The Changing Management of Colonoscopy-Associated Perforations

David L. Carr-Locke
The Endoscopy Institute, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, Mass., USA

During the pre-endoscopy consent process, patients are informed that the principle risk of colonoscopy is perforation with an expected overall incidence of about 1 in 1,000, but with a range of about 1 in 2,000 for diagnostic procedures to 1 in 600 for therapeutic procedures [1–8]. If institutional data are known, these should be quoted (1 in 3,300 for diagnostic and 1 in 2,500 for therapeutic for our unit). The expected consequence of most major perforations is surgery, which may range from laparoscopic or open primary closure to resection with or without defunctioning colostomy or ileostomy. This means that an elective diagnostic colonoscopy carries a risk of about 1 in 1,600 for major surgery, and a therapeutic colonoscopy one of about 1 in 500.

There has been growing use of endoscopic accessories, especially clips, for closing perforations of the gastrointestinal tract with increasing success [9–11]. Should this then become the standard approach for perforations recognized during or shortly after the index procedure? In this issue, Kang et al. [12] report a retrospective series of 53 iatrogenic colonic perforations seen over a 7-year period at the Seoul National University College of Medicine. This represented an overall perforation rate of 0.12% (1 in 833), but rates of 0.07% (1 in 1,452) for diagnostic procedures and 0.4% (1 in 251) for therapeutic procedures. Although 34 (64%) were treated surgically, the remaining 19 patients (36%) were treated conservatively, and in 7 of 9, in whom clips were used to close the defect, this was successful. There were no deaths.

In a stable patient with a ‘clean’ colon, a perforation recognized during colonoscopy (or within a few hours while the preparation is still effective) should be given the chance of endoscopic closure by the primary endoscopist or a colleague more expert in therapeutic techniques. Moving the patient to a fluoroscopy room may assist in confirming successful closure by the injection of radio- graphic contrast. The technical challenges of endoscopic closure are that perforations associated with diagnostic colonoscopy tend to be large tears, and those delayed perforations associated with therapeutic colonoscopy resulting from cautery may not provide good tissue for apposition. The process may also take too long to close with current devices, during which time air insufflation is necessary to maintain endoscopic visualization. Carbon dioxide is the preferred insufflating gas in this situation because of its rapid absorption.

Correct clip technique is important. Depending on the shape and size of the defect to be closed, it is often easier to begin mucosal clip placement at the extreme ends or just outside of the defect. Sequential clip deployment towards the center or towards the widest part of the defect can then proceed, as this will make the distance between the edges to be apposed closer and easier to grasp. Remember that clips can remain in place for many weeks or months and are not MRI compatible. If an MRI becomes necessary, a plain radiograph must be taken to ensure elimination of the clips first.
Since deliberate perforation is an integral part of Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery (NOTES) procedures, one of the unexpected benefits of NOTES research is the potential development of gastrointestinal tract mural closure techniques and devices [13]. Transcolonic NOTES has also demonstrated something known, but not always appreciated: namely, that the distended colon wall is remarkably thin and easily perforated. It is somewhat surprising that perforations in humans are as infrequent as they are, and this is a testament to the skill, modern techniques and care taken by trained endoscopists. Unknown variations in wall integrity and thickness and fixation of the colon, especially the sigmoid, from prior intra-abdominal surgery or disease, present additional hazards.

So what lessons have we learned concerning perforation of the colon associated with colonoscopy? First, do not struggle with a difficult diagnostic colonoscopy in the presence of a poor preparation, since perforation will result in significant contamination and increase the likelihood of surgery. Second, if a perforation is recognized intra-procedurally, make an attempt to close it endoscopically. Third, if the patient is symptomatic after the procedure, make the diagnosis of perforation early and consider endoscopic therapy if the preparation is still adequate, remembering that for diagnostic procedures the sigmoid colon is the most common site of perforation. Fourth, if the colon is no longer clean enough to intervene endoscopically, involve a laparoscopic surgeon early since primary laparoscopic closure may be possible [14]. Fifth, surgery is always required for significant peritonitis or an unstable patient. Sixth, educate your patients to return immediately when symptoms appear.
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