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Overview of Human Defensins

Defensins are antimicrobial peptides important to innate host defense. In addition to their direct antimicrobial effect, defensins modulate immune responses. Increasing evidence indicates that defensins exhibit complex functions by positively or negatively modulating infections of both enveloped and non-enveloped viruses. The effects of defensins on viral infections appear to be specific to the defensin, virus, and target cell. Regulation of viral infection by defensins is achieved by multiple mechanisms. This review focuses on the interplay between defensins and viral infections, the mechanisms of action of defensins and the in vivo studies of the role of defensins in viral infections.
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Abstract
Defensins are antimicrobial peptides important to innate host defense. In addition to their direct antimicrobial effect, defensins modulate immune responses. Increasing evidence indicates that defensins exhibit complex functions by positively or negatively modulating infections of both enveloped and non-enveloped viruses. The effects of defensins on viral infections appear to be specific to the defensin, virus, and target cell. Regulation of viral infection by defensins is achieved by multiple mechanisms. This review focuses on the interplay between defensins and viral infections, the mechanisms of action of defensins and the in vivo studies of the role of defensins in viral infections.
Defensins have a wide range of functions in regulating both innate and adaptive immunity [6]. Both HNPs and HBDs exhibit chemotactic activity for T cells, monocytes and immature dendritic cells and induce production of cytokines and chemokines [6]. HNP1 was originally reported to have a direct effect on several enveloped viruses but not on non-enveloped viruses [1]. In studies of enveloped viruses, HNP1 has been shown to have a potent direct inhibitory effect on herpes simplex viruses (HSV) 1 and 2, a moderate direct effect on vesicular stomatitis virus and IAV, and little effect on cytomegalovirus [1]. Recent evidence indicates that defensins modulate viral infection through multiple mechanisms. The effect of defensins on viral infection is specific to the defensin, virus and target cell. Furthermore, defensins can inhibit or enhance viral infection. This effect is achieved through direct interaction with viral envelopes or through interactions with potential target cells. Table 1 summarizes the activities of defensins on viral replication.

The in vitro functions of defensins appear to be affected by factors such as serum and salt concentration.
that may determine defensin functions depending on the sites (e.g. mucosal surfaces vs. blood). Serum and salt conditions alter the direct effect of HNPs and HBDs on the virion [1, 20, 24] but are not required for the chemotactic effects of defensins. Some defensins (e.g. HNPs but not HD5 or HD6) are known to cause cytotoxicity at high concentrations in the absence of serum, possibly through membrane permeabilization that can be abated by the presence of serum [3]. Therefore, defensin-mediated cytotoxicity may partially account for the antiviral effect.

**Human Immunodeficiency Virus**

**In vitro Studies.** Recent studies indicate that, in contrast to the traditional role of defensins in host defense against pathogens, specific defensins can inhibit or enhance HIV infection. HNPs 1–3 block HIV infection through multiple mechanisms [25, 26]. HNPs 1–3 inhibit HIV-1 replication by a direct interaction with the virus as well as by affecting multiple steps of the HIV life cycle [8, 24, 25, 27, 28]. In the absence of serum, HNP1 can directly inactivate the virus prior to infection of a cell [24]. HNPs also block HIV-mediated cell-cell fusion and the early steps of HIV infection by interacting with HIV gp120 and CD4 through their lectin-like properties [25]. In the presence of serum and at non-cytotoxic concentrations (low dose), HNP1 acts on primary CD4+ T cells and blocks HIV-1 infection at the steps of nuclear import and transcription by interfering with protein kinase C signaling [24]. In the absence of serum, HNP1 did not affect expression of cell-surface CD4 and HIV coreceptors on primary CD4+ T cells [24], whereas HNP2 down-regulates CD4 expression in the absence of serum [25]. In macrophages, HNP1 and HNP2 up-regulate the expression of CC-chemokines, which may contribute to inhibition of HIV through competition for receptors [29]. In contrast to HNPs 1–3, HNP4 acts in a lectin-independent manner and binds to CD4 or HIV gp120 with low affinity [28, 30]. However, HNP4 inhibits HIV replication more effectively than HNPs 1–3 [30].

**Other α-defensins,** including HD5 and HD6, mouse Paneth cell cryptdin-3 and cryptdin-4, rhesus macaque myeloid α-defensins 3 and 4, guinea-pig, rabbit and rat α-defensins have been tested for their effect on HIV infection [31–33]. Guinea-pig, rabbit and rat α-defensins block HIV infection in transformed T cell lines [33]. At high concentrations associated with cytotoxicity, rhesus macaque myeloid α-defensin-4 blocks HIV replication, whereas HD5, HD6, and cryptdin-3 enhance viral replication [31, 32]. The enhancing effect of HD5 and HD6 was more pronounced with R5 virus compared with X4 virus, indicating a potential role of mucosal transmission of HIV, as R5 virus is preferentially transmitted during primary infection.

**In vivo Studies.** HNPs were found in the media of stimulated CD8+ T cells from normal healthy controls and from long-term nonprogressors, but not from HIV progressors [26]. However, subsequent studies revealed that monocytes and residual granulocytes of allogeneic normal donor irradiated peripheral blood mononuclear cells as feeder cells were likely the main source of HNPs [8, 9]. Using similar co-culture systems, higher levels of HNPs were found in CD8+ T cells from HIV-exposed seronegative (ESN) individuals and HIV patients compared to normal controls [38].

HNPs levels have been shown to correlate with HIV RNA copy number in breast milk, which is a strong predictor of transmission [39]. However, after adjusting for breast milk HIV copy number, higher levels of HNPs in breast milk were associated with a decreased incidence of intrapartum or postnatal HIV transmission [39]. Bosire et al. [40] also demonstrated that women at one month postpartum with detectable HNPs had significantly higher mean HIV-1 RNA levels in breast milk than women with undetectable HNPs, although HNPs levels were not associated with vertical transmission.

Cationic peptides, including defensins, are required for in vitro anti-HIV activity of vaginal fluid from healthy women [41]. While it is well established that STIs significantly increase the likelihood of HIV transmission [re-
viewed in 42] and that levels of defensins, including HNPs, HBDs and HD5, in the genital fluid are elevated in patients with STIs [43–47], the role of defensins in STI-mediated HIV transmission is not well characterized. A recent study demonstrated the association between an increase in levels of HNPs and LL-37, which exhibited anti-HIV activity in vitro, in the IgA-depleted cervicovaginal secretions from women with bacterial STIs and increased HIV acquisition [48], suggesting that defensins may cause immune activation, leading to enhanced HIV transmission, despite their direct antiviral effects.

Depending on the specific single-nucleotide polymorphism, variations in the \( DEFB1 \) gene (coding for HBD1) have been associated with either a risk of perinatal HIV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Defensins</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enveloped viruses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inactivates virion</td>
<td>8, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP1, HNP2</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>up-regulate CC-chemokine production by macrophages</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP1, HNP2</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>bind to gp120 and CD4, block fusion</td>
<td>25, 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>blocks viral nuclear import and transcription</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP4</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>binds to gp120 and CD4 (lectin independent)</td>
<td>28, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD5, HD6</td>
<td>enhance</td>
<td>enhance viral entry</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cryptdin-3</td>
<td>enhance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HBD2</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>blocks early RT product formation</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HBD2, HBD3</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>down-regulate CXCR4 expression</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>retrocyclin</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>blocks viral entry</td>
<td>14, 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>retrocyclin</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>binds to gp120 and CD4</td>
<td>14, 28, 35, 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>retrocyclin1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>blocks viral fusion</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RTD 1–3</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>bind to gp120 and CD4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV1</td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inactivates virion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rabbit NP-1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>blocks viral fusion, entry and post-entry steps</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV2</td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inactivates virion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP1, HNP2, HD5</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>aggregate virus, enhance viral clearance by neutrophils</td>
<td>15, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>interferes with cell signaling</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HBD3, retrocyclin-2</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inhibits viral fusion</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV</td>
<td>HBD2</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inhibits viral entry, disrupts viral envelope</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sheep BD1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HBD6</td>
<td>enhance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinia virus</td>
<td>HBD3</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>62, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV</td>
<td>HNP1</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inactivates virion</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>HNP1, HNP5</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>inactivates virion (weak activity)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HBD1, HBD5</td>
<td>inhibit</td>
<td>restrain virus in endosomes</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Activities of defensins on viral infections

BKV = BK virus; CMV = cytomegalovirus; HAdV = human adenovirus; HBD = human \( \beta \)-defensin; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus; HNP = human neutrophil peptide; HSV = herpes simplex virus; IAV = influenza A virus; NP1 = neutrophil peptide 1; PIV = parainfluenza virus; RSV = Respiratory syncytial virus; RT = reverse transcription; RTD = rhesus \( \beta \)-defensin; sheep BD = sheep beta defensins; VSV = vesicular stomatitis virus.
transmission [49, 50] or protection against HIV infection [51, 52]. Although HBD1 has no effect on HIV infection in vitro [20, 34], the presence of single-nucleotide polymorphisms may modulate the overall immune response by regulation of HBD1.

The role of defensins in protection against HIV infection has been studied in HIV-ESN individuals. ESN expressed significantly greater mRNA copy numbers of HBD2 and 3 in oral mucosa than healthy controls, while no difference in mRNA copy numbers of HBDs1–3 in vaginal/endocervical mucosa was observed between ESN and controls [53]. In addition, homozygosity for the A692G polymorphism is significantly more frequent in ESN than in seropositive individuals [53].

**Herpes Simplex Virus**

Several defensins, including HNPs 1–4, HD5, HD6, HBD3, θ defensins (RTD and retrocyclin) and α rabbit defensin (NP1) have anti-HSV activity [54–56], whereas HBD1 and HBD2 do not exhibit anti-HSV2 activity [56]. NP1 exhibits a direct inhibitory effect on HSV in the absence of serum [1]. HNPs 1–3 and retrocyclin 2 was first reported to inhibit HSV2 attachment and entry but not steps following entry [54]. HNPs 1–3 and retrocyclin 2 also blocked the post-entry events [56]. HNPs, HD6 and HBD3 prevent HSV2 binding and entry, whereas HD5 inhibits post-entry events [56]. With the exception of HNP4, α-defensins and θ-defensins interact with the O- and N-linked glycans of HSV2, indicating that defensins may act as lectins to prevent HSV-2 glycoprotein B (gB) from interacting with its receptor HSPGs [55]. HNPs 1–3 and HD5 bind HSV gB with high affinity, but not heparan sulfate, the HSV2 attachment receptor [56]. In contrast, HNP-4 and HD6 bind heparan sulfate, but not gB. HBD3 binds both gB and heparan sulfate, whereas HBD1 and HBD2 do not bind to HSV gB or heparan sulfate.

**Influenza Virus**

HNPs 1–3 inhibit IAV through multiple mechanisms. While the direct effect of HNPs on the IAV particles is moderate [1], HNPs block various strains of IAV by acting on the target cells through interference with cell signaling [57] or by aggregating virus particles to promote viral clearance by neutrophils [15, 58]. HNPs 1–3 and HD5, but not HBD2 and HBD3, enhance the uptake of IAV by neutrophils [15]. HNPs also modulate anti-IAV activities of other innate effectors such as surfactant protein D by binding to it, resulting in interference with its hemagglutination-inhibiting activity [58] and reduction of neutrophil H2O2 production in response to surfactant protein D-treated IAV [15].

Retrocyclin-2 blocks the step of viral fusion mediated by the viral hemagglutinin proteins [59]. It also inhibits fusion mediated by other viral proteins such as baculovirus gp64 and Sindbis (Alphavirus) E1 proteins. Retrocyclin-2 acting as a lectin interferes with viral-mediated fusion by cross linking and immobilizing cell membrane glycoproteins. Pretreatment of either hemagglutinin-expressing cells or target cells with retrocyclin-2 inhibits fusion. Similar to retrocyclin-2, HBD3 has an inhibitory effect on hemagglutinin-mediated fusion and membrane protein mobility. These results indicate that a common pathway of membrane fusion is utilized for a broad range of activity of the innate immune response against different viruses.

**Paramyxoviruses**

Respiratory syncytial virus, as well as parainfluenza virus types 1–4, members of the Paramyxoviridae family, are major causes of respiratory diseases, particularly in young children and the elderly. HBD2 but not HBD1 inhibits the entry of respiratory syncytial virus and disrupts its envelope [23]. In vivo, induction of sheep Β-defensin-1 and SP-A and SP-D expression correlates with a decrease in parainfluenza virus 3 viral replication in neonatal lambs [60]. Adenovirus-mediated HBD6 expression increases neutrophil recruitment and inflammation in the lungs of neonatal lambs [61]. Interestingly, parainfluenza virus 3 infection of neonatal lambs is enhanced during the treatment with adenovirus-mediated gene therapy, and HBD6 expression further exacerbates the infection.

**Vaccinia Virus**

HBD3, but not HBD1 and HBD2, exhibit anti-viral activity against vaccinia virus [62, 63], although the mechanism is not clear. Expression of HBD3 is induced in primary keratinocytes in response to vaccinia virus infection. Importantly, IL-4 and IL-13, frequently induced in patients with atopic dermatitis who are excluded from smallpox vaccination, down-regulate vaccinia virus-mediated HBD3 induction, suggesting that a deficiency in HBD3 may increase the susceptibility of patients with atopic dermatitis to vaccinia viral infection after smallpox vaccination [62].
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Non-Enveloped Viruses

Increasing evidence indicates that defensins can block infection by non-enveloped viruses via multiple mechanisms. HNP1 and HD5, but not HBD1 and HBD2, inhibit infection of BK virus, a polyomavirus, by targeting an early event in the viral lifecycle [64]. HD5 inhibits BK virus by acting on the virion as HD5 treatment of BK virus, but not the target cell, reduces viral attachment to cells. HD5 binds to BK virus and colocalizes with BK virus in infected cells. Transmission electron microscopy analysis reveals HD5-induced aggregation of virions. HD5 also inhibits infection of cells by other related polyomaviruses including SV40 and JC virus.

HNP1, HD5 and HBD1 inhibit human adenovirus infection in lung and conjunctival epithelial cells [65–68]. Similar to anti-BKV activity of defensins, HD5 inhibits an early step in virus entry [68]. HNP1 and HD5 block human adenovirus infection by stabilizing the virus capsid, thereby preventing uncoating and virus-mediated endosome penetration.

HNPs do not have a direct effect on the virions of several non-enveloped viruses, including echovirus and reovirus [1]. HBD2 does not directly inactivate rhinovirus [22]. Using pseudoviruses carrying a green fluorescent protein, HNP1 and HD5 inhibit various papillomavirus types [69]. These defensins do not affect initial binding of the viron and endocytosis but block virion escape from endosomes.

Conclusions

The in vitro effect of defensins on viral infection appears to be specific to the defensin, virus and target cell. In addition to the direct effect on the virus and target cell, defensins act as immune modulators that may play a role in viral transmission and disease progression in vivo. While aberrant defensin expression has been associated with diseases, the complex diversity of defensins among different animal species as well as apparent differences in mechanisms of action present a challenge to those investigating the role of defensins in viral pathogenesis in humans. Studies using knockout or over-expression in mice and further epidemiological or clinical studies in humans are a significant priority to gain a better understanding of the role of defensins in viral infection. The immunomodulatory role of defensins in viral infection requires further delineation. The negative feedback mechanism of down-regulation of defensins remains to be explored. Further studies focused on the contribution of the structure of defensins to their various effects on viral infections as well as standardization of sample collection methods and assays used to assess their biologic function could reveal some unifying principles and will contribute to the development of defensins as novel drugs for the prevention of infection.
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