Dear Sir,

High creatine kinase (CK) levels are a persistent feature in a group of patients in chronic hemodialysis (HD) [1]. However, no satisfactory explanation is still available for such CK elevation.

CK is a cytosolic enzymatic protein of 81,000 kDa [2]. Circulating CK comes from either myocardial or skeletal muscle, and therefore it may be elevated as a consequence of alterations of either type of muscle, i.e. myocardial infarction, rhabdomyolysis, myopathies, muscle contraction during grand mal seizures, strenuous exercise and even minor muscular traumatism, such as intramuscular injections [3, 4]. Differential diagnoses of the CK-producing organ can be achieved by dosage of CK isoenzymes, CK-MM from the skeletal muscle and CK-MB from the myocardium. Levels of CK become increased a few hours after organ damage, and return to normal in approximately 48 h.

Since even minor muscle damage may increase CK levels, we have hypothesized that muscle cramps, a rather frequent complaint in a group of HD patients, may be accompanied by elevation of plasma CK levels in the same patients. For testing this hypothesis, we prospectively measured predialysis CK levels during 3 weeks in 3 patients with frequent muscle cramps. In addition, we retrospectively searched for the CK levels and for the presence or absence of muscle cramps in the monthly records of 34 patients on chronic, hospital-based HD. All the CK determinations were performed by automatized, routine methods.

The results from the 3 patients are shown in figure 1. The CK levels were definitely higher after the HD sessions with muscle cramps compared to those without cramps. The CK elevations were due to elevations of the MM
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Fig. 1. The figure represents CK values in 3 patients undergoing HD. The values on the left correspond to HD sessions with no cramps, whereas the values of the right correspond to HD sessions with cramps. Values are mean for the HD sessions of 3 weeks.

Isoenzyme, and no changes in the MB isoenzyme were detected, the CK-MB values being less than 3% of the total CK in all the instances [data not shown]. Such CK-MB levels can be considered nonsignificantly elevated [4,5]. On the other hand, by searching in the monthly records of the patients undergoing treatment in the dialysis unit, three groups of individuals were identified (table 1): first, one group without cramps, which have normal to low CK values (group 1); second, a group of patients with cramps and increased CK levels (group 2), and third, a group of patients with cramps but without elevated CK (group 3). The group 2 had the highest CK values in the months in which cramps were present, as compared to months without cramps. No significant differences were found between the three groups of patients in several clinical and analytical parameters, including sex, body weight, type of kidney disease, type of dialyzer, blood creatinine, electrolytes, total plasma proteins and P. However, the patients of the group with cramps and CK elevations were significantly younger than of the other two groups (group 2 44.5 ± 3.9 vs. group 1 58.7 ± 2.52 vs. group 3 54.5 ± 3.8; p < 0.05), suggesting that a relationship may exist between the amount of muscle mass and muscular activity and the CK increase. Furthermore, group 2 presented lower values of plasma Ca (9.12 ± 25* vs. 9.82 ± 38 vs. 9.44 ± 23, *p < 0.05) and higher values of plasma ferritin (1,483 ± 399* vs. 986 ± 464 vs. 380 ± 126, *p < 0.05) than the other two groups. No differences in the number or intensity of muscle cramps could be recalled retrospectively from the patient records. Even though no increased CK levels were detected in any patient without muscle cramps, there were patients with cramps who did not present CK elevation (table 1). No explanation is available for the latter finding, although undetected differences in the intensity, duration or metabolic characteristics of the muscle cramps in each particular patient may be the effective reason. Any one of the aforementioned factors may be critical in determining the level of CK release from the muscle [6]. Some role of the extracellular Ca is suggested by the reduction of plasma Ca observed in the patients with higher CK levels, although the actual physiological significance of such data is uncertain. In the same regard, the increased ferritin levels in the patients with highest CK levels suggest that there may exist in those patients some degree of myopathy secondary to iron deposition, thus conditioning an increased CK release from muscles. These aspects require further investigation, to ascertain whether they are pathogenetic mechanisms of the CK elevation in dialysis patients.

In summary, the present observations identify a subset of dialysis patients having elevations of CK circulating levels, in relation with the occurrence of intradialytic muscle cramps. This may contribute to clarifying the pathogenetic mechanisms of the so far unexplained CK elevation in individuals undergoing HD.
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