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Introduction

The mucosal surface of the gastrointestinal tract is a complex organization of epithelium, immune cells and resident microbiota [1]. The intestinal epithelium is covered by specialized mucin-producing goblet cells, which together with other substances such as antimicrobial peptides, lysozymes and resident microbiota collectively constitute 'innate immunity' and form the front line of defense against pathogenic microorganisms [2]. The luminal surface, which is made up of epithelial cells and mucins, acts as a protective barrier that separates the contents of the harsh luminal environment from the layers of tissue comprising the internal milieu.

Goblet cells, found in the columnar epithelium, secrete high molecular weight glycoproteins called mucins. These mucins have a high negative surface charge and a large hydration capacity. Mucins act as the main structural component of the mucus layer, giving rise to its polymeric, viscoelastic and protective properties. Mucins are mainly found at the periphery of epithelial cells and their extracellular environment or covering epithelial cells. The surface of the gastrointestinal epithelium is continually exposed to numerous macromolecules and microorganisms including chemical irritants, digested foods, toxins, resident bacteria, intestinal pathogens and their prod-
ucts [3]. The mesh-like structure of the mucin gel not only impedes the diffusion of offending macromolecules but also serves numerous other functions. These include lubrication for the passage of particulate matter, maintenance of a hydrated layer over the epithelium, forming a barrier to noxious substances and creating a permeable gel layer for the exchange of gases and nutrients with the underlying epithelium [4]. The best-established function is the prevention of the entry of enteric pathogens. The mucus layer contains binding sites for resident microbiota and maintains high concentrations of secretory IgA to prevent attachment and binding of pathogenic microorganisms [5]. In this review, we present an update on the biology of mucins, their properties and expression patterns under normal and pathogenic conditions. Our aim is to present a cumulative overview of the diverse range of functions performed by these highly specialized protein moieties in general and, in particular, their role as an innate immune defense barrier.

Classification and Expression Profile of Mucins

To date, 21 different mucin genes have been identified, cloned and partially sequenced in humans [6, 7], and the majority of their homologues have been identified in mice and rats [8]. Mucins are subdivided into secretory and membrane-bound forms, depending on their structure and location. Secreted mucins contribute to the formation of the mucus gel but the function of membrane-bound mucins is not well characterized, despite the fact that they are located on the surface of epithelial cells throughout the body. A summary of the mucins, their tissue distribution and expression is listed in Table 1. MUC2 is the major mucin, produced by the goblet cells in the intestinal epithelium [9] and will be the focus of this review.

Mucin Structure

Mucins are large glycoproteins that range in size from $0.5 \times 10^6$ to $25 \times 10^6$ Da [10]. The mucin monomer accounts for approximately $1.5 \times 10^6$ Da, but this may be an underestimate due to allelic variations in the variable number of tandem repeats [11]. The protein core of a typical mucin molecule contains mucin domains that consist of tandem repeats rich in threonine, proline and/or serine, the hydroxyl residues of which are heavily substituted with O-linked oligosaccharides [12]. The carbohydrate content of a secretory mucin can account for up to 80% of its dry weight and gives it a unique ‘bottle-brush’ appearance. The densely packed oligosaccharides serve to protect the protein core from proteases, thereby preserving the integrity of the mucin polymer [10]. The addition of sulfate and O-acetyl-substituted sialic acid to terminal mucin oligosaccharides confers additional resistance to degradation by glycosidases [13]. The process of glycosylation confers many of the general properties of mucins including high-charge density from sialic acid and sulfate residues, protease resistance and water holding capacity (hydration) [3]. Evidence suggests that glycosylation can be altered in response to mucosal infection and inflammation, and this may be an important mechanism for mucosal pathogens. The extensive O-glycosylation of the mucins protects them from proteolytic enzymes [14].

The N- and C-terminal regions of mucin are poorly glycosylated compared to the mucin domains and they contain a wide range of amino acids, most notably cysteine residues [10]. Secretory mucins often contain 3 N-terminal von Willebrand factor-like domains (termed D1, D2 and D3), while the C-terminal region contains only one D4 domain. N- and C-terminal cysteine-rich domains are less glycosylated, while the protein core, which is exposed, is resistant to proteolytic enzymes due to a high number of intramolecular disulfide bonds that shields potential cleavage sites from enzymes [15].

Secretion of Mucin

The secretion of mucins is a multifaceted phenomenon, regulated by a number of different factors. We have categorized this complex process into 2 broad subsections: (1) constitutive or baseline, which is an unregulated low-level secretion, and (2) stimulated or accelerated secretion in response to external stimuli.

Constitutive or Baseline Secretion

Under normal physiological conditions, goblet cells continually synthesize and secrete mucins to replenish the mucus blanket covering the epithelium. This continual secretion of mucin is necessary to maintain the thickness of the mucus gel, which is constantly being exposed to acids and irritants and is often sloughed off due to peristaltic movements [16].

Mucosal explants of human and rat colons have been shown to continually incorporate radio-labeled mucin...
precursors into mucins [17]. Following synthesis, labeled mucins are then packaged into granules, transported to
the cell surface and secreted into the lumen. Little is
known about the mechanism of unregulated secretion,
but it is not a receptor-mediated event [18]. Rather, it ap-
pears to depend on the continuous transport of granules
from the Golgi vesicles to the cell surface, which in turn
is a microtubule-dependent event [19].

**Stimulated or Accelerated Secretion**

When goblet cells are exposed to potent secretagogues,
mucin is released in an accelerated fashion [20], either by
release of centrally stored mucin granules or by release of
single mucin granules one at a time. Release of centrally
stored mucin granules is then followed by compound
exocytosis of granules and the release of peripheral gran-
ules resulting in the cavitations of the deep apical mem-
brane. Mucus secretagogues induce a rapid increase in
the number of granules released from the goblet cell, with
a maximum rate of exocytosis established 1–3 min after
stimulation, followed by a much slower release of mucin
granules [21]. A wide array of bioactive factors, including
hormones (neuropeptides) and inflammatory mediators
(cytokines and lipids), can induce compound exocytosis
[4, 22, 23]. Some of the major mucin secretagogues and
their modes of action are shown in figure 1.

Stimulated or accelerated mucin secretion is linked to
a receptor-mediated event. We have shown that prosta-
glandin \( \text{E}_2 \) binds the \( \text{EP4} \) receptor to stimulate cAMP-dependent exocytosis in \( \text{LS 174T} \) cells [24]. Wright et al. [25] have shown that prostaglandin \( \text{D}_2 \) evokes mucin secretion in these cells via the \( \text{DP} \) receptor. Mucin secretagogues are known to signal through various secondary messengers that include intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), cAMP and diacylglycerol, which activates protein kinase C [26, 27] to stimulate mucin secretion.

**Mucin and Innate Host Defense**

An important aspect of mucosal immunity is the differentiation between self and non-self. Under normal conditions, endogenous bacterial species (aerobic, facultative and anaerobic bacteria) of the microbiota normally localize in the mucus. These all share ‘self’ signature molecules, or pathogen-associated molecular patterns. These patterns are in turn recognized by Toll-like receptors or other pattern recognition receptors. The host system is highly adapted to commensal organisms through immune adaptation, immune ignorance or mucosal homeostasis [28].

**Role of Intestinal Microbes in Mucin Regulation**

The human intestine is colonized by a complex, dynamic microbial ecosystem. A single region of the intestine may contain more than 400 bacterial species, including resident microbiota and a variable array of transient species that temporarily occupy an empty niche [29]. Usually, commensal organisms peacefully coexist with host cells. However, this seemingly ideal balance is sometimes disturbed, which can facilitate the development of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD). Intestinal microbes may directly affect goblet cell function through the local release of bioactive factors generated by activated epithelial cells or underlying lamina propria cells. Gut microbes can also regulate mucin production by activating different signaling cascades and secretory elements. A representative MUC2 gene with its identified \( \text{cis} \)-acting elements and their binding sites is shown in figure 2. In the following section, we discuss how mucus secretion, synthesis and chemical composition are altered by microbe-derived factors.
Microbe-Derived Factors Alter Mucin Synthesis and Secretion

The continuity and stability of the mucus layer are critical to intestinal homeostasis, since it forms the primary barrier against pathogenic infections. Interaction with the mucus layer determines the fate of pathogenic infections and the diseases they cause. Mucus offers numerous ecological advantages to intestinal bacteria. For example, mucin represents a direct source of carbohydrates and peptides, as well as exogenous nutrients, including vitamins and minerals. Bacteria capable of colonizing mucus can avoid rapid expulsion via peristalsis of the intestine. In addition, this colonization imparts a growth advantage on these organisms and permits them to exploit underlying signaling pathways. Thus, it is not surprising that mucus secretion is typically enhanced in response to intestinal microbes. Both commensal and pathogenic organisms derive significant benefits from their ability to chemically regulate mucin synthesis or secretion of host goblet cells. Here, we will describe the various pathways that intestinal pathogens use to induce or reduce mucin secretion.

Entamoeba histolytica as a Model for Interactions with Mucin

The protozoan parasite *Entamoeba histolytica* is one of the most successful pathogens of the gastrointestinal tract. Its success lies in its ability to modulate the mucus barrier to its advantage in several different ways. *E. histolytica* has a highly specific adhesin for binding to mucin and to host cells [30]. It also has the ability to colonize and live in the mucus layer without causing disease. In some cases, however, the amoeba is able to breach epithelial barrier defenses, which causes amoebic dysentery with severe ulceration of the colonic mucosa [30]. Along with potent mucin secretagogue activity, *E. histolytica* has the ability to degrade colonic mucins at the site of contact. In a gerbil model of amoebic colitis, we have shown that the luminal mucus barrier and goblet cell mucin stores are depleted prior to amoeba contact with and invasion of the underlying mucosa [27]. While the cause of the mucin depletion is unknown, it is speculated that parasite-derived secretagogues and mucinase activity may deplete mature mucin stores as a means of evading epithelial barrier functions [24]. This host-parasite interaction with the mucus barrier is the best-characterized model to date to discern the distinct events involved in the pathogenesis of colonic disease.
The parasite’s interaction with mucin determines the final fate of amoebic invasion. The most important factor regulating this is the galactose/N-acetylgalactosamine (Gal/GalNAc) adherence lectin (Gal-lectin) which recognizes and binds with high-affinity galactose and N-acetylgalactosamine residues on colonic mucins and surface receptors of host cells [31]. Gal-lectin binding to target cells is an absolute prerequisite for contact-dependent killing. Thus, blockade of Gal-lectin activity with Gal and/or GalNAc-containing oligosaccharides prevents contact-dependent cytotoxicity [32]. We have shown that Gal and GalNAc sugar residues of purified colonic mucins competitively inhibit amoeba binding to host epithelium by creating a physical barrier between the parasite and the epithelium. Colonic mucin forms a barrier that protects the host and inhibits amoebic adherence to the underlying epithelial cells. We have developed an in vitro model to study the interaction between *E. histolytica* and colonic mucins [33]. We found that both crude and purified colonic mucins derived from LS 174T cells prevented amoebic adherence to Chinese hamster ovary cells. The mucin-secreting LS 174T cells, which had a protective mucus barrier, were more resistant to amoeba attack than the mucin-deficient Chinese hamster ovary cell monolayer. Treatment of LS 174T cells with the O-linked glycosylation inhibitor benzyl-α-GalNAc rendered the monolayer susceptible to amoebic attack, with results similar to those observed with the Chinese hamster ovary cell monolayer [33]. These studies highlight a critical role for Gal and GalNAc residues of colonic mucin in binding to and inhibiting the parasite Gal-lectin activity.

While *E. histolytica* may bind mucins with a high affinity, which is necessary for colonization, it can also degrade mucins to facilitate entry into the underlying epithelium, which causes disease. We have shown that cysteine proteases secreted from parasites participate in the disruption of the mucin polymer network, and consequently help to overcome the protective mucus barrier [34]. Parasite proteinases lead to proteolytic degradation of the terminal cysteine-rich D domains of the MUC2 polymer. Incubation with cysteine protease inhibitor E-64 prevented the degradation of mucin [34]. As the N- and C-terminal cysteine-rich domains of mucin are involved in polymerization, we predicted they were the most likely targets of proteases. Furthermore, by treating recombinant cysteine-rich domains of MUC2 with proteases from *E. histolytica* trophozoites, we were able to show that the C-terminal domain was specifically targeted at 2 sites by cysteine proteases, while the N-terminal domain was resistant to proteolysis. We therefore concluded that the major cleavage site is predicted to depolymerize the MUC2 polymers, thereby disrupting the protective mucus gel [15]. More recently, we identified the specific cysteine protease 5 (EhCP5) of *E. histolytica*, as being responsible for colonic mucin degradation. This was further substantiated by the observation that antisense inhibition of EhCP5 in virulent *E. histolytica* trophozoites prevented the organism crossing the mucus barrier and disrupting mucin production in LS 174T and HT29 colonic cells [35]. Interestingly, EhCP5 is not present in the nonpathogenic *Entamoeba dispar*, suggesting a major role for this protease in the pathogenesis of intestinal amoebiasis.

Finally, when amoebas disrupt the mucus layer, the host cells exhibit an innate immune response that is characterized by the activation of NF-κB, recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages to the invasion site and production of several inflammatory cytokines, including IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 by epithelial cells [36, 37]. Meanwhile, the parasite initiates a series of events that involve host calcium transport, caspase activation and destruction of host cells by apoptosis. *E. histolytica* initiates this apoptosis by directly activating the host cell’s distal apoptotic machinery, since the normal apoptotic pathway mediators such as Fas/Fas ligands and TNF-α have no role in amoeba-induced apoptosis [36, 37]. Since apoptosis, unlike necrosis, fails to induce an inflammatory response, the use of apoptotic destruction of host cells could serve to reduce the inflammatory response and provide an advantage for *E. histolytica* survival within the host. These systematic studies have unraveled mechanistically how a colonic pathogen can overcome luminal and innate epithelial barrier functions to cause disease.

**Regulation of Mucins by Bacterial Products**

**Inducers of Mucin Production.** Bacterial products such as lipopolysaccharides (LPS) and flagellin A from Gram-negative bacteria and lipoteichoic acids (LTA) from Gram-positive bacteria are the most common modulators of mucin production. They affect mainly MUC2 and MUC5AC.

LPS are predominantly found on the surface of Gram-negative bacteria. They are anchored to the outer bacterial surface by a lipid moiety (lipid A). LPS are a very strong stimulators of both innate and adaptive immunity. In mammalian cells, the recognition of bacterial LPS/lipid A is achieved through the combined action of LPS-binding protein (LBP), membrane-bound or soluble lipoprotein, CD14 and TLR4 [38]. In the Gram-negative bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, both LPS and flagellin play a role in altering mucin production [39–42]. LPS
binds to LBP which in turn binds to CD14, and leads to activation of NF-κB and mucin production. Bacterial flagellin signals through binding to glycolipid Asialo-GM1. This stimulates the release of ATP which finally causes an increase in the level of intracellular calcium and stimulates downstream pathways. Binding to Toll-like receptors may also activate a sequence of signals involving PKC, DUOX1, ROS, TACE and EGFR activation, leading to downstream signaling. LTA = lipoteichoic acid; LPS = lipopolysaccharides; PAFR = platelet-activating factor receptor; LBP = LPS-binding protein; G = G protein; ADAM10 = a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain 10; HB-EGF = heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor; MAPK = mitogen-activated protein kinase; ERK = extracellular regulated kinase; MEK = MAPK ERK kinase; PKC = protein kinase C; DUOX1 = dual oxidase 1; ROS = reactive oxygen species; TACE = tumor necrosis factor-α-converting enzyme; EGFR = epidermal growth factor receptor; TGF-α = transforming growth factor-α.

Products of Gram-positive bacteria also affect mucin expression. The most common of these is LTA from Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus pyogenes and Listeriolysin O from Lysteria monocystogenes [45]. In the human epithelial cell line HM3, LTA binds and activates platelet-activating factor receptor, which is a cell surface...
G protein-coupled receptor [45]. This leads to activation of metalloproteinase ADAM10, which then cleaves the transmembrane heparin-binding EGF, and which in turn activates EGFR. This leads to the engagement of the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK/pp90<sub>ARF</sub>/NF-κB pathway and MUC2 transcription [45] (fig. 3).

**Inhibitors of Mucin Production.** In some cases, bacteria can also downregulate mucin synthesis and disrupt gastric mucosal integrity to enhance their survival. The best example of this is *Helicobacter pylori*, which colonizes the gastric mucosa. Infection with *H. pylori* leads to upregulation of the synthesis of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS/NOS-2) and TNF-α production, along with enhancement of epithelial cell apoptosis. *H. pylori* infection causes a decrease in mucin synthesis, which leads to a loss of continuity in the mucus coat [46]. LPS from *H. pylori* lead to caspase-3 activation and apoptosis in a manner dependent on p38 MAPK (pro-apoptotic). Treatment of rat gastric mucosal segments with LPS from *H. pylori* has been shown to significantly inhibit mucin glycosylation and sulfation. These events may have a deleterious effect on mucin assembly and mucus coat continuity [47].

Similarly, LPS from the periodontopathic bacterium, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, interfere with mucin synthesis and promote apoptosis in primary cultures of rat sublingual salivary-gland acinar cells [48]. LPS are capable of individual or simultaneous activation of p38, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) and the MAPK pathway. Inhibition of ERK increases the effect of LPS, while the blocking of p38 MAPK reduces the effect of LPS on repression of mucin synthesis. This illustrates the contrary responses to LPS, through the same pathway, in different cell types.

**Microbe-Derived Factors Alter the Chemical Composition of Mucin**

The defensive ability of mucin lies in its capacity to entrap microbes [27]. Adhesion to specific mucin epitopes is thought to facilitate mucus colonization by commensal bacteria, thereby providing a number of growth advantages. Accordingly, intestinal mucin is thought to dictate the composition of the bacterial community within the mucus layer. However, the mechanistic details of this selection process are poorly characterized. Most efforts have been focused on the identification of epithelial cell surface glycoprotein and glycolipid receptors for bacterial adhesins or toxins of enteric pathogens [49, 50]. The mucus coat physically covers cell surface glycoconjugates, and consequently it is thought to prevent adhesion of enteric pathogens. A number of studies with working models propose that soluble mucin epitopes bind to specific bacterial adhesins and prevent their attachment to similar epitopes on host cell surface receptors [27, 51]. It should be noted though, that since enteric pathogens are typically transient residents of the gut, such a defensive strategy would require constitutive host production of carbohydrate epitopes capable of binding to pathogen adhesins. The ecological issues associated with mucin’s contrasting involvement in resistance to pathogens and facilitation of commensal bacteria are fascinating. They raise the question: what are the roles of the host, resident commensal bacteria and transient pathogens – or some combination of these – in the control of mucin composition? The answer probably lies in a direct interaction between intestinal microbes and epithelial cells.

**Other Goblet Cell Secretions in Innate Host Defense**

In addition to mucin production, goblet cells also produce 2 other important proteins: intestinal trefoil factor and resistin-like molecule-β (RELM-β). Evidence suggests that these innate defense molecules may stabilize the mucin polymer and/or regulate mucin secretion. More importantly, these molecules may need a mucin medium to exert their biological functions. We consider them here based on these features.

**Intestinal Trefoil Factor**

Intestinal trefoil factor is a small cysteine-rich peptide belonging to the family of trefoil factors (TFF). In humans, 3 TFFs have been identified: TFF1, TFF2 and TFF3 (formerly intestinal trefoil) [52]. The expression patterns of these peptides vary in different anatomical portions of the gastrointestinal tract. TFF1 is a product of gastric surface mucus cells together with the MUC5AC. TFF2 is expressed in gastric mucus neck cells and cells at the pyloric glands together with MUC6. TFF3 is generated in intestinal goblet cells along with MUC2 [53].

TFFs are abundantly secreted onto the mucusosal surface by goblet cells. All 3 TFFs are upregulated in surface epithelial cells at the margin of a gastric ulcer and also in IBD [53–55]. Secreted TFF acts on adjacent mucosal cell populations, either extracellularly to augment barrier function or intracellularly in transcriptional and signaling events. TFF response elements in *TFF* gene promoters...
also allow an increase in TFF expression through autoinduction and crossinduction of other TFFs, in addition to mucin expression and possibly tumor suppression. Trefoilos seem to have 2 important roles: protection of epithelium and healing of injured mucosa. When mucosal injury occurs, trefoils are rapidly upregulated and stimulate repair by a process known as epithelial restitution [56]. They may also play a role in mucus stabilization, by interacting or crosslinking with mucins to aid in the formation of the gel layer [57]. Interestingly, TFF3 and mucin were together shown to be far more effective at protecting epithelial cells in vitro when compared with either one alone [57]. In addition, since trefoil peptides may be co-expressed with secreted mucins, there is a possible synergistic action in mucosal protection and repair between the two, since they are co-expressed in both normal and diseased mucosa. Mice deficient in TFF3 exhibit an increased sensitivity to intestinal damage. A study on TFF3 knockout mice demonstrated an impairment of colonic epithelial healing after oral dextran sulfate sodium (DSS) challenge, when compared with wild-type mice that developed a mild and transient colitis. Replenishment of TFF3 leads to an improvement in the severe induced colitis in the knock-out mice [57].

Resistin-Like Molecule-β

RELM-β (found in the inflammatory zone and also known as FIZZ2) is a member of resistin-like molecule (RELM) family. Other members in this family include resistin (FIZZ3), RELM-α (FIZZ1) and RELM-γ. Only resistin and RELM-β are found in humans, whereas all 4 RELM family members have been identified in rodents. Members of the RELM family contain a cysteine-rich motif. Although no specific receptor for the RELMs has yet been reported, they are believed to act in both an autocrine and a paracrine fashion [58].

RELM-β is expressed in the small and large intestine, within epithelial cells and, in particular, in goblet cells [59]. RELM-β regulates colonic functions such as barrier integrity and inflammation susceptibility, as reported in the studies on RELM-β knockout mice [60]. Disruption of the RELM-β gene with the corresponding absence of local colonic RELM-β proteins led to a reduction in the severity of colitis induced by either DSS or trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid [60, 61]. RELM-β expression is essentially undetectable in the colon of both germ-free immunocompetent and mice with severe combined immunodeficiency disease. However, RELM-β can be induced within 48 h of transfer to a conventional environment, demonstrating that the expression of this gene is dependent upon bacterial colonization of the gut [59]. A recent study [58] has shown that MUC2 intrarectal injection of RELM-β increases MUC2 secretion in the mouse colon. Furthermore, RELM-β also upregulated MUC2 and M1/MUC5AC expression in HT29-C1.16E cell lines. These findings suggest that although both mucin and RELM-β are secreted by goblet cells, RELM-β can also act as an effective luminal mucin secretagogue.

Mucin and Intestinal Disorders

IBDs, which are characterized by chronic and relapsing gastrointestinal tract inflammation, are grouped into 2 broad categories: Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis (UC). These are complex diseases that are caused by multiple environmental, immunological and genetic factors involving: (1) the immune system; (2) the microbes, and (3) the intestinal epithelial barrier. Evidence from animal models suggests that failure to suppress immune reactions to the abundant intestinal foreign antigen load is one of the critical factors in the manifestation of IBD. Maintaining the delicate balance of competence to respond to intestinal pathogens, without generating an inflammatory response to commensal organisms, appears to depend on a number of variables. These include the integrity of the mucosal and epithelial barriers, proinflammatory signaling pathways (especially via NF-κB) and regulation of innate and adaptive immune responses in the intestine.

Microbial factors are implicated in the initiation and/or perpetuation of disease. Considering that the epithelium and its associated mucus layer form a physical barrier between bacteria and cells of the immune system, it is very likely that the epithelial barrier plays an important role in IBD. In support of this, there are several animal models in which a primary change in the integrity of the epithelium leads to IBD-like syndromes [9]. Mucins, as the primary constituents of extracellular mucus and the cellular barrier, are intimately associated with the etiological factors of IBD. A primary defect in mucin could breach the epithelial barrier or lead to altered mucosal-bacterial interactions. On the other hand, the changing effects of immunological or bacterial factors during initial or ongoing inflammation could influence mucin production, thereby sustaining the chronic character of IBD. Previous studies have shown that mucin composition and its secretion are altered in IBD patients [9]. This alteration may be due to genetic mutations in mucin genes, changes
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in mucin mRNA or protein levels and changes in the extent of glycosylation, sulfation or the degradation of mucins [62]. Along with the changes in composition, recent studies [63] have demonstrated that structural changes in mucins also play a very important role in the genesis of IBDs. These authors concluded that mucin secreted in the intestine is prone to misfolding and/or aberrant assembly, which causes substantial stress on the endoplasmic reticulum, morphological goblet cell pathology and premature goblet cell apoptosis, which eventually leads to the development of chronic intestinal inflammation.

The mucus layer in UC is thinner than that found in normal controls [64] as the synthesis and secretion of MUC2 are reduced in the active stage of UC. This reduction in MUC2 secretion makes the colonic mucus barrier less protective. In addition, the function of MUC2 is altered. In active UC, MUC2 is undersulfated [65]. Alteration in sulfation of MUC2 may lead to a decreased protective capability of the mucin since the resistance to enzymatic degradation or bacterial stress is weakened. The depletion of goblet cells also causes the mucin layer to become thinner. Interestingly, anti-goblet cell auto-antibodies appear in 39% of patients with UC and may be responsible for the reduction in goblet cells [66]. In addition, the numbers of goblet cells are different in various portions of the colon. In UC patients, goblet cells are mainly reduced in the distal region, the main site of disease [67]. The colonic epithelium with reduced mucin is vulnerable to attack by chemicals or microorganisms and their products. Such attack would further injure the mucus layer. These abnormalities of mucin in UC can result in a loss of mucus barrier function and exposure of the mucosa to luminal agents that promote or perpetuate inflammation and induce anti-colon antibodies.

The physical state of the mucus, the change in the concentration of secreted mucin and the strong dependence of its physiochemical properties on environmental factors including ionic strength and pH play an important role in many diseases. In addition to serving as a barrier to bacteria, many bacteria reside within the mucus layer and possess adhesins that specifically bind to it [68]. These bacteria include pathogenic strains of Pseudomonas, Streptococcus and Pneumococcus. The alteration in mucin production is not only associated with IBDs but has been a hallmark of several pathological conditions. Some parasitic organisms are capable of producing their own layers of mucin to evade the immune system [69]. Overproduction of mucin is also involved in cystic fibrosis [70], bronchitis, asthma [71] and in middle-ear infections. Also, mucin underproduction is present in dry-eye syndromes [72] and in some forms of ulcer disease. Studies have also shown that mucin expression and composition is altered in cancers of epithelial origin [73].

In humans, it is extremely difficult to determine whether changes in bacterial colonization patterns in IBD are either a cause or a consequence of inflammation. To investigate this, normal enteric bacteria (NEB) were introduced in germ-free animals. It was found that germ-free wild-type mice do not develop signs of inflammation after introduction of NEB [74, 75]. Moreover, germ-free mice produced normal amounts of MUC2 compared with mice harboring NEB, indicating that the presence of bacteria does not lead to altered mucus thickness [9]. Introduction of NEB into germ-free mice induced an increase in the sulfation of de novo synthesized MUC2. Normally, colonic mucins are highly sulfated and are generally considered to be more resistant to bacterial degradation. A colonic mucus layer composed of less-sulfated mucins is deemed less protective and this has been associated with IBD in humans [65, 76, 77]. Decreased levels of sulfation of MUC2 formed de novo suggest that NEB influences the structure and, possibly, the function of MUC2 during synthesis.

A number of recently developed rodent models of colitis are highly suitable for identifying the effects of the immune system on mucin expression. One of these animal models is the IL-10–/– mouse, which spontaneously develops enterocolitis when maintained in conventional conditions and even in specified pathogen-free environments, but shows no evidence of colitis when kept in a germ-free environment [75]. In germ-free IL-10–/– mice, at least a 10-fold decrease in MUC2 production was observed compared to germ-free wild-type mice [9]. Although the mucus layer was thinner, as a consequence of the decreased MUC2 synthesis, colitis was not manifested due to the absence of a bacterial-derived trigger from the lumen. On introduction of NEB, the IL-10–/– mice rapidly developed symptoms of colitis, manifested by an infiltration of immune cells and induction of proinflammatory mediators such as IL-12. Goblet cell depletion, a characteristic feature of IBDs, was also observed [78]. The mechanism by which MUC2 synthesis is downregulated in IL-10–/– mice remains unknown, yet these findings demonstrate that certain immune regulators directly or indirectly influence mucin production.

MUC2–/– mice are highly susceptible to IBD. Goblet cells in the absence of MUC2 lose their characteristic goblet-like shape, indicating that MUC2 is the major phenotypic determinant of goblet cells. MUC2–/– mice are also extremely susceptible to cytotoxic luminal agents...
such as DSS. This was illustrated by the fact that treatment with DSS led to the occurrence of culminant colitis within days. Colitis induction was much more severe in MUC2−/− mice than in their wild-type counterparts [9], corroborating that MUC2 plays an essential role in epithelial protection. Another important cause of IBD is genetic variation. It has been shown that altered variable number tandem repeat regions in MUC3A are associated with UC, whereas single-nucleotide polymorphisms within the cytoplasmic C-terminus of the same gene predispose the carrier to Crohn’s disease [79, 80]. A recently published meta-analysis of 11 genome-wide linkage studies for IBD revealed 38 significant IBD loci [81]. Moehle et al. [82] analyzed the chromosomal locations of all mucin genes and found that all mucin gene family members reside within or directly beside these IBD candidate loci. To comprehensively analyze whether mucin gene polymorphisms predispose for IBD, they performed allelic discrimination of mucin single-nucleotide polymorphisms in Crohn’s disease, UC and control cohorts. They found that there was a statistically significant association of MUC2-V116M with Crohn’s disease. They also identified different allelic frequencies for MUC4-A585S and MUC13-R502S in UC. These findings clearly suggest that genetic variations in the MUC4 and MUC13 genes may contribute to the development of IBD, although further large cohort studies with better defined control populations are required.

Conclusions and Future Considerations

Gastrointestinal mucus and its constituents play key roles in protecting the gut against enteric pathogens. Tremendous advancements have been made in the area of mucin gene discovery, cloning, structure and assembly. However, we still lack a basic understanding of mucin biology and the regulatory pathways involved in mucin biosynthesis and secretion. Studies unraveling the intricacies of the interaction between the mucosal barriers, immune mediators and the gut microbiota during different stages of disease pathogenesis – at both cellular and molecular levels – are eagerly awaited.

In this regard, primary attention should be given to the mechanisms by which gastrointestinal pathogens overcome the protective mucous barrier. Research is also needed to determine: (1) the putative mucin secretagogues released by pathogens; (2) the receptors and intracellular events involved in mucin exocytosis; (3) the intracellular mechanisms involved in accelerated secretion, and (4) the protein machinery involved in the release of stored and newly synthesized mucin.

It is also important that future research determines the level of the contribution made by mucosal barrier alterations to the pathogenesis of diseases like IBD, and the stage at which they become involved. In particular, researchers should try to cast light on whether mucosal barrier alterations play a primary role in pathogenesis or whether they play second fiddle to the extensive immune responses. This knowledge will help drug designers to focus on the upstream mechanisms. At present, the bulk of the research is focused on developing drugs that specifically block immune mediators, and there is little or no emphasis on producing medications to strengthen the mucosa.

Finally, a detailed insight into the role of mucin heterogeneity in different disease states and an understanding of genetic predisposition requires sustained attention. The 2 most abundant intestinal mucins, membrane-bound MUC3 and secretory MUC2, are clearly implicated in the pathogenesis of IBD. The linkage of IBD to different allelic variants of MUC3 gene has repeatedly been reported and confirmed, but the linkage to a genetic predisposition with the MUC2 gene still needs to be elucidated in a large and well-defined cohort of IBD patients and controls. The discovery of regulatory elements and identification of high and low producing single-nucleotide polymorphisms in the promoter region of the MUC2 and MUC3 genes will take research on the treatment of gastrointestinal diseases towards new horizons.

The most daunting task, however, is to translate the knowledge acquired from basic science and animal research into controlled clinical trials and, finally, to apply this to the treatment of patients. Basic science research needs to focus on further elucidation of the pathways, to generate more information on the targets that can strengthen mucosal barrier integrity. Clinical trials of potential future therapies such as probiotics and association studies analyzing genetic predisposition require a large patient cohort, well-characterized control populations and more collaborative multicenter trials.
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