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Abstract
The abnormal physiology that manifests itself in healthy humans during their adaptation to the microgravity of space has all the features of accelerated aging. The mechatronical and vestibulo-neuromuscular stimuli which are below threshold in space, result in an overall greater than 10-fold more rapid onset and time course of muscle and bone atrophy in space and the development of balance and coordination problems on return to Earth than occur with aging. Similarly, the loss of functional capacity of the cardiovascular system that results in space and continuous bed rest is over 10 times faster than in the course of aging. Deconditioning in space from gravity deprivation has brought attention to the medical hazards of deconditioning on Earth from gravity withdrawal as in sedentary aging. Though seemingly reversible after periods of 6 months in space or its ground analog of bed rest, it remains to be seen whether that will be so after longer exposures. Both adaptation to space and aging do not merely parallel but converge as disorders of mechanotransduction. Like spaceflight, its analog bed rest telescopes the changes observed with aging and serves as a useful clinical model for the study of age-related deconditioning. The convergence of the disciplines of aging, along with gravitational and space physiology is advancing the understanding and prevention of modern lifestyle medical disorders.

Introduction
Aging and adaptation to spaceflight in young healthy astronauts are similar in the way they affect human physiology. Both aging and living in space induce the decline not of a single system but a composite of almost every body system reaching critical levels of functionality at different times. The time course of this decline with aging \cite{1} depends on variables such as genetics, development, metabolism, lifestyle and others we may have as yet not identified. Both involve complex multifactorial processes with two distinct differences: in the case of spaceflight, the influence of Earth’s gravity is below a physiological threshold and, as far as we can tell from the short durations of up to 6 months – or 14 months in the case of one cosmonaut – general health appears to have recovered, though systematic recovery data are not available. Rehabilitation procedures have also not been standardized.

The Space Race that started in the late fifties between the Soviet Union and the United States first made it pos-
sible to explore the role of gravity in human physiology by allowing humans to live in an environment where gravity (G) was reduced to what appears to be below its physiological threshold $-10^{-5}$ G. The answers led to an astonishing insight on the role of gravity in physiology on Earth that arose from studying healthy humans living in the microgravity of space. What was totally unexpected was a possible link between gravity and aging.

**Similar Physiologic Signs and Symptoms**

Disturbed regulation of blood pressure evidenced by orthostatic hypotension (OH) after flight turned out to be the first of a number of physiologic signs [2, 3]. Clinical observations led to the now well-documented loss of calcium and osteopenia in space. Dietrick et al. [4] questioned whether the bone loss in patients suffering from polio was the result of the infectious disease or of the immobilization due to the paralysis. Their studies in healthy students immobilized in bed in lower body casts showed that inactivity caused severe calcium and bone loss. Whedon hypothesized that astronauts would show similar bone and calcium loss in space, where without gravity their bodies would become virtually inactive. This theory was tested on Gemini VII in 1964, the first mission to collect in-flight samples from its two astronauts over 14 days. It brought attention to increased calcium excretion, raising concern that microgravity may be causing bone calcium loss [5]. Additionally, weight loss during flight and rapid recovery on return, suggesting it was due to fluid loss, led to studies on fluid and electrolyte regulation particularly as they related to the postflight OH [6, 7].

Pre- and postflight testing including bone density measurements provided important documentation [2, 3]. Yet, it was not until the 1970s, when three sequential Skylab missions of increasing duration (28, 56 and 84 days) with three astronauts in each, that a wealth of data formed the foundation of space physiology [2, 3, 7]. As the results came in on negative calcium balance, bone density loss, muscle atrophy, cardiovascular and hematological changes, metabolic, endocrine, and disturbed sleep, medical observers commented that astronauts must be growing old faster in space since their symptoms were similar to those seen in the elderly. This conclusion was swiftly dismissed as soon as it was clear that astronauts recovered after returning to Earth. Yet the similarity of symptoms and the possibility that longer exposures to space may indeed accelerate aging remained a nagging concern [8].

With longer exposures to space research continued to document [2, 3] this array of signs and symptoms that are also seen with advancing years. Reduced plasma volume (PV), muscle mass and strength, aerobic exercise capacity, depressed immune responses, back pain and postflight vestibular, balance and coordination problems were substantiated [2, 3].

**Answers Came from Ground-Based Simulation Research**

There has been slow progress in space research because of the small numbers of astronauts and the operational difficulties of conducting research in microgravity. Through 2009 only about 500 space mission crewmembers have flown. Most missions, and therefore most data were obtained over 14 days or less. No two flights are the same, introducing variables such as shift work, the amount or type of exercise or extravehicular activity. In addition to mission duties, astronauts who are in excellent health and peak fitness before flight are asked to volunteer for multiple experimental protocols, often not the same on any one flight or any single astronaut, inevitably complicating data interpretation.

Ground simulation in healthy volunteers as well as nonhuman research were essential to provide the context for interpreting flight observations. It was the only way to tease out the influence of contributing factors and explore mechanisms in a controlled manner.

Lying continuously in head-down bed rest (HDBR) at $-6^\circ$C is now used as the model of choice [9]. Physiological changes in space and HDBR are remarkably similar [10]. HDBR induces a headward shift of fluids, unloads the body from its usual head to toe $G_z$ weight-bearing position, and precludes changes in posture, leading to unloading and possibly inactivity as well [2, 3, 11]. The influence of the gravity vector is exerted only across the chest in the $G_x$ direction. By inducing many of the changes observed in spaceflight, HDBR affords a means of mapping the time course of these changes and exploring mechanisms under more controlled conditions [10, 11].

**Differences**

Expecting all the processes involved in the changes common to spaceflight, bed rest and aging to be identical can be misleading since the physiologic results may be multifactorial with some variables and time course not
the same. The responses to spaceflight or bed rest have relatively clean and acute signals of gravity transition from 1 G\(_z\) to either a change in the G vector or to microG. In space or on the ground, these adaptations have been measured reliably for the most part for no more than a 6-month period. In contrast, there is no apparent sharp initiating stimulus to aging, which is considered an ongoing process defined by time. Longitudinal aging studies, for instance, do not begin at birth or at the peak of puberty but more likely in young adulthood [12]. What in fact is being compared is the early response (days/months) to a relatively acute stimulus – microgravity or replacement of 1 G\(_z\) with G\(_x\) – with physiologic function at a slice of time on the aging curve mostly at a much later time point (years/decades). In the case of aging, the stimulus removed is the increasingly reduced use of G\(_z\) over a lifetime. Inactivity and unloading are the primary consequences of reduced G\(_z\) use. Whereas the continuous physiologic responses to the infinite variety of acceleration, touch, pressure and other daily mechanical stimuli are important components to the maintenance of health, the gradual, progressive withdrawal of these activities is the hallmark of aging.

There is much about the physiology of gravity that has yet to be discovered. For example, nothing is known about the influence of gravity on longevity or on its underlying genetic mechanisms.

Furthermore, it must be obvious that spaceflight and HDBR are not identical either. The primary difference is that the early neurovestibular responses differ significantly since volunteers in bed do not experience the nausea that is a prompt response to microgravity [2, 3]. Nevertheless, balance and coordination problems follow both conditions. The immunosuppression and viral reactivation seen in space appears to be stress related rather than due to microgravity [13]. However, gravity may also play a role as suggested by evidence of increased virulence of bacteria in vitro, of resistance to antibiotics and the toxicity of salmonella in mice following a 2-week spaceflight [14].

Initial diuresis and natriuresis is a consistent response to head HDBR that precedes the decrease in PV [10, 11]. It is not evident on the first day of spaceflight even though both conditions result in similar decreases in PV. In the case of spaceflight, astronauts avoid drinking before launch, are on their backs awaiting launch during 2 h of countdown, experience hypergravity (G\(_x\)) during launch and varying degrees of nausea, particularly on the first day, making fluid and electrolyte data suspect.

Perhaps the most significant difference between spaceflight and its bed rest analog with aging is the telescopic compression of the time course of the observed changes. Spaceflight and to a somewhat lesser extent HDBR accelerate the onset and the rate of the observed changes [2, 3]. This is probably due to the acute and sustained withdrawal of the gravity vector signal in space or its analog compared to the very gradual reduction of the use of gravity in the form of increasing inactivity over decades of aging.

The effects of living in the microgravity of space, just as aging, probably involve every organ of the body. Those changes and systems that most obviously are affected in both conditions lend themselves to further study as to whether they are merely similar or share common processes. Another way of addressing similarities and differences is to compare the recovery process in the three conditions as well as the effectiveness across space, HDBR or aging of a countermeasure or treatment to the extent that such data are available.

In space and in HDBR, the cause of the resulting changes is known because they result from the variation in the gravity level or vector. It is tempting to hypothesize that the causative stimulus for the appearance of similar changes over a lifetime is also gravity related, albeit gradually over time. The purpose of this review is to present comparative data from selected examples and stimulate an important ongoing discussion and further directed research.

### Cardiovascular Control

Spaceflight or its ground analog – HDBR – are characterized by diminished cardiac function and mass [15, 16]. The initial trigger to this effect is the fluid shift from the lower to the upper body that results in upper body blood volume expansion. This stimulates central volume carotid, aortic and cardiac receptors inducing a transient increase in diuresis and natriuresis with sustained 2–4% reduction of body mass and 6–15% of PV [17]. Reduced PV is followed by decreased heart size, cardiac filling, stroke volume, cardiac output, and aerobic capacity. The sensitivity of carotid-cardiac baroreflexes is significantly decreased within 10–12 days of HDBR or spaceflight [18].

On return to Earth’s gravity or standing after bed rest, this cardiovascular deconditioning leads to OH. Once assumed to have been due to changes in sympathetic neural sensitivity, studies both in space and in HDBR have shown that the response to sympathetic nerve stimulation remains unchanged [19]. Moreover, the OH as well...
as reduced baroreflex sensitivity can be prevented in volunteers after 2 weeks of bed rest by acutely restoring PV [20], indicating that PV is the critical element in the development of OH.

Aging and deconditioning in space or HDBR are similar in many respects. Progressive hypovolemia and reduced aerobic capacity is also seen with aging. OH becomes evident particularly with dehydration, heat or mild illness. There is an age-related reduction in the sensitivity of the arterial baroreflex [21] not unlike what occurs in space and bed rest. The calculated loss of cardiovascular functional capacity in 3 weeks of HDBR as measured by VO2max and cardiac output is equivalent to that seen in the same subjects after 30–40 years of aging [22].

Decreased heart size is seen in space, HDBR and aging and the nature of the changes appear to be similar. Data obtained from magnetic resonance imaging measurements show a 14% reduction in left ventricular mass during spaceflight pointing to cardiac remodeling that would compromise myocardial function [23]. Similar evidence of cardiac atrophy was found in bed rest [23].

In healthy sedentary aging, abnormalities of these same diastolic properties result – the heart gets smaller, but also stiffer with age, left ventricular relaxation (LVR) is slower and left ventricular compliance is decreased [24]. The metabolic theory of cardiac stiffening proposes that ‘the metabolic consequences of sedentary aging – relative insulin resistance and excess caloric intake relative to expenditure, with or without obesity – lead to “lipotoxicity” and the accumulation of abnormal metabolites such as triglycerides and advanced glycation end products (AGEs) form cross-links that separately or together contribute to the stiffening of the aged heart’ [25]. The accumulation of AGEs with long-lived proteins such as collagen form complex cross-linked proteins resulting in myocardial or vascular stiffening. Current research is designed to provide evidence that this mechanism may also occur in astronauts deconditioned in space. This is being done by measuring intracardiac triglyceride infiltration using MR spectroscopy as well as using delayed enhancement to detect subtle degrees of cardiac fibrosis [Levine, pers. commun.].

It is not only the heart muscle that changes with deconditioning. Arteries are believed to respond to smaller volume by constricting to keep the blood flowing since they no longer see the shear forces that stimulate arterial walls. Endothelial lining, sensitive to blood flow-generated shear stress, responds with structural wall remodeling which, as in the heart, is affected by the lipid/protein and inflammation environment conducive to the development of local or systemic damage. Rats deconditioned by aging [26] or hind limb unloading show diminished microvascular endothelial function. Rapid, dramatic thinning, weakening and stiffening of arteriolar walls appear when shear forces and the resulting chemical signals that keep them responsive are reduced [26, 27].

Normal aging generally leads to reduced physical activity in humans. Or is it that reduced physical activity leads to aging symptoms? Could the changes in left ventricular morphology be brought on by lifelong physical deconditioning and chronic reduced volume or are they specific to the aging process? Studies in master athletes and sedentary seniors show that loss in left ventricular compliance can be completely prevented with lifelong aerobic endurance exercise [28]. Similarly, aerobic exercise such as pedaling on a horizontal cycle ergometer (in HDBR) or walking or jogging on a treadmill in space while tethered to the floor or the treadmill with elastic straps has been shown to maintain respiratory work capacity [2, 3, 10].

In contrast, aerobic exercise has little effect on LVR in sedentary seniors, possibly due to the basic differences in cellular regulation of the two processes. LVR, involving Ca2+ handling, appears resistant to aerobic training and may be specific to the aging process [29].

**The Musculoskeletal System**

Muscle strength, energy balance and bone health influence the ability to perform physical tasks of daily life. The ease with which these tasks can be performed determines the degree of independence an individual may maintain with advancing age. Even in healthy adults of advanced age, the capacity to perform these tasks is reduced, with increased incidence of disability and reduced quality of life. Muscle, bone and their metabolic requirements must be considered as a single entity.

In space, the body has mass but not weight. Without gravity, blood supply to the legs is reduced [2, 3]. An astronaut does not walk as on Earth so that neuromuscular innervation to the legs is minimally used; leg muscles do not have to bear the body’s load or contract as much with the result that they atrophy [2, 3]. This is particularly true for postural muscles like the soleus, but all leg muscles are affected whereas arm muscles that continue to be used hardly atrophy. The average loss of bone and muscle with age is about 1% per year starting from peak development at about 24–30 years of age with significant cumulative
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loss with advancing years. Astronauts in space lose about 1% of muscle a month and generally about 1.8–2% of bone during that same period. Similar changes in the rate of loss are measured during bed rest [29–36].

**Bone**

During the millions of years of evolution of vertebrate animals, the human skeleton eventually stood erect, working against the force of gravity. Bone’s major role is to support the body and to serve the mechanical needs of the body to move. It grows by increasing in length during early growth through the closure of the epiphyses at late puberty. The size and shape of the mature skeleton is greatly influenced by the amount of activity and forces applied to it within the 1 G environment. After development peaks bone is lost steadily until the appearance later in life of fragility or osteoporosis [33, 34].

Bone responds to loading such as body weight and to the tension that muscles, tendons and ligaments apply as the muscles contract. Blood flow, neuromuscular input as well as endocrine and nutritional factors all converge to maintain bone healthy and strong [35]. Bone mass and density have primarily been the standard measures, but it is increasingly evident that architectural integrity is crucial [36].

From the start of manned spaceflight in the 1960s, it rapidly became apparent that urinary calcium increased and bone radio-densitometry showed mineral density loss. In the 1970s, the longer Skylab missions showed a progressive negative calcium balance that plateaued at –200 mg/day and up to 33% loss of the calcaneus, the heel bone. Months after returning from the flight, heel bone density was still significantly lower than normal [2, 3].

As measurement technology tools improved, so did bone research. Computer tomography used to measure bone mineral density (BMD) in 4 cosmonauts who spent up to 7 months on the Russian space station Mir showed all had lost BMD mainly from the posterior vertebra, and dual X-ray photon absorptometry showed loss in the spine, femoral neck, trochanter and pelvis of about 1–1.6% per month and 0.3–0.4% per month in the legs and the whole body, but no loss at all in the forearm in 1- and 6-month missions [37].

A 4-year study [36–38] of the long-term effects of weightlessness in the microgravity of space on the bones of the ISS crew showed that on average they lost 11% (range 0–24%) [Schneider pers. commun.] of their total hip bone mass over the course of 4–6 months. Thus, newer techniques and longer durations in space are revealing that unlike previously thought, living in space accelerates 20-fold the normal rate of bone loss that happens with aging. The rate and extent of bone loss were sufficient to raise concern for an increased risk of fracture when astronauts return to gravity and resume their usual activity [36].

The study also found that a year after they had returned to Earth, much of their bone had been replaced, although the bone structure and density had not returned to normal. Hip strength had not recovered, although it had increased slightly compared to what it was when they landed. ‘The magnitudes of the reductions in proximal femoral strength were comparable to estimated lifetime losses associated with aging’ [36]. Studies of aging show that bone size increases as a compensation for lost bone mass. One-year measurements in astronauts after flight were also consistent with an increase in bone size, although this increase in bone was not enough to result in full recovery of the hip bone strength. Will these structural changes recover or are they permanent?

In trying to assess bone loss with aging, space or bed rest, ultimately what matters is how bone structure is affected. ISS crew members lost both trabecular (inner spongy layer) and cortical (outer layer) bone in the hip, whereas bone loss with aging usually occurs mostly at the inner bone layers [36–38]. There appeared to be a gradient of mineral loss beginning at the lumbar spine and increasing in the hip, reinforcing the role of gravity in this pattern in the astronauts. After spaceflight, the long compensatory period for recovery may be due to a small amount of periosteal growth that may partially serve to maintain the cross-sectional area of bone to maintain strength, but whose architecture is abnormal as compared to younger bone.

Studies using bed rest have found remarkably similar losses in bone as in space [39, 40]. Bone mineral content loss in the tibia of healthy adult males after 90 days of bed rest largely recovered within 1 year and was estimated to be fully regained within 2 years with a structured exercise, drug-free rehabilitation protocol. Bone formation and resorption markers had returned to baseline values. Functional rehabilitation and resumption of impact sport activities appeared to precede bone recovery. The authors point out that ‘the initial reaccrual rate of bone was remarkably high, comparable to the accrual of bone mass during the prepubertal growth spurt’ suggesting that the adult skeleton is highly capable of responding and adapting to mechanical stimuli [41, 42].

Several studies suggest that the rate of the mechanical strain is more important to bone formation than static...
loading or the magnitude of the strain. Bone exposed to vibration or exercise respond better to faster stimuli. Bone cells exposed to vibration stress over a wide range of frequencies from 5 to 100 Hz released more nitric oxide (NO) at the highest acceleration rate. The increase in NO – the signaling molecule in osteocytes – correlated linearly to the rate of fluid shear stress in the bone cell canaliculi. This resulted through the expression of several mechanosensitive genes such as ALP, runx2, osteomodulin, parathyroid hormone receptor1 and osteoglycin. In vivo studies provided evidence that the rate of strain or movement is more important to building bone than amplitude or power required. Low-magnitude (less than 10 microstrains), high-frequency (10–100 Hz) loading stimulates bone growth and decreases disuse osteoporosis.

Muscle

Aging, living in space or lying in bed leads to the deterioration of muscle quantity and quality. Muscle mass, strength and power are lost due to a decline in neuromuscular transmission, muscle structure, function, metabolism and performance. Known as sarcopenia in the elderly, it is a considerable public health problem. It is this deterioration with age that has been presumed to lead to the gradual slowing of movement and frailty that often lead to the increased risk of injury and loss of independence.

Experience with fit, healthy young astronauts in space and even more so of similarly healthy young adults in bed has led us to the opposite conclusion – that it is the reduced influence of gravity on the body, either in space or through inactivity, unloading and diminished posture change that brings on muscle atrophy and the decline in strength.

Furthermore, the current exercise programs in space seem to be only partially effective in countering the course of these changes. It is not known how severe these changes would have been without any exercise because from the beginning of the space program astronauts and cosmonauts have taken some form of exercise – mostly using stretch bands, a treadmill, bicycle ergometer or a variety of resistive devices.

Not unlike bone, muscle atrophy is evident predominantly in the lower body sparing the upper body and affecting mostly postural muscles as well as those supporting the spinal cord and neck. This results in painful compression and back pain on return to Earth’s gravity. As might be expected, tendons and ligaments are similarly affected, diminishing their support.
The fact that the current exercise programs are only partially effective in space points to the crucial role of the loading factor – gravity – in this formula.

Metabolism

The abundance of food and decreasing work required to accomplish life’s activities or the increasing sedentary lifestyle of older people brings the discussion inevitably to the metabolic consequences of inactivity or gravity deprivation. Although we may consume less than our forbears, Booth argues that nevertheless we consume more than we need in relation to modern day sedentary lifestyle. He posits that ‘the combination of continuous food abundance and physical inactivity eliminates the evolutionarily programmed biochemical cycles emanating from feast-famine and physical activity-rest cycles, which in turn abrogates the cycling of certain metabolic processes ultimately arriving at metabolic derangements such as obesity and type 2 diabetes’ [54].

Although humans obviously do not become obese in space or bed rest, energy requirements are reduced to the basal metabolic rate plus a small percentage of calories needed for small residual movements and thermogenesis. The relative level of fat increases with decreased muscle mass [55], which is an important factor in prediabetes [56]. With loss of muscle tissue, there is a negative protein balance which in short studies of 7–14 days bed rest begins with an acute decrease in protein synthesis followed by increased breakdown [57]. There is a shift in body composition as fat increases in the body [56, 58, 59].

In addition, symptoms of a prediabetic state appear as significantly reduced glucose tolerance, hyperinsulinemia in response to a carbohydrate meal and insulin resistance of muscle [10]. Increased C-reactive protein blood levels and decreased glucose transporter protein (GLUT-4) levels in the gastrocnemius muscle have been measured in bed rest in as little as 6 days [60]. In contrast, caloric restriction has been shown in many species including humans in bed rest to prevent the increase in C-reactive protein and the inflammatory response. It should be noted that studies in mice showed that low-amplitude/high-frequency vibration that is effective in preventing bone loss also resulted in a substantial decrease in fat cell production, triglycerides and free fatty acids, all risk factors for type 2 diabetes and cardiomyopathy [61].

Space and bed rest also affect the absorption of nutrients from the gastrointestinal tract. Notably the decreased production of vitamin D, less calcium absorption together with an increased salt appetite further aggravate bone loss [62–64]. Increased calcium urinary excretion together with the relative dehydration in space, increase the risk of kidney stone formation [65, 66]. Fourteen astronaut and multiple cosmonaut stone incidents have been reported, one during the flight. The risk of kidney stones appears higher immediately after flight [66].

Central and Peripheral Nervous System

Motor coordination and sensory organization of postural control are affected by spaceflight and aging. These different aspects of control interact but may be affected differently in each individual depending on their particular characteristics and history of muscle strength, adaptability, intact senses, athletic ability, prior experience and what is required of them. Each therefore will be unstable for different reasons and therefore will require different interventions to accelerate compensation.

Some individuals compensate by relying primarily on somatosensory sensation while others rely on visual information. Proprioception is the most important sense for control of equilibrium since it is impossible to balance against gravity without it. Vestibular information is probably more important for the orientation of head and trunk than for control of the center of gravity for equilibrium. Postural control requires intact cerebellum and basal ganglia, which are often suboptimal in the elderly [67]. The nervous system needs to extract and interpret the relevant sensory information to orient the body with respect to relevant cues. This complex integration is by its nature variable. To the extent that the absence of gravity as a single variable in young and older subjects can help shed light on the issues of postural stability in the elderly, spaceflight and to a lesser extent its HDBR analog may provide a useful tool.

Living in space for as little as 9 days accelerates problems of equilibrium on standing, walking and coordination on return to Earth. Readaptation to Earth after flights of 4–9 days began immediately on landing, proceeded rapidly for the first 10–12 h and then more slowly for 2–4 days until preflight stability was reached [68]. Similar problems are measurable after 30–90 days in bed rest [69]. With longer stays in bed or in space, the symptoms are more severe and take longer to recover. The modified commercial dynamic posturography system used to induce reliance primarily on vestibular function in astronauts was used to test 280 members of the Baltimore Longitudinal Aging Study run by the National Institute on Aging [70]. With eyes closed on this platform...
astronauts complain of having no sensation of falling. The test provides an early sign of balance deficits [71].

Increased sensitivity and tenderness of the soles of the feet is another feature seen after spaceflight, bed rest or with aging [71]. Sometimes ignored as unimportant, those who suffer from them face challenges such as walking across uneven terrain, negotiating obstacles in their path, driving a car or merely getting out of bed after a hip or femur fracture even after the fracture has healed. Other senses such as taste and smell are affected in all three groups as is peripheral vision when combined with movement [67–69].

Disturbed sleep and biological rhythms are a frequent complaint with advancing years as well as in astronauts and cosmonauts in space [72]. A possible link to a common mechanism between a lack of postural changes, activity-rest cycles, cycling of metabolic processes and overall inactivity with disturbed sleep is implied by the finding that young adults in bed also show reduced amplitude of diurnal excursions and shifting rhythms resulting in nocturnal diuresis. Body rhythms begin to lose their synchronization after as little as 10 days in bed, and the disruption reaches its height after 22–24 days [73]. Measurements in a single cosmonaut on Mir showed the complete disappearance of the body temperature rhythm after 3 months in space [74].

**Summary and Concluding Remarks**

The physiological responses to space and aging are characterized by problems of mechanotransduction. In space, the weighting of the body by Earth’s gravity is absent, resulting in deconditioning from gravity deprivation. On Earth, similar changes result in deconditioning from gravity withdrawal – a sedentary lifestyle in the presence of gravity. Both result in changes associated with premature aging.

As in space, mechanical loading, mechanical forces and their relationship to cellular events affect the ‘aging’ of connective tissue or its loss [75]. In both cases, there is downregulation of mechanotransduction-mechanical transduction. From birth, mechanical forces, provided primarily by gravity, are crucial to normal development and evolution of the extracellular matrixes found in connective tissue. As body mass and its weight – a function of the gravity we live in – increases, musculoskeletal tissues and other extracellular matrixes adapt their size to meet increasing mechanical demands. With age, reduced use of gravity through decreased movement and acceleration, or living in space in reduced gravity, result in similar changes in body composition, metabolism and damage to musculoskeletal, cardiovascular and connective tissue.

Ingber’s tensegrity theory and research [76] provides the framework for understanding how external and internal mechanical forces influence biological control at the molecular and cellular levels. His work reveals that ‘molecules, cells, tissues, organs, and our entire bodies use tensegrity architecture to mechanically stabilize their shape, and to seamlessly integrate structure and function at all size scales.’ In this way, it explains how at a mechanical level intermittent mechanical forces applied externally such as vibration [45, 61], movement or exercise [28, 50], centrifugation [48], push or pull or intermittent tension [77] can influence cell and tissue growth, biochemistry and physiology.

Those who provide care to space travelers have much to learn from the study of the physiology of aging. Similarly, gerontologists and rehabilitation specialists can benefit from stepping back to consider the physiological changes of aging primarily as a disorder of mechanotransduction.

Medical and surgical advances have contributed to the extension of life. Yet technological inventions encourage an increasingly sedentary lifestyle. Deconditioning has accelerated physical incapacitation with all its consequences.

Whether the dots between space and aging eventually converge, space and HDBR are useful tools for studying the physiology of aging. Equally, gravitational physiology may lead to useful insights to help delay or prevent the physical incapacitation that seems inevitable with living longer. The passage of time is inevitable. Improved quality of life is the goal.
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