Clarification Required for the Definition of Hepatitis B Virus Subgenotypes C1 and C2
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To the Editor

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) has been classified into 8 major genotypes A to H, with an intergenotypic diversity of at least 8% in the full genome sequence. Genotypes A to D are the most common worldwide, with genotype A predominant in Western Europe, North America and Africa, genotypes B and C most frequent in Asia, and genotype D present in Mediterranean countries [1]. There is increasing evidence that HBV strains, even within the same genotype, differ in virological and clinical manifestation. Within the HBV genotypes, variability of between 4 and 7.5% has led to further classification into subgenotypes [1], although HBV subgenotypes are yet to be ratified by the International Committee for the Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) [2].

The HBV genotype C is prevalent in Asia, where it causes more serious liver disease than genotype B [3]. In the 2004 report of Norder et al. [4], phylogenetic analysis of 66 complete genotype C genomes identified 4 groups of genotype C (C1–C4), with clear geographical clustering. The C1 subgenotype consisted of HBV strains from East Asia, including Japan, Korea and China, whilst the C2 subgenotype dominated in China, Thailand, Laos, Vietnam and Bangladesh. The C3 subgenotype was confined to New Caledonia and Polynesia and subgenotype C4 was confined to Australian aborigines in Northeast Australia. Subsequently, a C5 subgenotype has also been identified in the Philippines [5].
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\caption{Phylogenetic relationships of representative genomic-length HBV genotype C nucleotide sequences, showing the different classification system used by Norder et al. [4] and Huy et al. [6] for the same C1 and C2 sequences. The tree was generated using the Neighbour Joining Program in Phylip (http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html). Bootstrap analysis was performed using 1,000 data sets and bootstrap values are presented at the nodes. Each sequence is designated by its accession number and country of origin.}
\end{figure}
In 2004, Huy et al. [6] also characterized HBV genotype C from Asian countries. They identified 2 major subgroups within genotype C from 5 Asian countries: one for strains from Southeast Asia including Vietnam, Myanmar and Thailand (named HBV/C1) and the other for strains from East Asia including Japan, Korea and China (named HBV/C2). Thus, the classification of C1 and C2 used by Norder et al. [4] and Huy et al. [6] differed. As shown in figure 1, sequences classified as C1 by Huy et al. [6], were classified as C2 by Norder et al. [4] and vice versa. The methodology used in both studies was sound, however, the current definition of C1 and C2 subgenotypes requires clarification. Other studies have used a different classification system again, with Chan et al. [7], designating the 2 subgenotypes as HBV/Cs in Southeast Asia and HBV/Ce in East Asia.

Most recent reports on HBV taxonomy and phylogenetic analysis have used the C1/C2 designation from Huy et al. [1, 6], that is, C1 for the strains from Southeast Asia and C2 for the strains from East Asia. However, there is no categorical definition of which sequences belong to which subgenotype. In light of the emerging importance of HBV genotypes and subgenotypes in disease pathogenesis, we feel it is important that the classification of all HBV subgenotypes, particularly C1 and C2, are ratified by the ICTV, to avoid any future confusion.
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