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We read the article SACRAL Syndrome: Spinal Dysraphism, Anogenital, Cutaneous, Renal and Urologic Anomalies, Associated with an Angioma of Lumbosacral Localization [1]. The association between cutaneous hemangiomas in the lumbosacral area and underlying developmental anomalies was first reported by Goldberg and Esterly over 20 years ago and the acronym PELVIS was recently published to emphasize this well-recognized association [2, 3]. We believe the authors highlight the importance of this association; however, they have underemphasized the importance of the location of the cutaneous hemangioma and associated anomalies.

In the ‘Methods’ section, the authors state that they retrospectively reviewed all hemangiomas in the ‘perineal region’ not the lumbosacral region. The anatomic definition of the perineum is the region of the body between the anus and the opening of the urethra. This is a very important distinction as the perineum is derived from a separate embryonic unit than the lumbosacral region. Knowledge of developmental origin of the skin in these regions is essential and may help predict the risk of underlying anomalies and dictate the need for screening [4]. In this study, every patient with associated spinal dysraphism had hemangioma involving the skin of the midline lumbosacral region. So in essence the only infants that had occult (not obvious by physical examination) abnormalities had hemangiomas that involved the lumbosacral region of the skin. The skin overlying the lumbosacral region has an intimate developmental relationship with the neural tube, whereas sacral, coccegeal, and perineal skin does not. Cutaneous dimples over the lumbosacral region are often indicative of underlying spinal dysraphism whereas isolated anorectal, perineal, and sacral dimples are not associated with dysraphism. Hemangiomas in the perineal region are most often seen as an isolated finding and infrequently they may occur with obvious anogenital anomalies, as described in case number 1. The authors conclude that 4/9 ‘midline’ lesions had associated anomalies. The term ‘midline’ is used loosely, without specifying midline perineum, midline sacrum, or midline lumbum. A reader may be lead to believe all midline lesions need a screening MRI. In practice most practitioners do not screen all patients with perineal hemangiomas as the associated malformations are often easily visualized [5]. In contrast hemangiomas of the lumbosacral region are frequently markers of occult spinal anomalies that are often asymptomatic in young children and may result in the permanent neurologic deficits (tethered cord syndrome). Although the authors do not explicitly recommend imaging for all perineal hemangiomas, they fail to emphasize the importance of lumbosacral localization in the text of the article.
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We read with interest the comments by Drolet and Garzon concerning our paper entitled SACRAL syndrome. We agree that the association between cutaneous hemangioma of the lumbosacral area and occult dysraphism was first reported by Golberg and Esterly [1], and this paper was referenced as number 6 in our article. However, concerning the 2006 paper published by our French colleagues in the Archives of Dermatology [2], where they proposed the acronym PELVIS, we want to clarify that this work was not yet published when our paper was submitted. In addition, the SACRAL acronym was proposed by us more than 3 years ago.
at the 7th Congress of the European Society for Pediatric Dermatology in Barcelona in 2002 and at the Journées Dermatologiques de Paris in December 2003 [3].

Drolet and Garzon are right about the importance of the lumbosacral location of the hemangioma as a marker of occult spinal dysraphism, which we did not want to underemphasize, as they state in their letter. We indeed emphasized this location in the proposed acronym, with S standing for spinal dysraphism and A and L standing for angioma of lumbosacral localization. Despite a different embryonic origin of these two regions, perineum and lumbosacral area, an hemangioma can involve both areas, and the key message is that the clinician should be aware of all possible significant associated anomalies when examining a baby with an hemangioma of the ‘napkin area’. Eventually, future prospective studies, as already performed for the PHACES syndrome [4], will probably better delineate the SACRAL/PELVIS syndromes.
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