Clinical Evaluation of a Colored Compomer in Primary Molars
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Objective:} The purpose of this study was to evaluate the clinical performance of a new colored compomer material, Twinky Star, in primary molars. \textbf{Subjects and Methods:} Eighty class II restorations were placed in a total of 36 subjects and the clinical success of the restorations was evaluated after 12 months based on modified US Public Health Services criteria. \textbf{Results:} The failure rate of the restorations was 3.9\% (3 out of 77) and the clinical success of the restorations, measured by anatomic form, marginal integrity, marginal discoloration, surface texture, maintenance of interproximal contact and secondary caries, was acceptable. \textbf{Conclusion:} The clinical success of the colored compomer material, Twinky Star, indicates that it could be a good alternative to tooth colored compomers.
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\textbf{Introduction}

Polyacid-modified resin composites, commonly called compomers, were developed as direct esthetic restorative materials that combine the desirable properties of light-curing composites with those of fluoride-releasing glass-ionomer cements \cite{1, 2}. Compomers demonstrate improved physical, chemical and mechanical properties, and better wear resistance than traditional, reinforced and resin-modified glass ionomers, but they are still inferior in these properties compared to conventional resin composites. Nevertheless, compomers were introduced for the treatment of class I and class II lesions in the primary dentition due to their fluoride-releasing potential, bonding capacity with enamel and dentin without the need for acid etching, and their simple handling properties \cite{1}.

Colored compomers have been available for use in the restoration of primary molars for over 3 years. In contrast to conventional polyacid-modified resin composites, they contain a small amount of glitter particles which produce a color effect in shades of red, blue or gold. The filler content is similar to conventional compomers \cite{1, 3}.

There are two commercially available colored compomers named MagicFil (Zenith, Englewood, N.J., USA) and Twinky Star (Voco, Cuxhaven, Germany). Twinky Star is a light-cured, colored, radiopaque and fluoride-releasing compomer filling system made specifically to be used in primary teeth.

Short-term laboratory studies offer some information about the physical properties of new materials. Nevertheless, long-term clinical studies complement these studies and provide further information regarding the performance of these materials over an acceptable time period \cite{4}. This newly developed colored compomer has not yet been tested clinically. For this reason, the aim of this study was to evaluate the clinical performance of Twinky Star in primary molars over a 12-month period.
Anatomic form
1 Restoration is continuous with existing anatomical form
2 Restoration is discontinuous with existing anatomical form, but missing material is not sufficient to expose dentin
3 Sufficient material missing to expose dentin

Marginal integrity
1 Explorer does not catch and/or no crevice is visible
2 Explorer catches and crevice is visible, but no exposure of dentin and restoration is not mobile
3 Explorer penetrates crevice, defect extends to dentino-enamel junction
4 Restoration is fractured, mobile or missing, either in part or in toto

Marginal discoloration
1 No visual evidence of marginal discoloration
2 Marginal discoloration has not yet penetrated in pulpal direction
3 Marginal discoloration has penetrated in pulpal direction

Surface texture
1 Surface texture similar to polished enamel
2 Surface texture gritty (similar to white stone)
3 Coarse surface pitting

Maintenance of interproximal contact
1 Proximal contact is present
2 Proximal contact is light but present
3 No proximal contact
0 No adjacent proximal surface

Recurrent caries
1 No caries present
2 Caries present associated with restoration

Postoperative sensitivity
1 No known sensitivity to hot, cold and biting stimuli
2 Moderate sensitivity to hot, cold and biting stimuli
3 Severe sensitivity; replacement of restoration required

Results

The distribution of the treated teeth according to the jaw and number of teeth is presented in Table 2. The color preferences of the children are listed in Table 3. The preferred color for girls (16; 36.36%) was pink, whereas boys preferred lemon (13; 36%).

A total of 77 teeth in 34 patients were followed up for 12 months. The results of the assessment of the clinical performance of the restorations are given in Table 4. After 12 months, only 3 restorations (3.9%) had to be replaced due to marginal ridge fractures of the restorations. Seventy-four (96.1%) restorations were still in function after 12 months. Of the 74 restorations, 2.6% showed loss of marginal integrity but without exposure of dentin and 1 restoration showed discontinuity with the existing anatomical form. Only 2 silver-colored restorations showed marginal discoloration, scored as 2, and there was no discoloration in the remaining colored restorations. No further treatment was indicated for these teeth because there was no loss of tooth structure and the discoloration was superficial.
None of the patients complained of postoperative sensitivity. Apart from 1 (1.29%) fractured restoration, no secondary caries was observed in the other 76 (98.7%) restorations after 12 months.

Discussion

Polyacid-modified resin composites have been introduced as materials for the conservative restoration of primary teeth based on the results of clinical trials [1, 6, 7]. Although the results of clinical studies may not express the clinical performance of restorations placed by general practitioners, these studies show the potential of a restorative material for specific clinical applications and reveal the main causes of restoration failures [8]. The present study was undertaken to investigate the clinical performance of the colored compomer, Twinky Star, in class II restorations of primary teeth.

The results of the present study show that the failure rate of the restorations was 3.9% (3 out of 77) and the clinical success of the restorations, as measured by anatomic form, marginal integrity, marginal discoloration, surface texture, maintenance of interproximal contact and secondary caries, is acceptable (table 4).

Compomer and composite restorations appear to be as successful as glass ionomer, resin-modified glass ionomer, and amalgam for class II restorations in primary molars over the time interval studied, and offer an excellent and esthetic alternative [9, 10]. One advantage of compomers is their ease of manipulation. Their consistency makes it easy to apply and contour them without sticking. Less time is therefore required for finishing and final polishing. These features are especially beneficial when treating children [1, 11]. Clinical studies have shown that commercially available compomers have high clinical success rates which are comparable to amalgam, and this makes them a suitable alternative to amalgam for restoring primary teeth in children [12–14].

Other studies have shown that, when different compomer materials were used, the clinical failure rate ranged from 0 to 42% [10–13, 15, 16]. Papagiannoulis et al. [17] reported that the highest retention rate with Dyract restorations was 100%. In contrast to the present study, the cavity design in that study followed Black’s principles, which may explain the high retention rates obtained.

The finding of 1.3% recurrent caries at the 12-month follow-up is similar to that of Peters et al. [18], who reported a 1% incidence of recurrent caries after 1 year with Dyract material, and Kavvadia et al. [13], who reported 1.7% caries with F2000 restorations. However, Papagiannoulis et al. [17] reported a secondary caries rate of 6% at cervical margins 24 months after Dyract restorations. The compomer material tested in our study showed a lower incidence of secondary caries than with amalgams (9%), cermet cements (9%), conventional glass ion-
omers (4%) and resin composites (6%) tested in other studies [8, 19].

Our study has shown that Twinky Star could be used as an alternative to tooth-colored comomers because of its high clinical success after 1 year. It has been reported that young patients who are allowed to choose the color of their restorations are more likely to accept the idea of treatment. The success of the treatment is aided even further by the dentist’s explanation to the children that the fillings will continue to look good as long as the patient maintains them properly [20].

These 1-year restorations were not compared with others in a split-mouth design. In a review of tooth-colored restorations in primary molars, Toh and Messer [21] stated that prospective randomized clinical trials should be of at least 5 years’ duration for correctly determining the success rate of class II restorations in primary molars. So the results of our study should be viewed as promising 1-year data.

**Conclusion**

The colored compomer material, Twinky Star, showed promising results in this 12-month follow-up study of class II restorations in primary molars.

**References**