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ABSTRACT
Signaling via MET receptor tyrosine kinase (MET) has been implicated in a number of neurodevelopmental events, including cell migration, dendritic and axonal development and synaptogenesis. Related to its role in the development of forebrain circuitry, we recently identified a functional promoter variant of the MET gene that is associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). The association of the MET promoter variant rs1858830 C allele is significantly enriched in families with a child who has ASD and co-occurring gastrointestinal conditions. The expression of MET in the forebrain had been mapped in detail in the developing mouse and rhesus macaque. However, in mammals, its expression in the developing brainstem has not been studied extensively throughout developmental stages. Brainstem and autonomic circuitry are implicated in ASD pathophysiology and in gastrointestinal dysfunction. To advance our understanding of the neurodevelopmental influences of MET signaling in brainstem circuitry development, we employed in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry to map the expression of Met and its ligand, Hgf, through prenatal development of the mouse midbrain and hindbrain. Our results reveal a highly selective expression pattern of Met in the brainstem, including a subpopulation of neurons in cranial motor nuclei (nVII, nA and nXII), B6 subgroup of the dorsal raphe, Barrington’s nucleus, and a small subset of neurons in the nucleus of solitary tract. In contrast to Met, neither full-length nor known splice variants of Hgf were localized in the prenatal brainstem. RT-PCR revealed Hgf expression in target tissues of Met-expressing brainstem neurons, suggesting that MET in these neurons may be activated by HGF from peripheral sources. Together, these data suggest that MET signaling may influence the development of neurons that are involved in central regulation of gastrointestinal function, tongue movement, swallowing, speech, stress and mood.

INTRODUCTION
Met receptor tyrosine kinase (MET) and its ligand, hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) were first cloned approximately 30 years ago [1–5]. Initially, MET was characterized as a receptor tyrosine kinase with proto-oncogenic function and HGF (also named scatter factor) was found...
as a serum- and platelet-derived protein capable of inducing cell proliferation and migration in vitro [2, 5, 6]. Expression of MET and HGF has been observed in most solid tumors and MET/HGF signaling is well characterized in human malignancies and metastasis [7, 8]. Nonetheless, MET and HGF are also involved in the normal development and regeneration of several different organs [9–14].

More recently, MET signaling has been implicated in a number of neurodevelopmental events, including cell migration, dendritic and axonal development and synaptogenesis [see 15 for review]. Relevant to a role for MET signaling in the development of specific forebrain circuits [16, 17], a functional promoter variant of the MET gene is associated with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [18–20]. The association of the MET promoter variant rs1858830 C allele is further enriched in families in which a child has ASD with co-occurring gastrointestinal conditions [21]. The MET promoter C variant reduces gene transcription in vitro [18], and in postmortem brains of individuals with ASD, MET transcript and protein are significantly decreased [22, 23]. MET is currently categorized as a strong risk candidate for ASD [24] (also see SFARI Gene; https://gene.sfari.org/autdb/GS_Home.do).

The expression patterns of MET and its transcript (Met) in mouse forebrain was mapped in detail recently [25]. We showed that the Met transcript is located in specific populations of excitatory projection neurons within the developing mouse neocortex and subcortically in a very limited number of limbic system regions. Temporally, transcript and protein expression in the forebrain is transient, first detected late in gestation, and peaking between postnatal day 7 (P7) to 14. This is a period of active neurite outgrowth and synaptogenesis in the brain. There is strong temporal conservation of MET expression between the mouse and rhesus macaque forebrain [15, 26], with conserved subcortical but different regional expression in the neocortex.

Brainstem and autonomic circuitry has been implicated in ASD pathophysiology [27–31] and in central origins of gastrointestinal dysfunction [32–35]. Since the association of the MET promoter variant is further enriched in ASD with co-occurring gastrointestinal conditions [21], MET may be a point of functional convergence of these conditions. A detailed mapping of MET gene expression in the developing brainstem may reveal how the receptor may be involved in peripheral autonomic and homeostatic functions. In mice, there has been limited Met expression mapping in several motor nuclei, i.e. trochlear motor (nIV), trigeminal (nV), superior salivatory (part of nVII), glossopharyngeal (nIX), vagus (nX), cranial accessory (nXI), and hypoglossal nuclei (nXII), from E11 to E13 [36], at a time just after neuronal birth (>E9–10) [37]. While not examined beyond E13, these data are similar to its expression pattern in the developing forebrain, in which Met expression rises during the period of extensive neurite growth. Supporting a functional role for MET signaling in early (prior to E13) brainstem development, HGF has been shown to be a potent axon chemoattractant in mouse midbrain/hindbrain explants [36]. Furthermore, limited analysis of Met and Hgf/knockout mice revealed abnormal projections of hypoglossal nerve (XII) [36] and spinal motor nerves [38]. Knockdown of Met in developing zebrafish by morpholinos leads to altered facial motor neuron migration and differentiation [39]. Nonetheless, the expression pattern and function of Met in mouse brainstem development after E13 is unclear.

The expression of HGF in the developing mammalian central nervous system (CNS) is largely unknown. HGF expression analysis is rather complex because, in addition to its full length mRNA, several splice variants have been described [40–42]. Some of these HGF splice variants can bind and activate MET [40, 41, 43]. In humans, mutations affecting several of the HGF splice variants are shown to impact hearing development [42]. The spatiotemporal regulation of MET signaling during development will depend on HGF availability in the tissue; thus, determining the developmental expression pattern of Hgf and its variants may help us to further understand the roles of HGF/MET signaling in CNS development.

In this study we examined prenatal expression of Met and Hgf in the mouse, focusing on the brainstem. Transcript and protein mapping reveal highly selective Met expression patterns, including subpopulations of neurons involved in regulating gastrointestinal function, tongue movement, swallowing, speech, stress and mood. In contrast, Hgf and several of its splice variants were not detected in the brainstem but instead were expressed in peripheral targets of MET-expressing brainstem neurons. In rostral aspects of the neuraxis, we found Hgf expression largely confined to periventricular regions of the forebrain. The developmental implications of these unique expression patterns are discussed.

Materials and Methods

Animals
CD-1 [Crl:CD-1(ICR)], Isl1tm1(cre)Sev [44], also known as Isl1cre (generously provided by Dr. Sylvia Evan at University of California, San Diego), B6.Cg-Gt(Rosa)26Sortm9(CAG-tdTomato)Heta/J [45], also
known as *Rosa-ttdTomato* [46] (purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Me., USA), and *Fev* [46], also known as *Pet-1* (generously provided by Dr. Evan Deneris at Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine) mice were used in this study. Time-pregnant CD-1 mice were purchased from Charles River, Wilmington, Mass., USA. Time-pregnant transgenic mice were bred in-house. Mice were maintained on a 12-hour light/12-hour dark cycle with free access to food and water. The day following a time-delimited overnight pairing was considered E1. Pregnant females were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane vapors followed by rapid decapitation in order to harvest embryonic tissues. All experimental procedures using animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Southern California and conformed to US National Institutes of Health guidelines.

**In situ Hybridization**

In situ hybridization (ISH), embryos were dissected in cold PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4) overnight at 4 °C and transferred to 30% sucrose/PBS for cryoprotection. Brains were embedded in TFM tissue freezing medium (Triangle Bio-medical Sciences, Inc., Durham, N.C., USA) over liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80 °C until sectioned at 20 μm with a cryostat. Digoxigenin-labeled cRNA probes were: *Met* probe [25] (2,665–4,051 bp of GenBank No. NM_008591), mouse *Hgf* (176–966 bp of GenBank No. AK042112), and mouse *Isl1* (704–1,307 bp of GenBank No. BC132263). ISH on sections was performed as described [47]. Briefly, slides were fixed in 4% PFA/PBS (20 min) at room temperature (RT), washed in PBS, and followed by proteinase K treatment (1–1.25 μg/ml, RT) for 15 min. Acetylation was performed by incubating slides under agitation for 10 min in triethanolamine (TEA-HCl, pH 8.0) after drop-by-drop addition of acetic anhydride (0.25% of TEA volume). Slides were prehybridized for at least 2 h at 60 °C in hybridization solution (50% deionized formamide, 5× SSC, pH 7.0, 1× Denhardt’s solution, 0.1% Tween-20, 0.1% CHAPS, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 100 μg/ml heparin, 300 μg/ml yeast tRNA in DepC-H2O). The hybridization step was carried out for 16–18 h at 60 °C in hybridization solution.Slides were then washed 3 times (3 × 45 min) at 65 °C in washing solution (2× SSC, pH 4.0, 50% formamide, 1% SDS in distilled water), then 3 × 15 min in TBST (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 136 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 1% Tween-20 in distilled water) with light agitation at RT. Slides were blocked for 1 h at RT with blocking reagent (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl containing 1.5% blocking reagent from Roche, Indianapolis, Ind., USA), and followed by incubation at 4 °C overnight with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG Fab fragments (1:2,000; Roche). Color development was carried out at RT in NTMT solution (100 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.5, 25 mM MgCl2, 1% Tween-20, 2 mM Levamisole) with 0.2 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (BCIP) and 0.2 mM nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT; Roche).

**RT-PCR**

Total RNA from the E13 and E16 CD-1 mouse whole brain, the remaining head tissue, gut, whole spinal cord, or heart were extracted with an RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif., USA) per manufacturer’s recommendation and reverse-transcribed using oligo (dT)20 primers and Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, Grand Island, N.Y., USA) after DNase treatment (Turbro DNA-free kit; Life Technologies). Resulting cDNAs were analyzed by PCR, using the following primers:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Met Expression in the Cranial Motor Nuclei at Late Gestational Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Previously, it was shown that *Met* is expressed by subsets of cranial motor nuclei in the early developing brainstem (mouse E11–E13) [56]. To determine whether expression of *Met* mRNA in these motor nuclei persists after E13, we performed ISH on serial coronal sections at E13, 15, 17 and P0. *Met* expression patterns were defined by the expression patterns on serial sections from several experi-
ments and the locations confirmed with the *Chemoarchitectonic Atlas of the Developing Mouse Brain* [48] (fig. 1).

At all ages examined, *Met* transcripts were detected in regions overlapping with subsets of motor nuclei (fig. 1a–e, g). In all nuclei that were labeled, it appeared that not all the neurons in a specific nucleus were *Met*+. To confirm this, we compared the location of *Met*-expressing neurons with that of *Is11* detected on adjacent sections (online suppl. fig. 1a–f). *Is11* is expressed by all cranial motor neurons at early prenatal ages, and has been widely used as a marker of developing cranial motor neurons in several species [36, 49]. By comparing labeling patterns of *Met* with *Is11* expression regions, we found that at E13, *Met* transcripts were somatically localized to brainstem regions containing the dorsal motor nucleus of the tenth nerve (DMV or dmnX), nucleus ambiguous (nA; the motor nucleus of the spinal accessory, XI, glossopharyngeal, IX, and vagus nerves, X), nucleus of the hypoglossal nerve (nXII), as well as the nucleus of the facial nerve (nVII) (fig. 1a–c; table 1). This is in agreement with the previous report [36] showing that *Met* transcripts are present in a subset of cranial motor nuclei. Furthermore, similar to that observed previously by Caton et al. [36], *Met* expression in nVII did not label the neurons of branchial motor
division. Rather, stained neurons occupied a position lateral to this region. The position of these Met+ neurons appears to overlap with the developing superior salivatory nucleus (visceral motor of nVII; fig. 1c). Interestingly, Met staining was either very weak or absent in neurons residing in the oculomotor (nIII), troclear (nIV) and trigeminal nuclei (nV) (online suppl. fig. 1g, and data not shown). This finding was surprising, given that expression of the Met transcript was reported in limited number of neurons present within the boundaries of nVI and nV from E11 to E13 [36]. It is possible that Met expression in these nuclei is below levels of detection on 20-μm sections compared with whole-mount tissues used in previous studies.

We were most interested to know whether Met expression in these motor nuclei was maintained at E15 and beyond. We observed that with the exception of nVII, in which Met mRNA levels greatly diminished after E13, expression of the transcript was evident at E15 and E17 (fig. 1d, e, g). However, by P0, detectable Met transcript labeling in neurons located within regions of nA, DMV and nXII had largely disappeared (table 1).

In a second set of developmental mapping studies, we used an antibody to MET combined with an endogenous fluorescent reporter strategy in order to more precisely determine the cellular localization of the MET protein. Immunofluorescence staining was performed on sections through the midbrain and hindbrain from Isl1cre/Rosa-tdTomatofx/+ embryos obtained by crossing Isl1cre/+ mice [44] with Rosa-tdTomatofx/fs mice [45]. In Isl1cre/Rosa-tdTomatofx/+ embryos, all neurons in cranial motor nuclei express tdTomato, which we confirmed by double staining using an antibody directed against peripherin, a peripheral and motor neuron-specific type III intermediate filament that is widely used as a marker for postmitotic cranial motor neurons [50–52] (online suppl. fig. 2, and data not shown). Cellular immunolocalization of MET was compared with neuronal labeling of cranial motor nuclei by tdTomato. Similar to ISH observations, at E16 only a subpopulation of neurons in the DMV, nXII and nA was both tdTomato+ and MET+ (fig. 2). In DMV, double-immunopositive neurons tended to be located in ventral regions (fig. 2), k, arrowheads. No MET immunoreactivity was found colocalized with tdTomato+ in nIII, nIV, nV and nVI (online suppl. fig. 3). As noted previously for forebrain neurons [25], MET protein was located at a cellular level in both neuronal somata (fig. 3, arrowheads) and their axons, which were evident coursing through the brainstem toward the periphery (white arrows).

Table 1. Summary of Met expression in the midbrain/hindbrain nuclei at different developmental periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclei</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>E13</th>
<th>E14–E17</th>
<th>E18–P0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nVII</td>
<td>GVE, SVA, SVE/BE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nA</td>
<td>SVE/BE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMV</td>
<td>GVA, GVE, SVA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nXII</td>
<td>GSE</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nTS</td>
<td>GVA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN</td>
<td>visceral related, stress related</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>stress related, mood, autonomic regulation</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Met Expression in Other Midbrain/Hindbrain Nuclei**

In addition to cranial motor nuclei, Met transcripts were detected in several other midbrain/hindbrain nuclei that had not been described previously. Specific Met neuronal expression was observed in regions that coincided with the nucleus of solitary tract (nTS), the caudal part of the dorsal raphe (DR), and in a group of neurons near the locus coeruleus (LC), later identified as Barrington’s nucleus (BN). The spatiotemporal patterns of Met expression in these various nuclei are detailed below:

**Nucleus of Solitary Tract.** ISH consistently showed Met transcripts were present from E13 to E17 in a group of neurons located lateral to the DMV (fig. 1b, e, g). At E16, MET immunostaining in Isl1cre/+Rosa-tdTomatofx/+ mice revealed the presence of MET+/tdTomato+ neurons lateral to the MET+/tdTomato+ DMV neurons (fig. 2k, arrow). Based on their position in the developing brainstem, these may constitute a subpopulation of neurons within the nTS. The nTS is a brainstem sensory nucleus that sends an input from the facial nerve (VII), as well as receiving afferents from glossopharyngeal (IX) and vagus (X) nerves [53]. The nTS emerges rostrally from the dorsomedial edge of the spinal trigeminal nucleus and extends caudally to the border of the spinal cord [54]. It has been shown that, during development, nTS neurons can be subdivided to 2 main groups based on the combination of transcription factors expressed [55]. At E13, the major-
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ity of nTS neurons express Phox2b, but a small subset of nTS neurons arising in rhombomere 7 (where DMV nuclei are located during early developmental stage) does not express Phox2b [55, 56]. Instead these neurons express Pax2 [55]. We found that, at E13, the MET+ neurons adjacent to the DMV expressed Pax2 (online suppl. fig. 4), suggesting that they are situated within the developing nTS. As in the case of other nuclei, MET labeling was present only in a small subpopulation of nTS neurons.

Fig. 2. MET protein expression in neurons residing in DMV, nXII and nTS. Micrographs show MET immunofluorescence in a series of coronal sections harvested from Isl1cre/Rosa-tdTomato<sup>fx/+</sup> mice at E16. a–c Endogenous tdTomato fluorescence expressed under the control of Isl1cre at 3 successive levels of the medulla. d–f MET immunostaining on the same sections. g–i Overlay of tdTomato and MET immunofluorescence. Regions in white boxes are presented at higher magnification in j–l. VN = Vestibular nucleus. Arrowheads indicate subsets of MET+/tdTomato+ neurons in nX (j, k) and in nA (l). Arrows point to a subset of MET+/tdTomato− neurons located lateral to DMV. An almost complete overlap of tdTomato and MET immunofluorescence is observed in the nA (l). No MET immunoreactivity was found in the main VN (g) and in rostral part of DMV (i), which is indicated by an asterisk. Scale bar = 50 μm (a–i); 10 μm (j–l).
DR Nuclei. Specific expression of Met in the DR region first emerged by E15 (fig. 1f). Intense Met mRNA expression was maintained in DR neurons up to P0 (fig. 1h, i; table 1). Double-label immunostaining with antibodies against MET and 5-HT showed that MET immunoreactivity was present in a subset of DR 5-HT neurons (fig. 4a–f). When examined under higher magnification, all of the MET+ neurons in DR were 5HT+ (fig. 4g–i). Staining of serial coronal sections at E16 and sagittal sections from E16 to P4 showed that MET was expressed mainly in the caudal part of DR as paired nuclei situated just below the aqueduct (fig. 4a–c, j–l). Based on their rostrocaudal and dorsoventral locations, 5-HT neurons within the midline raphe nuclei are divided into 9 subgroups, B1–9, in the adult rat [57]. The MET+ neurons were located in a region of the DR that includes the B6 and B7 groups. B6, also designated as nucleus raphe dorsalis caudalis [58], lies caudal to B7. Unlike B7, whose nuclei are partially fused in the midline at E17–E18 in rat (approximately E15–E16 for mouse) and completely fused at P1, B6 nuclei remain paired in adulthood [59]. Judged by the position (caudal aspect of DR) and the paired nuclei where they were observed (fig. 1f, h, i, 4a, b, j–l), the MET+/5-HT+ neurons most likely belong to the B6 serotonergic subgroup. To further delineate which subset of 5-HT neurons express MET, double immunostaining on sections from Pet-1 knockout mice (Pet-1KO) was performed. The ETS oncogene family protein, Pet-1 (also known as FEV), is expressed in all 5-HT neurons in DR (fig. 4m, n) and is required for the differentiation of approximately 70% of 5-HT neurons in the raphe (fig. 4n, arrowhead) [46]. Remarkably, there was no detectable MET expression in the DR of homozygous Pet-1 null mice (fig. 4d, arrow), even though residual 5-HT+ neurons were observed (fig. 4n, arrowhead). These data suggest that MET expression in B6 subgroup of DR 5-HT neurons is Pet-1 dependent.

Barrington’s Nucleus. ISH staining revealed that Met mRNA expression was evident for a brief prenatal time period at E15 and E17 in the lateral pons at the level of the LC; labeling was not detectable by P0 (fig. 1f, h). Based on this localization, we initially suspected that Met was expressed in the TH-containing LC neurons (fig. 5). Surprisingly, double immunostaining revealed that MET+ and TH+ neurons were completely exclusive of each other (fig. 5b, c). Instead, MET+ neurons were located medial to the LC, overlapping with neurons in the BN. BN regulates several visceral organs and is a central regulator of micturition [60–63]. Neurons in the BN express CRH [64–66]. In order to verify that MET is expressed in BN neurons, we performed double immunostaining with an-
ti-MET and anti-CRH antibodies. Colocalization confirmed that nearly all CRH+ neurons of BN expressed MET (fig. 5c–f).

**HGF Is Expressed in the Developing Pineal Gland and Cells Lining the Lateral Ventricles**

HGF is the only known ligand for MET [67, 68]. To detect Hgf transcripts, two DIG-labeled riboprobes encompassing nonoverlapping regions of mouse Hgf cDNA were used (online suppl. fig. 5a). Confirming specificity, both Hgf riboprobes readily detected Hgf expression in limb buds, as described previously (online suppl. fig. 5b) [38]. Furthermore, both probes used separately on adjacent sections yielded identical expression patterns (data not shown). Therefore, only ISH probe No. 1 was used in subsequent experiments. In the forebrain, we detected Hgf expression in the dorsal pallium at E15 and present through P0, the last age examined. More specifically, Hgf transcripts were confined to cells residing along the ventricular surface at the pallio-subpallial boundary at E15 (fig. 6a, b), where radial glial fibers originate [69]. After E15, expression of Hgf extended through the entire ventricular/subventricular zone (fig. 6c–f). Hgf expression was also detected weakly in the developing epithalamic that contains the emerging pineal gland at E13. Staining became very intense by E15 and remained at high levels through the end of gestation (fig. 6a, c, e).

**Dynamic Expression of Hgf Alternative Spliced Variants in the Embryonic Brain**

Alternative spliced variants of Hgf are capable of binding and activating the MET receptor [40, 41, 43]. These variants, however, are not detectable using our Hgf riboprobe (fig. 7a; online suppl. fig. 5); thus, their expression in the developing brain remains to be investigated. There are ten known mouse Hgf transcripts encoding 4 different protein isoforms reported in the UCSC mouse genome browser (fig. 7a). Isoforms 1 and 2 (detectable with the probes used in this study) encode the full-length proHGF that can be activated via membrane-bound proteases. Isoform 3 encodes a truncated version of HGF (also called HGF/NK1) [70] and was shown to bind and transduce downstream signals through MET in cultured cells. Isoform 4 encodes an even shorter form of HGF (compared to NK1) and its ability to interact with MET has never been determined. Two primer sets were designed to detect the presence of isoform 3 and 4 (fig. 7a) via RT-PCR. As shown in figure 7c, Hgf/NK1 transcripts were expressed in both brain and head tissues at E16 and in head tissue only at E13; Hgf isoform 4 cDNA was detected in E13 head tissues only. Interestingly, direct sequencing of the PCR products revealed two Hgf/NK1 splice variants, both using the alternative exon 5 splice site (one includes exon 5a and the other exon 5b; see fig. 7a, isoform 3 and isoform 3*). The data thus reveal a complex combination of alternatively spliced forms of Hgf that are expressed in the developing embryonic brain and head tissue, which could serve as ligands for activating MET.
Discussion

The present study reveals specific spatiotemporal expression patterns of Met transcript, protein and multiple splice variants of Hgf transcripts in the developing fetal mouse brainstem. The data reveal several new potential MET ligand sources, including full-length HGF in peripheral regions targeted by MET+ brainstem motor neurons, and locally expressed alternative splice forms of the ligand. The data demonstrate a relatively selective and transient pattern of Met expression in developing brainstem sensory and motor neurons that are part of complex circuits that participate in visceral organ (e.g. DMV, nA, BN and nTS) and oral functions (nVII, nA and nXII). While challenging to do precisely in fetal development, neuronal position, axonal trajectories and synaptic targets are used to categorize cranial motor neurons as somatic motor, branchiomotor or visceral motor [36]. Our results indicate that Met/MET expression in the brainstem is located mainly in subpopulations of neurons that reside in branchiomotor and visceral motor nuclei. Most interestingly, Met expression was also observed in neurons situated in two brainstem nuclei involved in homeostatic, mood and stress regulation, the B6 subgroup of the DR and BN. We found consistently that within each labeled nucleus, Met was only expressed in specific subsets of neurons, verified by using double-labeling strategies with specific markers of neuronal subtypes (see below). Surprisingly, we did not detect any Met transcript or protein in the trigeminal nucleus (nV) and observed only very faint in situ signals in the trochlear motor nucleus (nIV) (online suppl. fig. 1g, and data not shown). Using whole-mount tissue, Canton et al. [36] reported colocalization of Met and Isl1 expression in these two nuclei between E11 and E13. However, detailed illustration of the staining was not provided in that report, making it difficult to determine the extent of Met expression in these nuclei. Using our in situ and immunolocalization methods on tissue sections, the results suggest that nV and nIV cranial nerve nuclei do not express detectable levels of MET after E13, though we cannot rule out that some cells with very weak Met expression could be present in these nuclei.

Importantly, our study reveals the existence of several new potential sources of HGF ligand capable of activating MET receptor expressed prenatally by brainstem neurons. Whereas MET-expressing forebrain neurons may utilize full-length Hgf expressed locally, peripheral and local alternatively spliced forms of Hgf may serve the signaling requirements of MET in the developing brainstem. Differences in distribution patterns of alternatively spliced forms of HGF suggest that MET signaling may perform different functions in the forebrain and hindbrain during development.

MET, HGF and the Visceral Sensory/Motor Connection

Our results reveal the expression of Met in neurons located in developing DMV, nA, nTS and BN. These nu-

Fig. 5. MET is expressed by neurons in BN. a–c Immunostaining for MET, TH and CRH at E16. Arrowhead denotes MET+ neurons situated medial to the TH+ LC. Note the absence of double-labeled neurons. The arrow in a indicates MET+ neurons in the DR. d–f In contrast, immunostaining for CRH and MET revealed colocalization in neurons residing within BN (arrowhead), but not in the more laterally situated LC (arrow). Scale Bar = 30 μm (top); 10 μm (bottom).
Fig. 6. Distribution of Hgf mRNA in the prenatal mouse forebrain. Coronal sections from E15 (a, b), E17 (c, d) and E19 (e, f) brains hybridized with a DIG-labeled riboprobe to full-length Hgf transcripts. g–i Met mRNA expression on adjacent sections of a, c and e, respectively. DP = Dorsal pallium; VP = ventral pallium; HC = hippocampus; Pi = developing pineal gland. Higher-magnification images of boxed areas are shown in the right bottom corner of each micrograph. Arrows denote areas of intense Hgf labeling. Asterisks indicate Met transcript localization. j–l Similarly stained caudal sections at E16 show that Hgf is not expressed in the midbrain and hindbrain. Absence of labeling was also evident at earlier and later prenatal ages. Dorsal (D) is at the top. Scale bar = 50 μm.
clei are involved in sensory and motor connections to visceral organs and the spinal cord. This has important functional implications, particularly in the context of neurodevelopmental disorders in which MET dysfunction has been implicated as a risk factor, such as ASD [18–20]. Expression of Met in these nuclei was detected first at E11 for DMV and nA [36], E13 for nTS, and E15 for BN, at the time when the corresponding neurons are postmitotic, have initiated axonal extensions, and are beginning to target peripheral structures [37]. Previous studies have shown that MET activation regulates axonal outgrowth by spinal and cranial facial motor neurons in vitro [36, 38]. In vivo, axonal targeting by neurons in nXII and spinal motor neurons innervating cutaneous maximus is disrupted by the absence of Met [36, 71]. Nonetheless, widespread axon pathfinding defects have not been described in either Hgf or Met knockout mice. Because constitutive deletion of Hgf or Met results in early lethality [36, 71], conditional deletion of Met will be required to examine its role in mediating maturation of brainstem visceral sensory/motor neurons during pre- and postnatal development.

The role of HGF-MET signaling during development in peripheral organs is not fully understood, though an early study first reported Hgf expression in fetal kidney, intestine, lung, liver, pancreas and stomach [68]. This expression was confirmed in the present study using RT-PCR (fig. 7). Given the localization of MET in motor neurons that innervate these structures, one may speculate a potentially important developmental impact of MET signaling on the extent or innervation patterns of motor axons. For example, the vagal nerve controls gastrointestinal (GI) peristalsis, while nTS receives the sensory information from the GI tract, relaying input to DMV and nA.

**Fig. 7.** Expression of full-length and splice variants of Hgf in the developing brain and visceral organs. **a** Diagram of the various forms of Hgf. Relative positions of primer sets used for RT-PCR are indicated by arrows. Isoform 3* was newly discovered by sequencing the PCR product and it is not reported in the UCSC mouse genome browser. **b** RT-PCR analysis of E16 CNS and visceral organ tissues for Hgf transcript expression using a panHgf primer set. SP = Whole spinal cord; Br = whole brain; G = whole gut; Hr = whole heart. **c** RT-PCR expression analysis of Hgf splice variants in E13 and E16 whole-brain and head muscle/mesenchymal tissues. RT = Reverse transcriptase; H = head muscle/mesenchymal tissues; Br = whole brain. PanHgf, NK1, and Intron4 primer sets are shown in **a**.
In this context, it is noteworthy that there is an increased association of MET rs1858830 C allele in children with ASD who exhibit co-occurring gastrointestinal conditions [21]. These children exhibit severe constipation as their primary GI condition [32, 33, 72], consistent with some contribution from the atypical development of MET-expressing circuits that are involved in mediating GI motility and visceral sensory functions. Moreover, in the context of expression in additional brainstem neuron groups, other autonomic problems are widely reported in children with ASD [29–31].

**Met Expression in the Developing Brainstem**

Neurons located in nVII, nA and nXII innervate muscles in the oral tract such as the tongue, pharynx and larynx that control taste, eating, swallowing and speech. The specific expression of Met in subsets of neurons in these nuclei thus warrants further investigation in animals carrying conditionally deleted Met alleles. The function of MET may be even more selective and complex, however, because only subpopulations of neurons in these motor nuclei express Met. What may be the functional significance of such differential Met expression? It is possible that MET signaling acts only on certain subtypes of neurons in these motor nuclei. For example, in the dorsal root ganglia, MET signaling promotes peptidergic identity in a subset of nociceptors due to its ability to suppress Runx1 expression [73]. In contrast, Runx1 represses Met expression in nonpeptidergic neurons. This counterbalance between Runx1 and MET signaling creates mixed populations of dorsal root ganglia neurons, consistent with MET signaling regulating the survival and differentiation of functionally related subsets of motor neurons in these brainstem nuclei. Cranial motor neurons develop early and have reached their target tissues by E13. Interestingly, only nXII nerves showed targeting defect at E12 in Hgf knockout mice [36]. Our results show that MET expression in these central motor nuclei extends through birth, suggesting that MET/HGF signaling may exert a role beyond the initial chemotraction of cranial motor nerves.

**Met and DR 5-HT Projection**

Expression of Met transcript and protein occurs in a highly circumscribed region of the caudal DR 5-HT that includes neurons corresponding to the B6 subgroup. 5-HT neurons are involved in homeostatic, stress and mood regulation. Tracing experiments have shown specific projections from B6 5-HT neurons to the nucleus accumbens, amygdala, hypothalamus and medial prefrontal cortex, structures involved in emotional state and stress regulation [74, 75]. Furthermore, our results show an absence of MET expression in the Pet-1KO mouse, suggesting that Met transcription is downstream of this factor shown to be critical for the differentiation of the majority of rostrally projecting raphe neurons. The role of MET signaling in 5-HT neurons is not known. While not yet explored, the high level of G-protein-coupled 5-HT receptors in 5-HT neurons suggest a ligand-independent, alternative way to activate MET receptor as has been demonstrated in human hepatocellular and pancreatic carcinoma cells [76].

**HGF as a Putative CNS Signaling Molecule in Development**

Although MET activity has been linked to a variety of histogenic events [15], the developmental expression of its only known ligand, HGF, has not been examined thoroughly. In this study, we found that Hgf expression in the fetal CNS specifically localized to 2 regions: the developing pineal gland (starting at E13; fig. 6a, c, e) and cells along the ventricular/subventricular zone of the lateral ventricles. The current report did not examine early postnatal expression in the forebrain, a time when high levels of MET signaling influence later events of dendrite and synapse development [16]. Met deletion conditionally from the dorsal pallium does not result in major disruption of forebrain architecture and axon patterning [16]. Thus, the function of MET activation via HGF in this region of the developing forebrain appears to be more subtle. In addition to its abundance in serum, HGF is also present in cerebrospinal fluid [77, 78]. This raises the possibility that HGF produced near the lateral ventricles and in the developing pineal gland, situated above the third ventricle, may be secreted into the cerebrospinal fluid and initiate signaling in distant regions of the embryonic brain. Interestingly, HGF in human cerebrospinal fluid can be detected, and levels were decreased in children with ASD [79].

The present data also provide the first evidence that there are multiple forms of Hgf transcript expressed during fetal brain development. In humans, according to the UCSC human genome browser (www.genome.ucsc.edu), 7 HGF mRNA splicing isoforms encode 7 different protein isoforms. Besides the two full-length forms of HGF that have been confirmed as MET ligands, the 2 smaller isoforms, HGF/NK1 and HGF/NK2 also can activate the receptor in vitro [41, 80, 81]. In addition, HGF/NK2 can act as an antagonist to full-length HGF in vitro [82]. In mice, only 4 HGF protein isoforms generated from alternative splicing are reported in the UCSC mouse genome
Conclusion

Expression-mapping studies such as the one presented here provide a foundation for experimental manipulations that probe function. The challenge will be to translate our findings of robust MET expression in a limited number of brainstem nuclei that participate in homeostatic, visceral and autonomic regulation, combined with the very restricted expression of HGF to relevant peripheral structures and ventricular regions of the forebrain. MET signaling is pleiotropic, and thus may influence a complex number of histogenic events in brainstem nuclei. The variety of HGF ligands in the brain adds to the challenge of understanding MET developmental function.
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