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Abstract
Background: Since the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) report on antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) and suicide risk was released (2008), several studies have been published on this controversial relationship. This systematic review (SR) gives an updated approach to this health issue. Summary: We searched 6 databases. We ultimately included 11 publications: 4 cohort studies, 1 case-crossover study, 2 community case-control studies, and 4 SRs. Overall, 1 SR described studies already included; 3 studies reported a 2- to 4-fold overall increase in risk; 1 study reported an increased risk of suicide among epilepsy patients on AEDs with high risk of depression; 1 study showed a protective effect among epilepsy patients; 2 studies were conducted with patients with bipolar disorder (1 showed a protective effect, whereas the other showed a 3-fold increase in risk of suicide), and the other 3 studies reported results for single AEDs. Several biases affected the published results. Key Messages: There is no clear evidence of an association between the use of AEDs and an increased risk of suicide because of the heterogeneity in the studies at the clinical and methodological level. A future study should cover all indications for use, retrieve information from a healthcare database, and include a defined set of covariates to avoid bias.

Introduction
Antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) have been described as potential risk factors for suicidal behavior [1]. In 2008, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the USA reported a 2-fold increased risk of suicidal ideation or behavior for 11 AEDs (odds ratio, OR, 1.80, 95% confidence interval, CI, 1.24–2.66) [2].

Suicide is a serious public health concern around the world. In 2011, the prevalence rate in Europe was 13.9/100,000 persons per year [3], with the highest rates among men and older individuals, although the prevalence among adolescents and young adults has recently increased [4, 5]. These figures are even higher among people with epilepsy; the reported lifetime prevalence rate of suicide and suicide attempts are between 5 and 14.3% [6]. Psychological autopsy studies have demonstrated that 90% of suicide victims suffer from a psychiatric condition at the time of death [7].
The following trends and observations led to this systematic review (SR): (1) the high and widespread use of AEDs to treat epilepsy and other conditions including off-label indications [8–10]; (2) the use of new AEDs among the elderly [11, 12]; (3) the fact that AED users have the highest risk of suicide within their population age group [3], and (4) the potential public health implications of the association between AEDs and suicide. The aim of this review is to systematically summarize the available evidence from randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and observational studies on the association between AEDs and suicide.

Methods

Data Sources

We searched PubMed (1980–April 2012), the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects (1980–February 2012), PsycINFO (1980–February 2012), and ClinicalTrials.gov (April 5, 2012). The search strategy included MeSH terms and free-text words. We combined the terms ‘anti-epileptic drugs’ and each of the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification level 4 and 5 drugs for N03A (version 2012) with the terms ‘suicide’, ‘intentional self-murder’, ‘killing oneself’, ‘hastened death’, ‘subintended death’, ‘miscalculation’, ‘fatal self-harm’, ‘suicide intent’, ‘suicide attempt’ and ‘suicide behavior’, using the Boolean operator AND. We included studies published in any language mastered by the authors P.F., E.B., M.S. and L.I. – English, any Romance language, and Swedish. We also electronically searched the following peer-reviewed journals: Neuropsychopharmacology, CNS Drugs, Neurology, all of the Lancet group publications, Science Direct, and the Wiley Online Library. In addition, we reviewed the reference lists from the included studies. Two of the three authors we contacted provided additional information.

Study Selection

We aimed to identify RCTs and observational studies related to any type of AED that included suicidality (defined as completed or attempted suicides) as a primary or secondary outcome. Studies that included suicidal ideation or deliberate self-harm with no intention to die or unknown intention as outcomes were only included if the authors also collected information on completed or attempted suicides, as defined by Posner et al. [13].

P.F. screened the titles and abstracts yielded by the bibliographic search, and E.B. screened the above-mentioned journals electronically to assess whether they met the eligibility criteria. We retrieved the full-text article if an abstract was selected or if there was uncertainty regarding the eligibility of a study. The inclusion criteria were RCTs or observational studies, and a population aged 18 years or older. The reference group was placebo or no treatment. We excluded case reports and studies conducted with data from spontaneous report databases.

The search on ClinicalTrials.gov was limited to the trials that used a random allocation method, had a safety-related outcome and were limited by age (≥18 years). We excluded ongoing trials.

Results

We initially included 10 publications. See figure 1 for the numbers of studies that were screened, assessed for eligibility and included in the final analyses, as well as the reasons for exclusion; 1 prospective cohort study [15] had flaws in its statistical results and was therefore excluded. Since this search was conducted, a new SR [16] and a new-user design study [17] have been published and included in this SR. Ultimately, we included 11 published studies. For a description of the 11 included studies and the cohort study excluded, see table 1.

Gibbons et al. [18] conducted 2 population-based prospective cohort studies between 2000 and 2006 –1 study involving patients with bipolar disorder reported a risk of suicide for any AED of 0.88 (95% CI 0.72–1.08), and another study [19] which included patients who had filled one prescription of gabapentin showed an effect of this AED on suicide of 0.93 (95% CI 0.76–1.14). Gabapentin on patients with bipolar disorder in this study showed a protective effect (OR 0.62, 95% CI 0.41–0.94). In both studies, the measurement of the exposure did not account for compliance, dosage and duration of treatment, and it focused on any use over a time span of 1 year. This methodology may have led to nondifferential outcome misclassification. Suicide may have been underreported, resulting in nondifferential outcome misclassification. Not all potential confounders were considered, although in the study of the bipolar patients, the authors adjusted for suicide attempts in the year before the diagnosis.

Sondergård et al. [20] found an increased risk of suicide associated with one prescription of any AED among patients with a severe bipolar disorder (OR 3.30, 95% CI 2.17–4.99); however, they did not consider previous suicide attempts or other co-treatments in the model.
attempt to control for confounding by indication they adjusted for readmission and the use of lithium as a marker of bipolar disorder severity. The number of prescriptions was a proxy measure for the duration of treatment. They did not consider dosage or compliance.

In the case-crossover analysis of Olesen et al. [21], the authors found a 2-fold increased risk of suicide with the use of AEDs (OR 1.84, 95% CI 1.36–2.49). There was a potential for nondifferential exposure misclassification (as an average daily dose was calculated instead of use of...
Table 1. Description of the main characteristics of the 9 studies included and the cohort study excluded

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome of interest</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
<th>Adjusted effect measure</th>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andrade-Machado [15], 2011</td>
<td>All patients with a first diagnosis of epilepsy at a tertiary hospital in Cuba (n = 131)</td>
<td>Monotherapy use for at least 1 week: AEDs: PHB, PHT, CBZ, VPA, LTG, TPM and PRM</td>
<td>Suicide risk, suicide attempts (n = 36), and depressive episodes; total events of interest = 228</td>
<td>Uncontrolled seizures, psychiatric comorbidity, past history of suicidal behavior or psychiatric disorders</td>
<td>Suicide attempts</td>
<td>Exposure misclassification: exact treatment duration unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Differential outcome misclassification: unclear definition of a suicide attempt; ascertainment of suicidal thoughts and attempts were assessed by psychiatrists involved in the study and who were not blinded to the exposure or the hypothesis of the study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual confounding: several scales were used to evaluate psychiatric, neurological and neuropsychological condition of each patient; no explanation on how the results of these evaluations were categorized; it seems that the variables were dichotomous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Several ORs and 95% CIs seem wrongly reported (see italicized ORs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Pugh [17], 2012 | Cohort study with a new-user design | 2,430,286 individuals 65 years or older receiving Veterans Health Administration care between fiscal years 2004 and 2006: (1) 90,263 exposed to AEDs; (2) 2,056,911 not exposed to AEDs; (3) 282,914 (11%) excluded because of chronic or multiple AED use or incomplete data | Individuals receiving 1 prescription for any of the AEDs in the Veterans Health Administration pharmacy claims database AEDs included: PHB (n = 5,289, 5.9%), PHT (n = 4,136, 4.6%), CBZ (n = 2,976, 3.3%), VPA (n = 5,833, 6.5%), GBP (n = 66,725, 76.2%), LTG (n = 815, 0.9%), LEV (n = 6,56, 0.7%), OXC (n = 169, 0.19%), TGB (n = 53, 0.06%), TPM (n = 1,516, 1.7%), PGB (n = 85, 0.09%), ZNS (n = 32, 0.04%) | Suicidal ideation, suicide and self-inflicted injury defined by ICD-9-CM codes in inpatient and outpatient data files the year after meeting inclusion criteria; it included deliberate self-harm with unknown suicidal intention | Suicide events: exposed to AEDs, n = 92; not exposed to AEDs, n = 240 | Any AED: HR = 3.90, (2.93–5.19) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residual confounding as depression and prior suicide-related behavior remained unbalanced between those exposed and unexposed to AEDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-differential misclassification of exposure as treatment adherence, intensity and dosage were not considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | Non-differential misclassification of suicide as diagnostic codes were not validated |
| | Although a ‘wash-out’ period of 1 year, these new-users could be noncompliant prevalent users: no sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of the model |
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Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome of interest</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
<th>Adjusted effect measure</th>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gibbons [19], 2010</td>
<td>131,178 patients receiving at least 1 prescription of GBP who were enrolled in a health plan 1 year before and after the prescription was filled</td>
<td>GBP Exposure risk period was 360 days, regardless of the length of actual exposure and of the duration of the prescription</td>
<td>Suicidality was defined as ICD-9 codes E950–959. It included deliberate self-harm with unknown suicidal intention n = 456 events before the prescription and n = 453 after the prescription For GBP monotherapy, n = 17 before the prescription and n = 9 after the prescription</td>
<td>Age, gender and other drugs (other AEDs, antidepressants, antipsychotics and lithium) Suicide attempts to the year before the start of GBP Age, gender and comorbid diagnoses</td>
<td>Comparison before and after starting treatment Overall effect of GBP: ERR = 0.93 (0.76–1.14) All psychiatric diagnoses: ERR = 0.73 (0.59–0.70) Bipolar disorder (2.9%): ERR = 0.62 (0.41–0.94) Major depressive disorder (19.2%): ERR = 0.65 (0.52–0.82) Epilepsy (1.1%): ERR = 0.83 (0.34–2.04) Pain disorder (82.2%): ERR = 0.99 (0.76–1.14) Schizophrenia (0.5%): ERR = 0.74 (0.41–1.35) Other psychiatric disorder (24.3%): ERR = 0.69 (0.55–0.88) GBP monotherapy: ERR = 0.53 (0.16–1.73)</td>
<td>Residual confounding: comorbidity is not adjusted for Misclassification of exposure: treatment adherence, intensity, or dosage not considered Confounding by indication Non-differential outcome misclassification Conflict of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
<td>47,918 patients with bipolar disorder (ICD-9 code) enrolled in the same healthcare plan at least 1 year before and after the index date (illness diagnosis): 3 groups: (1) 13,385 any AED; (2) 11,207 no medication; (3) 25,432 no AED and no lithium</td>
<td>Any of 11 AEDs, AED only (11 AEDs: GBP, VPA, FBM, LTG, LEV, OXC, PGB, TGB, TPM, ZNS, CBZ) Comparison groups: (1) no AEDs or lithium; (2) no CNS drugs</td>
<td>Suicide attempts after treatment with AEDs vs. no treatment GBP: ERR = 1.16 (0.66–2.05) VPA: ERR = 0.72 (0.51–1.02) LTG: ERR = 0.85 (0.62–1.16) OXC: ERR = 0.98 (0.62–1.56) TPM: ERR = 1.87 (1.22–2.87) CBZ: ERR = 2.37 (1.21–4.67) Any AED: ERR = 0.68 (0.57–1.08) Any AED only: ERR = 0.19 (0.08–0.47)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-differential exposure misclassification Potential selection bias: the characteristics of patients with bipolar disorder were not specified Potential non-differential outcome misclassification as suicide may be underreported Confounding by indication Other confounders of interest not considered: comorbidity Conflict of interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sondergård [20], 2008</td>
<td>5,926 patients with a diagnosis (ICD-8 or ICD-10 codes depending on the year of diagnosis) of mania, mixed episodes or bipolar disorder at discharge from psychiatric ambulatory, hospital or community centers, between 1995 and 2000; total of 18,557.2 person-years; 75.6% readmitted</td>
<td>Lithium and AEDs, defined by the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification (N03A, mainly VPA, LTG and OXC); it excluded barbiturates and clonazepam The number of prescriptions was analyzed as a proxy for treatment duration</td>
<td>Suicide (ICD-10 code); it included deliberate self-harm with unknown suicidal intention n = 51 (55 under AED treatment)</td>
<td>Adjusted for age, gender and readmission Corrected for additional treatment with lithium AEDs (any N03A, mainly VPA, OXC, LTG) 1 prescription (vs. none): OR = 3.30 (2.17–4.99) ≥2 prescriptions (vs. none): OR = 0.91 (0.64–1.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-differential exposure misclassification Confounding by indication Non-differential outcome misclassification: difficult to separate the effect of time from the effect of the drug on suicide after hospital discharge Non-differential outcome misclassification Residual confounding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome of interest</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
<th>Adjusted effect measure (95% CI)</th>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
<td>Nationwide register-based study between 1997 and 2006</td>
<td>Cohort analysis (secondary data source: several Danish registries)</td>
<td>Suicide events: ICD-10 codes X60–X84 on the National Causes of Death Register; it included deliberate self-harm with unknown suicidal intention n = 422 were on AEDs at the time of suicide n = 365 were only on AEDs at this specific time</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>Overall AED: OR = 1.84 (1.36–2.49) Clonazepam: OR = 2.01 (1.25–3.25) VPA: OR = 2.08 (1.04–4.16) LTG: OR = 3.15 (1.35–7.34) PHB: OR = 1.96 (1.02–3.75) GBP: OR = 2.20 (0.85–5.83) CBZ: OR = 0.48 (0.21–1.12) OXC: OR = 0.84 (0.30–2.32) PHT: OR = 0.37 (0.03–4.44) TPM: OR = 2.72 (0.23–32.78) Clobazam: OR = 0.59 (0.05–7.43)</td>
<td>Non-differential exposure misclassification, as an average daily dose is used instead of prescribed daily dose Potential for reversal causation bias as during the case time period subjects may have presented symptoms that may have led to the prescription of AEDs Confounding by changes in indication or the severity of the disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
<td>5,130,795 participants between 1988 and 2008</td>
<td>Community case-control study (secondary data source: THIN database)</td>
<td>Suicide events (completed suicide, attempted suicide, intentional self-inflicted injuries plus suicide) n = 8,212 (464 completed suicides) Diagnostic codes (code classification system not reported) Positive predictive value of 97% for suicide-related events and 87% for completed suicides; the outcome assessor was blinded to the exposure</td>
<td>Age, duration of disease, previous use of AED, lithium, antipsychotic or antidepressants, history of alcohol abuse, mental disorder and chronic disease score</td>
<td>Overall use of AEDs on suicide events in patients with no epilepsy, depression or bipolar disorder: OR = 2.57 (1.78, 3.71) Epilepsy: OR = 0.59 (0.35–0.98) Depression only: OR = 1.65 (1.24–2.19) Bipolar disorder only: OR = 1.13 (0.35–3.61) Epilepsy and depression: OR = 1.24 (0.56–2.72) CBZ: OR = 1.35 (1.02–1.79) GBP: OR = 0.49 (0.45–1.77) LTG: OR = 1.07 (0.58–1.98) LEV: OR = 0.70 (0.12–4.00) PGB: OR = 0.24 (0.03–2.17) TPM: OR = 0.52 (0.15–1.78) VPA: OR = 1.44 (0.99–2.08)</td>
<td>Non-differential exposure misclassification Non-differential outcome misclassification: suicide is underreported in the THIN database Confounding by indication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome of interest</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
<th>Adjusted effect measure (95% CI)</th>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
<td>Community case-control study (secondary data source: General Practice Research database)</td>
<td>Patients with a diagnosis of epilepsy or nonfebrile seizures registered 1 year at General Practice Research database who received at least 1 AED prescription, n = 44,300</td>
<td>Antiepileptics categories: (1) barbiturates (PHB, PRM, methylPHB); (2) conventional AEDs (CBZ, VPA, PHT, ESM, acetazolamide); (3) new AED with high risk of depression (LEV, TGB, TPM, VGB); duration of each prescription was calculated Current (14 days before index date), recent (15–183 days), past exposure (184–365 days) and nonuse (no AED prescription the year before the index date – this is the reference group)</td>
<td>Suicidal events (completed, attempted suicide, and self-harm without clear suicidal intention)</td>
<td>Type of epilepsy (undefined, generalized, partial, partial and generalized), treatment with benzodiazepines and psychiatric comorbidity before cohort entry (history of self-harm, depression treated with and without antidepressant), psychic disorders, mania, anxiety disorder, borderline personality disorder, other personality disorders, alcohol dependence/abuse and other substances dependence</td>
<td>Current use: New AED (high risk of depression): OR = 3.08 (1.22–7.77) New AED (low risk of depression): OR = 0.87 (0.47–1.59) Conventional AED: OR = 0.74 (0.53–1.03) Barbiturates: OR = 0.66 (0.25–1.73) CBZ: OR = 0.83 (0.57–1.20) VPA: OR = 0.68 (0.56–1.01) PHT: OR = 0.67 (0.42–1.08) ESM: OR = 1.31 (0.17–10.26) LTG: OR = 0.93 (0.49–1.76) GBP: OR = 0.70 (0.18–2.75) LEV: OR = 6.42 (1.24–33.36) TPM: OR = 2.42 (0.54–10.77) VGB: OR = 2.44 (0.52–11.48) Recent use: OR = 1.18 (0.81–1.72) Past use: OR = 0.85 (0.47–1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fountoulakis [16], 2012</td>
<td>Systematic review and narrative synthesis</td>
<td>Search in 1 database (MEDLINE)</td>
<td>CBZ, VPA, PRM, GBP, TGB, TPM, ZNS, ESM, PRM Comparator: placebo or any other AED or lithium</td>
<td>Any kind of suicide-related issues not specified what the authors mean by suicidal behavior</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Lieshout [25], 2011</td>
<td>Systematic review and meta-analyses of mood stabilizers as a group with RCTs of efficacy</td>
<td>Search in 6 databases</td>
<td>Lithium, VPA, CRZ, TGB, TPM, GBP, benzodiazepines, and typical antipsychotics fixed or flexible dosages</td>
<td>Suicidal behavior (all noted and reported cases of suicide attempts and completions) n = 1,916 (6 studies)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mood stabilizer monotherapy CBZ, 0 events: OR = not estimable LTG 1/127 versus 2/65 events: OR = 0.26 (0.02–2.77) VPA, 0/13 vs. 0/12 events: OR = not estimable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Outcome of interest</th>
<th>Factors adjusted for</th>
<th>Adjusted effect measure (95% CI)</th>
<th>Study quality (potential sources of bias)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **FDA**  
**systematic review [2]**, 2008  
**RCTs on efficacy**  
**Mean age:** 43 years (AED), 43 years (placebo)  
**Female:** 56% (AED), 54% (placebo) | 199 clinical trials: n = 27,863 AEDs n = 16,029 placebo  
**RCTs, first period of cross-over trials with at least 30 patients**  
**Age range:** ≥5 years  
**Mean age:** 45 years (AED), 43 years (placebo) | CRZ, VPA, FBM, GBP, LTG, LEV, OXC, PGB, TGB, TPM, ZNS  
**Suicide events**  
**Completed suicide:** n = 6 (AED) vs. 0 (placebo)  
**Suicide attempts:** n = 30 (AED) vs. 8 (placebo)  
**Preparatory acts toward suicide:** n = 3 (AED) vs. 1 (placebo)  
**Suicidal ideation:** n = 67 (AED) vs. 38 (placebo)  
**Only the most critical event per patient**  
**Primary endpoint:** suicidal behavior and ideation (suicidal behavior was defined as the secondary endpoint plus suicidal thought)  
**Secondary endpoint:** suicidal behavior (i.e. completed suicide or suicide attempt or preparatory acts toward suicide) | Primary analyses: several covariates were collected but we do not know which one was in the model  
Sensitivity analyses were conducted for zero-event trials; between trial heterogeneity of the effect measure and the duration of the treatment (post hoc)  
Confounders examined: person-time analyses to adjust for differences in duration of treatment and demographics | Primary endpoint: suicidal behavior or ideation  
Overall all AEDs: OR = 1.80 (1.24–2.66)  
CBZ: OR = 0.65 (0.08–4.42)  
VPA: OR = 0.72 (0.29–1.84)  
FBM: not determined  
GBP: OR = 1.57 (0.12–47.66)  
LTG: OR = 2.08 (1.3–4.40)  
LEV: OR = 2.75 (0.62–19.36)  
OXC: OR = 1.91 (0.15–56.33)  
PGB: OR = 1.89 (0.41–8.58)  
TGB infinite | Various selection bias  
Ascertainment bias of adverse events  
Confounding by previous suicide behavior  
Potential for heterogeneity as in epilepsy patients AEDs were mainly used as an adjunct therapy to other AEDs; the majority of participants used AEDs for indications other than epilepsy and mental illnesses |
| **Premkumar [26], 2006**  
**Cochrane’s Schizophrenia Group Register**  
**5 RCTs included, of 22 studies; 3 had unclear allocation concealment procedures**  
**Participants with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder**  
**Follow-up:** 12 weeks; 25% of the patients withdrew early from the study (unrelated to adverse effects)  
**Total participants:** n = 537  
**Age range:** 30–40 years | LTG as adjuvant (100–400 mg/day) vs. placebo adjuvant  
**Adverse effects:** suicide attempts, n = 217 (1 RCT)  
**Suicide ideation, n = 429 (2 RCTs)** | None | Suicide attempt:  
RR = 2.97 (0.12–72.18)  
Suicide ideation:  
RR = 1.05 (0.15–7.06) | Various selection bias  
Ascertainment bias of adverse effects  
Selection bias: 25% of patients withdrew from trials  
Short duration (12 weeks) |

PHB = Phenobarbital; PHT = phenytoin; CBZ = carbamazepine; VPA = valproic acid; LTG = lamotrigine; TPM = topiramate; PRM = primidone; GBP = gabapentin; LEV = levetiracetam; OXC = oxcarbazepine; ZNS = zonisamide; FBM = felbamate; PGB = pregabalin; TGB = tiagabine; ESM = ethosuximide; VGB = vigabatrin; EDD = estimated daily dosage; HR = hazard ratio; ERR = event rate ratio; RR = relative risk.
prescribed daily dose) and for confounding by changes in indication or the severity of the risk of suicide over time. The authors did not state the reason for the time window they selected and failed to include sensitivity analyses for different time exposure windows. There is also a potential for reverse causation bias. The cohort analysis was not considered in this review as the reference drug was carbamazepine.

There were 2 community-based case-control studies. On the one hand, Arana et al. [22] reported an association between suicide and the use and nonuse of AEDs in 11 cohorts and concluded that the increased risk was dependent on the underlying disease. On the other hand, they also reported an increased risk of suicide in patients on AEDs without epilepsy, depression or bipolar disorder (OR 2.57, 95% CI 1.78–3.71). The authors reported that 17% of these patients had a pain-related diagnosis. In the subgroup analyses, comparing current users and nonusers of AEDs, the current use of AEDs provided a protective effect for patients with epilepsy alone (OR 0.59, 95% CI 0.35–0.98); however, patients with depression alone had an increased risk of suicide (OR 1.65, 95% CI 1.24–2.19). Conversely, in patients with bipolar disorder, the use of AEDs seemed uneventful (OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.35–3.61). Andersohn et al. [23] showed that only AEDs with high risk of depression were associated with an increased risk of suicide in epilepsy patients (OR 3.08, 95% CI 1.22–7.77). In both studies [22, 23], the results could be biased by confounding by indication. Furthermore, there was a possibility of nondifferential outcome misclassification because suicides may have been underestimated. Residual confounding from the type of epilepsy was also a possible explanation for the results in the study of Andersohn et al. [23] because the code-defined diagnoses for type of epilepsy were not validated. The small numbers of cases and controls could have also decreased the power of the study to detect an effect, particularly for individual drugs. In the study of Arana et al. [22], the authors excluded all individuals with a familial or personal history of suicide, which could have led to an overall underestimation of the risk of suicide.

Pugh et al. [17] conducted a study with in- and outpatients aged 65 years and older. Demographic, psychiatric comorbidity and chronic pain variables were used to construct a propensity score to control for confounding in the model. The authors reported a hazard ratio of 3.90 (95% CI 2.93–5.19) for any AED use. The authors did not assess the effect of prevalent users on the results. Except for levetiracetam, marketed in 2004, all the other AEDs have long been on the market. Thus, some of the patients included may not have been naive to AEDs. The authors developed a propensity score model and gave a c-statistic value of 0.66. The c-statistic tells us how well the model with the observed covariates predicted the probability of receiving an AED. The c-statistic usually ranges between 0.5 (chance classification) and 1 (perfect classification) [24]. However, Pugh et al. [17] did not provide the distribution of the propensity scores among the AED users and nonusers, which would have been more informative of the distribution of the confounders between groups [24]. Thus, it was difficult to assess whether there was any error in their estimation. In addition, there was the potential for nondifferential misclassification of suicide.

Three SRs [2, 25, 26] included randomized trials of efficacy; suicide was collected as a potential adverse event in these trials. The FDA’s meta-analysis [2] reported an increased risk of suicide for all AEDs (OR 1.80, 95% CI 1.24–2.66). Van Lieshout and MacQueen [25] and Premkumar and Pick [26] reported on the effects of lamotrigine on suicide in patients with bipolar disorder and depression (OR 0.26, 95% CI 0.02–2.77) and with schizophrenia (OR 2.97, 95% CI 0.12–72.18). The results of all these 3 SRs could have suffered from an ascertainment bias of adverse events, even though the FDA’s meta-analyses used a standardized protocol to detect and assess potential suicide events [2]. In the FDA’s meta-analysis, suicide was described post hoc by the sponsor of the drug. In addition, there were differences in the duration of treatment and treatment discontinuation between the placebo and treatment arms, with higher rates of discontinuation in the drug arm and a longer duration in the placebo arm.

The review by Fountoulakis et al. [16] concluded that there were not enough data to confirm the association between an increased risk of suicide and AEDs as a group. With regard to individual drugs, they concluded that lamotrigine and topiramate may increase the risk of suicide, whereas carbamazepine and valproic acid were protective. However, this review searched a single bibliographic database. It included all population subgroups except patients with mood disorders, any type of comparator except studies that only compared AEDs and lithium, and any type of suicidal behavior. The authors restricted the type of studies to those with a naturalistic design, database studies and prospective trials, without further specifications. They did not assess the quality of the included studies.

Concerning individual drugs, studies have shown an increased risk of suicide for patients taking phenobarbital (1 study [21]), phenytoin (1 study [17]), carbamazepine (1 study [22]), valproic acid (3 studies [17, 21, 22]), la-
### Table 2. Effect measure (95% CI) by drug and by subgroup of population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General population</th>
<th>Epilepsy</th>
<th>Mental illness</th>
<th>Other disorder</th>
<th>Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All antiepileptic drugs</td>
<td>3.90 (2.93–5.19)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.08–0.47)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.84 (1.36–2.49)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy only:</td>
<td>0.59 (0.35–0.98)</td>
<td>1.65 (1.24–2.19)</td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 2.57 (1.78–3.71)</td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010 (by indication)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy and depression:</td>
<td>1.24 (0.56–2.72)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.35–3.61)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEDs with high risk of depression:</td>
<td>3.08 (1.22–7.77)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEDs with low risk of depression:</td>
<td>0.87 (0.47–1.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80 (1.24–2.66)</td>
<td>3.53 (1.28–12.1)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.95–2.45)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.81–4.76)</td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008 (by indication)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.88 (0.72–1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 prescription vs. none:</td>
<td>3.30 (2.17–4.99)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sondergård [20], 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenobarbital</td>
<td>1.65 (0.40–6.74)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.96 (1.02–3.75)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.66 (0.23–1.90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenytoin</td>
<td>5.33 (1.55–18.3)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.51–1.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.37 (0.03–4.44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.67 (0.42–1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamazepine</td>
<td>1.19 (0.30–4.68)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.48 (0.21–1.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 1.35 (1.02–1.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.83 (0.57–1.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 (0.08–4.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valproic acid</td>
<td>15.44 (9.4–25.4)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.51–1.02)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.08 (1.04–4.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 1.44 (0.99–2.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 (0.56–1.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72 (0.29–1.84)</td>
<td></td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine</td>
<td>36.6 (15.9–84.5)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.62–1.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.15 (1.35–7.34)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 1.07 (0.58–1.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93 (0.49–1.76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26 (0.02–2.77)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Van Lieshout [25], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.08 (1.30–4.40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.97 (0.12–72.18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Premkumar [26], 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate</td>
<td>6.83 (1.9–24.51)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.22–2.87)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.72 (0.23–32.78)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 0.52 (0.15–1.78)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.42 (0.54–10.77)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.53 (1.21–5.85)</td>
<td></td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
motrigine (3 studies [2, 17, 21]), topiramate (3 studies [2, 17, 18]), gabapentin (1 study [17]) and levetiracetam (2 studies [17, 23]). However, a similar number of studies demonstrated a protective effect – valproic acid (1 study [23]) and gabapentin (1 study [19]) – or no effect – phenobarbital (2 studies [17, 23]), phenytoin (2 studies [21, 23]), lamotrigine (5 studies [18, 22, 23, 25, 26]), topiramate (2 studies [22, 23]), gabapentin (5 studies [2, 18, 21–23]), levetiracetam (3 studies [2, 22]) and oxcarbazepine (3 studies [2, 18, 21]) – of these AEDs on suicide.

Table 2 shows the effect measures and the corresponding 95% CIs grouped by population subgroup and/or indication for use and AEDs.

### Discussion

Since the publication of the FDA alert on the risk of suicide associated with 11 AEDs, several observational studies [27, 28], as well as reviews and expert opinions [29–32], have attempted to disentangle this controversial relationship. We ultimately reviewed 11 studies that reported findings on the effects of AEDs on suicide compared to a placebo or no treatment; 3 studies [2, 17, 21] reported a 2- to 4-fold overall increased risk of suicide with AEDs as a group. For epilepsy, the FDA meta-analysis [2] showed an increased risk of suicide, whereas Arana et al. [22] did not find an increased risk of suicide for patients with epilepsy, but did find an increased risk of suicide in depressive patients. However, the close relationship between epilepsy and other neurological and psychiatric disorders has determined the use of AEDs for different indications [33]. Andersohn et al. [23] showed that the risk of suicide in epilepsy patients depended on whether the AEDs had a high or low risk of depression. Concerning mental illness, Arana et al. [22] showed an increased risk of suicide among patients with depression, but not among patients with bipolar disorder. Sondergård et al. [20] showed that any AED could increase the risk of suicide in patients with bipolar disorder under specialty out- and inpatient care. Gibbons et al. [18] showed a protective effect of AEDs for the same type of patients, although this study included the outpatient healthcare sector and as it required a 1-year follow-up of patients to be included, patients who committed suicide during this time period might have been excluded. In the study of Arana et al. [22] it seemed that the effect of AEDs on bipolar patients was uneventful. For indications other than epilepsy, depression and bipolar disorder, Arana et al. [22] showed a 3-fold increased risk of suicide.

For Pugh et al. [17] and Sondergård et al. [20] the use of AEDs may be a marker of disease severity, as patients in hospital have an increased risk of suicide attempts. Moreover, in Pugh et al. [17], depression and previous suicidality were more prevalent among patients exposed to AEDs.

### Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General population</th>
<th>Epilepsy</th>
<th>Mental illness</th>
<th>Other disorder</th>
<th>Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin</td>
<td>2.56 (1.96–4.16)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.76–1.14)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.34–2.04)</td>
<td>Pugh [17], 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.16 (0.66–2.05)</td>
<td>Gibbons [18], 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.20 (0.83–5.83)</td>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70 (0.18–2.75)</td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.57 (0.12–47.66)</td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam</td>
<td>8.23 (1.41–48.11)</td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 0.70 (0.12–4.0)</td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.42 (1.24–33.36)</td>
<td>Andersohn [23], 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.75 (0.62–19.36)</td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxcarbazepine</td>
<td>0.98 (0.62–4.56)</td>
<td>No epilepsy, no depression, no bipolar disorder: 0.70 (0.12–4.0)</td>
<td>Arana [22], 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.84 (0.30–2.32)</td>
<td>Olesen [21], 2010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.91 (0.15–56.33)</td>
<td>FDA [2], 2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to AEDs. Confounding by indication could partly explain their results. In the study of Olesen et al. [21], during the case time period an increased risk of suicide could precede the prescription of an AED. Several studies presented in this review [18, 20, 21] did not adjust for previous suicidal behavior, which has been reported as the most significant risk factor for attempted and completed suicides [34]. Gibbons et al. [18] adjusted for suicide attempts in the year before the diagnosis of bipolar disorder. Andersohn et al. [23] reported that they adjusted for prior suicidal behavior, but the extent of the adjustment was unclear. The same issue applied in the adjustment for the type of epilepsy. Residual confounding could have masked the effect of an association in all of the observational studies we included. In the FDA’s meta-analysis [2], the epilepsy patients took the AED under study as an adjuvant to other AEDs, which may indicate more severe disease. Suicide in this group of patients could be related to the ‘forced normalization’ phenomenon [35].

Another potential flaw in all the studies presented in this review is the nondifferential misclassification of suicide which was assumed to be a limitation in all healthcare database studies. Theoretically, nondifferential disease misclassification would bias the effect estimate towards the null, which may cause a problem for interpreting the results of studies reporting a null or very weak association between AEDs and suicide [36]. There was no description of how adverse events were collected or how causality of an adverse event to the drug was assessed in the clinical trials reviewed in the included SRs. In the FDA’s meta-analysis [2], adverse events were measured retrospectively based on the patient’s spontaneous reports.

The dosage of the treatment and the compliance could not be estimated in several observational studies [17, 18–23]. This fact could introduce nondifferential exposure misclassification. Andersohn et al. [23] did calculate the duration of the prescription minimizing this type of bias. The nondifferential misclassification of AEDs could bias the effect estimate towards the null. Furthermore, many studies [17–19, 21] focused on ‘ever use’ of an AED over a long time span, which may also have biased the effect estimate towards the null.

This review showed that the effect estimates were heterogeneous across populations or by indication. An explanation for these contradictory findings could be, for example, that the patients on AEDs represented a subgroup of patients at particularly high risk of suicide, and AEDs would serve as a marker for the severity of disease.

The main strength of this SR is that we included studies that had a placebo or non-treatment group as the reference group. The inclusion of randomized and observational studies resulted in a broad overview of the relationship between AEDs and suicide. Another strength of this study is the standardized narrative synthesis. In an attempt to assess clinical heterogeneity we grouped the study results by population subgroup or by indication. Because of the few numbers of studies we could not group by type of study. Comparing the results between studies is difficult because of the differences in the definition of the exposure or outcome as well as the confounders adjusted for in the statistical model. In addition, we developed a checklist to assess the studies we included in this SR of adverse effects. Although this checklist needs to be validated, it is a step toward assessing the quality of any type of study included in such SRs.

Even though we searched in several bibliographic databases, we did not search EMBASE, which is a complementary bibliographic database to the PubMed database. We did not attempt to search gray literature. Hence, there is potential for publication bias. Moreover, we did not identify any clinical trials, although they were in our inclusion criteria. However, we assessed the effect of AEDs on suicide using SRs that included randomized trials of AED efficacy. This problem was the result of the difficulty in searching the bibliographic databases for adverse events in RCTs on efficacy. It is unlikely that clinical trials include any terms related to assessment of adverse events in their titles, abstracts or keywords.

In an attempt to overcome some of the flaws detected in the published studies we believe that a new study should be conducted retrieving the information from a large healthcare database because suicide is a rare event. The exposure to AEDs should be as detailed as possible to account for the duration of the exposure. In addition, to avoid outcome misclassification, the study should try to link the database with a mortality register to better capture the causes of death, or search for cases in the database using free words. Alternatively, a review of a sample of medical charts to validate the diagnoses could be conducted to ensure the detection of all potential cases. Due to the close relationship between several neuropsychiatric disorders and suicide, and the perils of confounding by indication, all of the diagnostic codes for the covariates relevant to the study should also be validated. We propose a set of confounders: epilepsy (type, age at onset, monotherapy or polytherapy), mood disorders, schizophrenia, neuropathic pain or other indications including off-label use, antipsychotics, other central nervous system drugs, per-
sonal history of previous suicide attempts, and socioeco-
nomic status. Age and gender should be considered po-
tential effect modifiers. Ideally, the severity of the disease
encompassed as confounders should be thoroughly assessed,
directly or through a proxy variable such as referrals to
the hospital or to specialty care. In addition, any new RCT
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Conclusions

There is no clear evidence to confirm or rule out an
association between the use of AEDs and suicide because of
the heterogeneity at the clinical and the methodological
level.

Most of the studies considered an overall effect of
AEDs as a single class effect. This assumption may need to
be revisited in light of the different effects of the different
AEDs on mood.
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