A Giant Hemorrhagic Adrenal Pseudocyst
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Introduction

Cystic lesions of the adrenal gland are uncommon and demonstrate a spectrum of histological changes and may vary from pseudocysts to malignant cystic neoplasms. An adrenal pseudocyst is a fibrous-surrounded cyst within the adrenal gland devoid of a recognizable layer of lining cells. The incidence of adrenal hemorrhagic pseudocyst is very low. Less than 100 hemorrhagic pseudocysts have been reported, but only few of these had such giant proportions [1, 2]. We report a case of giant adrenal pseudocyst measuring about 20 cm in largest diameter.

Case Report

A 57-year-old woman presented with a 1-year history of abdominal pain and distension. Ultrasonography and multislice computed tomography revealed a giant cystic (partially solid) mass over the left suprarenal region measuring 20 × 17 × 15 cm. A complete endocrine workup failed to detect any hormonal hypersecretion. The patient underwent surgery through upper medial transperitoneal approach and the tumor measuring about 20 cm in largest diameter.
20 cm in largest diameter was completely removed. It was located under the pancreatic body, splenic flexure of the transverse colon, and over the left kidney. It was separated from the adjacent organs without any difficulties. Histological examination showed that the cyst wall consisted of hyalinized fibrous tissue without an epithelial or endothelial lining and organized hematoma content, and a diagnosis of an adrenal pseudocyst was made. Normal adrenal gland was forming part of its wall. Immunohistochemistry was CD 34-positive. The patient did well postoperatively, and her pain completely resolved.

Discussion

Adrenal gland cysts are uncommon entities and four pathological subtypes have been described: (1) cystic degeneration of adrenal neoplasms, (2) true cysts, (3) pseudocysts and (4) infectious cysts [3]. Hemorrhagic pseudocysts are even rarer and probably occur secondary to adrenal hemorrhage. Cystic degeneration of adrenals with hemorrhage has previously been described in various malignant adrenal tumors [4, 5]. The reported incidence of malignancy in adrenal cystic lesions was approximately 7% [6]. Among adrenal cysts the most common types are epithelial cysts and pseudocysts. True cysts are lined with endothelial or mesothelial cells [7]. On the contrary, adrenal pseudocysts are devoid of a recognizable lining layer and arise within the adrenal gland surrounded by a fibrous tissue wall. Infectious cysts are most commonly caused by Echinococcus [8]. Most of these cystic lesions are clinically silent and are therefore often diagnosed incidentally. Large cysts generally present as nonspecific abdominal pain and pose a differential diagnostic dilemma to the treating urologist. Since up to 7% of adrenal cysts are malignant, a careful preoperative hormonal, and morphofunctional evaluation is mandatory [9]. In particular, serum vanillylmandelic acid, adrenocorticotropin hormone and cortisol have to be investigated to rule out functioning adrenal carcinomas or pheochromocytoma. Most of the malignant adrenal cysts are metastatic (95%), followed by pheochromocytoma (3%) and adrenocortical carcinoma (2%) [9]. Malignancy may be suspected in the presence of symptoms caused by functioning neoplasms such as Cushing’s syndrome, hyperadrenalism, hirsutism in women, acne and balding in men, and hypertension. Our patient did not have any of these symptoms. Radiological workup (CT, MRI) plays a very helpful role in the localization of the origin, in determining the cystic nature and whether or not the retroperitoneal mass is benign. Density of the mass usually indicates a cystic nature. Both benign and malignant adrenal cysts may have calcification, eggshell-like in appearance, such as in our case. Fine-needle aspiration cytology as well as examination of a punch biopsy specimen of the cystic wall are of limited value, as there is considerable overlap in cytologic and histologic features of benign and malignant adrenal cystic lesions. Histopathology of the excised specimen gives confirmatory diagnosis. The pathogenesis is unclear. Hemorrhagic cystic degeneration resembling that of a thyroid nodule or malformation of an artery or a vein which undergoes cystic dilatation or hemorrhage have been proposed [3]. Predisposing factors for adrenal hemorrhage such as trauma to the abdomen, infection, anoxia in infants, hemorrhagic diathesis, embolism, and aneurysm are present in 32% of adrenal cysts [10]. Surgical excision is indicated in the presence of symptoms, suspicion of malignancy, increase in size or
detection of a functioning adrenal cyst and can be managed by open surgery or laparoscopic approach [1]. Minimally invasive techniques are more effective for small tumors [1, 6]. Kalady et al. [11] recommend that cysts larger than 6 cm should be approached using an open procedure because of concerns about potential malignancy. In case of giant pseudocysts, most authors prefer open adrenalectomy, since the laparoscopic approach is not sufficient to control such large masses with active bleeding inside.

Conclusion

A hemorrhagic adrenal pseudocyst is an uncommon clinical finding and it is even rarer when having such giant proportions. In most cases of hemorrhagic adrenal pseudocysts, no identifiable cause of hemorrhage can be determined. Surgery is required for symptomatic cases and in case of uncertain diagnosis. Radiological and clinical features of the tumor are nonspecific and histopathological examination is essential to establish definitive diagnosis. The operation is usually a laparotomic adrenalectomy for better control of such large masses.
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