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Zusammenfassung
182 Patientinnen mit metastasiertem Mammakarzinom erhielten innerhalb einer randomisierten Studie V (3 mg/m² i.v.) und M (10 mg/m² i.v.) oder E (40 mg/m² i.v.) alle 3 Wochen 3mal und anschließend alle 4 Wochen. Die Patientinnen wurden nach dem dominanten Metastasierungstyp und in Abhängigkeit von einer zytostatischen Vorbehandlung stratifiziert. In einer präliminären Analyse findet sich ein signifikanter Unterschied in der Alopezierate (WHO-Grad 3 oder 4) zugunsten der Kombination VM. Gastrointestinale, hämatologische und neurotoxische Nebenwirkungen waren relativ geringfügig und für beide Gruppen ähnlich. Von 114 auswertbaren Patientinnen erreichten in der Gruppe VM 26% und in der Gruppe VE 34% eine komplette oder partielle Remission (n.s.). Hinsichtlich progressionsfreiem Interval und Überleben finden sich keine Unterschiede zwischen den beiden Gruppen. Beide Behandlungsregime erscheinen gut verträglich und ähnlich effizient. Wegen der noch kurzen Beobachtungszeit erscheinen jedoch Schlußfolgerungen verfrüht.
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Summary and Key Words
182 patients with metastatic breast cancer were randomized to V (mg/m² i.v.) and M (10 mg/m² i.v.) or E (40 mg/m² i.v.) every 3 weeks × 3 and then every 4 weeks; they were stratified by sites of disease (visceral, bone, or soft-tissue dominant) and by prior chemotherapy. In a preliminary analysis there is a significant difference regarding frequency of alopecia (WHO Grade 3 or 4) favoring regimen VM; gastrointestinal, hematologic and neurotoxic side effects were mild and similar for both groups. Of 114 evaluable women there is a response rate (CR + PR) of 26% and 34% for VM and VE respectively (n.s.), and there is no significant difference between the 2 groups in time to progression and survival. Both regimens are well tolerated and seem to be equally effective. The median follow-up time is too short to draw final conclusions.
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Conventional chemotherapy with CMF regimens (cyclophosphamide, methotrexate, 5-fluorouracil) or doxorubicin-containing combinations induce objective tumor regression in 50–70% of patients with metastatic breast cancer [3–7]. Newer strategies of effective treatment
include the development of less toxic chemotherapeutic agents, such as vindesine, mitoxantrone and 4’-epidoxorubicin [1]. In order to compare the efficacy and toxicity of mitoxantrone and 4’-epidoxorubicin in combination with vindesine we initiated a randomized multicenter trial.

Patients and Methods

Patients with metastatic breast cancer, seen at the participating institutions, were entered into this randomized trial; they were stratified by sites of disease (visceral, bone or soft tissue dominant) and by prior chemotherapy. Eligibility criteria for entry included histologically confirmed breast cancer with evaluable or measurable disease, Kar-nofsky performance status greater than 40, and expected survival of at least 3 months, normal bone marrow function (leukocyte count of ≥4,000/mm³ and platelet count of ≥100,000/mm³), adequate liver and renal function. Patients must not have had prior history of congestive heart failure or myocardial infarction. Exclusion criteria also included patients with CNS metastases, osteoplastic lesions only, concurrent malignancies of other sites, prior treatment with anthracyclines, mito-

Table I. Characteristics of randomized patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>VM*</th>
<th>VM**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total patients entered (n)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen receptor status (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ER +  
55.8  
58.7  
ER-  
44.2  
41.3  
Disease-free interval (months)  
23  
22  
Mean performance status (K. I.)  
80  
90  
Prior chemotherapy (%)  
28  
35  
Prior hormonal therapy (%)  
58  
49  
Prior radiotherapy (%)  
28  
21  
Dominant site of disease (%)  

Visceral  
71  
67  
Osseous  
23  
26  
Soft tissue  
6  
7  
* Vindesine-mitoxantrone

** Vindesine – 4’-epidoxorubicin
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xantrone or vindesine and endocrine therapy, chemotherapy or radiotherapy within 4 weeks before entering the study. Pre-treatment evaluation included physical examination, full blood counts, blood chemistry, ECG, chest X-rays, sonography and appropriate radiographs for
measurement of disease. Radionuclide scans or echocardiograms were optional. Blood counts and ECG were repeated prior to each treatment course, the remaining after 3 cycles of therapy. Treatment consisted of either mitoxantrone (10 mg/m² i.v.) or 4’-epidoxorubicin (40 mg/m² i.v.) in combination with vindesine (3 mg/m² i.v.) every 3 weeks × 3 and every 4 weeks thereafter. Standard dose modifications for dose reduction or discontinuation of therapy were used. All patients continued on therapy until evidence of progressive disease or until a cumulative dose was reached (160 mg/m² for mitoxantrone and 1,000 mg/m² for 4’-epidoxorubicin). Toxicity was graded according to WHO criteria [9]. Assessment of response was performed after 3 cycles using standard UICC criteria [8]. Time to progression was measured from randomization to the occurrence of progression or death.

Results
Between December 1985 and August 1987 182 patients were randomized, and data from a preliminary analysis are presented here. Patient characteristics and previous therapy are listed in table I. There are no statistically significant differences for the 2 groups of patients. 160 patients are now evaluable for toxicity. 22 (27.8%) of VM treated patients and 20 (24.7%) in the VE arm developed leukopenia (WBC < 4,000, grade 1–2) at the time of the next cycle, but there was a higher frequency of WBC less than 2,000 cells/µL in the VM group (40% vs. 14%). Thrombocytopenia was rare for either treatment group (platelet count < 100,000/µL, 1.3% for both groups). With respect to nonhematologic toxicity there was a statistically significant difference in the frequency of alopecia. Moderate or severe alopecia (grade 3–4) occurred in 16% of VM-treated patients as compared to 45% of patients treated with VE (p = 0.003). For nausea (grade 3–4, 17%) or vomiting (8%) and diarrhea (4% vs. 0%) there was no difference in the incidence and severity of adverse experiences. No patient got moderate to severe stomatitis. Neurotoxicity was observed in 24% of patients in the VM arm and 11% in the VE group. Of 114 patients evaluable for response, the objective remission rate (CR + PR) for VM was 25.9% and 33.9% for VE. This difference is not statistically significant. No change was recorded in 46.3% and 48.3% for VM and VE respectively. There were no significant differences between the 2 treatment groups regarding response and prior therapy or dominant site of disease. The median follow-up period in this study is now 6 months. For both groups of patients the median time to progression is 6 months, and there is no difference in survival yet.

Discussion
After a plateau with regard to response rates, response duration and survival using standard chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of advanced breast cancer had been reached, newer strategies include the development of less toxic drugs to improve the quality of life of patients. One of these drugs is vindesine. The activity of vindesine is similar to that of vinblastine and superior to vincristine [2]. The anthroquinone mitoxantrone has been compared in multiple randomized trials with doxorubicin in either as single agent or in combination regimens [10, 11]. Mitoxantrone is associated with less alopecia, gastrointestinal symptoms and less cardiotoxicity. The anthracycline 4’-epidoxorubicin also seems to be as active as doxorubicin but less toxic [12, 13]. The aim of our study was to compare mitoxantrone and 4’-epi-doxorubicin in combination chemotherapy with vindesine regarding efficacy and toxicity. The data presented demonstrate a slightly lower response rate of mitoxantrone-treated patients but this difference is not statistical significant. There is also no difference in time to progression between the 2 regimens. Mitoxantrone caused significantly less alopecia and equal nausea and vomiting than 4’- epidoxorubicin combined with vindesine. Myelosuppression and neurotoxicity was mild and comparable for both groups.
As these data were obtained from a very preliminary analysis—the median follow-up time is only 6 months—final conclusions cannot be drawn. Until further, long-term follow-up can be obtained, the study is continuing.
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