Vascular Disease in the Metabolic Syndrome: Do We Need to Target the Microcirculation to Treat Large Vessel Disease?
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Abstract
The metabolic syndrome of vascular risk is threatening large numbers of ever-younger people. To date, the syndrome has been chiefly viewed as a potential risk marker that confers a heightened probability of developing type 2 diabetes and occlusive atherothrombotic disease of large- and medium-sized arteries. Accumulating evidence suggests that the components of the metabolic syndrome may also adversely affect the microvasculature through several inter-related mechanisms. These include the following observations: classic risk factors for macrovascular disease such as high blood pressure and dyslipidaemia also accelerate microvascular complications of diabetes, lesser disturbances of glucose metabolism (i.e. impaired glucose tolerance) may be associated with some forms of microvascular dysfunction, non-glucose intermediary metabolites may promote renovascular hypertension thereby damaging the microvasculature, and insulin resistance appears to be directly associated with microvascular dysfunction. In turn, microvascular complications such as nephropathy and autonomic neuropathy may promote the development and progression of atherosclerosis. We argue that the vascular implications of the metabolic syndrome should be broadened to include the microvasculature. The hypothesis that vascular events can be prevented, or at least deferred, through earlier therapeutic intervention in pre-diabetic subjects with glucose intolerance is amenable to testing in clinical trials.

Introduction
The term 'metabolic syndrome' (International Classification of Disease 9th revision code 277.7) refers to an increasingly prevalent clustering of known risk factors for atherothrombotic cardiovascular disease [1, 2]. Key components of the syndrome include:
• Central obesity
• Dyslipidaemia – hypertriglyceridaemia and low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol
• High blood pressure
• Impaired glucose metabolism

Observational data suggest that the syndrome, as presently defined, confers approximately a 3-fold increased risk for cardiovascular events [1].
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risk of occlusive cardiovascular disease [3] in affected subjects; the risk of developing type 2 diabetes is even higher [4]. Insulin resistance, linked to obesity and defined in generic terms as reduced biological action of the hormone, is widely – although not unanimously – regarded as a fundamental defect underpinning the clustering of cardiovascular disease risk factors. However, rather than directly addressing the issue of impaired insulin action, current approaches to the management of the syndrome hinge on ameliorating the various metabolic, haemodynamic and rheological defects that conspire to elevate the risk of adverse clinical events (table 1) [1, 4]. With the rapid increase in the global prevalence of obesity that is currently in progress, the syndrome threatens to affect large numbers of ever-younger people. Of concern, subjects who manifest the metabolic syndrome in childhood [5], adolescence [6] or early adulthood will be exposed for decades to potent vascular risk factors that are, by definition, present in combination [7, 8]. The available evidence suggests a high propensity for serious complications in subjects with early-onset type 2 diabetes [9].

While the clinical consequences of the metabolic syndrome primarily reflect increased rates of atherothrombotic events, evidence is accumulating from epidemiological and experimental research that the microvasculature may also be under threat. Daily clinical experience teaches us that interactions between disturbances in the microvasculature and macrovasculature often conspire to the detriment of affected patients. We consider that both of these vascular territories are relevant to adverse clinical outcomes. In this article we review the literature on this topic and consider the potential benefits of taking a broader approach to the prevention of vascular disease, i.e. one that encompasses both microvascular and macrovascular dysfunction together, wherever possible.

**Table 1.** Established and putative mechanisms for microvasculopathy in the metabolic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High blood pressure</td>
<td>Promotes development and progression of endothelial dysfunction, retinopathy and nephropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
<td>Endothelial dysfunction; accelerates progression of nephropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose intolerance</td>
<td>Classic microvascular complications reportedly associated with impaired glucose tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinate and α-ketoglutarate</td>
<td>May promote renovascular hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity-associated insulin resistance</td>
<td>Evidence for an association with microvascular dysfunction in skeletal muscle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Does Microvascular Dysfunction Promote Atherosclerosis?**

Among patients with diabetes, it is well accepted that small and large vessel disease frequently coexist. This has been amply documented in large observational studies, for example that of Pirart [10] and interventional clinical trials such as the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) [11]. Vascular complications often develop in multiple tissues in a complex web wherein one complication can promote the development and/or progression of others [12]. The close and often catastrophic association between diabetic nephropathy and atherosclerosis is perhaps the best-known example of this kind of interaction between small and large vessel disease. Thus, a high proportion of patients with progressive renal disease arising as a consequence of diabetic nephropathy succumb to fatal macrovascular events, usually myocardial infarction and its sequelae, well before they reach end-stage renal failure [13]. In turn, nephropathy-associated hypertension accelerates the loss of glomerular function and promotes the development and progression of retinopathy [14]; in parallel, progressive uraemia adversely affects the function of peripheral and autonomic nerves [15]. In this way, a matrix of microvascular and macrovascular dysfunction creates a vicious cycle resulting in progressive tissue damage [16].

**Shared Mechanisms of Vascular Damage Affecting Small and Large Vessels**

While current data support a relationship between microcirculation and the metabolic syndrome, the direction, in terms of cause and effect, remains uncertain [17]. What is becoming increasingly clear is that shared mechanisms initiate and sustain dysfunction in small and large blood vessels alike. Early pathological events, notably cellular inflammatory changes, are similar in both the macro- and microcirculations (i.e. those vessels under ~100 µm in diameter). Furthermore, it has been hypothesized that changes within the microcirculation may
serve to drive the development and progression of atherosclerosis in medium and large arteries (see below) [18]. Support for this hypothesis is largely confined to animal experiments. In the obese Zucker rat, a model of the metabolic syndrome, hind limb blood flow is reduced leading to a remodelling of microcirculation in favour of smaller, less distensible vessels [19]. It is suggested that this remodelling may limit maximum perfusion capacity and, as a result, contribute to the progression of peripheral microvascular disease. In obese Zucker rats that manifest features of the metabolic syndrome, skeletal muscle microvessel rarefaction appears not to depend on elevated mean arterial pressure. This raises the possibility that other factors associated with the metabolic syndrome, perhaps insulin resistance, might underlie the progressive reduction in microvessel density in these animals [20]. In humans, obesity and insulin resistance within insulin-sensitive tissues, such as skeletal muscle, are associated with dysregulation of vascular function and a loss of insulin-mediated capillary recruitment [21, 22]. Features of the metabolic syndrome are also recognised to predispose to a reduced microvascular density or rarefaction [23] that may contribute to an increase in vascular resistance and to an impaired exchange capacity. Together, these factors will serve to limit solute delivery to the tissue and to increase solute diffusion distances between the vascular and cellular compartments. In type 2 diabetes, many factors may contribute to microvascular dysfunction, including impaired endothelium-dependent vasodilation [24, 25], reduced capillary recruitment [26] and lower capillary density [27]. Moreover, the increased glycation of erythrocyte membrane proteins causing rigidity may also increase resistance to travel through the circulation [28]. Furthermore, concomitant alterations of the endothelial cell surface glycocalyx may also modulate shear, endothelial permeability and exchange surface area [29].

Although the quantitative significance of the microcirculation to atherogenesis is uncertain the total surface area of the microvasculature i.e. arterioles, capillaries and post-capillary venules is vastly greater than that of larger vessels. This implies that even low-level activation of the microvascular endothelium could have a large net effect in vivo [18]. Of note in the context of this hypothesis, it has been postulated that defects in post-prandial myocardial microvascular perfusion, assessed using myocardial contrast echocardiography, might be an early indicator of coronary artery disease in patients with type 2 diabetes [30].

Microvascular and Macrovascular Disease Share Similar Risk Factors

We have recently reviewed the literature concerning the clinical implications of microvascular and macrovascular interactions in human diseases [31]. Shared mechanisms and risk factors drive the development and progression of both small and large vessel disease [31]. Contrary to traditional clinical distinctions, risk factors for atherosclerosis may have important implications for the development of the microvascular complications of diabetes. For example, it is noteworthy that forms of progressive glomerulosclerosis share risk factors with atherosclerosis and have histopathological similarities to atheroma [32]. It should perhaps come as little surprise that factors such as high blood pressure and abnormal lipid profiles exert adverse effects on small and large blood vessels alike. Thus, classic atherosclerosis risk factors such as hypertension [33] and disturbed lipoprotein metabolism [34, 35] also contribute to damage of the ocular microvasculature. The overlap between risk factors for small vessel disease and atherosclerosis was illustrated by a report from the European Diabetes (EURODIAB) Prospective Complications Study: among patients with type 1 diabetes, distal peripheral neuropathy – traditionally regarded as a microvascular complication of diabetes – was associated with classic risk factors for atherosclerosis that included raised serum triglycerides, elevated body mass index, smoking and hypertension [36]. It is apparent that some individuals with type 1 diabetes can also have features of the metabolic syndrome; these patients may be more prone to vascular complications [37]. This appears to be in accordance with a number of observational studies that suggest that patients who have the metabolic syndrome in concert with type 2 diabetes have a higher frequency of microvascular complications [38–40]. In the large Metascreen study conducted in Italy, for example, the metabolic syndrome was independently associated with both macro- and microvascular complications of diabetes [41]. However, not all studies have confirmed this association, a notable exception being the UKPDS [42]. Whether the metabolic syndrome can initiate or potentiate microvascular disease independently of the degree and duration of the major primary driver to microvascular disease, i.e. chronic hyperglycaemia at levels diagnostic of diabetes, remains unclear. Such conclusions cannot be reliably drawn from cross-sectional case-control studies in which antecedent glycaemic control is not known with certainty. Randomized clinical trials with careful evaluation of microvascular endpoints would be required to rigorously test this hypothesis.
Glucose Intolerance: A Novel Risk Factor for Microvascular Disease?

Current diagnostic criteria for diabetes denote thresholds of chronic hyperglycaemia beyond which the risk of classic microvascular complications – notably retinopathy – increases dramatically [43, 44]. In contrast, while diabetes confers an increased risk of macrovascular disease of approximately 2- to 4-fold [45, 46], no clear glycaemic threshold for the development of atherosclerosis has been identified in population-based studies [47, 48]. It is well established that categories of dysglycaemia that lie below the diagnostic thresholds for diabetes, i.e. impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and impaired fasting glucose, are associated with an increased long-term risk of macrovascular disease [49]. The impact of these lesser degrees of hyperglycaemia on microvascular disease has recently come under scrutiny. Singleton et al. [50] have suggested that chronic glucose intolerance might have clinically significant adverse effects on microvascular function. Theoretically, mitochondrial superoxide formation during acute spikes of hyperglycaemia in subjects with IGT could lead to intermittent endothelial dysfunction [51]. Rapid increases in circulating glucose and lipid levels trigger carbonyl stress which through independent pathways or by potentiating oxidative stress may contribute to generalised vasculopathy [52]. A recent clinical study demonstrated evidence of endothelial dysfunction and increased oxidative stress in subjects with IGT and impaired fasting glucose [53]. However, several caveats should be borne in mind when considering whether glucose intolerance causes or promotes clinically relevant microvascular disease. First, while certain microvascular retinal abnormalities have been reported in pre-diabetic individuals and mounting evidence that endothelial dysfunction and inflammation are involved in the development of retinal microvascular changes [54], the classic pathological features of diabetic retinopathy, such as capillary microaneurysms, have generally been absent [55, 56]. Second, it is uncertain whether chronic polyneuropathy [57] can be regarded as a wholly reliable model of diabetic microvascular disease. Even among patients with diabetes, a potent cause of neural dysfunction, distal polyneuropathy is recognized to be heterogeneous [58] and its pathogenesis may have more direct metabolic components [59, 60]. Whilst IGT is common in patients with peripheral neuropathy the extent to which impaired glucose metabolism directly causes nerve injury as opposed to being a covariant with other factors remains to be determined [61].

Thus, additional data from animal and human studies are required before the thesis that glucose intolerance directly causes microvascular disease typical of that associated with diabetes can be accepted. However, it is well recognized that established microvascular and macrovascular damage is often encountered at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, as observed in the UKPDS [65]. The most widely accepted explanation for this phenomenon is that undetected chronic hyperglycaemia in the diabetic range has led to tissue complications [66]. Whether antecedent glucose intolerance might contribute independently to microvascular dysfunction is presently unclear.

A Pathogenic Role for Non-Glucose Intermediary Metabolites?

As far back as the 1970s, it was proposed that derangements of circulating intermediary metabolites might contribute to the long-term vascular damage associated with diabetes [67]. A recent report updates this suggestion by demonstrating that certain intermediates of the citric acid cycle may promote renovascular hypertension through novel cellular mechanisms [68]. GPR91, a previously orphan G-protein-coupled receptor, functions as a receptor for succinate while GPR99, a relative of GPR91, responds to α-ketoglutarate. Acting as ligands for G-protein-coupled receptors, succinate and α-ketoglutarate have unexpected signalling functions. In the same paper, the investigators showed that succinate can increase blood pressure in animals, an effect that involves activation of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS). Thus, a potential role emerges for GPR91 in renovascular hypertension, which in turn is closely linked to vascular disease, diabetes and renal failure. A putative role of mitochondrial dysfunction, the site of citric acid cycle activity, in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes requires further clarification [69].
Impact of Insulin Resistance on Microvascular Function

Abnormalities in arteriolar reactivity, capillary recruitment, permeability and haemorheology have been reported features in the metabolic syndrome [17]. Recent studies in humans and animals have provided clear evidence of a direct link between insulin resistance and impaired microvascular function [70]. In obese insulin-resistant women, De Jongh et al. [21] examined microvascular responses and found impaired skin capillary recruitment and endothelium-independent vasodilatation. A correlation was observed between capillary recruitment and whole-body insulin sensitivity, measured using the glucose clamp technique. Using an invasive investigative approach, these investigators also demonstrated impairment of intramuscular microvascular function during exogenous insulin infusion in healthy volunteers [71]. These results, and others in cohorts of at-risk individuals [72], raise the possibility that capillary dysfunction in a key insulin-responsive tissue, namely skeletal muscle, might contribute to whole-body insulin resistance thereby promoting the development of the metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, it is suggested that the early consequences of hyperglycaemia on microvascular function, including activation of protein kinase C and increased anti-oxidant formation, might be exacerbated by pre-existing obesity [73]. Studies in the obese Zucker rat suggest that chronic reductions in nitric oxide bioavailability, in part mediated by scavenging actions of oxidative free radicals, may contribute to loss of skeletal muscle microvessels and, hence, impaired muscle perfusion [74]. Adipocyte products have come under scrutiny as modulators of microvascular function. Studies in non-diabetic women have demonstrated that non-esterified (‘free’) fatty acids (NEFA) impair microvascular function in the skin [75]; NEFA concentrations are elevated in obesity-associated insulin resistance [76], and a hypothetical role for NEFA in obesity-associated insulin resistance, hypertension, and microangiopathy has been proposed. Adipocytokines are hormones produced by adipocytes, the circulating concentrations of which are altered in insulin-resistant states [77]. There is some evidence that leptin activates human platelets and can limit transendothelial cell diffusion whereas adiponectin influences endothelial cell permeability [78]. In contrast, the non-adipocyte hormone ghrelin, which like leptin and adiponectin exerts central nervous system effects on energy balance [79], appears to be devoid of similar activity [78]. The effects of these hormones on microcirculatory blood flow has been examined in a primate model with results providing support for modulatory actions of leptin and adiponectin, with the data for ghrelin being more equivocal [80].

A heritable component of insulin resistance on microvascular complication of diabetes has been proposed. In a report from the Genesis France-Belgium Study, composite insulin resistance scores, which included vascular risk factors such as high blood pressure and diabetes, were higher in relatives of probands with type 1 diabetes who had diabetic retinopathy or nephropathy compared to those without these complications [81]. Low birth weight also predisposes to vascular disease in later life [82]. Several studies have demonstrated a link between low birth weight and endothelial dysfunction in young adults [83, 84], children [85, 86] and infants [87].

Therapeutic Implications

Health economic analyses show that the highest costs incurred in diabetes care apply to patients who have a combination of microvascular and macrovascular complications [88]. In our view, the increasing prevalence of the metabolic syndrome strengthens the case for using therapies proven to reduce atherosclerotic events and microvascular disease in parallel wherever feasible (table 2). While non-pharmacological measures, i.e. dietary modification and adequate levels of physical exercise, are regarded as the foundation of vascular disease prevention, it is recognized that many patients will not achieve their therapeutic goals in the absence of drug therapy [89]. Current evidence supports the existence of a reciprocal relationship between endothelial dysfunction and insulin resistance that may link cardiovascular and metabolic diseases [90]. Some drugs used in patients with diabetes may have favourable effects on microvascular function that may extend beyond their capacity to lower blood glucose concentrations. Further investigation of these putative effects is warranted.

Insulin Therapy

In the UKPDS [11], patients with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin experienced a reduced risk of onset and progression of microvascular complications. Insulin has vasodilatory properties which, through augmented muscle blood flow, may reduce insulin resistance by enhancing cellular glucose uptake [91]. Recent evidence supports an early direct effect of insulin on microvascular function in skeletal muscle mediated through a nitric oxide
Table 2. Selected drug interventions for the prevention of macrovascular and microvascular disease associated with the metabolic syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid-modifying drugs</th>
<th>Drugs acting on the RAS</th>
<th>Insulin-sensitizing oral anti-diabetic agents</th>
<th>Lifestyle measures should be regarded as first-line wherever possible.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors</td>
<td>Metformin</td>
<td>As part of anti-hypertensive regimens aimed at attaining target blood pressure levels, these drugs are preferred to β-blockers and diuretics in the context of the metabolic syndrome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrates</td>
<td>Angiotensin receptor blockers</td>
<td>Thiazolidinediones</td>
<td>2 No outcome studies for vascular disease are available for this agent; rimonabant was withdrawn from the European market in 2008.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs acting on the RAS</td>
<td>Endocannabinoid receptor modulation</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In patients with diabetes especially in presence of established vascular disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NO)-dependent mechanism that regulates glucose disposal in vivo [92]. Insulin also has favourable effects of local expression of the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 which retards fibrinolysis in the coronary vasculature of patients with diabetes [93]. In addition, the anti-inflammatory effects of insulin may mediate vascular protective effects; thus, C-reactive protein levels are reduced by insulin therapy [94]. Clinical trials have shown improvements in surrogate markers of atherosclerosis, i.e. endothelial function [95] and measures of aortic waveforms [96] in patients with type 2 diabetes treated with insulin.

**Lipid-Modifying Drugs**

Statins reduce inflammation and prevent cardiovascular events in patients with or without diabetes [97–100]. Theoretically, these drugs could have implications for the prevention of microvasculature disease [34, 101] and for insulin action [102]. Evidence supporting the former hypothesis comes from the recent Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. Among patients with type 2 diabetes, the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-α agonist fenofibrate reduced the requirement for ocular laser treatment (5.2 vs. 3.6%, p = 0.0003) and retarded the progression of microalbuminuria (p = 0.002) [35]. However, not all lipid-modifying drugs have been shown to have beneficial effects on vascular events even when lipids are favourably altered [72, 103], a notable example being the novel cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitor torcetripib [104] (see below: Drugs in Development).

**RAS Blockade**

Hypertension exacerbates retinopathy and nephropathy as well as being an important modifiable risk factor for atherosclerosis. Effective control of hypertension reduced the risk of microvascular complications in the UKPDS [105]. Intervention with anti-hypertensive drugs at an early stage might theoretically avoid irreversible vascular remodelling and permanent tissue damage [106]. Moreover, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers are associated with a reduction in the incidence of new-onset diabetes among patients with essential hypertension [107]. A notable exception was the Diabetes Reduction Assessment with Ramipril and Rosiglitazone Medication (DREAM) in which ramipril did not prevent the progression to diabetes in subjects with IGT or impaired fasting glucose [108]. However, in support of the hypothesis that angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors might protect against the development of diabetes, the rate of regression to normoglycaemia was increased by ramipril. The ongoing Nagelindie and Valsartan in Impaired Glucose Tolerance Outcomes Research (NAVIGATOR) study should help clarify the vascular benefits of initiating treatment aimed at blocking the RAS using an angiotensin receptor blocker in pre-diabetic subjects with glucose intolerance.

**Insulin-Sensitizing Drugs**

Metformin is regarded as a cardioprotective anti-diabetic drug [109] that may also have beneficial actions on the microvasculature [110]. Beneficial effects of metformin on microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes were recently confirmed in a 10-year follow-up of overweight participants in the UKPDS who were originally randomized to the drug as monotherapy [111]. Thiazolidinediones are synthetic ligands for the PPAR-γ receptor [112]. These drugs have multiple effects on aspects of the metabolic syndrome [113] that may protect against microvascular disease [114]. Insulin-sensitizing drugs may also modulate microvascular function independently of the effects on metabolic control [115], e.g. via anti-proliferative effects [116] and reduction of oxidative stress with modulation of vascular tone via changes in
NO bioavailability [117]. In addition to the effects on the vasculature, thiazolidinediones, like metformin [118], may also prevent, or at least defer, the development of type 2 diabetes [119] through effects on insulin action and, more speculatively, preservation of β-cell function [120, 121]. The Prospective Pioglitazone Clinical Trial In Macrovascular Events (PROactive) study, is the first trial to examine the impact of a thiazolidinedione on cardiovascular events [122]. While the primary composite endpoint of cardiovascular events, including leg revascularization, did not attain statistical significance (p = 0.095), the main secondary endpoint (all-cause mortality, non-fatal MI and stroke) was significant (hazard ratio 0.84, 95% confidence interval 0.72–0.98, p = 0.027). However, concerns about the validity of the secondary endpoint analysis, hospital admissions for heart failure (a non-adjudicated adverse event) and weight gain, generated uncertainties about the clinical implications of this trial [123]. In 2007, a controversial meta-analysis suggested that rosiglitazone significantly increases the risk of myocardial infarction [124]. Further analyses have lent support to this possibility [125]. In contrast, the balance of evidence for pioglitazone is in favour of a protective effect on cardiovascular events [122, 126]. However, both drugs carry the aforementioned risk of heart failure in vulnerable patients [127]; an increased risk of distal fractures has also been reported in women [128].

Reducing the need for polypharmacy is a pressing aspect of the therapeutic challenge [129]. Using insulin sensitizers is an attractive option in pursuit of this goal, particularly if the risk to benefit ratio of these drugs could be improved. However, several agents in a new class of combined PPAR-α and -γ agonists (glitazars) have already been terminated in the late stages of development due to a range of toxicity issues including adverse cardiovascular effects [130]. Whether new approaches such as activators of the PPAR-δ receptor will prove to be safe and effective has yet to be established [131].

**Anti-Obesity Drugs**

The first in another new class of agents – the selective cannabinoid receptor antagonist rimonabant [132] – was licensed in Europe in 2006, with the European Medicines Agency recommending the suspension of its marketing authorization in late 2008 because of an unacceptable risk of psychiatric disorders. In trials, rimonabant reduced waist circumference, a proxy for visceral adiposity [133], and improved lipid profiles [134] and glycaemic control in pre-diabetic and diabetic subjects [135]. However, as for many other clinical studies of obesity treatments, high drop-out rates made some of the data difficult to interpret [136]. Another member of this class, taranabant, was recently discontinued in phase III trials because of psychiatric and gastrointestinal side effects.

**Drugs in Development**

Another new drug currently in clinical trials, tesorofensine, reduces body weight, glycated haemoglobin and insulin levels while raising adiponectin levels compared with placebo in non-diabetic adults in the context of an energy-reduced diet [137]. However, in this phase II study, the drug increased heart rate and there was a small rise in blood pressure at the highest dose. Closer scrutiny of tesorofensine, which inhibits pre-synaptic uptake of monoamines, is required. Increased blood pressure is a limitation of sibutramine, a less potent weight-reducing drug with a similar mode of action [138]. This example raises an important therapeutic issue: sometimes drug therapy directed at one aspect of the metabolic syndrome may inadvertently exacerbate another, thereby offsetting the net clinical benefit. A prominent example is found in the use of β-blockers and diuretics for hypertension. Classic β-blockers such as atenolol promote weight gain, worsen insulin resistance and glycaemic control, and cause deleterious alterations in plasma lipids [139]. Diuretics, especially at higher doses, also have adverse metabolic effects. The risk of developing new-onset diabetes is increased with β-blockers and diuretics relative to other classes, some of which may exert a protective effect [140]. For these reasons, expert guidelines suggest the preferential use of drugs acting on the RAS and calcium channel blockers rather than β-blockers and diuretics [141, 142].

Recently, the novel lipid modifying drug torcetrapib (in combination with atorvastatin) was found to increase cardiovascular mortality instead of providing protection against atherosclerosis. This was observed even though the drug, a cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitor, increased HDL cholesterol levels. Off-target mineralocorticoid-mediated effects have emerged as the likely cause of this disastrous outcome. Thus, subjects treated with torcetrapib + atorvastatin had higher systolic blood pressure and plasma sodium levels, and lower levels of potassium [143]. The observation that the use of RAS inhibitors tended to aggravate increases in blood pressure highlights the need to consider the potential for adverse drug-drug interactions when treating complex metabolic disorders.

Several additional classes of novel drugs are in development that may find application in the prevention of the chronic microvascular and macrovascular complications...
of diabetes and the metabolic syndrome [144]. Included are compounds that inhibit formation of advanced glycation end-products, cleave cross-links or reverse interactions with the receptor for advanced glycation end-products. Pharmacological inhibitors of the hexosamine pathway, strategies to reduce reactive oxygen species and blockade of growth factors or intracellular messengers of cellular differentiation are also under investigation [144].

Conclusions and Recommendations

The metabolic syndrome is set to affect large numbers of ever-younger people as the prevalence of obesity rises across the world. Affected individuals face unprecedented levels of exposure to multiple risk factors for vascular disease including a substantially increased lifelong risk of developing type 2 diabetes. Mounting evidence suggests that the metabolic syndrome adds to the burden of vascular damage associated with diabetes. When diabetes is already present, other components of the metabolic syndrome may nonetheless enhance the risk of microvascular and macrovascular disease. Moreover, microvascular dysfunction may promote the progression of macrovascular disease through direct and indirect mechanisms (fig. 1). We suggest that a synthesis of current and emerging therapeutic interventions might provide the basis for an improved strategy aimed at preventing microangiopathy-related morbidity and mortality. As adjuncts to lifestyle measures, the early use of anti-diabetic drugs with vasculoprotective properties is logical and attractive, but requires further investigation. Newer drugs are more expensive and inevitably suffer from having less robust safety data than well-established agents. However, new drugs, if they prove safe in the long-term, might prove cost-effective if initiated earlier in high-risk subjects with states of pre-diabetes [145]. Carefully designed clinical trials will be required to assess the risk-benefit and economic considerations of these approaches.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the main interactions between microvascular and macrovascular disease. Steps at which proven or hypothetical therapeutic intervention may be possible are indicated. Note that additional interactions and mechanisms may be operative, but these have not been included for the sake of clarity.
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