Bilateral Extensive Emphysematous Pyelonephritis

A Case Report
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Introduction

Emphysematous pyelonephritis (EPN) occurs particularly in patients with diabetes mellitus or obstructive uropathy \cite{1, 2}. EPN is rarely encountered in both kidneys concurrently. However, when EPN involves both kidneys, the affected patient is at a higher risk of developing renal failure and subject to higher mortality \cite{2}. Effective therapeutic modalities for bilateral EPN are controversial, although bilateral nephrectomy is considered to be a life-saving measure \cite{1, 2}. We report a case involving a patient with bilateral EPN in which the gas produced extended into bilateral ureters, bladder, prostate gland and right scrotum, coupled with a hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state and acute renal failure.

Case Report

A 54-year-old man presented to our Emergency Service with progressive nonspecific abdominal pain of a 10-day duration. He had experienced malaise, polydipsia and weight loss 1 month earlier, and was not told that he had diabetes mellitus. On examination, he was conscious and disoriented, but afebrile (36.5 °C), with a heart rate of 114 beats/min and a blood pressure of 126/63 mm Hg. His abdomen was distended with hypoactive bowel sounds. Clinical examination did not disclose signs or symptoms suggestive of peritonitis or a urinary tract infection.

The results of blood tests were: peripheral white blood cell count: 51.2 $\times$ 10\(^3\)/mm\(^3\) (normal, 3.9–10.6 $\times$ 10\(^3\)/mm\(^3\)) with 91%
polymorphonuclear cells; platelet count: $300 \times 10^3/$mm$^3$ (normal, $150–400 \times 10^3/$mm$^3$); blood sugar: $618$ mg/dl and osmolality: $356$ mosm/l (normal, $275–295$ mosm/l); creatinine: $8.3$ mg/dl (normal, $0.4–1.4$ mg/dl); potassium: $6.5$ mEq/l (normal, $3.0–4.8$ mEq/l); sodium: $115$ mEq/l (normal, $134–148$ mEq/l), and glycosylated hemoglobin 15.7% (normal, $4.6–6.2%$). An abdomen radiograph showed gas distributed over regions where the bilateral kidneys are located and the right para-lumbosacral area (fig. 1). Urinalysis revealed hematuria and pyuria. Computed tomography (CT) showed bilateral enlarged kidneys with the presence of gas in the renal parenchyma and renal pelvis, left peri-renal space, bilateral ureter, bladder, prostate gland and right scrotum (fig. 2). No evidence of urinary tract obstruction was found.

Bilateral extensive EPN, hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state and acute renal failure were diagnosed. Intravenous fluid and insulin were given. Imipenem was intravenously administrated after sampling blood and urine for culture. Because of the patient’s critical condition, anesthesia was considered too risky and nephrectomy was deferred. Hemodialysis was carried out for acute renal failure and hyperkalemia 5 h after his arrival, followed by CT-guided percutaneous nephrostomy for drainage of pus admixed with air from left kidney. The patient was then admitted for further conservative treatment; his general condition gradually improved 36 h after presentation to the hospital.

Cultures of urine, blood and pus all grew *Escherichia coli*. Antibiotic treatment was later switched to cefuroxime based on the results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing. The metabolic derangement was gradually corrected, and his renal function improved. The draining tube was removed from the left kidney on day 10 of hospitalization. The patient was released after 25 days of hospitalization.

**Discussion**

EPN is a life-threatening kidney infection, which particularly occurs in diabetics [1, 2]. *E. coli* accounts for approximately 70% of all possible infectious etiologies in EPN [1–3]. Of note, the symptoms and signs for urinary tract infection in this reported case were not overt enough, which might result from the clinically distracting or masking effect by the overwhelming hyperglycemic hyperosmolar state [4], rendering negligence of the clinical presentations of urinary tract infection that would otherwise have been noticed. Our report underscores the importance of including EPN in the differential diagnosis of a diabetic patient presenting with abdominal pain regardless of the absence of symptoms/signs for urinary tract infection and/or fever. A delayed diagnosis may lead to rapid deterioration of EPN and development of septic shock, which carries a mortality rate of approximately 50% [1].

Stratified severity of EPN based on radiological manifestations and clinical outcomes was previously reported by Huang and Tseng [2] and Wan et al. [3]. EPN in our patient was extremely severe and involved both kidneys with dissemination of the gas generated by the pathogen in the urogenital system. Bilateral extensive EPN is rare, yet more life-threatening [2, 5–7]. Patients experiencing bilateral EPN with 2 or more indicators for profound sepsis (i.e., thrombocytopenia, acute renal failure, drowsiness and shock) are subject to significantly higher treatment failure rate when treated conservatively with nephrostomy drainage and antibiotic(s) [2]. With regards to our patient, the metabolic derangement in general and acute renal failure in particular raised the concern of whether or not he could tolerate an emergency bilateral nephrectomy, hence the decision was made to perform nephrostomy. Effective therapeutic modalities for bilateral EPN remain controversial [2, 5–7]. Although conservative treatment alone, type I EPN (renal parenchymal destruction with absence of fluid content or presence of bubbly or loculated gas) [3], bilateral EPN and thrombocytopenia have each been identified as a significant risk factor for mortality in a meta-analysis [8], patients with bilateral EPN cured by medical treat-
ment alone have been sporadically reported in the literature [9, 10].

Early percutaneous drainage could reduce bacterial burden, release the gas generated by the culprit pathogen and increase local antibiotic concentration in the inflammatory site leading to enhanced kidney perfusion. For our patient, the abdominal radiography taken at the Emergency Service played a key role in the successful treatment of his bilateral EPN because it disclosed clues to the presence of gas in the upper urinary tract, and thereby provided timely management guidance.

Conclusion

This case demonstrated that aggressive medical treatment with nephrostomy and antibiotics might be an effective alternative to surgical intervention even under such a critical condition, if conservative treatment is started early enough; nephrectomy and resultant permanent hemodialysis could therefore be avoided. This case also suggests that all diabetic patients with abdominal pain (regardless of the absence of fever) should have an abdominal radiograph taken.
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