wheelchair, I slowly transitioned to bearing weight again.

Given what I experienced, I had to ask myself a humbling question: Is there a possibility of too much dialysis? Several fine physicians have said to me, ‘There is no such thing as too much dialysis’. That may be true, but in my opinion, only in tandem with customization of the dialysate, if needed. In my case, that meant the addition of both calcium and phosphorus.

Today, I walk a fine line between too much and not enough supplementation. As I watched calcifications ap-
Dear Judy, I looked at your films and am very pleased to see progress. I don’t have to tell you that your case is an interesting one. Since we have a very well defined clinical course, serial images before and during treatment, an abundance of lab data pretreatment and pre and post dialysate modification and a bone biopsy that played a critical role in your management– I am going to write up your case and submit for publication. I certainly learned a lot from you and every nephrologist I have presented your case to has zero experience with this and no clue how to manage. It’s time for there to be a refresher course in the medical literature.

How far have we really come? As I was working on this piece, my husband and I took a 3-day trip to Santa Fe, N.Mex., USA. I arranged to do 1 dialysis treatment in a clinic there. The clinic is owned by one of the three top dialysis providers in this country and I was told that the center was brand new with state-of-the-art equipment. I had all of my information sent, which included my treatment prescription stating my use of a 3.0 Ca dialysate solution. Once my treatment was initiated at the clinic, I ran through a mental checklist with the nurse. ‘And I’m on a 3.0 Ca, right?’ The nurse looked at me and hesitated, ‘No, you’re on a 2.5 Ca dialysate solution. The dialysate is mixed in a central system and it goes to all the machines. I wouldn’t know how to give you more calcium’. I looked at her, somewhat in disbelief. ‘I didn’t even know you could change it’, she said.

In an effort to deliver a standardized service that meets certain basic criteria, something is being lost. Let us say 90% of patients may be receiving dialysis care that sufficiently addresses their needs, but for the other 10% or so, it is most definitely not the optimal dialysis prescription for them. Should this group not have the right to optimal care even if it means an individualized prescription? In fact, standard dialysate is appropriate only when customization is readily available, and not just for highly unusual patients, but for all patients who may benefit from it (and there will be many – especially in cases of potassium optimization).

If I had settled for current protocol, I may not have been here to convey these thoughts to you. I urge you to consider this as you develop care plans for your patients and reevaluate options as circumstances change for them over the years. By individualizing dialysis therapies, you just may be partnering with them to help your patients attain a higher quality of life.

This is an e-mail I recently received from my current nephrologist, Dr. Larry Froch: