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**Abstract**

**Objective:** To present the clinical and radiological results of a minimally invasive technique, the fixation of two- and three-part fractures of the proximal humerus according to the Neer Classification System, using the intramedullary bundle-wiring Hackethal technique. **Subjects and Methods:** The operations were performed with the Hackethal technique (use of elastic titanium nails, Synthes) on 17 patients (8 males and 9 females) with two- and three-part fractures of the proximal humerus. In cases of two-part fracture only, intramedullary titanium nails were used while for three-part fractures, percutaneous cannulated screws were used to fix the greater tuberosity, followed by intramedullary insertion of titanium elastic nails. The clinical results were assessed using the Constant score and radiological assessment including the union and alignment of the proximal humerus. Pre-operative and postoperative CT scans were performed along with 3D reconstruction in all cases for diagnostic and prognostic significance. **Results:** All fractures united in an average period of 12 weeks (range 10–17 weeks). In all patients, a good to excellent range of motion of the shoulder, and good to excellent muscular power and alignment were not-ed. The Constant score amounted to 81 points (range 64–98 points). There was no secondary loss of fixation and migration of implants. **Conclusion:** In cases of two-part fracture of the proximal humerus, the minimally invasive Hackethal technique alone and in cases of three-part fracture, the same technique with percutaneous cannulated screws provided excellent clinical and radiological results with a minimal risk of complication. It is an important alternative to open reduction and internal fixation of these difficult fractures.

**Introduction**

Treatment of proximal humeral fractures remains a subject of debate, especially in older patients. Published randomized and comparative studies review a wide range of treatment methods [1, 2]. The problems involved in treatment decisions include type of fracture, bone quality and general condition of the patient. Several methods, including conservative treatment, variable forms of fixation, and hemiarthroplasty have been proposed, but the long-term results have not always been satisfactory, and a new interest in finding a better therapeutic solution has been observed in recent years [3–9]. The introduction of locking plates with better fixation stability has improved
the results of fixation, but since even these implants may fail, other fixation devices have been proposed [10]. The question whether stiff and rigid implants are better than elastic implants in these fractures remains unanswered and reports on experimental studies have not given unequivocal results [11]. In this study, indirect reduction using manipulation and the joystick technique combined with intramedullary fixation following the Hackethal method was applied. We report our results in an initial series of 17 patients treated with this technique.

Subjects and Methods

Seventeen patients, 8 male and 9 female patients, average age 44.9 years (range: 18–69) with two- and three-part fractures of the proximal humerus according to the Neer Classification System were treated using intramedullary bundle fixation with 3 elastic titanium nails (Synthes) following the Hackethal method [12]. In most cases, the cause of fracture was a fall from a standing height. Fractures were classified according to Neer’s criteria as two- and three-part fractures [13]. CT scans with 3D reconstruction (fig. 1) enabled us to classify fractures according to the classification proposed by Edelson et al. [14]. The fracture was reduced by traction and manipulation with a Schanz screw (joystick technique) and monitored using an image intensifier. In cases of two-part fractures, only intramedullary titanium nails were used. In three-part fractures, percutaneous cannulated screws were used to fix the greater tuberosity, followed by intramedullary insertion of titanium elastic nails (fig. 2). The nails were inserted through a window on the posterior aspect of the distal humerus. The tip of each elastic nail was bent slightly to engage the humeral head in a divergent way. After the nails were well seated, they were bent and cut distally. The fragments of bony window were impacted to prevent distal migration of the implants. Usually, 3 tightly fitting elastic titanium nails having a diameter 2–3 mm, depending on the cross-section diameter of the medullary cavity, were used to assure the rotational stability of the construct. Initially, after surgery, the operated arm was immobilized on a sling. Starting from the second week, gradual gentle passive and assisted active mobilization was started. The progress of bone union and physiotherapy was monitored at 3-week intervals until the patient had a good range of motion of the affected shoulder, and progress of union was visible on the X-ray (fig. 3). Routinely, a postoperative CT scan with 3D reconstruction of the operated shoulder was done to better assess the position of the implants, the accuracy of reduction, and the risk of secondary displacement (fig. 4). Alignment was defined as neutral, valgus or varus. The Constant score was used to assess the functional result of the treatment [15]. All surgeries were performed by the senior author (W.P.); follow-up assessment was done by the second author (T.A.N.), who was not involved in surgical procedures. Patients were examined at each follow-up visit, and the final clinical score was assessed at the last follow-up. The patients were followed for 13.2 months.

Results

All fractures united during an average period of 12 weeks. The final Constant score was 80.3 points (range: 64–98). The alignment was normal in 13 patients; 2 cases were varus and 2 cases were valgus. The alignment did not affect the clinical result or union. There were no cas-
es of secondary displacement or implant migration. Three patients complained of discomfort at the entry site of the elastic nail and thus the implants were removed after consolidation; in the other patients, removal was not necessary. CT classification of fractures coincided with the Neer Classification System, i.e., two- and three-part fractures in the CT coincided with two- and three-part fractures in the Neer Classification System; there were 12 (70.6%) two-part fractures and 5 (29.4%) three-part fractures.

Clinical and radiological outcomes are summarized in table 1.

### Table 1. Clinical and radiological results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>13.2 ± 2.4 (10–15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily activity</td>
<td>15 ± 2.2 (12–18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>31.7 ± 5.7 (24–40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder power</td>
<td>21 ± 3.5 (15–25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final clinical result</td>
<td>81.3 ± 11.3 (64–98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of union in weeks</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.6 (10–17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>13 (76.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valgus</td>
<td>2 (11.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varus</td>
<td>2 (11.8%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The treatment of proximal humeral fractures is problematic, especially in osteoporotic fractures. The incidence of these fractures is increasing [16, 17], and in the literature of the last 10 years, renewed interest in new fixation methods of two-, three-, and four-part fractures has been observed. With the higher number of osteoporotic fractures and the increasing demand for better function-
al outcome, new concepts and devices for treating these difficult fractures have been designed. The initial enthusiasm for locked plates, helix wire, proximal humeral locked nails and angled humeral blade plate has been moderated by reports on the high failure rate of some of these implants [18, 19]. Biomechanical studies revealed that weak osteoporotic bone tolerates elastic implants better than stiff implants, and within a certain range of micromotion, the fracture remains stable and bone union progresses [20]. On the other hand, stiff implants, although mechanically very stable, cannot deform elastically during movements. They cut through the soft bone, causing loss of fixation and damage to remaining bone stock (‘mixin effect’) [21]. In our study, the initial reduction was maintained throughout the treatment period in all cases and no secondary displacement was noted. We believe that the divergent position of elastic nails in the humeral head and the impact of the bony window distally provided sufficient stability to stimulate early passive and assisted active range of motion without jeopardizing the stability of fixation and increasing the risk of implant migration. In three-part fractures, CT was helpful in deciding upon the direction of the screws, and after surgery to confirm the reduction accuracy and position of implants in the humeral head. We did not limit the indication for the Hackethal technique to osteoporotic patients as it was applied with excellent results to both younger and older patients. It also met the criteria of minimally invasive surgery, a current trend in modern orthopedic trauma management. The success of this fixation method may depend on elastic deformation of the implants during the healing process, stimulating consolidation of well-vascularized bone fragments. Divergent impaction of the nails that block each other in cancellous bone assures a relatively stable elastic construct. The presence of titanium, which is an osteo-inductive factor, may also play a role. In most fractures, exact anatomical reduction of fragments could not be achieved. This did not, however, affect the functional result. Improvements of minimally invasive techniques with real-time 3D fracture imaging and better indirect reduction tools may change this situation. Early functional treatment enabled most of our patients to have a good range of motion despite moderate displacement, an aspect of rehabilitation stressed in other studies [22, 23].

The major limitations of our study are the small number of patients and lack of control group for comparison.

Conclusion

The results of our study support the minimally invasive technique of elastic fixation of two- and three-part fractures of the proximal humerus as a viable alternative to other treatment methods.
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