Agonists of Peroxisome-Proliferator Activated Receptor-Gamma Reduce Renal Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury
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Abstract
Background/Aims: Recent evidence indicates that peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) agonists protect against ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury. Here we investigate the effects of the PPAR-\(\gamma\) agonists, rosiglitazone and ciglitazone, on the renal dysfunction and injury caused by I/R of the rat kidney in vivo. Methods: Rosiglitazone or ciglitazone were administered to male Wistar rats prior to and during reperfusion. Biochemical indicators of renal dysfunction and injury were measured and histological scoring of kidney sections was used to assess renal injury. Expression of PPAR isoforms and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 during renal I/R were assessed using RT-PCR and Northern blot, respectively. Myeloperoxidase activity and activation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) were used as indicators of polymorphonuclear (PMN) cell infiltration and oxidative stress, respectively. Results: Expression of PPAR-\(\alpha\), PPAR-\(\beta\) and PPAR-\(\gamma1\) (but not PPAR-\(\gamma2\)) was observed in kidneys with down-regulation of PPAR-\(\alpha\) expression during renal I/R. Rosiglitazone and ciglitazone significantly reduced biochemical and histological signs of renal dysfunction and injury. Renal expression of ICAM-1 caused by I/R was reduced by rosiglitazone and ciglitazone which was reflected by decreased PMN infiltration into reperfused renal tissues. Both rosiglitazone and ciglitazone reduced PARP activation indicating a reduction of oxidative stress. Conclusion: These results suggest that the PPAR-\(\gamma\) agonists rosiglitazone and ciglitazone reduce the renal dysfunction and injury associated with I/R of the kidney. We propose that one mechanism underlying the protective effects involves inhibition of the expression of ICAM-1, a reduction of PMN infiltration into renal tissues and subsequent reduction of oxidative stress.
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Introduction

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors that are related to retinoid, steroid and thyroid hormone receptors [1]. The PPAR subfamily comprises of three members, PPAR-α, PPAR-β, and PPAR-γ and most tissues in humans (and rodents) express all three receptor subtypes, although there is considerable variability in relative expression [1]. Multiple splicing forms of PPAR-γ (PPAR-γ1, PPAR-γ2 and, in humans, PPAR-γ3) have been identified, which are derived from a single gene as a result of differential splicing and alternate promoter usage [1]. PPAR-γ1 is the major isoform, e.g. accounting for approximately 85% of PPARs in adipose tissues [2], in contrast, no mRNA splice variants for PPAR-α and -β have been identified [1, 2]. PPARs regulate gene expression by binding, as heterodimers with retinoid X receptors (RXRs), to specific PPAR response elements (PPREs) in the promoter regions of specific target genes [2]. In the absence of a ligand, high affinity complexes are formed between the PPAR-RXR heterodimer and nuclear receptor co-repressor proteins, preventing interaction of the heterodimer with the PPRE thereby preventing transcriptional activation. Binding of a ligand to the heterodimer results in the release of the co-repressor from the complex, followed by the binding of the activated heterodimer to the response element in the promoter region of relevant target genes [2].

Despite significant advances in critical care medicine, acute renal failure (ARF) remains a major clinical problem, causing considerable morbidity and mortality which has not decreased significantly over the last 50 years [3]. Previous interventions against ARF have proved to be largely negative and dialysis still remains the only effective therapy [4]. Thus, the development of novel therapeutic interventions against ARF has remained a topic of research interest [3, 4]. Renal ischemia initiates a complex and interrelated sequence of events, resulting in the injury and death of renal cells [5, 6]. Reperfusion, although essential for the survival of ischemic renal tissue, causes additional damage (reperfusion-injury) [7]. Together, ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) of the kidney contribute to the renal dysfunction and injury associated with ischemic ARF [6, 8]. The recent development of a novel class of insulin-sensitising drugs, the thiazolidinediones (TZDs), represents a significant advance in anti-diabetic therapy [9]. There is now good evidence that these TZDs may also provide beneficial actions against inflammation and cancer via activation of the PPAR-γ [9]. Rosiglitazone is the most potent and selective PPAR-γ agonist [10] and there is a good correlation between the potency of the TZDs as PPAR-γ agonists in vitro and their efficacy in lowering glucose levels in vivo [11]. PPAR-γ agonists have also been shown to protect the intestine [12–14], lung [15] and heart [16–20] against I/R injury. Although there is evidence that rosiglitazone can reduce nephropathy in diabetic Zucker fatty rats [21] and that another PPAR-γ agonist, troglitazone, can protect against non-diabetic glomerulonephritis in rats [22], there are, to our knowledge, no studies which have investigated the effects of PPAR-γ agonists against I/R injury of the kidney.

To test our hypothesis that PPAR-γ agonists could reduce the renal I/R injury, thereby ameliorating a major cause of ischemic ARF, we investigated the effects of the PPAR-γ ligands rosiglitazone and ciglitazone on the renal injury and dysfunction caused by ischemia and reperfusion in the anesthetized rat. Having discovered that both rosiglitazone and ciglitazone reduced renal dysfunction and injury, we performed further studies aimed at elucidating the mechanisms by which these TZDs protect the kidney against I/R injury. Specifically, we investigated how rosiglitazone and ciglitazone can modulate the following during renal I/R: (i) the expression of the different isoforms of PPAR; (ii) expression of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1); (iii) polymorphonuclear (PMN) cell infiltration into reperfused tissues, and (iv) activation of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) activation which was used as an indicator of oxidative stress.

Methods

Renal Ischemia/Reperfusion

In vivo studies were carried out on 65 male Wistar rats (Tuck, Rayleigh, Essex, UK). Anesthetized rats were subjected to bilateral renal ischemia for 45 min followed by reperfusion for 6 h as described previously [23, 24]. Upon completion of surgical procedures, the animals were randomly allocated into ten groups as described below:

1. I/R + VEH group: Rats which underwent renal ischemia for 45 min followed by reperfusion for 6 h and received bolus injections of the vehicle for rosiglitazone and ciglitazone (10% [v/v] dimethylsulfoxide [DMSO], n = 7).
2. I/R + ROS 0.3 group: Rats which were administered rosiglitazone (0.3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to and 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 6).
3. I/R + ROS 1 group: Rats which were administered rosiglitazone (1 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to and 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 11).
4. I/R + ROS 3 group: Rats which were administered rosiglitazone (3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to and 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 7).
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(5) I/R + CIG 0.3 group: Rats were administered ciglitazone (0.3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 6).

(6) I/R + CIG 1 group: Rats were administered ciglitazone (1 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to and 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 7).

(7) I/R + CIG 3 group: Rats were administered ciglitazone (3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) 5 min prior to and 3 h after commencement of reperfusion (n = 7).

(8) Sham + VEH group: Rats which were subjected to identical surgical procedures described above except for renal I/R. Rats were administered bolus injections of the vehicle (2 ml/kg 10% [v/v] DMSO) at times equivalent to those described above (n = 6).

(9) Sham + ROS 3 group: Identical to sham-operated animals except for administration of rosiglitazone (3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) (n = 4).

(10) Sham + CIG 3 group: Identical to sham-operated animals except for administration of ciglitazone (3 mg/kg i.v. bolus) (n = 4).

Rats were maintained under anesthesia for the duration of the experiment (i.e. 45 min + 6 h).

Measurement of Biochemical Parameters

At the end of the reperfusion period, blood (1 ml) and urine samples were collected and used for the measurement of biochemical renal parameters as described previously [23, 24]. Briefly, serum samples were used for the measurement of serum urea and creatinine levels, which were used as indicators of impaired glomerular function [23, 24]. Urine samples were collected throughout the reperfusion period and the volume of urine produced recorded. Urine concentrations of creatinine and Na⁺ were measured and used in conjunction with serum creatinine, Na⁺ and urine flow to estimate creatinine clearance and fractional excretion of Na⁺. Creatinine clearance provided an indication of glomerular filtration rate and glomerular dysfunction whereas fractional excretion of Na⁺ was used as an indicator of tubular function/dysfunction [23, 24]. Additionally, urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activity, an indicator of tubular damage, and possibly tubular function, was also measured (Clínica Médica e Diagnóstico Dr. Joaquim Chaves, Lisbon, Portugal) [24].

Histological Evaluation

Renal sections were prepared as described previously and used for the assessment of renal I/R injury [24]. Briefly, one hundred intersections were examined for each kidney and a score from 0 to 3 was given for each tubular profile involving an intersection: 0 = normal histology; 1 = tubular cell swelling, brush border loss, nuclear condensation, with up to 1/3 of tubular profile showing nuclear loss; 2 = as for score 1, but greater than 1/3 and less than 2/3 of tubular profile shows nuclear loss, and 3 = greater than 2/3 of tubular profile shows nuclear loss. The total score for each kidney was calculated by addition of all 100 scores with a maximum score of 300.

RT-PCR for PPAR Isoforms

Total RNA was extracted from the kidney tissues (immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −70 °C) using the guanidinium isothiocyanate/acid phenol method [25]. Contaminated DNA was eliminated from RNA preparation using DNA-free RNA™ kit (Zymo Research, Tokyo, Japan). RT-PCR analysis for PPAR isoforms was performed as previously described, using synthetic gene-specific primers derived from the published sequences for rat PPAR [17].

Northern Blot Analysis of ICAM-1 mRNA Expression

ICAM-1 mRNA levels were evaluated by Northern blot analysis. DNA prepared from rat kidney was reverse-transcribed, and the resulting cDNA was amplified by the PCR method using primers specific for rat ICAM-1. The following primers used were: forward: GGGTTGGAGACTACTGGA; reverse: CCTCTGCGGTAAATAGGGT. The PCR product for ICAM (1,384 bp) was used as a probe after sequence confirmation. The ICAM-1 probe was labelled with [α-32P]dCTP using random priming. Northern blot procedures were performed as previously described [17]. After probing for ICAM-1 expression, filters were stripped and re-probed for the presence of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mRNA, as described previously [17]. The blots were exposed to an imaging plate (Fuji Photo Film Co., Tokyo, Japan) at room temperature for 6 h and analyzed using a FUJIX bioimaging analyzer ( BAS2000II, Fuji Photo Film Co.).

Immunohistochemical Localisation of Poly (ADP-Ribose)

Immunohistochemical localisation of PAR (indicative of PARP activation) in kidney sections was performed as previously described [23]. Kidneys were fixed in 10% formalin buffered using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.01 M, pH 7.4) and 8-μm sections were prepared from paraffin embedded tissues. After deparaffinization, endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 0.3% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide in 60% (v/v) methanol for 30 min. Sections were then permeabilized with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min and non-specific adsorption was minimised by incubation in 2% (w/v) normal goat serum in PBS for 20 min. Endogenous biotin or avidin binding sites were blocked by sequential incubation for 15 min with avidin and biotin (DBA, Milan, Italy). Sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary anti-PAR antibody (1:500 [v/v] in PBS) (DBA, Milan, Italy) or with control solutions which included incubation with buffer alone or non-specific purified rabbit IgG (DBA). Specific labelling of antigen-antibody complex was visualised using a biotin-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (DBA) and avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (DBA) immunoperoxidase technique using chromo-magen dianinobenzenide.

Determination of Myeloperoxidase Activity

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity in kidneys was used as an indicator of PMN infiltration using a method previously described [24]. Briefly, at the end of the experiments, kidney tissue was weighed and homogenised in a solution containing 0.5% (w/v) hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide dissolved in 10 mM potassium phosphate activation) in kidney sections was performed as previously described [17]. Kidneys were fixed in 10% formalin buffered using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.01 M, pH 7.4) and 8-μm sections were prepared from paraffin embedded tissues. After deparaffinization, endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 0.3% (v/v) hydrogen peroxide in 60% (v/v) methanol for 30 min. Sections were then permeabilized with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 in PBS for 20 min and non-specific adsorption was minimised by incubation in 2% (w/v) normal goat serum in PBS for 20 min. Endogenous biotin or avidin binding sites were blocked by sequential incubation for 15 min with avidin and biotin (DBA, Milan, Italy). Sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary anti-PAR antibody (1:500 [v/v] in PBS) (DBA, Milan, Italy) or with control solutions which included incubation with buffer alone or non-specific purified rabbit IgG (DBA). Specific labelling of antigen-antibody complex was visualised using a biotin-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (DBA) and avidin-biotin peroxidase complex (DBA) immunoperoxidase technique using chromo-magen dianinobenzenide.

Materials

Unless otherwise stated, all compounds used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company Ltd. (Poole, Dorset, UK). All solutions used in vivo were prepared using non-pyrogenic saline (0.9% [w/v] NaCl; Baxter Healthcare Ltd., Thetford, Norfolk, UK). Stock solutions of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone (Alessis Biochemicals, Bingham, Nottingham, UK) were prepared in 10% (v/v) DMSO and 90% (v/v) saline.

PPPγ agonists reduce renal ischemia/reperfusion injury
Fig. 1. PPAR expression in rat kidneys (RT-PCR analysis). 

**a** Rats were subjected to surgical procedure alone and administered 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v.). 

**b** Rats were subjected to (1) surgical procedure alone and treated with 10% (v/v) DMSO (2 ml/kg i.v.); (2) to bilateral renal I/R and administered 10% DMSO (2 ml/kg i.v.); (3) 3 mg/kg rosiglitazone i.v., or (4) 1 mg/kg ciglitazone i.v.

**Results**

**Effect of I/R on the Expression of PPAR Isoforms in the Kidney**

Products of expected size [17] were obtained for the PPAR isoforms (523 bp for PPAR-α, 496 bp for PPAR-β, and 614 bp for PPAR-γ1) from the kidneys of rats subjected to sham operation (fig. 1a). Although no PCR product specific for PPAR-γ2 was observed (fig. 1a), this isoform was subsequently detected in adipose tissue (data not shown). Renal I/R caused a down-regulation of PPAR-α expression; however, I/R did not have an effect on the expression of either PPAR-γ1 or PPAR-β (fig. 1b). Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone did not have any effect on the down-regulation of PPAR-α caused by renal I/R or on PPAR-β or PPAR-γ1 expression during renal I/R (fig. 1b).

**Effect of Rosiglitazone and Ciglitazone on Glomerular Dysfunction Caused by Renal I/R**

When compared to sham-operated rats, I/R caused a significant increase in serum levels of urea and creatinine (fig. 2a, b) suggesting marked glomerular dysfunction. This was reflected by a significant attenuation in glomerular filtration rate, which was measured as creatinine clearance (fig. 2c). Administration of rosiglitazone caused a dose-dependent reduction in serum levels of urea and creatinine with a significant reduction observed using the highest dose of rosiglitazone administered (3 mg/kg) (fig. 2a, b). A similar profile was observed in creatinine clearance with the highest dose of rosiglitazone (3 mg/kg) providing a significant improvement (fig. 2c). Administration of ciglitazone also produced a significant reduction in serum levels of urea and creatinine and a significant improvement in creatinine clearance; however, the largest improvement in glomerular function was obtained after ciglitazone was administered at 1 mg/kg (fig. 2a–c).

In sham-operated rats, administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone did not modulate serum levels of urea or creatinine and did not affect creatinine clearance when compared to values measured from sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only (table 1).

**Effect of Rosiglitazone and Ciglitazone on Tubular Dysfunction/Injury Caused by Renal I/R**

Fractional excretion of Na⁺ was used as an indicator of tubular dysfunction. When compared to sham-operated rats, I/R caused a significant increase in fractional excretion of Na⁺ suggesting marked tubular dysfunction (fig. 3a). Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone (1 and 3 mg/kg) significantly attenuated the tubular dysfunction caused by I/R (fig. 3a). In sham-operated rats, neither rosiglitazone nor ciglitazone had any significant effect on fractional excretion of Na⁺ when compared to values measured from sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only (table 1).
Urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activity was used as an indicator of tubular injury. When compared to sham-operated rats, I/R caused a significant increase in N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase enzymuria, suggesting tubular injury (fig. 3b). Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone (1 and 3 mg/kg) significantly attenuated urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activity suggesting a significant reduction of the tubular injury caused by I/R (fig. 3b). In sham-operated rats, neither rosiglitazone nor ciglitazone had any significant effect on urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activity when compared to values measured from sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only (table 1).

When compared to the histological score measured from kidneys obtained from sham-operated animals, a significant increase in histological score was recorded from rats subjected to I/R, indicating significant tubular injury (fig. 3c). Histological scores were significantly reduced by administration of rosiglitazone (1 and 3 mg/kg) or ciglitazone (1 and 3 mg/kg) (fig. 3c). Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone to sham-operated rats did not have any effect on histological score when compared to values obtained from sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only (table 1).

Table 1. Renal function in sham-operated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Serum urea mmol/l</th>
<th>Serum creatinine µmol/l</th>
<th>Creatinine clearance ml/min</th>
<th>Fractional excretion of Na⁺, %</th>
<th>NAG activity IU/l</th>
<th>Histological score (out of 300)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sham + VEH</td>
<td>6.3±0.4</td>
<td>44±2</td>
<td>1.503±0.072</td>
<td>0.79±0.13</td>
<td>3.1±1.9</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham + ROS 3</td>
<td>5.0±0.9</td>
<td>43±2</td>
<td>1.247±0.130</td>
<td>1.44±0.17</td>
<td>3.5±0.9</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham + CIG 3</td>
<td>4.5±0.6</td>
<td>43±1</td>
<td>1.450±0.210</td>
<td>1.25±0.10</td>
<td>4.1±1.2</td>
<td>0±0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rats were subjected to sham-operation and administered either 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 6), rosiglitazone (Sham + ROS 3, 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 4), or ciglitazone (Sham + CIG 3, 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 4). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of n observations. * p < 0.05 vs. I/R + VEH.

Fig. 2. Effects of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone on renal dysfunction caused by I/R. Alterations in serum urea (a), serum creatinine (b) and creatinine clearance (c) in rats subjected to surgical procedure alone and administered 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 6), or in rats subjected to bilateral renal I/R and treated with 10% (v/v) DMSO (I/R + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 7), rosiglitazone (I/R + ROS 0.3, I/R + ROS 1 or I/R + ROS 3, 0.3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–11) or ciglitazone (I/R + CIG 0.3, I/R + CIG 1 or I/R + CIG 3, 0.3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–7) prior to and during reperfusion. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of n observations, * p < 0.05 vs. I/R + VEH.
Fig. 3. Effects of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone on tubular dysfunction and injury caused by renal I/R. Alterations in fractional excretion of Na⁺ (a); urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase activity (b) and Histological Score (out of 300) (c) in rats subjected to surgical procedure alone and administered 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 6), or in rats subjected to bilateral renal I/R and treated with 10% (v/v) DMSO (I/R + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 7), rosiglitazone (I/R + ROS 0.3, I/R + ROS 1 or I/R + ROS 3, 0.3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–11) or ciglitazone (I/R + CIG 0.3, I/R + CIG 1 or I/R + CIG 3, 0.3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–7) prior to and during reperfusion. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of n observations, * p < 0.05 vs. I/R + VEH.

Fig. 4. Effect of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone on expression of ICAM-1 and GAPDH mRNA in rat kidney (Northern blot analysis) (a); ratio of ICAM-1 to GAPDH mRNA expression (b), and PMN infiltration (kidney myeloperoxidase activity) (c). Rats were subjected to surgical procedure alone and administered 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 6), or in rats subjected to bilateral renal I/R and treated with 10% (v/v) DMSO (I/R + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 7), rosiglitazone (I/R + ROS 1 or I/R + ROS 3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–11) or ciglitazone (I/R + CIG 1 or I/R + CIG 3, 1 or 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 6–7) prior to and during reperfusion. Figures shown are representative of at least 3 experiments performed on different experimental days. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM of n observations, * p < 0.05 vs. I/R + VEH.
Fig. 5. Immunohistochemical localisation of PAR formation in sections of rat kidney. Rats were subjected to surgical procedure alone and administered 10% (v/v) DMSO (Sham + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 6), or in rats subjected to bilateral renal I/R, and treated with 10% (v/v) DMSO (I/R + VEH, 2 ml/kg i.v., n = 7) (b), rosiglitazone (I/R + ROS 3, 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 7) (c) or ciglitazone (I/R + CIG 3, 3 mg/kg i.v., n = 7) (d) prior to and during reperfusion. Figures shown are representative of at least 3 experiments performed on different experimental days. x 125.

Effect of Rosiglitazone and Ciglitazone on ICAM Expression and PMN Infiltration into Kidneys of Rats Subjected to Renal I/R

When compared to sham-operated rats, I/R caused a significant increase in the expression of ICAM-1 (fig. 4a, b). Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone (3 mg/kg) significantly reduced the expression of ICAM-1 caused by I/R (fig. 4a, b).

On comparison with sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only, rats subjected to renal I/R exhibited a substantial increase in kidney MPO activity (fig. 4c), suggesting increased PMN infiltration into reperfused renal tissues. However, administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone (1 and 3 mg/kg) produced a significant and dose-dependent reduction of MPO activity in comparison with that obtained from kidneys obtained from rats subjected to I/R only (fig. 4c).

PPAR-γ Agonists Reduce Renal Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury

**Immunohistochemical Localisation of PAR Formation**

Immunohistochemical analysis of renal sections obtained from rats subjected to renal I/R injury revealed positive staining for PAR (fig. 5b), indicating PARP activation subsequent to DNA damage caused by oxidative stress. In kidneys obtained from rats administered rosiglitazone or ciglitazone (3 mg/kg) prior to and during reperfusion, markedly reduced staining for PAR was observed (fig. 5c, d), suggesting a reduction in the activation of PARP (and therefore a reduction in oxidative stress) after 6 h reperfusion. No evidence of staining for PAR was observed in kidney tissues obtained from sham-operated rats administered 10% (v/v) DMSO only (fig. 5a).

**Discussion**

We show here that bilateral renal artery occlusion (for 45 min) and reperfusion (for 6 h) in anesthetized rat results in a significant increase in serum levels of urea and creatinine, indicating glomerular dysfunction which was reflected by a significant fall in creatinine clearance (and thus, glomerular filtration rate). Renal I/R also caused a marked increase in fractional excretion of Na⁺ indicating marked tubular dysfunction of the PT. Tubular injury was confirmed by increased urinary excretion of NAG and moreover, characteristic histological signs of marked tubular injury were observed in kidneys subsequent to renal I/R. This is in keeping with the observation that the S3 segment of the proximal tubule (PT) is particularly susceptible to renal I/R injury [26]. All these data, together with an increased expression of the adhesion molecule ICAM-1, increased renal MPO activity (indicative of PMN infiltration into reperfused renal tissue) and increased PAR formation (suggesting increased PARP activation secondary to DNA damage caused by oxidative stress), confirm a well-recognised pattern of renal dysfunctions and injury caused by I/R of the heart [16–20]. Although reported that rosiglitazone and ciglitazone can reduce the tissue injury caused by I/R of the heart [16–20]. All of these findings support the view that rosiglitazone and ciglitazone attenuate the degree of renal dysfunction and injury caused by I/R of the kidney of the rat. The effects of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone on glomerular dysfunction were rather modest (yet significant). However, it should be noted that these agents had a greater effect on tubular dysfunction and injury and that the PT is at most risk of injury caused by renal I/R or oxidative stress [26–28]. It should also be noted here that although rosiglitazone and ciglitazone produced significant reductions in tubular dysfunction and injury, there was still evidence of renal injury, highlighting the fact that the mechanisms involved in the development of ischemic ARF are multifactorial, e.g. I/R also leads to detrimental increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations and calpain/caspase activation [5–8, 27]. Furthermore, each measurement of renal function has inherent difficulties (e.g. a one point in time serum creatinine is not a particularly reliable indicator, especially when changes are rather modest) and therefore we have used many different indicators of renal function and injury involving a range of serum, urinary and histological analysis.

Rigoslitazone produced a dose-dependent reduction of glomerular and tubular dysfunction and tubular injury. However, although 1 mg/kg ciglitazone significantly improved the renal dysfunction and injury associated with renal I/R, a higher dose (3 mg/kg) was less effective. A similar profile was observed when the beneficial effects of ciglitazone against myocardial I/R injury were explored [17] and may reflect a degree of toxicity associated with high doses of this compound or non-specific effects. Overall, both rosiglitazone and ciglitazone produced a similar level of renoprotection against renal dysfunction and injury caused by I/R which reflected their known potency as PPAR-γ agonists in vitro [11].

Once the beneficial aspects of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone administration against the renal dysfunction and injury caused by I/R of the kidney were established, we investigated the mechanism by which these TZDs/PPAR-γ agonists protect the kidney against I/R. Administration of rosiglitazone or ciglitazone did not modulate the expression of PPAR-β or PPAR-γ₁, nor did it affect the down-regulation of the PPAR-α caused by I/R. Synthetic TZDs including rosiglitazone and ciglitazone were the first class of compounds to be identified as PPAR-γ ligands and rosiglitazone is the most potent and selective PPAR-γ agonist [9, 10]. We and others have recently reported that rosiglitazone and ciglitazone can reduce the tissue injury caused by I/R of the heart [16–20]. 274 Am J Nephrol 2003;23:267–276
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of these TZDs are not entirely clear, the protective effects obtained in this study after short-term exposure to the PPAR-γ agonists suggest mechanisms mediated via direct action at the PPAR-γ [16–18, 20]. PPAR-γ is selectively expressed in medullary collecting ducts and pelvic urothelium while PPAR-α have been shown to be predominantly expressed in the PT and medullary thick ascending limbs of the kidney [29]. PPAR-γ activity is also associated with the renal vasculature; specifically, there is evidence that endogenous PPAR-γ activity is associated with renal glomeruli and medullary vasculature [30]. In this study, the down-regulation of PPAR-α observed subsequent to I/R is likely to reflect the compromised integrity of PT cell structure caused by I/R.

The results presented in this study also demonstrate that administration of rosiglitazone and ciglitazone can modulate the expression of an adhesion molecule known to be induced during renal I/R. Both PPAR-γ agonists markedly reduced the expression of ICAM-1, the expression of which, amongst other adhesion molecules (e.g. VCAM-1, P-selectin, E-selectin), have been associated with renal I/R-injury [31–33]. In addition, rosiglitazone has been shown to attenuate the expression of the chemokine MCP-1 as well as the accumulation of PMNs associated with regional myocardial I/R [17]. Adhesion molecule expression is a fundamental requirement for the recruitment of PMNs into renal tissues during renal reperfusion, leading to the release of mediators of renal injury including reactive oxygen species which contribute to ischemic ARF [32, 34]. Our data presented here demonstrate the ability of both rosiglitazone and ciglitazone to reduce renal MPO activity suggesting a significant reduction of PMN infiltration into renal tissues during reperfusion.

PMNs infiltrating into reperfused renal tissues are a major source of reactive oxygen species which contribute significantly to the development of renal I/R injury and associated ischemic ARF [35]. Reactive oxygen species also cause strand breaks in DNA, which trigger energy-consuming DNA repair mechanisms and activate the nuclear enzyme poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP). PARP activation results in the depletion of its substrate NAD⁺ and also in a reduction in the rate of glycolysis [23]. As NAD⁺ functions as a cofactor in glycolysis and the tricarboxylic acid cycle, NAD⁺ depletion leads to a rapid fall in intracellular ATP rapidly followed by cellular dysfunction and death – the PARP Suicide Hypothesis. We have recently discovered that PARP activation plays an important role in both the renal dysfunction and injury caused by I/R of the kidney in vivo [23] and in the cellular injury and death caused by oxidative stress in rat PT cell cultures in vitro [36]. Using immunohistochemistry, we demonstrate here that renal I/R leads to an increase in PARP activation secondary to oxidative stress and that this can be markedly reduced by the PPAR-α agonists rosiglitazone and ciglitazone.

Together, these findings support the hypothesis that the beneficial effects of TZDs in animal models of I/R of the kidney (and other organs) are predominantly due to a reduction in oxidative stress and anti-inflammatory actions of these PPAR-γ agonists. These findings are similar to those of Schiffrin and colleagues who recently demonstrated that docosahexainoic acid (a PPAR-α agonist) decreased oxidative stress and inflammation (including reduction of ICAM-1 expression) in the vasculature of rats administered angiotensin II [37].

In conclusion, the results presented here suggest that the PPAR-γ agonists rosiglitazone and ciglitazone reduce the renal dysfunction and injury associated with I/R of the kidney. We propose that one mechanism underlying the protective effects involves inhibition of the expression of ICAM-1 during I/R, a reduction of PMN infiltration into renal tissues and subsequent reduction of oxidative stress.
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