Macrophage Phenotype and Renal Fibrosis in Obstructive Nephropathy
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Abstract
Macrophages have classically been recognized as key players that may promote renal fibrosis. However, several recent studies have suggested a beneficial anti-fibrotic role of infiltrating macrophages that acts to preserve renal architecture in the progressive renal scarring associated with obstructive nephropathy. Furthermore, recent investigations indicate a role for macrophages in both inflammation and repair during disease processes and this has superseded the classical injurious view of macrophages. As a result, the exact role of interstitial macrophages upon various facets of renal fibrosis is an important challenge that needs to be addressed. In this article, we discuss the possible beneficial anti-fibrotic role of infiltrating macrophages in obstructive nephropathy and discuss the potential mechanisms that may regulate the effect of macrophages upon renal interstitial fibrosis.

Introduction
Chronic tubulointerstitial inflammation and subsequent fibrosis form a final common pathway that leads to progressive loss of renal function in various chronic kidney diseases. Tubulointerstitial disease is characterized by early inflammatory cell infiltration followed by peritubular capillary loss and tubular atrophy. Cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage are always present and are often the predominant infiltrating cell type in both experimental models and human renal disease. Since the presence of macrophages often correlates with the degree of renal fibrosis, the infiltrating macrophage has been considered to be a key player that actively promotes fibrosis [1].

Many of the cellular and molecular events underlying interstitial fibrosis have been elucidated in the past 5 years with many processes studied in the model of unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) that is a well-established model of progressive renal interstitial fibrosis. UUO is a useful model as it results in many pathophysiological events within 1 week following ureteral ligation that encompass many aspects of other kidney disease models related to interstitial fibrosis. Interstitial macrophage infiltration is evident soon after ureteral ligation [2] and is recognized as playing a central role in the inflammatory response and subsequent progression of interstitial fibrosis. This notion was primarily attributed to previous studies in which experimental manipulations that decreased the number of interstitial macrophages preserved renal architecture. However, several recent studies have suggested a beneficial anti-fibrotic role of infiltrating macrophages in some settings of obstructive nephropathy [3–8]. Furthermore, recent investigations have highlighted new roles for macrophages in the biology of inflammation and fibrosis and extended the role...
of macrophages in both inflammation and tissue repair [9–11]. In this review, we focus upon the potential antifibrotic actions of infiltrating macrophages in UUO and discuss the possible regulatory role of macrophages in renal interstitial fibrosis.

**Macrophage Infiltration after UUO**

An increase in the number of interstitial macrophages is evident 4 h after ureteral ligation. Interstitial macrophage number increases rapidly in the first 24 h and plateaus at a level approximately 10-fold higher than normal levels until day 3 [2]. Although macrophages constitute the predominant infiltrating cell population in acutely obstructed kidneys, increased numbers of T lymphocytes are also evident after 24 h of obstruction though neither B lymphocytes nor neutrophils are observed. Interstitial macrophage numbers further increase at 96 h after ureteral ligation such that the degree of macrophage infiltration at 14 days is much greater than that at 5 days after obstruction [3]. Thus, the number of interstitial macrophages increases biphasically with an initial rapid increase during the first 24 h after UUO and the second phase following 72 h after UUO. This biphasic pattern suggests that discussion of the role of interstitial macrophages in obstructed kidneys should take into account the time frame after UUO.

**Experimental Manipulations That Affect the Number of Interstitial Macrophages in the Obstructed Kidney**

Various experimental manipulations that affect the interstitial macrophage infiltrate of obstructed kidneys and the consequent effect upon the degree of renal fibrosis provides important information regarding the role of macrophages in renal fibrosis. Since many interstitial macrophages are recruited from circulating monocytes, strategies that limit interstitial macrophage infiltration include systemic monocyte depletion and blockade of the factors that promote monocyte recruitment.

Multiple molecules on the endothelial cell surface and the subendothelial environment direct circulating monocytes to inflamed sites and these include selectins and integrins that mediate monocyte rolling and adhesion followed by diapedesis. Various chemokines that attract monocytes have also been demonstrated on tubular epithelial cells and peritubular capillary endothelial cells and these include monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) and regulated upon activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES). Targeted deletion or blockade of chemokines or chemokine receptors primarily interrupted the initial phase of macrophage infiltration following UUO and resulted in decreased macrophage infiltration and reduced renal fibrosis [12]. Sung et al. [13] used liposome-encapsulated clodronate to systemically deplete macrophages in a rat UUO model. Systemic macrophage depletion resulted in reduced initial interstitial macrophage infiltration and decreased renal fibrosis also in this model. Thus, the results of these studies suggest that the initial phase of macrophage infiltration may promote renal fibrosis.

**Possible Functional Heterogeneity of Interstitial Macrophages in the Obstructed Kidney**

Although interrupting the initial phase of macrophage infiltration following UUO results in decreased interstitial fibrosis, a number of studies (summarized in table 1) have indicated an inverse correlation between the number of interstitial macrophages and the degree of fibrosis in obstructive nephropathy thereby suggesting a potential renoprotective role of macrophages in renal fibrosis. We previously reported the inverse correlation between interstitial macrophage number and interstitial fibrosis in mice that had received a bone marrow transplant from angiotensin II type 1 receptor null (Agtr1–/–) mice [3]. We found a similar relationship in mice that either underwent cyclophosphamide-mediated peripheral macrophage depletion [6] or were treated with matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 inhibitor at day 14, but not at day 5, after UUO [8]. In mice reconstituted with Agtr1–/– bone marrow, it has been suggested that the reduced macrophage infiltration and increased renal fibrosis are associated with the impairment of functions such as migration and phagocytosis in Agtr1–/– macrophages [3]. In mice depleted of macrophages by cyclophosphamide treatment, adoptive transfer of bone marrow–derived macrophages ameliorated renal fibrosis [6]. The suppressed macrophage infiltration and accelerated renal fibrosis in mice treated with a MMP-2 inhibitor is associated with decreased macrophage migration towards the degradation products of extracellular matrix (ECM) [8]. In urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor null (uPAR–/–) mice, Zhang et al. [4] demonstrated increased renal fibrosis and suppressed macrophage infiltration at day 14, but not at day 3, after UUO. They suggested that the absence of scavenging re-
The receptor of uPAR−/− macrophages resulted in the delayed clearance of pro-fibrotic molecules. In a mouse model of reversal of ureteral obstruction (R-UUO), Cochrane et al. [5] reported that although interstitial matrix expansion and kidney collagen concentration was markedly decreased at 6 weeks following reversal, interstitial macrophage infiltration still persisted at a level comparable with that of 2 weeks after R-UUO. In this study, significant numbers of macrophages were associated with areas of active remodeling in the kidneys after R-UUO suggesting a role for macrophages in both renal injury and repair. Additionally, Pecly et al. [7] reported that administration of low molecular weight heparin in the rat UUO model reduced collagen content and was associated with an increased number of infiltrating macrophages in the obstructed kidney 14 days after UUO. They suggested an effect upon the activation of heparin-binding proteins expressed by circulating monocytes or the macrophage chemotactic factor midkine that is expressed by tubular epithelial cells in the diseased kidney. In all of these reports, the inverse correlation between interstitial macrophage number and the degree of fibrosis was noted at the later stage of UUO (day 14) and we should therefore consider the possible renoprotective role for macrophages that infiltrate in the later phase after UUO.

### Interstitial Macrophages as Regulators of Inflammatory and Fibrotic Processes

Recent work indicates that macrophages can be functionally distinguished into two broad phenotypes based on cell surface markers and cytokine profile and this has implications for the role of macrophages in inflammation and fibrosis [9–11]. Classically activated (M1) macrophages are induced by the Th-1 lymphokine interferon (IFN)−/H9253, bacterial and fungal cell wall components or degraded matrix. In contrast, alternatively activated (M2) macrophages are induced by Th-2 lymphokines such as interleukin (IL)-4, IL-10, IL-13 and transforming growth factor (TGF)−/H9252, anti-inflammatory agents such as corticosteroids as well as the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells [14]. M1 and M2 macrophages mediate contrasting and complementary functions in tissue fibrosis. For example, M1 macrophages may induce apoptosis of host tissue cells through the release of proinflammatory mediators such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)−/H9251 and nitric oxide (NO) in rodents [16] thereby inducing tissue injury. Indeed, tubular epithelial cells represent a target of macrophage-induced apoptosis in the obstructed kidney [17]. Apoptotic cells are rapidly recognized and phagocytosed by macrophages with this process promoting differentiation into M2 macrophages [15, 18]. Macrophages reprogrammed by apoptotic cell ingestion or ex-

---

**Table 1.** Reports indicating inverse correlation between the number of interstitial macrophages and the degree of fibrosis in obstructive nephropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Duration of treatment*</th>
<th>Day of assessment*</th>
<th>Number of interstitial macrophages</th>
<th>Degree of fibrosis</th>
<th>Myofibroblast accumulation</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMT from Agtr1null mice</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>day 5 day 14</td>
<td>unchanged decreased</td>
<td>unchanged increased</td>
<td>– unchanged</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UUO in uPAR gene knockout mice</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>day 3 day 14</td>
<td>decreased decreased</td>
<td>unchanged increased</td>
<td>increased increased</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversal of ureteral obstruction</td>
<td>R-UUO at day 10</td>
<td>6 weeks after R-UUO</td>
<td>unchanged compared with 2 weeks after R-UUO</td>
<td>decreased compared with 2 weeks after R-UUO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophage depletion by cyclophosphamide treatment</td>
<td>day –2 to 1 day 6 to 10</td>
<td>day 5 day 14</td>
<td>decreased decreased</td>
<td>unchanged increased</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoptive macrophage transfer after cyclophosphamide treatment</td>
<td>day –2 to 4 day 6 to 11</td>
<td>day 5 day 14</td>
<td>increased increased</td>
<td>unchanged decreased</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration of low-molecular-weight heparin</td>
<td>day 0 to 14</td>
<td>day 14</td>
<td>increased</td>
<td>decreased</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>rat</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration of MMP-2 inhibitor</td>
<td>day –2 to 4 day 7 to 13</td>
<td>day 5 day 14</td>
<td>unchanged decreased</td>
<td>increased increased</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMT = Bone marrow transplantation; Agtr1 = angiotensin II type 1 receptor; uPAR = urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor; R-UUO = reversal of unilateral ureteral obstruction; MMP-2 = matrix metalloproteinases-2. * The day of ureteral ligation was day 0.
Exposure to anti-inflammatory cytokines act to dampen inflammation by the secretion of cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β/H9252 and appear to be highly pro-fibrogenic and contribute to tissue remodeling where increased ECM deposition predominates. Indeed, M2 macrophages produce large amounts of TGF-β/H9252 and can induce myofibroblast proliferation [19]. In contrast, M1 macrophages produce MMPs [19, 20] and can also induce myofibroblasts to produce MMPs [21] that promotes ECM degradation and facilitates the resolution of fibrosis. Furthermore, MMPs may contribute to the resolution of fibrosis by stimulating myofibroblast apoptosis [22]. As depicted in table 1, uPAR−/− mice exhibit increased myofibroblast accumulation and fibrosis that is accompanied with decreased macrophage infiltration at a late stage after UUO [4], suggesting that infiltrating macrophages may exert anti-fibrotic actions by affecting the size of the interstitial myofibroblast population. Although MMPs may induce basement membrane injury and promote epithelial-mesenchymal transdifferentiation (EMT) of renal tubular cells and renal fibrosis [23], it is of interest that early inhibition of MMP-2 activity in experimental allograft nephropathy ameliorated fibrosis whereas MMP-2 inhibition in the setting of established fibrosis resulted in more severe allograft nephropathy [24]. Thus, this stimulation of the production of MMPs by M1 macrophages during the later stages of fibrosis may shift the equilibrium towards degradation and play an important anti-fibrotic role.

Although the contribution of these two phenotypes of macrophages at each stage of the fibrotic process still re-
mains to be elucidated, a recent study by Duffield et al. [25] used a conditional monocyte/macrophage ablation system to confirm the presence of two distinct macrophage phenotypes in progressive and resolving liver fibrosis in mice treated with CCl₄. Macrophage depletion during progressive inflammatory fibrosis ameliorated scarring and reduced myofibroblast numbers whilst macrophage depletion during the recovery phase led to a failure of matrix degradation and persistent scarring.

Recent reports indicate that macrophage-derived galectin-3 is required for TGF-β-mediated myofibroblast activation and matrix production in hepatic fibrosis [26] and obstructive nephropathy [27]. Furthermore, classical macrophage activation with IFN-γ and lipopolysaccharide inhibits galectin-3 expression whereas galectin-3 is expressed and released by macrophages with M2 phenotypic markers with galectin-3 promoting M2 macrophage activation [28]. Thus, macrophages may regulate tissue fibrosis via galectin-3 with the production of galectin-3 being distinctively regulated by the phenotype of macrophages.

**Conclusions**

Although macrophages have classically been recognized as an active player in progressive renal scarring, several recent studies have suggested a renoprotective role of infiltrating macrophages that preserves renal architecture and limits progressive renal scarring in the late stage after UUO. These seemingly paradoxical findings may reflect the differential regulation of inflammation and fibrosis by two functionally distinct phenotypes of macrophages (summarized in fig. 1). Several specific markers for each macrophage phenotype have recently reported e.g. Ym1 (chitinase-like lectin) and RELM-α (resistin-like secreted protein – also known as FIZZ1 [found in inflammatory zone 1]) are markers of M2 macrophages [29]. These distinct phenotypes of macrophages require consideration in the analysis of the mechanism and, more importantly, therapeutic approaches to renal fibrosis. For example, novel therapeutic agents that specifically target either M1 or M2 macrophages may represent a more selective approach for renal fibrosis. The inhibition of M2 macrophages during progressive fibrosis would be predicted to decrease the fibrotic response and recent studies examining the mechanisms that regulate alternative macrophage activation may provide new therapeutic targets. Also, since M1 macrophages may be anti-fibrotic, attempts to convert M2 macrophages to a M1 phenotype might be helpful. A caveat with this potential approach is that agents used to stimulate the M1 phenotype are pro-inflammatory and may induce tissue injury. There is now a need to develop novel therapies that modulate macrophage function to treat renal fibrosis.
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