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Introduction

The host innate immune response represents a critical, initial line of defense against invading pathogens, and the magnitude of this response can influence disease progression. The IFN system is a key component and complex regulator of innate immunity. Type I IFNs function primarily to promote an antiviral state in an infected host by inducing signaling through the IFN receptor to stimulate expression of a plethora of gene products that function in host defense. One of the early induced IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), first identified more than 20 years ago, is a small ubiquitin-like protein termed ISG15 [1–3]. This review will summarize recent reports that have contributed to our fundamental understanding of ISG15 antiviral activity, and which have provided novel insights into the mechanism(s) of the antiviral action exhibited by this broad-spectrum, IFN-induced, innate immune protein.

Ubiquitination versus ISGylation

Ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins (e.g. ISG15 and SUMO) are small molecules expressed in eukaryotes that are conjugated to target proteins, often resulting in modulation of the target protein’s stability/function/localization [4]. The mechanisms by which proteins are ubiquitinated and ISGylated have many common features, and these
protein modifiers regulate a diverse array of biological processes. These protein modification pathways have been reviewed in detail elsewhere [5, 6] (fig. 1), and thus will only be summarized here. Both ubiquitination and ISGylation result in covalent modification of target proteins following a series of enzymatic reactions that occur in a step-wise fashion (fig. 1). The process of ubiquitination begins with protease cleavage of precursor ubiquitin molecules at their C-termini to reveal the di-glycine motif. In the presence of ATP, the Gly-Gly motif is then adenylated by the ubiquitin activating enzyme (E1). The ubiquitin moiety is then transferred to a cysteine residue on a ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (E2). Finally, the E2 enzyme in conjunction with a ubiquitin ligase (E3), which recognizes the specific target protein, transfers the ubiquitin moiety typically onto the ε-NH₂ group of a lysine residue of the substrate. In addition to lysine residues, other amino acids (e.g. serine/threonine) and the extreme N-termini of target proteins can potentially serve as target sites for ubiquitination and/or influence the ubiquitination process [7–9]. Proteins that are modified by poly-ubiquitination are often destined for degradation by the 26S proteasome. For example, this process is crucial in immune cells where protein ubiquitination regulates protein signaling pathways, innate and adaptive immune responses to pathogens, and expression of surface receptors [4, 5, 10, 11]. In contrast, mono-ubiquitination of proteins does not result in degradation, but rather can serve to modulate protein function, localization and/or sorting within the cell [4, 5, 11].

Unlike ubiquitination, ISGylation is an IFN-stimulated and regulated process that appears to mimic mono-ubiquitination functions, such as modulation of enzymatic activity, rather than the poly-ubiquitination function and protein degradation [12–16]. Like ubiquitination, ISGylation involves a cascade of enzymatic reactions involving E1, E2, and E3 enzymes. Briefly, ISG15 is synthesized as precursor protein (165 aa) that is like ubiquitin, subsequently cleaved to reveal di-glycine residues at its C-terminus in the form of a LRLRG motif. This GG motif is adenylated in the presence of ATP, and ISG15 is then transferred sequentially from E1, E2 and E3 enzymes to its final destination on a lysine residue within the target substrate [11]. Because of the many similarities of the ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways, it is not surprising that there is some degree of overlap and competition between these pathways [17–21]. While the E1 enzymes are specific for ISGylation and ubiquitination, some of the enzymes that function in the ubiquitination pathway (e.g. E2 enzyme UbcH8) have a similar function in the ISGylation pathway [19, 21]. One of the features that is common to both pathways is that both ubiquitination and ISGylation are reversible. De-ubiquitinating enzymes and de-ISGylation enzymes (e.g. UBP43) function to cleave ubiquitin and/or ISG15 moieties from conjugated proteins [22–25]. De-ubiquitination and de-ISGylation provide an additional level of regulation in these pathways to maintain homeostasis [25].

**Ubiquitination and Virus Budding**

Over the last decade, numerous reports have demonstrated that the host ubiquitination machinery is linked to the process of budding or egress for many RNA virus fam-
ilizes [for review see 26]. For example, ubiquitin was first implicated in regulating Gag-mediated budding of retroviruses, and subsequently linked to VP40-mediated budding of Ebola virus-like particles (VLPs) [27–30]. Several lines of evidence linked ubiquitin with virus egress, including: (1) the presence of free, excess ubiquitin within budding virions [31], (2) detection of mono-ubiquitinated forms of viral matrix proteins [30, 32–38] and (3) disruption of virus budding in the presence of proteasome inhibitors that deplete free ubiquitin in the cell [27–29, 34, 35, 39, 40]. In addition, the PPxy-type L-domain conserved within the matrix proteins of several RNA viruses is known to mediate interactions with WW-domains present within HECT E3 ubiquitin ligases, such as Nedd4, to facilitate budding either by mono-ubiquitination of the viral matrix protein, or by ubiquitination and/or recruitment of other host proteins (e.g. endosomal sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) pathway) involved in virus budding [30, 35, 36, 41–58]. In sum, ubiquitination has been linked to or at least implicated to play a role in the budding process of retroviruses, rhabdoviruses, filoviruses, arenaviruses, paramyxoviruses and reoviruses [26]. Thus, small molecule inhibitors designed to block the ubiquitination process and/or viral L-domain function may have broad-spectrum antiviral activity.

**ISG15 Has Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Activity**

While ubiquitin appears to be a broad-spectrum enhancer of virus production, ISG15 on the other hand appears to be a broad-spectrum inhibitor of virus production. Antiviral activity associated with protein ISGylation in vitro and/or in vivo has been reported for both DNA and RNA viruses, including influenza A and B, Sindbis, hepatitis B, herpes simplex type-1, murine γ-herpesvirus, vesicular stomatitis virus, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, respiratory syncytial virus, HIV-1 and Ebola virus [59–64]. Recent studies have provided some new and important insights into the molecular mechanisms by which ISG15 functions as an innate antiviral protein with broad-spectrum activity in vitro and in vivo. Results from several of the most recent studies will be summarized below.

**ISG15 and Sindbis Virus**

First, an elegant paper by Lenschow et al. [65] was of significance as it helped to prove that protein ISGylation and ISG15 possessed broad-spectrum antiviral activity in an animal model of infection, and the findings underscored the importance of employing both in vitro and in vivo models for analyses of antiviral mechanisms. One of the major findings was that ISG15−/− mice were more susceptible to infection with influenza A/WSN/33, influenza B/Lee/40, Sindbis, herpes simplex type 1, and murine γ-herpesvirus [65]. Importantly, the ability of ISG15 to be conjugated to target proteins appeared to be necessary for the observed antiviral effect, since Sindbis virus infection could be recovered by overexpressing wild-type ISG15, but not by overexpressing a nonconjugating mutant of ISG15 [65]. Although ISG15 conjugation was important for the observed antiviral effect, the target substrate(s) for ISGylation was not identified. Since ISG15 is now known to have more than 150 targets [11], the antiviral effect may be due to ISGylation of either a viral protein, a host protein, or both. Knowing the identity of the target protein(s) will be instrumental in elucidating the mechanism of action of ISG15 and for the possible development of future antiviral strategies.

In a more recent report, the same group identified arginine-151 in mouse ISG15 as playing a key role in protein ISGylation by mediating an interaction with UbE1L [66]. Indeed, an R151A mutation attenuated the ability of ISG15 to inhibit replication of Sindbis virus in IFN-α/βR−/− mice, and mice lacking this the UbE1L enzyme were highly susceptible to Sindbis virus infection [66]. In sum, their results demonstrated that protein conjugation is key for the antiviral effects of ISG15 in this animal model of Sindbis virus infection [66].

**ISG15 and HIV-1**

IFN was shown to inhibit retroviral and lentiviral virion release [67, 68], and ISG15 was implicated in IFN-mediated inhibition of HIV-1 [69, 70]. As ubiquitination of Gag protein was shown to play a role in HIV-1 assembly and budding, it seemed logical to hypothesize that ISG15 could interfere with ubiquitination of Gag and consequently disrupt this late stage of virus replication. Indeed, recent findings indicated that this hypothesis was correct. Okumura et al. [71] were the first to clearly demonstrate that expression of ISG15 mimicked the antiviral properties of IFN and blocked egress of HIV-1 from infected cells. The authors found that expression of ISG15 appeared to block the ubiquitination process that normally enhances HIV-1 Gag-mediated release of infectious virus [71]. Interestingly, ISG15 did not affect synthesis of viral proteins in the infected cell and, unlike the
findings with other viral systems [65, 72], conjugation of ISG15 to either viral (HIV-1 Gag), or host (tsg101) proteins involved in budding was not evident [71]. However, ISG15 expression did disrupt the interaction between host tsg101 and the p6 domain of HIV-1 Gag. This virus-host interaction has been shown previously to be crucial for efficient budding of HIV-1. To further prove that the inhibitory effect on budding was specific for ISG15, the authors demonstrated that ISG15-specific siRNAs reversed the IFN-mediated inhibitory effect on HIV-1 release [71]. While the precise mechanism by which ISG15 interferes with the budding process of HIV-1 remains to be defined, the authors postulated that the decrease in ubiquitination of Gag and tsg101 may modify the binding affinity of this interaction or destabilize this complex. In addition, the authors noted that since components of the ubiquitination pathway were known to be targets for ISGylation [20, 73, 74], ISG15 may target one or more of the E1, E2, or E3 enzymes involved in HIV-1 egress. In sum, these findings were of significance in that: (1) they revealed an IFN-induced innate immune response that could target a late stage of HIV-1 replication, and (2) they suggested that ISG15 may have broad-spectrum antiviral activity against other RNA viruses that utilize L-domain/ubiquitination pathways for efficient egress.

**ISG15 and Ebola VLP Budding**

Most recently, independent studies from two groups revealed that ISG15 could inhibit budding mediated by the VP40 matrix protein of Ebola virus, and the mechanism of inhibition involved disruption of Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination of Ebola VP40 [75, 76] (fig. 1). Like HIV-1, the host ubiquitination pathway and E3 ubiquitin ligase Nedd4 have been linked to budding of negative-sense RNA virus such as Ebola virus and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) [30, 35, 39, 53, 55, 77]. In a prior publication, Malakhov et al. [23] identified Nedd4 as a binding partner for Ubp43, an ISG15-deconjugating enzyme. Thus, Malakhova and Zhang [76] sought to determine whether Nedd4 could be targeted by ISG15 and whether this potential interaction would negatively regulate Nedd4 ligase activity. Indeed, the authors found that expression of ISG15 and the ISGylation system led to an overall decrease in Nedd4-ligase activity and Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination [76]. They demonstrated further that free ISG15 was sufficient to impair Nedd4 ligase activity both in vitro and in vivo [76]. Importantly, they determined that the molecular mechanism of ISG15-mediated inhibition of Nedd4 function was due to an abrogation of ubiquitin transfer from the E2 enzyme to the active site of Nedd4 [76], thus preventing Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination of target proteins.

As further proof of this novel mechanism, Malakhova and Zhang [76] utilized the Ebola VP40 VLP budding assay as a model system to assess Nedd4 function in the absence or presence of ISG15 and the ISGylation system. Indeed, they found that efficient budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs, which is dependent in part on Nedd4 function, was impaired in the presence of ISG15. The decrease in VP40 VLP release correlated well with an observed decrease in the detection of ubiquitinated forms of VP40. Lastly, budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs was shown to be enhanced in ISG15–/– knockout cells compared to that from normal murine embryonic fibroblasts [76], suggesting that endogenous levels of ISG15 can limit budding in unmanipulated cells.

In a simultaneous and independent report, our studies reached many of the same conclusions described above using both similar and distinct experimental approaches [75]. Prior to this study, we were one of the first groups to suggest that the ubiquitination process and Nedd4 ligase may be important for budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs, and that the PPxY-type L-domain within the VP40 protein was necessary for mediating interactions with the various WW-domains present within Nedd4 [30, 56]. Our main objective in this area of investigation was to elucidate the mechanism of Ebola VP40 VLP budding and host interactions at the molecular level in order to develop novel strategies or antiviral therapies to inhibit the budding process. In agreement with results of Malakhova and Zhang [76], we found that expression of ISG15 in the absence or presence of the ISGylation system (Ube1L and UbcH8) was able to inhibit budding of Ebola VP40 VLPs [75]. We have demonstrated not only that ISG15 interacted with Nedd4 ligase to inhibit ubiquitination of VP40, but also that ISG15-mediated inhibition of VP40 budding was dependent on the presence of VP40 L-domains. Indeed, budding of an L-domain deletion mutant of VP40 known to be insensitive to Nedd4-mediated ubiquitination, was also insensitive to ISG15-mediated inhibition of budding [75]. Lastly, inhibition of Ebola VP40 VLP budding by ISG15 was evident only in the presence of wild-type Nedd4, and not in the presence of a dominant-negative, enzymatically inactive mutant of Nedd4 [75]. Together, these findings revealed a previously undescribed mechanism of antiviral activity for ISG15.

Interestingly, like Malakhova and Zhang [76], our group was able to demonstrate that expression of ISG15...
also had an inhibitory effect on budding of VSV; a rhabdo- 
virus that possesses a similar PPxY-type L-domain 
that interacts with Nedd4 ligase to promote efficient vi- 
rion budding [75, 76]. Thus, ISG15-mediated inhibition 
of budding may not be limited to Ebola. We found that 
overexpression of ISG15 resulted in titers of wild-type 
VSV that were on average 10-fold lower than those mea-
 sured in the absence of ISG15 [75]. Moreover, overex-
 pression of ISG15 was found to have virtually no inhibitory 
effect on budding of VSV mutant PY>A4, a L-domain 
mutant of VSV that is insensitive to Nedd4-mediated 
ubiquitination [75]. Thus, viruses that utilize the host 
ubiquitination machinery for efficient egress may be sus-
 ceptible to the inhibitory effect of ISG15.

**ISG15 and Influenza Virus**

As the above studies highlight, the antiviral activity 
of ISG15 against different viruses can be both dependent 
or independent of ISG15 conjugation. In a recent study 
by Lai et al. [78], the authors demonstrate that antiviral 
activity of ISG15 against influenza B virus requires con-
jugation of ISG15 to target proteins. Although these 
authors had shown previously that mice lacking ISG15 
were highly susceptible to influenza B virus infection, 
it was unclear whether ISG15 conjugation to target pro-
tiens was important for this antiviral activity. Using mice 
lacking the E1 activating enzyme for ISG15 conjugation 
(UbE1L−/− mice), the authors observed a 2–3 log increase 
in titers of influenza B virus in the lungs of these mice at 
3 and 6 days after infection compared to those observed 
in wild-type mice [78]. In addition, the kinetics of lethal-
ity and survival observed in the UbE1L−/− mice infected 
with influenza B virus were essentially identical to those 
observed in ISG15−/− mice [78]. The authors conclud-
ed that the antiviral activity of ISG15 against influenza B 
virus in this in vivo model of infection is dependent on 
ISG15 conjugation; however, the identity of proteins tar-
ged for ISGylation remains to be determined.

**Viruses Fight Back**

Viruses have evolved many elegant strategies to coun-
teract host immune responses, particularly IFN-related 
pathways. Interestingly, several reports suggest that some 
viruses possess the ability to specifically counteract the 
antiviral effects of ISG15 and/or ISGylation. For example, 
one of the earliest reports by Yuan and Krug [72], de-
onstrated that the NS1 protein of influenza B virus pre-
vents the activation of ISG15 by the E1 enzyme UbE1L, 
thus preventing ISG15 conjugation to target proteins. 
More recently, a unique strategy to inhibit both ubiqui-
tination and ISGylation pathways was identified in nai-
roviruses and arteriviruses [79]. These viruses were 
shown to encode ovarian tumor domain-containing pro-
teases capable of hydrolyzing both ubiquitin and ISG15 
from target proteins [79]. Thus, the nairo- and arterivi-
ruses appear to have developed a strategy to antagonize 
the antiviral effects of ISG15 [79]. Finally, in a com-
prehensive report by Guerra et al. [80], the authors not only 
demonstrate that ISG15 possesses antiviral activity 
against vaccinia virus, but also show that viral E3 protein 
can bind to ISG15 and disrupt its antiviral activity. Thus, 
a vaccinia virus strain lacking E3 (VVDeltaE3L) was un-
able to replicate in ISG15+/+ cells, but was able to repli-
cate in ISG15-deficient cells [80]. Moreover, infection of 
ISG15−/− mice with VVDeltaE3L resulted in significant 
disease and mortality, which was not observed in 
ISG15+/+ mice infected with VVDeltaE3L [80]. The abili-
ity of other viruses to specifically target and abrogate IS-
Gylation pathways as a means of immune evasion is of 
interest and awaits further investigation.

**Summary and Future Directions**

The host innate immune response is a critical first line of 
defense against invading viral pathogens. A better un-
derstanding of the distinct innate immune responses and 
the interplay between the virus and host is important for 
our understanding of immunopathogenesis, vaccine de-
sign, and development of new therapeutic strategies. The 
ISGs represent a vast array of proteins with a complex se-
ries of functions and outcomes. However, rapid progress 
has been made into this dynamic area of innate immu-
nity, and the reports summarized here highlight some 
recent and insightful findings on the antiviral activity of 
ISG15. Despite these advancements, many gaps in our 
knowledge of the molecular mechanisms of ISG15 anti-
viral activity remain to be addressed. Some areas for fur-
ther investigation include: (1) identifying the viral and/or 
host target proteins for ISG15 in viral infections where 
ISG15 conjugation is required for its antiviral activity; (2) 
determining whether expression and/or conjugation of 
ISG15 impairs replication, budding, and pathogenesis of 
infectious Ebola virus in cell culture and in the ISG15 
animal models of infection; (3) determining whether 
ISG15 inhibits assembly/budding of additional L-do-
main-containing RNA viruses (e.g. Marburg virus) that likely utilize ubiquitination pathways for efficient replication and spread; (4) development of novel strategies to modulate the ISGylation system in an effort to enhance the innate immune response and more effectively combat viral infections, and (5) investigating the functional overlap of cellular ubiquitination and ISGylation pathways, the potential regulatory cross talk between these pathways, and their role in immune responses to invading pathogens.
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