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Abstract
Aims: To investigate the Voice Handicap Index (VHI), the health-related quality of life (HRQL), and the correlations between VHI and HRQL in laryngectomees. Methods: Forty-three laryngectomized persons participated (mean age 68;6 years, time since laryngectomy between 0;6 and 12 years). Evaluation of voice handicap was done with the VHI. HRQL was evaluated with questionnaires from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-H&N35. Results: VHI for the whole group demonstrated a moderate voice handicap, with a mean score of 48/120. The functional scales of EORTC QLQ-C30 resulted in scores on the same level as the normal population with the exception of a lower global quality of life scale (Global QOL). EORTC QLQ-H&N35 revealed problems with smell and taste, speech, coughing, xerostomia, and sexuality. VHI correlated significantly with the Global QOL, the functional scales, dyspnea, pain, nausea and financial difficulties (EORTC QLQ-C30). Significant correlations were also found between VHI and speech problems, social contact, pain from the head and neck area, sense problems, sexuality and social eating (EORTC QLQ-H&N35). Conclusion: The EORTC questionnaires in combination with the VHI questionnaire seem to capture most of the problems following laryngectomy, including voice problems.

Introduction
Laryngectomized patients are sometimes viewed as a relatively homogeneous group among head and neck cancer patients because their physical post-surgery problems are relatively alike. After a laryngectomy all patients suffer from problems due to changed airway, loss of normal voice, restricted body strength and reduced senses of smell and taste. However, during the past 25 years, efforts have been made to improve rehabilitation for the laryngectomees. The voice prostheses [1, 2] have radically reduced the time for laryngectomees to reestablish a functional voice. Respiratory problems have also decreased due to the introduction of tracheostoma protections with heat and moisture exchanger. The use of a heat and moisture exchanger has led to fewer problems with cough and...
bronchial infections [3] and can also improve voice function, especially for laryngectomees who use tracheoesophageal (TE) speech [4].

The evaluation of voice rehabilitation may include the patient’s own voice perception and how his/her voice functions in different situations. The Voice Handicap Index (VHI) is a validated instrument, originally developed as an instrument for the quantification of the psychosocial consequences of voice disorders [5]. The VHI has been translated and validated in several languages. In a study by Verdonck-de Leeuw et al. [6], the results showed that the translated and validated versions of VHI from eight European countries, among them Sweden, were equivalent to the original American version, i.e. VHI results from these countries can be compared. In the development of the VHI, laryngectomees constituted a substantial part (26%) of the group of 65 patients who completed the preliminary version. Up until now only a few studies have reported VHI data for laryngectomees [7–11]. Studies by Stewart et al. [7] and Schuster et al. [10] compared VHI data with aspects of health-related quality of life (HRQL) in laryngectomees. In these two studies, HRQL was measured by use of the Short Form 36-item Health Survey (SF-36) [12], a questionnaire suitable for different types of patients and problems, but not specifically aimed at cancer patients. The SF-36 has also been used in other studies of laryngectomees [13, 14]. The results from the study by Stewart et al. [7] showed that a group of 56 laryngectomees graded their voice handicap higher than a group of 24 patients treated with radiotherapy only, although there was a considerable overlap between the groups. Several studies have focused on the long-term quality of life in head and neck cancer patients [15–20], using questionnaires developed by the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC). In addition to a basic questionnaire, EORTC QLQ-C30 [21], the EORTC has also developed a specific module for head and neck cancer, EORTC QLQ-H&N35 [22]. Both questionnaires from the EORTC are validated [22, 23] and have been translated into many languages. The EORTC Quality of Life Group grants permission to employ the questionnaires and supplies the user with the currently available translation asked for, as well as the standard algorithms for scoring the EORTC questionnaires. In previous prospective Scandinavian studies of head and neck cancer patients [16–19], radiotherapy was given as the first-choice treatment for patients with laryngeal cancer, and at follow-up only a few of them had been treated with surgery, i.e. laryngectomy. Therefore, from these studies, data about HRQL for laryngectomees are sparse. In a Brazilian study [20] of 14 totally and 16 partially laryngectomized patients, EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-H&N35 were used to investigate the patients’ quality of life after surgery. Although the median global quality of life score was identical for the two patient groups, speech problems were reported more frequently by the totally laryngectomized than by the partially laryngectomized. A study of 79 laryngectomees in the Netherlands, also using the EORTC questionnaires, showed a high quality of life score for the laryngectomees. The results also revealed that the EORTC questionnaires did not capture all of the difficulties for a laryngectomee, especially regarding respiration and voice [24].

For many laryngectomees, voice rehabilitation helps restore a functional voice and intelligible speech, although some of them may need additional communication aids or use an electrolarynx. The changed voice function, as well as other physical changes after laryngectomy, remains not only for a short postoperative period, but to some extent throughout life. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the voice handicap and HRQL in a group of laryngectomees by means of the VHI and a cancer-specific questionnaire (EORTC), and to compare the self-reported voice problems with other functions and symptoms.

Methods

Participants
A letter of information about the study was sent to a group of 54 consecutive laryngectomized patients who had undergone surgery at the Karolinska University Hospital from 1988 to 2005. Eleven patients declined participation in the study, 2 of them due to other illnesses, 3 due to geographically long distance, and another 6 did not want to participate in a research study. This resulted in a final sample of 43 totally laryngectomized patients (response rate 80%).

In table 1, patient characteristics are reported. There were 40 men and 3 women, with a mean age of 68 years (range 48–84) and a mean follow-up of 4.5 years (range 6 months to 12 years). Thirty-two patients received preoperative radiotherapy, 8 were given postoperative radiotherapy, while 3 patients did not receive any radiotherapy. Thirty-eight patients used TE speech as their main way of communication. Of the 5 patients using electrolarynx for communication, 2 had undergone more extensive surgery including free flap reconstruction. The remaining 3 had a severely restricted ability to use an esophageal voice source due to constrictions in the pharyngoesophageal area. All patients were daily users of a heat and moisture exchanger. At the time of the investigation 6 patients were working full- or part-time. Five patients were still on sick leave, although 2 of them had begun their working rehabilitation program. Thirty-two of the patients were retired, and 4 of these had received early retirement due to illness.
Questionnaires

The 43 patients were asked to fill in three questionnaires at one visit to the Department of Speech-Language Pathology. The questionnaires assessed their voice handicap and their HRQL. The majority of the patients completed the questionnaires without assistance, but one of the authors (E.L.) was available for explanations of the questions, if needed. The three questionnaires used in the study were:

Voice Handicap Index. The VHI is a patient report instrument consisting of 30 statements on voice-related aspects [5, 25]. The patient is asked to read each statement and indicate how frequently that statement applies to his/her individual situation, ranging from 0 (= never) to 4 (= always). The statements represent three subgroups, reflecting functional, physical and emotional aspects of the voice handicap. Within each subgroup, the index is 0–40, with 0 meaning ‘no voice handicap’ and 40 meaning ‘maximal voice handicap’. Thus, the total VHI is 0–120. The interpretation of the mean total VHI is that a score between 0 and 30 reflects a minimal/mild VHI, a score between 31 and 60 reflects a moderate VHI, and a severe voice handicap is reflected by a total VHI score from 61 to 120 (maximum) [26].

EORTC QLQ-C30. This questionnaire comprises multi-item functional and symptom scales, a global quality of life scale, and single-item questions, making a total of 30 questions [21]. There are eight scales, five scales for functions: physical, emotional, role, cognitive, and social, and three scales for symptoms: fatigue, nausea/vomiting, and pain. The six single-item questions concern dyspnea, insomnia, loss of appetite, constipation, diarrhea, and financial difficulties. The patient is asked to answer questions about his/her health status during the past week. For all questions, apart from the global quality of life scale, the response is given on a four-level score with ‘1’ indicating ‘not at all’ and ‘4’ indicating ‘a lot’. The responses to the two questions about global quality of health and life are given on a seven-level score, with ‘1’ indicating ‘very bad’ and ‘7’ indicating ‘excellent’.

EORTC QLQ-H&N35. This questionnaire consists of 35 questions, developed for patients diagnosed with a tumor in the head and neck area [22]. Similar to the QLQ-C30, this questionnaire uses a 1-week frame for the answers. Seven symptom scales are included, concerning problems with pain from the head and neck area, swallowing, senses (smell and taste), speech, social eating, social contact, and sexuality. There are also six single-item questions about problems with teeth, opening mouth, dry mouth, sticky saliva, coughing, and feeling of illness. The symptom scales and the single-item questions are scored from 1 to 4 with ‘1’ indicating ‘not at all’ and ‘4’ indicating ‘a lot’. The questionnaire also includes five single-item questions about use of analgesics, nutritional supplements, feeding tube, and experience of weight loss or weight gain during the past week. These questions are to be answered with yes/no, and since most of the patients answered ‘no’, we have chosen not to present the results from these questions.

Statistical Analyses

For the VHI questionnaire, total VHI score and scores of the three subscales, respectively, were calculated as recommended in the original study by Jacobson et al. [5].

For the EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-H&N35, a linear transformation to a ‘0–100’ scale was carried out as suggested in the EORTC QLQ scoring manual [27]. A high score for the global quality of life scale, as well as for the other five functional scales represents a high HRQL. For the symptom scales and the single-item questions a high score represents a high level of problems.

The statistical analyses include descriptive analyses of each questionnaire. For these analyses we used means and standard deviations (SD). For comparisons between speaker groups, we used Fisher’s nonparametric permutation test. For comparisons between subgroups, in exploring any differences in VHI and HRQL depending on age (<60, 60–69, ≥70 years) or on the length of the postoperative period (<1, 1–4, ≥5 years postoperatively), we used the Kruskal-Wallis test. For correlation analysis, Pitman’s nonparametric test was used. Level of significance was set to p = 0.05 for all tests.

Ethical Considerations

All participants gave their informed consent. The local ethics committee at Karolinska University Hospital approved the study (Dnr 03/465).

Results

Comparisons (Fisher’s test) between quality of life score and VHI scores for the TE speakers (n = 38) and the electrolarynx speakers (n = 5) showed no significant difference between the speaker groups. Therefore, results for the two speaker groups were compounded. As regards gender, results of the VHI and the EORTC questionnaires

---

Table 1. Patient characteristics (n = 43)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at the time of the study, years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>68.3 ± 9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>48–84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years after laryngectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.6 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.6–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocal rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE voice</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrolarynx</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On sick leave</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early retirement due to illness</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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from the 3 women did not differ from the results of the men (n = 40).

Results are mainly reported for the entire group of 43 patients, but VHI results are also given for subgroups as regards age and length of postoperative period.

**Voice Handicap Index**

Mean total VHI score for the patients in this study was 48.0 ± (SD) 21.2, range 12–99. In figure 1, the VHI scores are shown for each patient and plotted from the lowest to the highest. The VHI severity degree [5, 26] showed that 24 patients (56%) perceived their voice handicap as moderate. Twelve patients (28%) perceived a severe voice handicap, and 7 patients (16%) graded their voice handicap as minimal/mild. The division of the total VHI score into the three subscales showed that the functional and physical subscales were scored higher compared to the emotional subscale: functional 17.3 ± (SD) 7.4, physical 18.6 ± (SD) 7.5, and emotional 12.1 ± (SD) 8.9, although these differences were not statistically significant.

The patients who were younger than 60 years at the time of the investigation received about ten points higher mean VHI scores (total VHI 57.9, n = 9) compared to the group age 60–69 (total VHI 48.8, n = 16) and to the group older than 70 (total VHI 42.4, n = 18). The length of the postoperative period also seemed to affect VHI scores. The mean score for the 9 patients who had lived as laryngectomees for less than a year was 60.9. Patients who had undergone surgery 1–5 years before the assessment had a mean score of 47.8 (n = 17), and patients who had had a postoperative period of more than 5 years received a mean of 41.6 (n = 17). These differences between subgroups were not statistically significant.
The two statements with the highest VHI score in the patient group of 43 reflected difficulties in making oneself heard and understood in a noisy room and difficulties to call out loudly. Fifty-three percent of the patient group chose the response ‘almost always’ or ‘always’ for the statement ‘People have difficulty understanding me in a noisy room’.

**Health-Related Quality of Life**

The results from the EORTC questionnaires are shown in table 2 as means and standard deviations from the mean. Mean values for the functional scales in the EORTC QLQ-C30 ranged between 74.8 and 82.6, with a higher score reflecting better function. The global quality of life scale (Global QOL) scored lower than the other functional scales, with a mean of 66.8 for the whole subject group.

The symptom scales and items in the EORTC QLQ-C30 received relatively low scores, i.e. the subjects did not have large problems in these areas. Problems with fatigue, dyspnea, and insomnia resulted in scores higher than 20, with the highest score for dyspnea (36.4). Financial difficulties (20.9) were mainly reported by the younger participants.

In the EORTC QLQ-H&N35, the scale ‘sense problems’ received the highest score, 65.1/100. The scale summarizes problems with both smell and taste. Looking at the separate question about smell, 88% of the patients answered ‘quite a bit’ or ‘very much’ when asked about having problems with their sense of smell. Regarding the question about problems with taste, 49% of the patients answered ‘quite a bit’ or ‘very much’. Speech problems and problems with teeth, sticky saliva, dry mouth, coughing, and sexuality received scores between 30 and 40. The mean score for swallowing problems was 24.4. This scale is a summary of three questions about difficulties with liquids, pureed and solid food. Sixteen patients (37%) reported difficulties with solid food. Nine of these 16 patients also reported difficulties eating together with others and enjoying their meals.

**Correlations between the Global QOL Scale and the Other Scales/Items of the EORTC Questionnaires**

Table 3 presents correlations, and the level of significance, between the Global QOL and all other scales and items of EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-H&N35 for the entire subject group. The Global QOL correlated significantly with every other functional scale in the EORTC QLQ-C30. For all scales but the cognitive scale ($r = 0.34$, $p < 0.05$), the significance level was $p < 0.001$ ($r = 0.49–0.68$). Significant correlations were also found between Global QOL and fatigue, appetite loss, insomnia, and pain. Several scales and items of the EORTC QLQ-H&N35 also correlated significantly with Global QOL, i.e. swallowing, sense problems, social eating, social contact, sexuality, dry mouth, sticky saliva, and feeling of illness. The speech scale and many of the aspects which may affect speech and respiration, i.e. teeth, mouth opening/trismus, dyspnea, and coughing, did not correlate significantly with Global QOL.
Correlations between Voice Handicap and HRQL

Table 4 presents correlations between the total VHI score, as well as between the subscales of VHI, and the functional and symptom scales/items in the two EORTC questionnaires for the group of 43 patients. Total VHI was significantly correlated with all the functional scales in the EORTC QLQ-C30. The correlation between total VHI and the Global QOL scale was also significant. Regarding the symptom scales and items in the EORTC QLQ-C30, significant correlations were found between total VHI and nausea/vomiting, pain, dyspnea, and financial difficulties.

Total VHI was significantly correlated with several of the head and neck symptoms in EORTC QLQ-H&N35: pain, sense problems, speech problems, social eating, social contact, and sexuality. No significant correlations were found between total VHI and the questions on teeth, dry mouth and sticky saliva.

Discussion

Voice Handicap Index

In the present study the majority of patients perceived their VHI to be moderate, a result that is in agreement with previous studies of VHI in laryngectomees [7, 9, 11]. Mean total VHI for the 43 patients in the present study was 48.0 ± (SD) 21.2, reflecting that 56% scored their VHI between 31 and 60 points. Almost a third of the patient group, 28%, assessed their VHI to be severe, whereas 16% scored their handicap as low. In the studies by Schuster et al. [9] and Kazi et al. [11], the scores for the patient groups were more equally distributed over the three severity degrees of the VHI.

Regarding the subscales of VHI, scores in the present study were higher for the physical (mean 17.3) and functional (mean 18.6) subscales compared to the emotional (mean 12.1) subscale. The patients experienced voice production as strenuous, and the effort increased in noisy environments, with difficulties to make them heard. The interindividual difference was larger for the emotional subscale than for the other subscales. Within the patient group that assessed VHI as minimal or moderate, the scores for the emotional subscale were lower than for the patient group with high total VHI. In this group the subscale scores were more equally distributed among the three scales, which means that also the emotional subscale received a relatively high score (fig. 1).

According to a study by Van Gogh et al. [28], differences regarding VHI scores in group comparisons should be more than 15 points to be statistically and clinically relevant. In the present study no significant VHI differences were found between the age groups, or between groups that differed with respect to the postoperative period. This is most probably due to the limited number of participants in the study. As a consequence, the number of patients in each subgroup becomes small, and espe-
cially the groups with recently laryngectomized patients (n = 9) and patients younger than 60 years (n = 9). However, the higher total VHI (60.8) in the group with recently laryngectomized patients suggests that a voice handicap is perceived as more severe by newly laryngectomized compared to patients who had had a postoperative period of more than 1 year. Age also seemed to be important for the degree of voice handicap, with higher scores for the patients who were younger than 60 years, compared to the patients between 60 and 69 years, and 70 years and older. In the study of 54 patients by Kazi et al. [11], significant correlations were found between age and all three subscales of VHI, with higher scores in the younger age group. A prospective study of VHI for patients before and after laryngectomy would give further insight in coping strategies and acceptance of the changes in voice function in relation to age and length of postoperative period.

Table 4. Correlations (Pitman's nonparametric permutation test) between VHI, total score and subscales, and between the scales and items of EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-H&N35 module

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EORTC</th>
<th>VHI total</th>
<th>VHI functional</th>
<th>VHI physical</th>
<th>VHI emotional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>corr.</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>corr.</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLQ-C30 Functional scales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global QOL</td>
<td>-0.35*</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical function</td>
<td>-0.36*</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Role function</td>
<td>-0.37*</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional function</td>
<td>-0.50***</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.44***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive function</td>
<td>-0.44***</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.36*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social function</td>
<td>-0.56***</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.60***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom scales/items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea and vomiting</td>
<td>0.49***</td>
<td>0.47***</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insomnia</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetite loss</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial difficulties</td>
<td>0.58***</td>
<td>0.45**</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLQ-H&amp;N35 Symptom scales/items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>0.44***</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.31*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swallowing</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense problems</td>
<td>0.46***</td>
<td>0.39***</td>
<td>0.30*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech problems</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
<td>0.53***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social eating</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.36*</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social contact</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexuality</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td>0.46**</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teeth</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening mouth</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sticky saliva</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coughing</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling ill</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation coefficients are negative for the functional scales of EORTC QLQ-C30, because high scores on the functional scales indicate good status, and high scores on the VHI indicate poor status. Correlation coefficients are positive for the symptom scales and items of EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-H&N35, because high scores on the symptom scales and items, as well as on the VHI, indicate poor status. Significance levels are indicated with * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001, and NS = nonsignificant.
Health-Related Quality of Life

The patients in the present study rated their Global QOL to be 66.8/100, where 100 reflects the highest possible Global QOL. Normative EORTC data from a Swedish population [29], age 60–69 years, with a diversity of physical problems, reported a 10-point higher Global QOL score (77.1), and normative data from the same age group without any physical problems reported a Global QOL score almost 20 points higher (85.2). Global QOL scores at this high level have been reported in previous studies of laryngectomees [19, 24, 30]. Op de Coul et al. [24] suggest that a score of 82.0 for Global QOL of the 79 laryngectomees in their study group might be due to selection bias, since the patients had good voices, were well rehabilitated, and were highly motivated to participate in a clinical trial of a special stoma device for TE speakers. A Swedish study reported Global QOL scores between 83 and 85 points [30]. These patients also participated in a treatment program (regarding olfaction) and were thus a selected group, as opposed to the patients in the present study who were recruited consecutively.

Problems with eating affected the level of Global QOL the most in the present study. Global QOL was significantly correlated to a number of parameters: dry mouth, sticky saliva, swallowing problems, problems eating together with family/friends, and sense problems (table 3, EORTC QLQ-H&N35). The problem with scent perception, in combination with problems to swallow solid food, is likely to be the reason for problems with enjoying a meal and eating together with others.

Global QOL did not correlate significantly with speech problems, or with other aspects that may affect speech and respiration, such as problems with teeth, opening mouth/trismus, dyspnea, or coughing. The speech scale in the EORTC QLQ-H&N35 consists of three questions. Two of the questions deal with problems concerning talking to other people, and problems with talking on the telephone. The third question concerns voice quality (‘have you been hoarse?’). In our study, 65% of the patients answered ‘not at all’ to this question. Our findings agree with the results in the study by Op de Coul et al. [24], in which a high percentage of patients gave the same answer. These results support that the speech scale of EORTC QLQ H&N-35 may not be sensitive enough to capture important aspects of the communicative impairment of laryngectomees.

Regarding breathing and its effect on the quality of life, only one question is asked about breathing problems, in the EORTC QLQ-C30: ‘Were you short of breath?’ (during the past week). In clinical experience, laryngectomees often complain about problems with breathing through the permanent tracheostoma, and with frequent coughing caused by the production of mucus from the lungs. It seems that the EORTC questionnaires do not cover the specific problems related to breathing and coughing in laryngectomees, nor is there any question about mucus production. This finding was also acknowledged by Op de Coul et al. [24].

Relationships between VHI and HRQL

Mohide et al. [31] asked 20 laryngectomees and 20 health care professionals to rank and rate important quality of life items for a laryngectomee. The laryngectomees ranked the ‘physical consequences’ and ‘interference with social activities’ as the most important items, while the health care professionals ranked ‘impaired communication’ and ‘change in self-image’ as the most important. Impaired communication truly interferes with social activities, something that was reflected in the high correlation between VHI and the EORTC questionnaires in our study (table 4). VHI was significantly correlated with all functional scales of EORTC QLQ-C30. The strong correlations between total VHI and the emotional and social functional scales show a connection between the patients’ assessment of their voice function and their feelings of depression and anxiety and how their physical/medical treatment interferes with family and social life. Previous quality of life studies of laryngectomees in which SF-36 was used [7, 10] show opposite results regarding the correlations between VHI and general health. Stewart et al. [7] found no significant correlation between VHI and the SF-36’s scale General Health in a group of 56 laryngectomees. In the study by Schuster et al. [10], significant correlations between VHI and General Health, as well as between VHI and the subscale Vitality, was found in a group of 20 male laryngectomees, although the interindividual ranges regarding both VHI and SF-36 were large. In the present study there was a significant correlation between VHI and the scoring of Global QOL (r = -0.35, p < 0.05). As seen in table 4, the correlation is based on the scoring of the VHI subscale ‘emotional’.

The significant correlation between degree of VHI and the question about financial difficulties in EORTC QLQ-C30 reflects the scoring in the patient group aged 65 years or younger. These patients belong to the working population in our country, and are therefore likely to be more affected by different terms of insurance and economic conditions in comparison with older patients. At the time of the investigation many of the younger and re-
cently operated patients had not yet been able to return to work.

The correlations between total VHI and the scales and items of EORTC QLQ-H&N35 also reflected the difficulties with voice function, and how the perceived voice handicap affects social activities. This effect is also found in the significant correlation between VHI and the social contact scale in EORTC QLQ-H&N35, in which the questions concern difficulties with interacting with family and friends, and with participation in public events. A dysfunctional voice, which results in a high VHI, is likely to cause social difficulties and result in limited social contacts.

Conclusion

The results from the present study suggest that many of the laryngectomized patients’ problems are well described with the EORTC QLQ-C30 and QLQ-H&N35. Especially useful is EORTC QLQ-H&N35 regarding the symptoms from the head and neck area. However, these instruments do not fully capture the laryngectomees’ specific breathing and speech problems. Based on the results in this study, we find VHI to be a valuable tool for assessment of the patients’ voice function. Additional questions on breathing and coughing problems due to tracheal mucus production need to be included in any of the questionnaires used in this study, or by use of another questionnaire.
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