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Blood hemoglobin concentration falls to less than 8 g/dl, to develop growth failure. Glucose should be provided at 6–8 mg/min/kg as soon after birth as possible and adjusted according to frequent measurements of plasma glucose to achieve and maintain concentrations >45 mg/dl but <120 mg/dl to avoid the frequent problems of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia. Similarly, lipid is required to provide at least 0.5 g/kg/day to prevent essential fatty acid deficiency. However, the high rate of carbohydrate and lipid supply that preterm infants often get, based on the incomplete assumption that this is necessary to promote protein growth, tends to produce increased fat in organs like the liver and heart as well as adipose tissue. More and better essential fatty acid nutrition is valuable, but more organ and adipose fat has no known benefit and many problems. Amino acids and protein are essential not only for body growth but for metabolic signaling, protein synthesis, and protein accretion. 3.5–4.0 g/kg/day are necessary to produce normal protein balance and growth in very preterm infants. Attempts to promote protein growth with insulin has many problems – it is ineffective while contributing to even further organ and adipose tissue fat deposition. Enteral feeding always is indicated and to date nearly all studies have shown that minimal enteral feeding regimens produce less NEC than those geared towards...
more aggressive introduction of enteral feeding. Finally, overfeeding has the definite potential to produce adipose tissue, or obesity, which then leads to insulin resistance, glucose intolerance, and diabetes. This scenario occurs more commonly as infants are fed more and gain weight more rapidly after birth, regardless of their birth weight. Infants with IUGR and postnatal growth failure may be uniquely “set up” for this outcome, while infants with in utero obesity, such as infants of diabetic mothers, already are well along this adverse outcome pathway.
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Introduction

Over the past 20 years, neonatal mortality rates for preterm infants, particularly those born extremely preterm (23–28 weeks’ gestational age) and of very low birth weight (<1,000 g), have decreased steadily. Most of the major advances in this remarkable improvement have come from specialized techniques, such as high-frequency ventilation, continuous positive airway pressure applications, prenatal corticosteroid treatment of the mother about to deliver, postnatal artificial surfactant treatment, and an increasingly sophisticated array of medications. Improved experience of neonatologists, neonatal nurses, and many other healthcare workers has played a major role. Added to this growing capacity to improve healthcare of such fragile infants also includes an expanding array of nutritional strategies, including new formulas, supplements to milk, and intravenous nutrient solutions. Active research now is determining the most effective of these nutritional strategies, and to determine which of these strategies, as well as their optimal use, lead to the most effective outcomes in terms of body growth, body composition, and neurodevelopmental outcomes. Several recent reviews provide excellent in depth coverage of this topic [1–7].

Goal of Nutrition for Preterm Infants

Most neonatologists have accepted the recommendation of the American Academy of Pediatrics that growth of the postnatal preterm infant, both their anthropometric indices and body composition, should be the same as the normal fetus of the same gestational age growing in its mother’s uterus [8]. While an imperfect guideline, since clearly there are different energy expenditures imposed by the neonatal intensive care environment and many diseases and adverse conditions that such infants experience, the normally growing fetus provides a reasonable estimate of the nutrition it would take to at least provide for such growth. It is, therefore, a guideline, not a requirement.

Consequences of Not Meeting the Goal of Normal Fetal Growth Rate

With such a guideline, though, how well are we doing in terms of feeding preterm infants and achieving the goal of the normal rate of fetal growth? Clearly, data from all around the world indicate that we have considerable room for improvement, as the growth of nearly all preterm infants, especially those at the earliest gestational ages and lowest birth weights, lags behind fetal growth curves during the period between their birth and term gestational age, when nearly all are, as a result, growth-restricted [9, 10]. The same phenomenon was observed over 60 years ago, indicating that despite major advances in neonatology, strategies to nourish preterm infants and achieve better rates of growth has not kept pace with their survival [11].

Why is this the case? The principal answer appears to be that, for a variety of reasons (but not intent), neonatologists have not fed infants enough protein and enough energy to meet the requirements for fetal growth. Over time, therefore, preterm infants accumulate large protein and calorie deficits (negative areas under the nutrient requirement minus nutrient intake vs. time relationships), which so far have only been ameliorated, not removed by more appropriate and complete nutritional regimens [12].

Why is slower than normal rate of growth in preterm infants from insufficient nutrition a problem? Many studies now show clearly that specific nutritional deficits at critical stages of development limit fundamental components of growth that have long-lasting influences. Smart [13, 14] showed years ago that undernutrition of rat fetuses reduced brain growth overall as well as neuronal number and synapses, leading to later life reductions in brain size, cognitive capacity, and specific behaviors, such as learning. More recently, several groups have shown that brain growth of preterm infants is less than that of normally grown infants born at term, that this reduced brain growth is associated with cognitive delays, and that nutrition of the preterm infant with enriched diets (supplemented milk or preterm formulas, both with more protein) leads to larger brains and improved cognitive function, even into adolescence [15–17].
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**Requirement for Early, Complete Intravenous Feeding**

At this point, therefore, it is essential to determine how we might further improve nutrition of preterm infants to eliminate the negative outcomes of poor growth associated with undernutrition. Two principal strategies are: (1) to start ‘complete’ nutrition earlier after birth to better maintain immediate postnatal growth at the previous fetal rate, and (2) to continue gestational age-appropriate amounts of nutrition to maintain preterm neonatal growth at fetal rates. Both of these basic strategies assume that it probably is impossible to start enteral feeding right after birth and provide enough nutrition for all or even a major part of the preterm infant’s nutritional needs. This means that intravenous nutrition must be started immediately after birth and provide all of the nutrition that these infants need, only gradually decreasing as enteral feeding is increased successfully [5].

There are several general principles of early intravenous feeding in preterm infants [5]. These primarily note that metabolic and nutritional requirements do not stop with birth and, therefore, that intravenous feeding always is indicated when normal metabolic and nutritional needs are not met by normal enteral feeding. Hours, not days, are the longest periods infants should be allowed to not receive nutrition after birth, intravenously or enteraly, and the metabolic and nutrient requirements of the newborn are at least equal to or greater than those of the fetus.

**Nutrient Requirements for Preterm Infants**

If we are going to match preterm neonatal growth to fetal growth, which ‘fetal nutrients’ should we include and how much of each should we use to achieve fetal growth rates and prevent postnatal growth restriction? Basic to all nutritional strategies are the appropriate amounts of the essential nutrients, glucose, lipids, and amino acids. Oxygen also is essential in growth, and in fetal life, insulin is the primary anabolic hormone [2–4].

**Oxygen**

Several studies have documented that with sustained fetal hypoxia produced from maternal hypoxia, fetal protein synthesis decreases more than protein breakdown, leading to a reduction in net protein accretion [18]. In the pregnant mother exposed to hypoxia, however, reduced oxygen supply to the active or energy-dependent amino acid transporters doubly limits the capacity for protein synthesis in the fetus, first by diminishing active transport of amino acids into the fetus and second by diminishing protein synthesis itself, a result of molecular oxygen insufficiency. The latter has been defined in a variety of in vitro conditions [19]. Friedman, for example, showed that hypoxia prevented insulin from stimulating fetal eIF4e expression (eIF4e, or eukaryotic initiation factor 4e), a primary molecular protein synthesis regulator, in primary fetal hepatocytes at low, normal, and hyperglycemic glucose concentrations [J. Friedman et al., unpubl. data, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, Colo., USA, 2008]. In vivo, both animal and human studies have shown that fetal or neonatal growth rate will diminish to the extent that blood oxygen content is not maintained, either by producing anemia (withdrawing blood and removing red blood cells and replacing the remaining plasma in experimental animals) or by allowing anemia to develop (from blood draws for biochemical, blood gas, and hematological measurements in preterm infants) [20]. Furthermore, when anemia is prevented from developing in preterm infants (limiting blood draws, use of iron and erythropoietin treatments, and transfusion) or in experimental animals when red blood cell production and thus blood oxygen content naturally increase in response to hypoxia, growth is maintained at or closer to normal fetal growth rates at the same gestational age [21, 22]. It is possible, therefore, that if one allows preterm infants to be more anemic (hematocrits in the low 20s, Hgb <8 g/dl) to limit transfusion risks and also to have lower PaO2 values to reduce oxygen toxicity [23], growth restriction might become a more important problem. In this case, it remains to be determined if more aggressive provision of nutrients for energy production and protein synthesis will prevent or, alternatively, even worsen growth restriction more than what already occurs. These are important and untested questions, particularly in light of many previous unsuccessful attempts to improve fetal growth in pregnancies with intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) by maternal nutrient supplementation, particularly of protein [24, 25]. Clearly, this is one of the most important areas for future research in both fetal and neonatal nutrition, especially when oxygen supply is limited.

**Glucose**

Fetal studies in animal models have shown that fetal whole-body glucose utilization rates are twice as high early in gestation, about the same time that the earliest human preterm infants survive, as they are close to term...
venous lipid infusion also contributes to hyperglycemia by competitively limiting glucose oxidation by substituting its carbon, and by promoting gluconeogenesis by providing lipid metabolic product co-factors that increase activity of gluconeogenic enzymes [30].

Hyperglycemia is best treated, therefore, by reducing intravenous glucose infusion rate, normalizing physiology and decreasing endogenous and exogenous catecholamines, and limiting intravenous lipid infusion. Because amino acids stimulate insulin production, earlier and higher rates of intravenous amino acid infusions might promote insulin production and its positive effects on diminishing hepatic glucose production and enhancing glucose utilization, which together should decrease plasma glucose concentrations and their adverse effects [31].

**Lipids**

There is much less known about the normal supply of lipids and their requirements for fetal growth and development. Among land mammal species, however, normal human fetal development involves considerable fat deposition in adipose tissue, from 10% of body weight in IUGR infants up to 20–25% of body weight in infants of obese, gestational diabetic mothers [26]. It is not known whether this late gestational growth of body fat, confined primarily and, among mammals, uniquely to adipose tissue, is important to produce in preterm infants. Currently, intravenous lipids are variably (among neonatology programs around the world) provided as early as the first day of life, even in very preterm, low birth weight infants, and advanced rather quickly to 3 g/kg/day [32]. Attempts to increase lipid utilization with medium chain triglycerides that do not require the enzymes for transport of long chain triglycerides (carnitine palmitoyltransferase or CPT) across the mitochondrial membrane for energy production or the use of supplemental carnitine to promote CPT activity and lipid oxidation have not proven to benefit lipid oxidation unless, in the case of carnitine, total intravenous nutrition is required for more than 2–3 weeks, due to the general absence of carnitine from basic intravenous lipid emulsions and the inability of the newborn infant to synthesize it [33].

Long-term reductions (days to weeks) in the supply of essential fatty acids, particularly the long chain polyunsaturated (PUFA) fatty acids of the ω-3 category, can lead to essential fatty acid deficiency and limitation of neurological development (such fatty acids are required for myelin sheath development around both neurons and supporting glial cells and their absence leads to diminished neuronal development and synapse formation and function) [34, 35]. There are among all of the intravenous...
lipid emulsions, however, sufficient essential fatty acids when given at 0.5 g/kg/day, although many are unbalanced towards mostly ω–6 rather than ω–3 PUFAs. Specific neurological problems have been noted in preterm infants who do not get sufficient PUFAs, including delayed visual development [36]. Currently, there are a wide variety of intravenous lipid products that vary considerably in their supply of ω–3 fatty acids (docosahexaenoic acid in particular), but all, as well as human milk, do not provide the amount of these essential fatty acids that accumulate in the normally growing fetal brain. Fetal white adipose tissue during last trimester accumulates about 67 mg/day (mostly 22:6n–3). At 3.7 g fat/dl human milk with 0.2–0.4% fatty acids as 22:6n–3, a 1-kg preterm infant fed at full enteral feeds of 180 ml/day would get only 13–25 mg 22:6n–3/day, clearly below normal in utero accretion rates. Furthermore, a variety of studies have shown that preterm infants fed increased 22:6n–3 (docosahexaenoic acid) have higher visual acuity, particularly at 2 and 30 weeks corrected term age and improved Bayley mental and MacArthur Communicative inventories at 12 months [36]. Thus, the current diet for these infants is deficient in this essential fatty acid; the long-term significance of this deficiency is not known, nor how these infants would develop if fed to sufficiency [2].

**Amino Acids and Protein**

Fetal animal studies and estimates from normal human fetal growth indicate that preterm infants generally require more amino acid supply than has customarily been given to such infants. At mid-gestation, fetal animals require from 3.5 to 4.6 g/kg/day to sustain normal rates of fractional protein synthesis and growth [37, 38]. Similarly, by the factorial method, Ziegler et al. [39] have estimated that the normal human fetus of the same gestational age requires 4 g/kg/day. This rate of requirement is ongoing. There is no justification for short- or long-term interruption of amino acid supply, as happens when preterm infants are born and customarily are not given much in the way of intravenous (or enteral) amino acids, not just on the first day of life, but often for several days up to 1–2 weeks after birth. Fear of amino acid toxicity, uremia, and metabolic acidosis lingers, a problem left over from the earliest days of intravenous amino acid nutrition when solutions that were unbalanced away from essential amino acids and high in non-essential and potentially toxic (glycine, methionine, phenylalanine) were used. More recent solutions have reversed this pattern of amino acid supply and diminished markedly such possible toxicities [40, 41]. Furthermore, some increase in blood urea nitrogen is expected when the amino acids are oxidized, which they are quite readily in both the fetus and newborn preterm infant, and the ammonia by-product is successfully detoxified in the liver via the urea cycle. Unless there is simultaneous, severe pre-renal reductions in glomerular blood flow or glomerular filtration rate (often a result of fetal-neonatal hypoxic-ischemic damage), such increases in urea from normal rates of amino acid supply and oxidation are minimal and not known to be toxic [42, 43].

At the same time, many studies now have documented very clearly that there is a linear increase in both amino acid oxidation and amino acid synthesis into net protein accretion in relation to amino acid supply, at least up to 3.5 g/kg/day [41, 44]. The key, therefore, to prevent amino acid toxicity but to provide the necessary amount of amino acids for protein synthesis, net protein accretion, and growth is to give the right amount at the right time. Between 24 and 30 weeks, amino acid requirements are 3.6–4.8 g/kg/day. Between 30 and 36 weeks, fractional growth rate decreases, as does the protein requirement for growth, to 2–3 g/kg/day. At term, protein requirements decrease to those of the normal breastfed infant, or 1.5–2 g/kg/day.

**Insulin**

Insulin is the principal anabolic hormone in the fetus, enhancing protein synthesis and reducing protein breakdown [45]. Insulin production begins as early as the mid-trimester or around 15 weeks of gestation and increases to term values by 80% or so of term [46]. Absence of insulin in the fetus, as in infants with pancreatic agenesis, slows but does not stop growth, demonstrating that insulin is important but not essential for growth. Extra supply of insulin by itself without providing optimal amounts of amino acids and energy, cannot promote growth. There is no evidence, yet, that insulin infusion to ‘enhance’ nutrition (intravenously or enterally) of preterm infants, even extremely low birth weight (ELBW), very preterm infants, is beneficial, and there are many potential problems [45]. For the most part, insulin treatment to increase growth will simply make the baby fatter.

**Intravenous Nutrition Strategy**

Based on these principals of intravenous nutrition and nutritional substrate, oxygen, and anabolic hormone requirements the following guidelines are suggested for early and sustained intravenous nutrition to newborn, very preterm infants [5] (table 1).
Enteral Nutrition in Preterm Infants

Unfortunately, despite the obvious need for early intravenous feeding of total nutritional requirements for preterm infants, there are many adverse consequences of intravenous feeding without any enteral nutrition [45, 47]. The absence of food in the gastrointestinal tract produces mucosal and villous atrophy and reduction of enzymes necessary for digestion and substrate absorption [47]. Trophic hormones normally produced in the mouth, stomach, and gut in response to enteral feeding are diminished. A variety of immune deficits also develop, including decreased mucosal IgA from Peyer’s patches and increased production of adhesion molecules and polymorphonuclear cell attraction, all with the potential to increase the incidence and severity of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome [48, 49]. Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) may be a direct result of such changes when enteral feeding is then introduced along with the pathogenic bacteria that by now are commonly part of the infant’s skin, pharyngeal, tracheal, and gastrointestinal flora [1, 49]. Added to this burden of actual and potential pathology, prolonged intravenous infusions of glucose and amino acids lead to diminished insulin action to promote glucose utilization, resulting in glucose intolerance and hyperglycemia [50].

Why then is there such common delay in early enteral nutrition in preterm infants? The most common reasons for delayed initiation and limited advancement of enteral feedings in preterm infants are the concerns for increasing the risk of NEC and the known immaturity of a number of physiological and hormonal systems at early gestational ages.

Despite concerns that enteral feedings are associated with NEC in extremely low birth weight and preterm infants, however, there have been no multicenter randomized controlled trials to evaluate the impact of different enteral or enteral + intravenous feeding strategies on the development of NEC [1]. Preterm birth is the one risk factor that continues to stand out above all others [51]. Feeding strategies, such as when to initiate enteral feedings and how fast to advance feedings, as well as which enteral product to use (milk, 20 kcal/oz formula, preterm formula, elemental formula, or experimental concoctions that attempt to mimic amniotic fluid), remain controversial. While fresh human milk does appear to decrease the risk of NEC, the amount required for this protective effect is not known (e.g., does minimal enteral nutrition with milk produce the same outcome for reducing NEC as does full enteral feeding with milk?). Furthermore, the influence of fortifiers and other additives in milk has not been tested rigorously for effects on NEC or other gastrointestinal complications. There also is little consensus about whether or not to feed enterally in the presence of umbilical artery (or vein) catheters, a patent ductus arteriosus (or how severe that PDA must be), use of low-dose dopamine, or low-dose indomethacin. Simultaneous use of indomethacin and physiological doses of hydrocortisone has been associated with increased risk of intestinal perforation, but only one study has convincingly shown that correlation [52].

Despite such concerns, there is clear evidence that promoting early enteral nutrition is beneficial. Animal studies have shown that early enteral feeding prevents gut atrophy, appears to stimulate maturation of the gastrointestinal system, may actually enhance eventual feeding tolerance, and may reduce the incidence of NEC, especially when colostrum and human milk are used [53]. The most common approach to successfully initiating and then advancing enteral feeding is to use the ‘minimal enteral feeding’ (MEF) strategy [54]. MEF generally refers to small amounts of enteral feedings of formula and/or breast milk at intakes of 5–25 ml/kg/day. MEFs also are

Table 1. Early feeding strategies [data taken from 5]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days 0, admission to NICU: begin intravenous nutrition solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– A D75 + 5% amino acid (AA) solution administered at 60 ml/kg/24 h will provide 3 g AA/kg/24 h and meet most AA requirements for protein synthesis and net protein accretion, even for infants born as early as 25–27 weeks’ gestation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Additional fluids can be added as needed for total fluid intake of 80–100 ml/kg/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– This approach allows AAs to be started immediately without waiting for ‘TPN’ prescriptions to be formulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– This approach also allows for adjustments of total fluids, electrolytes, and glucose without needing to change the TPN prescription</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days 1–2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Advance AAs to and keep total protein intake (IV + enteral) at 4.0 g/kg/day (at 24–30 weeks; less for older gestations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Add glucose and lipids ‘as tolerated’, limiting glucose to &lt;10 mg/kg/min and lipid to &lt;3.0 g/kg/day. Adjust glucose and lipid infusions to keep plasma glucose concentrations &gt;50 mg/dl and &lt;100 mg/dl and plasma triglyceride concentrations &lt;150 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days 1–2 and beyond</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Begin enteral feedings, using colostrum or milk whenever possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Volume and rate of advancement of feedings depend on gestational age, condition, and response, but slow advancement appears safer than rapid advancement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enteral Nutrition in Preterm Infants

Unfortunately, despite the obvious need for early intravenous feeding of total nutritional requirements for preterm infants, there are many adverse consequences of intravenous feeding without any enteral nutrition [45, 47]. The absence of food in the gastrointestinal tract produces mucosal and villous atrophy and reduction of enzymes necessary for digestion and substrate absorption [47]. Trophic hormones normally produced in the mouth, stomach, and gut in response to enteral feeding are diminished. A variety of immune deficits also develop, including decreased mucosal IgA from Peyer’s patches and increased production of adhesion molecules and polymorphonuclear cell attraction, all with the potential to increase the incidence and severity of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome [48, 49]. Necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) may be a direct result of such changes when enteral feeding is then introduced along with the pathogenic bacteria that by now are commonly part of the infant’s skin, pharyngeal, tracheal, and gastrointestinal flora [1, 49]. Added to this burden of actual and potential pathology, prolonged intravenous infusions of glucose and amino acids lead to diminished insulin action to promote glucose utilization, resulting in glucose intolerance and hyperglycemia [50].

Why then is there such common delay in early enteral nutrition in preterm infants? The most common reasons for delayed initiation and limited advancement of enteral feedings in preterm infants are the concerns for increasing the risk of NEC and the known immaturity of a number of physiological and hormonal systems at early gestational ages.

Despite concerns that enteral feedings are associated with NEC in extremely low birth weight and preterm infants, however, there have been no multicenter randomized controlled trials to evaluate the impact of different enteral or enteral + intravenous feeding strategies on the development of NEC [1]. Preterm birth is the one risk factor that continues to stand out above all others [51]. Feeding strategies, such as when to initiate enteral feedings and how fast to advance feedings, as well as which enteral product to use (milk, 20 kcal/oz formula, preterm formula, elemental formula, or experimental concoctions that attempt to mimic amniotic fluid), remain controversial. While fresh human milk does appear to decrease the risk of NEC, the amount required for this protective effect is not known (e.g., does minimal enteral nutrition with milk produce the same outcome for reducing NEC as does full enteral feeding with milk?). Furthermore, the influence of fortifiers and other additives in milk has not been tested rigorously for effects on NEC or other gastrointestinal complications. There also is little consensus about whether or not to feed enterally in the presence of umbilical artery (or vein) catheters, a patent ductus arteriosus (or how severe that PDA must be), use of low-dose dopamine, or low-dose indomethacin. Simultaneous use of indomethacin and physiological doses of hydrocortisone has been associated with increased risk of intestinal perforation, but only one study has convincingly shown that correlation [52].

Despite such concerns, there is clear evidence that promoting early enteral nutrition is beneficial. Animal studies have shown that early enteral feeding prevents gut atrophy, appears to stimulate maturation of the gastrointestinal system, may actually enhance eventual feeding tolerance, and may reduce the incidence of NEC, especially when colostrum and human milk are used [53].

The most common approach to successfully initiating and then advancing enteral feeding is to use the ‘minimal enteral feeding’ (MEF) strategy [54]. MEF generally refers to small amounts of enteral feedings of formula and/or breast milk at intakes of 5–25 ml/kg/day. MEFs also are
called ‘priming’ feedings because of their role in stimulating many aspects of gut function, ‘trophic’ feedings for their positive impact on gut growth, and ‘non-nutritive’ feedings to indicate that they are not intended to be a primary source of nutrition, at least initially, as they do increase the rate at which full enteral feedings can be developed.

Regarding the efficacy of MEF, studies universally have shown a shorter time to full enteral feeds, faster weight gain, less feeding intolerance, less need for phototherapy, enhanced serum gastrin concentrations, enhanced maturation of the small intestine function, lower bilirubin concentrations, and shorter duration of hospitalization. As for safety concerns, there appears to be no increased incidence of NEC in infants who receive MEF, particularly when the mother’s own milk is used; more recent studies, in fact, have shown reduced rates of NEC, although there has been little data collected to define associated risks, particularly those of prolonged intravenous feeding (risk of catheter sepsis, other catheter-related complications, hepatic disorders, and so forth). There also have been few studies that have specifically addressed the optimal time to start MEF in terms of safety and efficacy. In stable preterm infants, starting MEF on day 1–2 is reasonable and cautious advances of <20 ml/kg/day do not necessarily increase the incidence of NEC, although other studies have shown that rapid advancement of enteral feedings tends to increase the risk of NEC. Most groups that have initiated rigorous feeding protocols that include strict attention to reducing the risks of prolonged intravenous feeding (and these vary considerably among the groups) have noted a reduction in rates of NEC [53, 55, 56].

Breast milk is the optimal enteral feeding. Additionally, nearly all studies demonstrate that breast milk confers a protective advantage against the development of NEC [57–61]. This advantage appears specific to fresh milk from each infant’s own mother, not to banked milk, although this issue remains controversial with some studies showing dramatically opposing outcomes [61, 62]. Dilute formulas and dilute milk fail to provide sufficient energy intake and they fail to stimulate normal motor activity of the gastrointestinal tract. The higher protein intake in preterm formula may confer a neurocognitive developmental advantage compared to term formula preparations [15–17].

There are relatively few contraindications to MEF. Generally, MEF should be used cautiously in any situation associated with either marked gut hypoxia or associated with decreased intestinal blood flow, such as cases of fetal/neonatal ‘asphyxia’ (hypoxic-ischemic injury to the gut), persistent severe hypoxemia, hypotension, marked diastolic intestinal blood flow ‘steal’ secondary to a patent ductus arteriosus, and transient decreased superior mesenteric artery blood flow caused by rapid, high-dose, intravenous bolus infusions of indomethacin.

As for the mode of enteral feedings, bolus versus continuous drip, there appear to be almost as many different approaches as there have been different trials to determine the best mode [63–67]. Generally, slow bolus feedings (those lasting at least 30 min to an hour or two) may be preferable to continuous feeds, but this is highly controversial and institution-dependent [55]. Transpyloric feedings are used by some groups, particularly when gastroesophageal reflux is clinically serious, but no data exist to support their more routine use in preterm infants regarding efficacy and safety.

While delayed onset and slow advances of enteral feeding appear to reduce the risk of NEC, others are concerned that when feeding finally is introduced, more highly pathogenic bacteria will now enter the gut and increase the risk of NEC. Indeed, NEC does not occur in the fetus, indicating that postnatal feeding practices, particularly the introduction of bacteria into the gut, are responsible for NEC. Several groups now have started testing whether probiotics added to early enteral feeds could reduce the incidence of NEC even further [68]. Others have been concerned that the probiotic organisms themselves might induce sepsis and fungemia [69, 70]. A recent meta-analysis of seven relevant studies found a reduced risk for NEC in the probiotic group versus controls and no difference between the groups for sepsis from any organism, including the probiotic *Lactobacillus* species, or fungemia from *Saccharomyces* species [71]. There also was a reduced risk of death from all causes in the probiotic groups compared to the control groups and a reduction in the time to reach full enteral feedings. Further studies are needed to determine the optimal species of probiotic organisms to use, their dose, when to start them, and whether they only confer an advantage (if they do) in formula-fed infants versus milk-fed infants.

**Summary of Fetal Based Nutrition of the Preterm Infant**

Oxygen deficit leads to fetal growth failure. It still is uncertain at what blood PaO2, SaO2, and O2 content this becomes significant. Glucose supply and concentration regulate growth. GUR decreases from 8 mg/kg/min at
Table 2. Fetal vs. very preterm neonatal nutrition [data taken from 3]

Normal fetal nutrition has several unique features:
- Amino acids are pumped into the fetus at rates and concentrations that are higher than the fetus can use
- The excess amino acid load is oxidized for energy
- Glucose is taken up and used to meet energy needs

In contrast, with more 'customary' nutrition of the preterm infants:
- Glucose is pumped into the infant at rates and concentrations that are higher than the infant can use
- The excess glucose load produces hyperglycemia
- Amino acids are provided at rates that are less than needed for normal growth rates

Therefore, to improve early nutrition of the preterm infant, and to more closely follow the minimal requirements for nutrition established by the growth of the normal fetus at the same gestational age, one should:
- Pump amino acids into the infant at rates and concentrations just higher than the infant can use: e.g., 3–4 g/kg/day in infants <30 weeks' gestation
- The excess amino acid load will be oxidized, producing useful energy
- Provide just enough glucose to meet glucose needs (6–10 mg/kg/min = 27–42 kcal/kg/day)
- Provide just enough lipid to meet additional energy (and EFA) needs (2–3 g/kg/day = 18–36 kcal/kg/day)

mid-gestation to 4 mg/kg/min at term. Glucose concentrations should average >55 mg/dl (~3 mM), but <120 mg/dl (~6 mM). Amino acid supply must be high enough (e.g., 4 g/kg/day at 24–30 weeks) to meet the rapid protein synthetic rates characteristic of normal fetal growth, although amino acid supply rate, and which ones, for IUGR infants remains uncertain. Amino acid concentrations are important for growth and are unique, particularly with greater need for relatively higher concentrations of essential amino acids. Growth of adipose tissue requires glucose and fatty acids – these usually are provided in excess. Essential membranes require a unique mix of mostly long chain essential fatty acids (as well as sufficient protein). Insulin concentrations that result from such nutrition probably are sufficient for normal growth (table 2).

Special Consideration of Infants with Intrauterine Growth Restriction

Many (from 15 to 50%, depending on study and population surveyed) preterm infants already are growth-restricted at birth, or get that way in the NICU (100% by term in most centers). It is reasonable, therefore, to consider whether increased supplies of amino acids and protein would improve growth in the IUGR neonate that already have undergone prenatal metabolic and growth adaptations that might produce unique nutritional requirements. In this regard, it is important to note that the IUGR phenotype includes decreased pancreatic development, insulin secretion capacity, and decreased capacity for amino acid synthesis into protein and cell growth. Here, the literature currently is mixed and does not provide optimal guidelines. For example, mixed amino acids increase protein accretion in normal and short-term (6 days) IUGR ovine fetuses [72]. In contrast, studies in chronically growth-restricted fetal sheep from placental insufficiency (smaller than normal placenta with glucose and amino acid transport deficits, more consistent with most human cases of IUGR) have shown that regulators of protein translation and synthesis in skeletal muscle and liver are decreased, while inhibitors of these pathways are increased. More recent and yet unpublished studies in IUGR fetal sheep have shown that acute amino acid infusion does not increase the intracellular protein regulators of protein synthesis in IUGR fetuses and there is a decreased amount of phosphorylated (activated) 4E-BP1 (inhibitor of protein synthesis signal transduction) that is not restored to control values with additional amino acids [73]. It is possible, therefore, that protein synthesis in the chronically IUGR fetus might be refractory to increased supply of amino acids, and, therefore, the same rate of amino acid nutrition provided to a chronically IUGR infant might not produce the same increase in growth as in a normally growing fetus born preterm. Also, since the IUGR fetus has an up-regulated capacity to take up glucose, attempts to infuse higher rates of glucose intravenously might produce both lactic acidosis and fat production more readily than in the normal fetus. Most likely such conditions apply to the most severely growth-restricted fetuses. These conditions obviously will require both animal and human investigation before recommendations about optimal amino acid, protein, and energy nutrition for IUGR infants can be made.

Some Final Thoughts and Words of Caution

Both birth weight and rate of weight gain predict childhood overweight status [74]. Furthermore, Heird and Kashyap showed that at appropriate protein and caloric intakes in enterally fed, preterm infants, more energy only produced fatter infants; they indeed were heavier,
but they did not show increased growth of bone length, body length, head circumference, or brain size (by interpolation) [75]. Many studies now are showing clearly that excessive growth, primary or by catch up, leads to later life complications of obesity, insulin resistance, and diabetes [74, 76]. Thus, it is essential to develop strategies to feed preterm infants what they need to maintain normal in utero growth rates. This should be started at birth. Feeding less than this will continue to produce growth-restricted infants with limited growth capacity, particularly of the brain and its many essential functions. Feeding more than this, primarily of energy (fat and carbohydrate) and producing obesity (increased weight-length ratio) clearly may be harmful.

References


47 Neu J: Gastrointestinal development and meeting the nutritional needs of premature infants. Am J Clin Nutr 2007;85:629S–634S.


