What Is the Earliest Non-Invasive Malignant Lesion of the Larynx?
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Cancer of the larynx constitutes 1–2% of all malignancies diagnosed annually worldwide and represents the most common head and neck malignancy in the United States, as recently reported by the National Cancer Data Base [1].

Survival in patients with laryngeal cancer is dependent on the stage and site of tumour and its phenotype. It is obviously difficult, or even impossible, to control far-advanced laryngeal cancer, and it is therefore essential to concentrate on the initial steps in tumour development in order to facilitate early detection and timely implementation of suitable therapy [2].

Neoplastic development in laryngeal epithelium, as with many other epithelial cancers, is a multistep process driven by accumulating multiple and specific genetic alterations, involving oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes [3, 4].

The first morphologically unequivocal recognizable step is represented by intraepithelial abnormalities, such as dysplasia and carcinoma in situ. However, adjacent apparently normal squamous epithelium may already harbour the initial genetic abnormalities leading to malignant transformation.

Early detection appears to be the best and only chance to improve the therapeutic results of laryngeal cancer. Therefore, it is necessary to recognize the initial intraepi-
Table 1. Natural history of laryngeal cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Extension</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>DNA ploidy</th>
<th>Genetic alterations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal epithelium</td>
<td>normal normal normal conf confined</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>may be aneuploid</td>
<td>9p21 (p16), 17p (p53), 3p14, telomerase activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early genetic alterations LIN</td>
<td>intraepithelial abnormalities dysplasia (intraepithelial neoplasia, squamous intraepithelial neoplasia, severe squamous atypia)</td>
<td>abnormal</td>
<td>aneuploid</td>
<td>11q13 (cyclin D1), 13q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive cancer</td>
<td>abnormal confined to the lamina propria</td>
<td>abnormal</td>
<td>frequently aneuploid</td>
<td>8p23, 5p, 4q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early</td>
<td>mucosal cancer confined to the larynx</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deeply</td>
<td>non-limited mucosal cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Problems with such a terminology are legion, of course. Recognition of the fact that there actually appears to be a continuum – stretching from squamous dysplasia to bulky, deeply and widely invasive T3 cancers – has made it easy for many observers to use a variety of terms interchangeably. As a result, one speaker may be describing a cartilage invasive tumour while another speaks of a non-invasive intraepithelial proliferation, both speakers using confusingly similar or overlapping descriptions for the respective processes.

From a biologic point of view, such loose terminology is readily defended. After all, when dealing with a continuum of disease (analogous to the continuum of disease in the colon, ranging from the adenomatous polyp to an invasive adenocarcinoma), how can a surgeon (on physical examination) or a surgical pathologist (on light microscopy) make unerring divisions in such a continuous process which resists being broken up into neatly defined segments? From the surgical pathologist’s perspective, for example, the fear always exists that a given section showing an intraepithelial lesion has just failed to sample an immediately adjacent region of invasive tumour. It is not hard to see why some ‘slipperiness’ of nosology may be useful, both to avoid overstating the precision with which routine diagnoses can be made, and to acknowledge the fact that this is a continuum of disease.

This sort of explanation does not, however, provide much comfort to the practising surgeon, who must make a series of judgements affecting just a single patient: Do nothing now and follow? Operate at once? Irradiate? Learning that patient X has squamous dysplasia, while patient Y has severe squamous atypia, while patient Z has laryngeal intraepithelial neoplasia (LIN), the surgeon may wonder whether he/she is obliged to craft three different treatment plans, one for each apparently distinct and different disease.

In fact, of course, these three designations probably (depending on the mental picture the pathologist has in mind of the nosology of this disease process) all describe the same thing. In the hope of bringing some clarity to this murky area, we recommend this approach: LIN should be used to refer to lesions confined by the basement membrane which are marked by light microscopic features of malignancy. These morphological aspects can also be accompanied by aneuploidy of the affected cells by DNA ploidy analysis [6], and frequent immunohistochemical overexpression of p53 protein [7]. These simple methods permit to detect some of the most frequent genetic abnormalities underlying this malignant process. Defined in this fashion, LIN covers dysplasia, intraepithelial neoplasia, squamous intraepithelial neoplasia, and severe squamous atypia.

As seen in table 1, it is not our purpose to minimize the significance of the ‘continuum of disease’ concept as it relates to the development of laryngeal cancers. Rather, we seek to impose some discipline on a terminology which while biologically defensible as truthful [8], may at times obfuscate the clinical decision-making process.

This is not to ignore the fact that we may be asking more of surgical pathology than it can always deliver.
Take, for example, the often used/abused diagnosis of ‘atypia’. This may mean nothing of any significance to one observer, and a full-blown carcinoma in situ confined only by the delicate basement membrane to another observer. This apparently wide discrepancy in usage illustrates a simple truth: that recognition by light microscopy of intraepithelial lesions which will, if untreated, progress to invade adjacent structures is not always an easy, reproducible task. It is no wonder, then, that words of elastic usage are employed to provide some cover for this most challenging difficulty in diagnostic pathology. Were this not a sufficient problem, these difficulties are severely exacerbated when frozen section artifacts are imposed on biopsy tissues.

These 'hard to classify' lesions are, most regrettably, destined to appear with depressing frequency in any large practice; as such, we must acknowledge that – despite our desire to employ as clear a terminology as possible in our own work – there are simply going to be occasions when we cannot resist the temptation to use an imprecise term such as ‘atypia’ for lack of anything better. When others confront such a problem in their own practices, perhaps the best advice is to do what we do – communicate directly with the surgical pathologist, in an attempt at bringing as much clarity to the matter as is possible, in view of the imperfect tools presently at our disposal.

The diagnosis of LIN, in short, will continue to be a not always entirely reliable process when working with tiny biopsy specimens, at least for the foreseeable future. Lesions which are indeed dysplastic – that is, unequivocal cases of LIN (particularly high grade or full thickness lesions) – should be removed by excision or stripping in most instances. Close and long-term follow-up investigation of these LIN patients is critically important. In particular, an eye should be directed toward seeking out the presence of those sorts of exogenous irritants which might effect changes in epithelial cells which – while lacking a potential for progressing to full-blown invasive cancer – may nonetheless mimic the light microscopic appearances of LIN and so prompt a pathologic diagnosis of ‘atypia’ or other such less than conclusive designation.

In conclusion, laryngeal dysplasia, or LIN, should be recognized as the earliest malignan lesion which already manifests – at both a light microscopic and a molecular level – cancerous features (although, admittedly, its immediate threat to the affected patient is quite limited at that moment in time).
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