The Hippocampus and Nucleus Accumbens as Potential Therapeutic Targets for Neurosurgical Intervention in Schizophrenia

Charles B. Mikell    Guy M. McKhann    Solomon Segal    Robert A. McGovern
Matthew B. Wallenstein    Holly Moore

Department of Neurological Surgery, Columbia University, New York, N.Y., USA

Introduction

Schizophrenia is a chronic and disabling medical illness that affects approximately 0.7% of the population [1]. The symptoms associated with schizophrenia are divided into three domains: 'positive symptoms', which include disorders of thought and perception of reality, including delusions and hallucinations, 'negative symptoms', including social withdrawal and avolition, and 'cognitive symptoms', including impairments in attention, memory and executive function. Figure 1 shows these symptom domains, their proposed pathophysiology, and current treatment strategies. In the present article, we propose a novel surgical treatment of the positive symptoms of schizophrenia, based on current models of the neurocircuitry of psychosis. We hypothesize that chronic, high-frequency electrical stimulation (HFS) of the hippocampus or nucleus accumbens (NAc), through stabilization of dopamine (DA) release in the striatum, may improve positive symptoms in patients with schizophrenia.
Delusions are fixed, false ideas about the world, often paranoid in content, but may also involve convictions of being controlled by a power outside of oneself. They are often accompanied by auditory hallucinations, perceived sounds that are not actually present \[2\]. Positive symptoms of schizophrenia are treated primarily with antipsychotic pharmacotherapy.

All currently approved antipsychotic agents antagonize receptors in the D\(_2\) dopamine receptor family (including D\(_2\), D\(_3\), and/or D\(_4\) receptors) \[3\]; for a recent review, see 4]. The ‘typical’ antipsychotics have relatively higher specificity and/or affinity for the D\(_2\) receptor family; newer ‘atypical’ antipsychotics exhibit receptor binding profiles that also include affinities for serotonin, acetylcholine and \(\alpha\)-adrenergic receptors \[5\]. These medications primarily treat the positive symptoms of schizophrenia, although newer atypical agents may ameliorate, or at least not exacerbate, negative symptoms as well \[5–7\]. The clinical efficacy of antidopaminergic medication is consistent with the hypothesis that excessive striatal DA mediates psychosis. This hypothesis has since been more directly supported by positron emission tomography (PET) imaging studies showing that both basal levels of DA andamphetamine-induced DA release are significantly increased in the striatum in patients with schizophrenia, and also related DA levels to positive symptoms or response of those symptoms to treatment \[8–12\].

Kapur [13] has hypothesized that schizophrenia is a disorder of dopaminergically mediated salience. In this model, DA codes for the ‘incentive salience’ of sensory stimuli (including internal stimuli). Excessive and untimely DA release may contribute to a dysregulation of neural circuits that, in turn, leads the patient to perceive voices, sounds, and images as ‘inappropriately salient’. The patient thus assigns false significance to stimuli or events, and forms associations among such stimuli and events that are not predictive of or relevant to actual events likely to be encountered by the patient. These false associations are experienced by the patient as delusions. In the case of auditory hallucinations, internal thoughts are assigned special salience when experienced concurrently with inappropriate DA release. The patient then perceives these thoughts as external stimuli or ‘voices in my head’. The patient’s attempts to make sense of hallucinations within a cognitive structure or belief system can also contribute to the formation and tenacity of delusions.

Blockade of DA receptors can ameliorate positive symptoms. Although the neural circuit changes contributing to this effect have not been fully elucidated, one often cited hypothesis is that antipsychotics cause a ‘depolarization block’ of midbrain DA neurons leading to a decrease in DA levels in the striatum \[14, 15\]. Although this hypothesis has recently been challenged \[16\], most studies of the effects of antipsychotic drugs on striatal DA release show that chronic administration of these drugs...
results in decreased extracellular levels of DA in the striatum, related to decreased presynaptic DA synthesis, and/or a decrease in the responsivity of DA efflux to pharmacological triggers [17, 18]. Despite advances in antipsychotic pharmacology (but see Keefe et al. [19]), schizophrenia remains a life-altering diagnosis that requires lifelong medication and therapy. Moreover, the lifelong treatment that patients must endure can have significantly debilitating side effects, and does not always adequately control their symptoms [20]. Approximately one third to one fifth of patients with schizophrenia do not fully respond to medication. Of these, a portion of patients have psychotic symptoms refractory to multiple medication trials. Depending on the criteria used, there are 200,000–500,000 patients with treatment-resistant schizophrenia in the United States alone [21]. Treatment resistance correlates with suicide in schizophrenia, which claims the life of 5% of affected patients [22, 23]. Even among patients in whom the medications are efficacious, side effects make lifelong compliance onerous. Typical antipsychotics, such as haloperidol and thioridazine, cause dose-dependent parkinsonian motor side effects (extrapyramidal side effects) as a consequence of DA receptor antagonism. On the other hand, olanzapine, the most popularly prescribed atypical antipsychotic, while not associated with extrapyramidal side effects, causes significant weight gain, as well as diabetes and associated complications of obesity [24]. Moreover, antipsychotic medications are simply unpleasant to take. This syndrome has been termed neuroleptic dysphoria, and a recent PET study suggests that D₂ inhibition correlates with both therapeutic benefit and subjective dysphoria [25]. Given this Faustian bargain, novel therapeutic approaches are desperately needed.

In the present paper, we present a strategy for employing HFS of specific hippocampal or striatal subregions as an adjunct or alternative to pharmacotherapy for psychosis and possibly other symptom domains in schizophrenia. In fact, our approach is not new; Monroe and Heath [26] report improved social functioning and affect in a small number of patients with schizophrenia after being treated with ‘septal’ stimulation (e.g. NAc). Since that time, there has been great advancement in the use of deep brain stimulation (DBS), the application of HFS to specific gray or white matter regions in the brain. DBS emerged in the 21st century as an experimental treatment for multiple refractory psychiatric syndromes, including depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), and Tourette’s syndrome (reviewed by Ressler and Mayberg [27], Nuttin et al. [28], Mayberg et al. [29], Rauch et al. [30], Greenberg et al. [31], Maciunas et al. [32], and Welter et al. [33]). Although psychiatry has a long history of the use of neurosurgical procedures (primarily lesions), HFS of specific brain regions offers the theoretical advantage of being either a stimulatory or an inhibitory procedure, depending on the anatomic localization and the settings used. Though efficacy data for most psychiatric DBS interventions remain highly preliminary, randomized DBS trials have begun enrollment for OCD and depression (effectiveness of DBS for treating people with treatment-resistant OCD: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00640133; DBS for treatment-refractory major depression: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT00122031). All of this has proceeded cautiously, with careful ethical consultations and multidisciplinary cooperation, given the history of psychosurgery in the United States [34].

Deriving a Rational Basis for Neurostimulation-Based Therapy for Schizophrenia: Neurocircuitry, Current Models and Outstanding Questions

The dysregulation of DAergic pathways in psychosis has been well established. As described above, pharmacologic inhibition of the D₂ receptor has been shown to successfully treat and control the positive symptoms of schizophrenia. Likewise, agents that act to increase the concentration of DA at the synaptic cleft, such as amphetamine, acutely worsen psychosis. More recent neurochemical (SPECT or PET) imaging studies have confirmed that the exacerbation of psychosis by amphetamine is related to the ability of the drug to increase extracellular DA concentrations in the striatum [8]. Moreover, basal DA (as assessed by measuring baseline occupancy of D₂ family receptors by endogenous DA) predicts patients’ response to antipsychotic medication [10]. Robust evidence of DAergic involvement in schizophrenia suggests two related questions: (1) how does excessive DA lead to positive symptoms, and (2) how does excessive release of phasic DA happen?

To answer these questions, scientists have examined the normal functions of DA in the striatum. The midbrain DA system is conventionally divided into two pathways: the mesolimbic system, and the nigrostriatal system. Although the conceptualization of these systems with regard to their differential involvement in psychosis in schizophrenia is changing [35], for the purposes of this paper we will define the nigrostriatal system as DA innervation of the motor regions of the striatum by central and lateral divisions of the substantia nigra pars compacta and the mesolimbic system as inputs to the medial caudate and entire NAc from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and medial substantia nigra DA neurons. The ni-
The tonic/phasic hypothesis of DA release proposes that midbrain neurons release DA in two different modes [40]. Tonic DA is determined by continual pacemaker-like firing of DA neurons that leads to nanomolar levels of DA concentration at baseline, which is transiently increased by phasic burst firing of DA neurons. Phasic activation acutely elevates DA to levels as high as millimolar for very brief periods (reviewed in Goto and Grace [39]). Tonic and phasic DA release are thought to have distinct functions. Tonic DA modulates frontal/striatal interactions important for goal-directed behavior. It also activates inhibitory presynaptic D2 receptors which limit DA release. Phasic activation, by contrast, is critical for reward-based learning and the assigning of incentive salience to sensory stimuli, a mechanism which is proposed to be disrupted in the previously described model of Kapur [13]. Recent studies suggest that hippocampal activation may drive phasic DA release when the hippocampus detects novelty, and possibly in other settings [42, 43].

The Hyperactive Hippocampus in Schizophrenia

Both patient and animal model data suggest that hippocampal dysfunction may contribute to aberrant DA release in schizophrenia. Under normal circumstances, activation of the hippocampus increases the proportion of spontaneously active DA neurons in the VTA [44]. Between phasic activations, a significant proportion of VTA DA neurons are not firing, because they are tonically inhibited by GABAergic projections from the ventral pallidum (VP). Activation of the ventral hippocampus in the rodent (analogous to the anteromedial hippocampus of the human) is postulated to activate GABAergic neurons in the NAc that, in turn, inhibit GABAergic neurons in the VP that project to and normally inhibit VTA neurons. One net effect of hippocampal activation is to release DA neurons in the VTA from inhibition by the VP, thus, allowing for phasic activation by glutamatergic projections to the VTA.

Given the hypothesized role of the hippocampus in regulating striatal, particularly mesolimbic, DA release, this region may be a valid target for HFS-mediated inactivation for the treatment of psychosis in schizophrenia. In schizophrenia, there is robust evidence for hippocampal dysfunction. In particular, hippocampal volume loss has been replicated across numerous studies. Two meta-analyses [45, 46] and one large recent cohort from Australia [47] have confirmed that the hippocampus is smaller in patients with schizophrenia by approximately 5%. These studies do not address whether volume loss is itself pathogenic, or is secondary to the effects of chronic hyperactivity on the sensitive hippocampus. However, recent functional imaging studies have suggested a pathogenic role for hippocampal abnormalities. SPECT, PET and fMRI studies have all pointed to abnormalities in the hippocampus in schizophrenia. Broadly speaking, imaging studies suggest hypometabolism of the hippocampus in patients relative to controls (reviewed in Gohelf et al. [48]). Yet upon closer examination, these studies do not reveal baseline hypoactivity as much as failure to recruit the hippocampus in memory tasks where hippocampal activation is typically robust. This is consistent with a model in which activation is constitutive. More recently, using a cohort of first-episode psychosis patients, the deficit in hippocampal activation was shown to be relatively specific to the encoding of semantic ‘relatedness’ (whether images were related in content or not), arguing against a general inability to recruit the hippocampus [49]. However, cerebrovascular-based measures of activation such as BOLD and rCBF are ill-suited to detect chronic hyperactivity of the hippocampus, the condition suggested by excessive phasic DA release.

Chronic hyperactivity would be expected to produce atrophy and a lower rCBF over time, as is the case in temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE); Lahti et al. [50] have recently shown a negative correlation between the severity of positive symptoms and hippocampal rCBF in patients who have suffered chronically (>10 years) from the disorder. The situation is similar in TLE. In TLE, a long literature...
reports chronically low blood flow and decreased cerebral metabolism using SPECT, PET, and fMRI, with marked increases during seizures (reviewed in Duncan [51]). Hypometabolism is most pronounced when the hippocampus is sclerotic and atrophied [52]. Hence, although the hippocampus does not appear overtly sclerotic in postmortem studies of schizophrenia, it is not implausible that excitotoxicity occurring within this region early in the course of the disorder may contribute to both the decrease in metabolic activity and postmortem histopathology associated with chronic schizophrenia.

Data from animal models and prodromal patients are most consistent with a model of early hyperactivation with subsequent excitotoxicity, followed by volume loss over time. In a recent MRI study of prodromal patients, increased rCBF strongly predicted an imminent psychotic break [53]. These findings are consistent with hippocampal hyperactivity in schizophrenia, especially in the early stage of the disorder which features more positive symptomatology. Similarly, in the MAM-E17 rat model of schizophrenia [54], silencing the hippocampus with tetrodotoxin normalized the rat’s locomotor response to amphetamine [55], a behavioral response that depends on DA release in schizophrenia [56], as measured by reduction in interictal spikes [58]. While the precise mechanism is unclear, hippocampal HFS leads to a general reduction in excitability, as measured by reduction in interictal spikes [58] and decreased perfusion by SPECT [56]. Patients are typically unaware of hippocampal HFS, making blinded studies possible. Importantly, minimal side effects have been reported with HFS of the hippocampus; neuropsychological testing scores improved (perhaps due to improved seizure control) in the cohort of Velasco et al. [59]. This suggests that hippocampal HFS is a safe, effective way to decrease the output of the hyperexcitable hippocampus.

**HFS of the Hippocampus Is Inhibitory**

HFS of the hippocampus has been used to control seizures in patients with refractory TLE [56–59]. The effects of HFS in the hippocampus have also been modeled extensively in animals [60–63]. In patients, the target has typically been the anterior hippocampus [57], and reductions in seizure frequency from 30 to 100% have been reported [58, 59]. While the precise mechanism is unclear, hippocampal HFS leads to a general reduction in excitability, as measured by reduction in interictal spikes [58] and decreased perfusion by SPECT [56]. Patients are typically unaware of hippocampal HFS, making blinded studies possible. Importantly, minimal side effects have been reported with HFS of the hippocampus; neuropsychological testing scores improved (perhaps due to improved seizure control) in the cohort of Velasco et al. [59]. This suggests that hippocampal HFS is a safe, effective way to decrease the output of the hyperexcitable hippocampus.

**Striatal Control of Midbrain DA Release: A Surgical Target**

The striatum has three major functional subdivisions: the sensorimotor striatum, the ventral striatum (VS, including the NAc), and the associative striatum (AST) (reviewed in Joel and Weiner [64]). In addition to the role of the NAc in regulating DA release in response to hippocampal activation, the entire striatum is a major input to the midbrain DA system and plays a major role in regulating DA release [65]. On anatomic grounds, Haber et al. [65] and Ikemoto [66] have proposed a ‘spiral’ of both reciprocal and feed-forward projections from the striatum to the DAergic midbrain, which constitutes a mechanism for routing information through successive parts of the striatum. This postulated cognitive circuit begins in the VS where judgments about value are made, continues to the AST where information about context is provided, and terminates on the sensorimotor striatum where motor plans are formulated. At each step, DA output to the striatum is inhibited or stimulated by specific striatoniigrostriatal projections.

Recent studies have suggested that there may be distinct patterns of DA dysregulation within the functional subdivisions of the striatum in schizophrenia. While antipsychotic response correlates to D2 receptor occupancy in the VS [12], a recent PET study using α-methyl-para-tyrosine depletion of DA has suggested that the ‘hotspot’ of DA release in schizophrenia is the AST [35]. The AST has extensive connections with the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, an area subserving cognitive processes known to be deficient in schizophrenia, including working memory [67]. D2 overexpression in the striatum has been shown to recapitulate dorsolateral prefrontal cortex-like deficits in a mouse model [68], suggesting that excessive DA release in the AST may contribute to both positive and negative symptoms in schizophrenia.

The mechanisms regulating DA release into the striatum are not fully understood, but the posited striatoniigrostriatal circuit of Haber et al. [65] may be a major contributor. In their model, each successive part of the striatum controls DA release from the midbrain into the next part, via GABAergic projections from striatal neurons onto DA neurons in the midbrain. In this way, the VS controls DA release into the AST, and the AST controls DA release into the sensorimotor striatum. The output of the striatum to the midbrain has both a reciprocal and nonreciprocal component. The reciprocal component is a projection onto DA neurons that project directly back to the same striatal neurons, while the nonreciprocal component projects to the DA neurons that innervate the next
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part of the striatum. The authors propose that the reciprocal projection of the VS is to the same DA neurons in the VTA that innervate the VS. The authors suggest that the nonreciprocal component projects onto inhibitory interneurons in the densocellular substantia nigra compacta, a region that releases DA into the AST. In this manner, activation of the VS inhibits DA release into itself, and stimulates DA release into the AST. We propose that this interaction is a possible surgical target in schizophrenia.

HFS of the NAc Partially Activates and Stabilizes DA Transmission

HFS of the NAc and closely related structures has been used therapeutically in a variety of settings, including OCD [31, 69], Tourette’s syndrome [70], and major depressive disorder [71, 72]. In rodents, NAc HFS has been shown to decrease cocaine-seeking behavior in cocaine-habituated animals [73] and to attenuate morphine reinforcement [74]. From the variety of clinical effects produced by NAc HFS, it has become clear that the ‘reversible functional lesion’ paradigm [75] is not appropriate to NAc HFS. More consistent with the therapeutic effects of NAc DBS is a model in which HFS partially activates and stabilizes DA transmission in the NAc.

We propose that HFS raises tonic DA levels in the NAc (perhaps by directly depolarizing VTA axon terminals) and simultaneously inhibits phasic surges in DA, in a manner similar to the effects of the D₂ partial agonist aripiprazole [76]. Stimulation of the NAc causes a rapid and reversible improvement in mood in many patients [71, 72], an effect likely mediated by a local increase in tonic DA. Such an increase in tonic DA in the NAc/VS may mediate the antidepressant effects of Brodmann area 25 stimulation [Segal and Moore, in preparation]. Increases in tonic DA stimulation are known to inhibit phasic DA release [77, 78]. Thus, HFS of the NAc may partially activate and stabilize DA transmission in the NAc. Stabilization of DA transmission in the NAc by increased tonic DA may have an effect on DA release in the AST, given the ‘spiraling’ anatomy of the striatonigrostriatal circuit proposed by Haber et al. [65].

The Hypothesis

Proposed Targets in Schizophrenia: Hippocampus and NAc (fig. 2)

Hippocampal Stimulation: Rationale

There are two major arguments for stimulating the hippocampus:

(1) There is robust evidence for hippocampal involvement in schizophrenia. As described above, current evidence supports hippocampal hyperactivity early in the course of the illness. Excessive activation likely leads to excessive DA release, and the exacerbation of positive symptoms. Stimulation may damp down this excessive activation, just as it does in TLE.

(2) Inactivation of the hippocampus blocked the behavioral phenotype associated with aberrant DA release in a rodent model of schizophrenia.

For these reasons, we suggest that chronic stimulation of the hippocampus may ameliorate the positive symptoms of schizophrenia.

NAc Stimulation: Rationale

Additionally, we propose the NAc as a target, for the following reasons:

(1) The NAc is required for the release of DA from the midbrain in response to hippocampal activation [79]. This suggests that NAc stimulation may interrupt this circuit, and have effects comparable to hippocampal stimulation.

(2) The NAc controls DA release both in itself and in the AST, both regions involved in psychosis. Neurosurgical experience stimulating the NAc is consistent with partial activation and stabilization of DA release in the NAc. We propose that this stabilization will be therapeutic in schizophrenia.

These observations suggest that stimulation of the hippocampus or NAc may be suited to control the DAergic system in psychosis. We acknowledge that the effects of hippocampus/NAc stimulation in patients with schizophrenia are unknown. While there is long neurosurgical experience with stimulation of both of these regions, all previous studies of NAc stimulation have scrupulously avoided patients with histories of psychosis, due to concerns over psychotic exacerbation from depolarizing axon terminals in the VS. There is a single case report of NAc stimulation in a patient with OCD and residual psychotic symptoms, which reported no exacerbation of psychosis [80].

Alternate Approaches

Based on current neurocircuitry models of schizophrenia, several other targets are possible. These include the VP (globus pallidus internus) and the VTA.

Ventral Pallidum

The VP controls the number of VTA neurons under tonic inhibition, thereby setting the tonic level of DA, and
determining the number of neurons that can be activated via phasic stimulation. Therefore, inhibiting the VP would be expected to increase both tonic and phasic DA levels [44]. Although there is surgical experience stimulating the VP for focal and generalized dystonia, the pallidal subregion subserving limbic functions (e.g. receiving an input from the NAc) is significantly anterior to the target in dystonia [81].

Ventral Tegmental Area
Direct modulation of DA output from the VTA has strong theoretical appeal. Targeting small nuclei in highly eloquent areas (such as the midbrain) has been problematic, but recent progress in imaging the VTA [82] as well as in stimulation of the nearby pedunculopontine nucleus for Parkinson disease [83] has made modulatory stimulation a possibility.

Evaluation of the Hypothesis
Experiments to evaluate this hypothesis need to establish three things: first, that the anterior hippocampus controls DA release into the striatum in normal patients and in patients with schizophrenia. This could be done with neuroimaging studies (especially PET studies with raclopride, fallypride, or other DA radiotracers) on patients from whom the hippocampus has been surgically removed. Second, the effect of hippocampal DBS on DA systems would need to be studied using similar PET scans in patients with implanted hippocampal electrodes, or in nonhuman primates. Finally, our claim that stabilization of DA levels is the most likely explanation for the clinical effects of NAc stimulation needs to be evaluated experimentally. A variety of human, nonhuman primate, and rodent studies using in vivo microdialysis, micro-PET, and other techniques could be designed for this purpose.
Conclusions

As neurosurgery for complex behavioral disorders advances, new hope will emerge for the vast population of patients suffering from intractable psychiatric conditions. Advances in systems neuroscience have yielded astonishing levels of insight into the circuitry involved in many disease states, including major depressive disorder, OCD, and schizophrenia. There is no a priori reason that schizophrenia should not join major depressive disorder and OCD as a surgically treatable disease in severe, medication-refractory cases. Research has revealed, perhaps to an even greater extent, how malfunctioning circuits lead to behavioral abnormalities in schizophrenia. In particular, the role of hippocampal control over the DAergic system has emerged as a possible target for diagnosis and therapy. However, any novel therapy will be psychiatrist-driven, requiring multidisciplinary cooperation from neurosurgeons, basic scientists, and engineers.
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