Systemic intravenous thrombolysis in stroke patients improves long-term clinical outcome when administered within 3 h following onset of the neurological deficit [1]. Therefore, systemic intravenous thrombolysis has been established as a first-line therapeutic strategy in patients admitted for stroke meeting specific criteria with cerebral hemorrhage being the main complication [1]. Since then, thrombolysis has proven to be cost-effective [2, 3], but only less than 4% of stroke patients currently benefit from thrombolytic treatment [4]. Although cardioembolism is the cause of 20–40% of all strokes [5, 6] and 26% of patients with an acute ischemic stroke or a transient ischemic attack have an intracardiac thrombus [7, 8], the risk for systemic embolism from a pre-existing intracardiac thrombus in stroke patients is unknown [9]. Here we report a patient who received systemic thrombolysis for stroke and who developed a finally fatal embolic MI from a presumably pre-existing atrial thrombus 2 h after initiation of systemic thrombolysis.

Case Report

A 62-year-old woman with a medical history of atrial fibrillation, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus and obesity was admitted to the emergency room for acute left brachiofacial hemiparesis and forced gaze deviation to the right. Initial laboratory evaluation showed unremarkable cardiac enzymes and coagulation parameters. A brain CT was normal except for a right hyperdense middle cerebral artery (MCA) pointing to occlusion of the vessel. The electrocardiogram (ECG) showed atrial fibrillation. Systemic thrombosis with intravenous rt-PA (6.3 mg bolus, 56.7 mg for 1 h) was administered according to the guidelines of the NINDS rt-PA Stroke Study Group [1] starting two hours after the onset of the neurological symptoms. Two hours after rt-PA treatment the patient developed cardiogenic shock, required catecholamine therapy and was intubated for mechanical ventilation. ST segment elevations characteristic of anterior myocardial infarction appeared in ECG leads V2-V6 with reciprocal ST segment depression in the leads II, III and aVF. At this time creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase-MB (CK-MB), and troponin T (TnT) levels were within normal limits. Immediate coronary angiography showed a complete occlusion of the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) and an abrupt occlusion of a small posterolateral branch of the left circumflex coronary artery. The residual coronary arterial tree was smooth without any lumen irregularities. Rescue percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) of the LAD was performed with a 25% residual diameter stenosis and a delayed distal run off of the contrast dye (grade II flow according to the Thrombosis In Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) classification for coronary reperfusion) suggesting microcirculatory thromboembolism. Transesophageal echocardiography showed decreased left ventricular ejection fraction due to extended anterior, anteroseptal and apical akinia. The left atrium was enlarged, while spontaneous echocardiast was documented and a large inhomogeneous mass (1.8 × 2.3 cm) consistent with a thrombus adjacent to the lateral atrial wall was detected. Twelve hours after PTCA CK rose to 3,040 U/l (CK-MB 196 U/l) and TnT levels increased to 17.98 µg/l. The patient showed hemodynamic deterioration and died within 24 h in global cardiac failure refractory to intensive medical therapy.

Discussion

This patient had a fatal embolic MI after systemic thrombolysis for stroke. Key clinical findings were a past medical history with atrial fibrillation, a previously unknown pre-existing thrombus in the left atrium, a right hyperdense MCA as a feature of large artery occlusion leading to acute ischemic stroke, and an abrupt occlusion of the LAD without atheromatous alterations on coronary angiography as the cause for acute MI. These changes strongly suggest a thromboembolic etiology of both the stroke and the MI most likely originating from the left atrial thrombus. Both thromboembolic events may be explained by spontaneous fragmentation of the inhomogeneous mass in the left atrium, but con-
sidering the short interval between the initiation of intravenous thrombolysis and the manifestation of the MI, it seems likely that thrombolytic therapy triggered fragmentation of the pre-existing atrial thrombus with subsequent thromboembolic occlusion of the LAD and fatal MI.

Hitherto, coronary embolism has been observed in patients with atrial myxoma [10], aortic valve endocarditis [11], a pre-existing left ventricular thrombus in dilated cardiomyopathy [12], atrial septal defects and cardiac surgery [13]. Moreover, a possible relationship between thrombolytic therapy and coronary embolism has been suggested [14], but there has been no such report in conjunction with systemic thrombolysis for stroke. However, thromboembolic complications have been observed in 1.5% of patients receiving systemic thrombolysis for acute MI who were believed to have a pre-existing clot [14] and in 12.6% of patients receiving systemic thrombolysis for prosthetic valve thrombosis [13]. However, a more detailed analysis of the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction Phase II Pilot Study and Clinical Trial (TIMI-II) has shown that stroke occurs only in 0.22% of patients within 24 h after the beginning of intravenous thrombolysis suggesting that the risk of clot fragmentation with subsequent cardioembolic stroke might be small in relation to rt-PA treatment for MI [15]. Since 26% of patients with an acute ischemic stroke or a transient ischemic attack have an intracardiac thrombus [7, 8], the risk for embolic MI or systemic embolism from a pre-existing intracardiac thrombus seems also to be small in stroke patients.

In conclusion, since embolic MI mainly originates from cardiac thromboembolism, a pre-existing cardiac thrombus may carry an increased risk for embolic MI. The presented observation further suggests that embolism from pre-existing cardiac thrombi has to be considered as a potential adverse event of intravenous rt-PA administration in stroke patients. More attention will be necessary to determine the level of importance of this observation in clinical practice.
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