Evo-Devo of Child Growth III: Premature Juvenility as an Evolutionary Trade-Off
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Abstract
Juvenility was previously defined as a distinct clinical life history stage, characterized by unique endocrine and body composition changes (adrenarche, the onset of adrenal androgen production, eruption of the first molar teeth, decelerating growth and accelerating adiposity) in relation to stage-specific social assignments and neuro-
cognitive maturation [1]. Juvenility is associated with changes in social behavior and cognition, often referred to as the 5 to 7 transition [1, 2]. The child, who up to this point has been provided with food and protection, is still under the social influence of his parents, but is now taking part in hunting, gathering and tribal/family affairs without showing signs of sexual maturation. The data and theory of evolutionary strategies for transition from one life history phase to the next was previously shown to inherently contain adaptive plasticity in the timing of such transitions, including the transition from childhood to juvenility, in order to match (mostly) energy supply, but also other environmental cues [1, 3].

Transition into juvenility may be defined by adrenarche, the onset of adrenal androgen production at 5–6 years, tooth eruption, growth pattern and the adiposity rebound [3]. Initial taxonomy of life history stages defined pubarche, which occurs in girls and boys after 8 and 9 years, respectively, as the onset of juvenility [4]. However, in present-day children, pubarche is a late event during juvenility and quite subjective, too. Still, it is clinically obvious, and studies of premature pubarche may shed light on the impact of early or late juvenility, and body composition and metabolic adaptation strike as its most noticeable outcomes.

Whereas pubarche is a late juvenile event and adrenarche is hard to detect, the auxological measures of
juvenility onset are relatively straightforward. The juvenile growth deceleration and adiposity rebound can readily be appreciated from sequential measurements [1]. Adiposity rebound, measurable from Δ-BMI, reflects a change in the nutritional status, and was shown to be an important physiological regulator of adrenarche [5]. Moreover, early adiposity rebound is associated with increased deposits of fat in juvenility, and risks associated with early rebound persist at least until early adulthood [6].

Consistent with a life history perspective, the quality of parental investment during infancy and childhood emerge as a central feature of the proximal family environment in relation to the onset of juvenility [7]. Higher quality parental investment (from both mothers and fathers) and less father-reported marital conflict or depression forecast later adrenarche.

This review presents the theory of evolutionary predictive adaptive strategies for premature juvenility in response to (mostly) energy supply, but also to other environmental cues. It takes the perspective of evolutionary fitness, defined in terms of the quantity and quality of offspring, which in turn depend on survival, body size, behavior and cognition.

The Juvenile Life History Stage

The juvenile stage, ‘the age of reason and responsibility’ [2], offers opportunities for the child to prepare for the social complexity of adolescence and adulthood. Occurring at the end of brain growth and equipped with the first adult molars, humans transit to juvenility when they can forage for food and take care of themselves. Whereas chimpanzees and assumingly early hominids made the move directly from infancy, Homo sapiens, who have a shorter infancy, initiate juvenility after a period of childhood [3, 8]. Developmental psychologists refer to this period as ‘middle childhood’: a period of cognitively concrete operations, when children become less dependent on their parents for support and begin to interact with other adults and peers. In modern societies, the transition to juvenility may be defined by a child’s readiness for school. This is associated with a systematic process of brain gray-matter reduction in the primary association areas [9], which represents synaptogenesis during this period [10].

By all 4 markers, adrenarche, molar eruption, growth deceleration and adiposity rebound, the onset of juvenility takes place at 5–6 years of age, with it happening earlier in girls than in boys by about 10 months and earlier in the obese than in the lean [11]. It is associated with a progressive rise in serum DHEA and DHEAS throughout juvenility [11], with effects on a wide variety of physiological systems, including the neurological and mood modulator [12, 13], the immune system [14], and somatic growth and development [15, 16], as reviewed [17].

In the context of early juvenility, two mechanisms, by which DHEAS may promote changes in behavior and cognition, are worth special attention [17]. One mechanism involves acting on the amygdala to reduce fearfulness and allow for the expression of an increased range of social interactions with unfamiliar individuals, as the juvenile cares for his new needs and interacts with peers. The other mechanism involves acting on the hippocampus to promote memory, social and cognitive capacity, as he joins in some more mature activities. The child with premature juvenility will undergo these changes earlier.

Juvenility and increasing adrenal androgen levels are associated with an increase in muscle mass and bone mineral content; the association of enhanced adrenal androgen generation in congenital adrenal hyperplasia with muscularity is well documented [18]. Accordingly, an increase in fat-free, lean body mass is evident around age 5, and is greater in girls than in boys, apparently as part of the female mid-childhood spurt [19].

The close proximity of adrenarche to adiposity rebound suggests a link of transition into juvenility to energy supply [20]. It has been suggested that as brain growth tapers off during juvenility, energy allocation that was formerly associated with brain growth is temporarily stored as abdominal fat in order to support the energetically costly accelerating growth during the upcoming adolescence [17]. Indeed, the transition age to juvenility is strongly linked to the age at the onset of puberty; patients with premature puberty had early adrenarche [11], and those with delayed puberty or hypogonadotrophic hypogonadism had delayed juvenility [21].

Premature Juvenility

An early adiposity rebound has been observed in overweight children and is associated with an increased risk of overweight, suggesting that the body composition programs for transition timing from childhood to juvenility [22]. The typical pattern associated with an early adiposity rebound is a marked increase in BMI during juvenility that will exacerbate during adolescence. This pattern
has been recorded in children of recent generations as compared to those of previous generations, owing to the trend of a steeper increase of height as compared to weight in the first years of life. But even lean girls with premature adrenarche have higher levels of IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and leptin [23], mechanisms that may transmit the signal of energy readiness.

As mentioned earlier, transition to juvenility is associated with decelerating growth, and premature juvenility – with early decelerating growth – curbs the stable growth period of childhood. Thus, if all other life history stages remain unchanged, early juvenility compromises final adult height. The 10-month earlier onset of juvenility in girls also means a 10-month longer childhood of the boy. At a mean growth velocity of 7 cm/year for girls and 6.5 cm/year for boys, this delay in juvenility onset accounts for the boys’ height advantage of 5.9 cm. However, not all other life history stages remain unchanged: premature adrenarche was associated with increased childhood growth, apparently due to enhanced IGF-1 generation [24].

Several syndromes may shed further light on programming for premature juvenility. It is around the age of 3–4 that children with Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) become progressively overweight while developing their typical high body-fat mass and low body-muscle mass [25]. Indeed, PWS patients tend to have premature and robust pubarche [26, 27]. PWS is caused by the absence of expression of genes at 15q11–q13 that are normally expressed only when paternally derived. The kinship theory predicts that children with PWS will fail to express behaviors that have increased mothers’ costs of child-rearing [28]. Thus, during childhood, the PWS subject has little appetite, and as they transit to early juvenility, they develop an insatiable and obsessive appetite. It is argued that the change in appetite reflects evolutionary forces associated with early transition to juvenility, when a child would begin hunting and gathering, or nowadays school, away from his mother.

Silver-Russell syndrome is caused by loss of imprinted paternal methylation at 7p13–p11.2 or 11p15 imprintation of the H19 promoter. The average girl with Silver-Russell syndrome has an early juvenility at 4.4 years of age, as compared to 5.8 years in controls [29] (fig. 2). In Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome, with the mirror mutation or deletion of imprinted genes within the chromosome 11p15.5 region, growth velocity remains above the 90th percentile up to juvenility at 4–6 years of age [30].

After severe intrauterine growth failure, infants and children with Noonan syndrome maintain normal growth rate along the −3.5 SDS line [31]. They show an early transition to juvenile growth (fig. 2) at 4 years of age in girls, giving them a short childhood period of only 3.2 years and a long juvenility period of 5.4 years. The short childhood and early transition to juvenility result in a
loss of 12.2 cm before they reach adolescence [31]. Gain-of-function mutations in the PTPN11 gene account for over half of the Noonan’s patients studied. Early juvenility in this gain-of-function mutation of a protein-tyrosine phosphatase, which is known to regulate the responses of eukaryotic cells to extracellular signals [32], is intriguing.

Several studies have searched for genetic factors predisposing to premature juvenility in genes involved in steroid synthesis [33, 34], androgen action [35, 36], IGF functions [37, 38], and the Wnt signaling TCF7L2 [39]. Although some associations have been found, the underlying susceptibility genes remain largely unknown.

Prenatal Growth, Premature Juvenility and PCOS

Restricted prenatal growth was described to be associated with postnatal insulin resistance, early pubarche and exaggerated adrenarche in both boys and girls [40, 41], an observation that was not confirmed by several other studies [24, 42]. Girls, but not boys, with premature pubarche are more inclined to develop ovarian hyperandrogenism, hyperinsulinemia and dyslipidemia later in life [43, 44]. In fact, hyperinsulinemia and dyslipidemia may be detectable as early as during juvenility, and worsen during adolescence. Low birth weight (LBW) girls with premature pubarche are known to be at particular risk of developing early menarche and hyperinsulinemic androgen excess [45–47]. Based on a cohort study of 770 children, Ong et al. [40] reported that DHEAS levels at 8 years of age were highest among LBW infants who showed rapid growth. Such children tend to gain excessive fat; their fat excess being a reflection of their hyperinsulinemia and hyperandrogenism [46]. Thus, a common sequence of events is intrauterine growth restriction, premature juvenility, hyperandrogenism, PCOS, obesity and the metabolic syndrome.

In postnatal life, the natural history of PCOS can be further modified by factors affecting insulin secretion and/or action, most importantly, nutrition. This phenomenon may be regarded as one mechanism by which nutritional cues influence reproductive development. In girls with polycystic ovaries, the physiological hyperinsulinemia of puberty may affect the genesis of both ovarian hyperandrogenemia and anovulation. Higher than normal insulin levels, whether due to a genetic predisposition or excessive weight gain (or both), would exaggerate these potentially adverse effects. Pharmacological sensitization to insulin of LBW-premature pubarche girls reduced total and visceral fat and delayed menarche without attenuating linear growth [48].

It was recently proposed that PCOS has its origin in fetal life [49]. In human females, exposure to excess androgen, at any stage from fetal development of the ovary to the onset of puberty, leads to many of the characteristic features of PCOS, including abnormalities of luteinizing hormone secretion and insulin resistance.

The association of premature juvenility, the metabolic syndrome, obesity and PCOS has been reported in unique ethnic groups, such as Caribbean Hispanic women, who are known to have an increased risk of developing both premature adrenarche and polycystic ovarian syndrome (http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/content/full/102/3/e36) [50, 51]. It may constitute a genetic syndrome, yet, unambiguous gene mutations currently remain undetected, and I argue that it may well represent developmental programming or an adaptive response within our adaptive phenotypic plasticity, which may transmit transgenerations. Thus, the mechanism may relate to epigenetic changes rather than to the evolution of gene sequence. This is supported by the fact that premature juvenility is less common in boys as compared to girls, whose evolutionary fitness is under greater pressure. A study of androgen receptor genotype and X chromosome methylation found a smaller biallelic mean of CAG repeats in association with increased odds of PCOS [52]. The chromosome bearing the shorter CAG allele was preferentially active in PCOS women. In some women, such heightened sensitivity may also result from preferential expression of androgen receptors with shorter alleles.

The Pygmy Paradigm for Premature Juvenility

Human pygmies are defined as populations having an average male height of 155 cm, and populations exhibiting pygmy stature reside in Africa, the Andaman Islands, Malaysia, Thailand, Indonesia, the Philippines, Papua New Guinea, Brazil and Bolivia. The small body size of human pygmies has been interpreted as adaptive to living in dense tropical forests, thermoregulation or endurance against starvation in low productivity environments [53]. Migliano et al. [53] constructed growth curves for the Philippine Aeta pygmy and compared them with the lower percentiles of the US growth distribution, representing undernourished individuals who grow only to average adult pygmy size (corresponding to the 0.01th percentile of the US distribution). The curves show that
pygmies deviate from the US undernourished sample with an early juvenile deceleration, early pubertal spurt and early growth cessation as compared to the US 0.01th percentile [53]. In this population with a life expectancy at birth of 16 years and a life expectancy at adulthood of 27 years, their first reproduction is between the ages of 10–14 years and last reproduction averages 37 years, but only 13–31% of pygmy women reached the end of the reproductive age.

According to life history theory, age at first reproduction is set by natural selection as the result of two opposite strategies [54]. Extended growth and large body size prompt fertility gains and reduced offspring mortality, implying a pressure for delayed reproductive onset, whereas early reproduction minimizes the likelihood of death before reproduction. Modeling fitness as a function of growth, fertility and mortality schedules, they argued that rather than through positive selection for small stature, the short stature of pygmies is a by-product of selection for early onset of reproduction. Human pygmy populations and adaptations evolved as the result of a life history tradeoff between the fitness benefits of larger body size against the costs of early juvenility, puberty and growth cessation, under circumstances of significant young and adult mortality [53].

**Evolutionary Perspective in Premature Juvenility**

The age at transition to juvenility has been remarkably constant throughout human evolution, especially when compared to such life-history variables as age of sexual maturation, which is subject to a wide degree of plasticity over a relatively short period of time [3]. Comparison with the African apes (but no other primates) suggests that the timing of adrenarche and the sex difference in chimpanzees out of their infancy may be similar to that in humans out of their childhood [55], though the full course of age-related changes in DHEAS and their relationship to reproductive and brain maturation are not clear [17]. The syndromic premature juvenility discussed above, and the variability in the age of adiposity rebound, imply an adaptive plasticity of no more than 2 years between early and late transition [56]. Assuming an important role for adrenarche in human brain maturation, Campbell [17] argued that the increased brain size and extended life span of humans relative to the great apes imply changes in the timing and impact of adrenarche. Thus, he argues that increases in body size evident among *Homo erectus* imply increases in life span and delayed re-productive maturation, and as such are a natural point at which to start a consideration of the potential role of adrenarche in human evolution [17].

The transition from childhood to juvenility is closely associated with the first permanent molar teeth eruption [4]. A comparative study across 21 primate species found the age of first molar eruption to be highly associated with brain weight (*r* = 0.98) and a host of other life-history variables [57]. Data for dental eruption in 1837 showed similar eruption ages to those known today [58]: at a time of a marked worldwide upward trend for height and early sexual maturation, transition into juvenility has not changed much over the last 170 years [59]. The transition age to juvenility as determined by the eruption of the first molar may be even longer standing. A study of an early modern human from Jebel Irhoud in Morocco, dated to 160,000 years before the present, showed that the age of tooth eruption was much the same as it is today [60], suggesting that transition age to juvenility has not changed throughout the roughly 200,000 years of modern humans. The Neanderthals’ permanent molars also erupted at an equivalent age of 6.5 years [61], marking the transition age to juvenility of this species.

The adaptive trade-off package includes high levels of circulating androgens in women, obesity and insulin resistance. But how can the fertility-limiting obesity and PCOS be attributed to evolutionary fitness? Corbett et al. [62] argue that PCOS, type 2 diabetes and the metabolic syndrome are modern phenotypic expressions of a metabolic genotype attuned to the dietary and energetic conditions of the pre-agrarian meat-based, high protein, low carbohydrate diet, with a genotype adjusted for protein and gluconeogenesis as metabolic fuel, through insulin resistance. They further argued that this metabolic ‘fertility first’ rather than ‘thrifty’ genotype persisted at a high prevalence throughout the entire agrarian period from around 12,000 years ago until ~1800 AD because it conferred a fertility advantage in an environment defined by chronic and often severe seasonal food shortages. Conversely, they argue that genetic adaptations to a high carbohydrate, low protein agrarian diet, with increased sensitivity to insulin action, were constrained because these adaptations compromised fertility by raising the lower bound of body weight and energy intake optimal for ovulation and reproduction.

After ~1800, the progressive attainment of dietary energy sufficiency released human populations from this constraint. This release, through the powerful mechanism of fertility selection, rapidly increased the prevalence of a genotype better suited to carbohydrate metabo-
lism. Whereas hyperandrogenism compromises fertility, masculinization of girls and women may be a valuable trade-off for the individual, her direct family and the social group under certain environmental constraints. Androgenic activity may confer a survival advantage during life-threatening hazards [63], and fat accumulation may confer a survival advantage during periods of undernutrition.

Early adolescence, early menarche and short stature, as reported for premature puberty [47], will be other trade-offs for this package. Likewise, Palmert et al. [11] found that among 14 girls with idiopathic premature puberty whose gonadal hormone production was suppressed by drugs, adrenarche started at age 3 and could be described from that point on as exponential. Indeed, insecure energy provision has been shown to result in short stature, as discussed above for pygmy populations, and reported for delayed infancy to childhood transition [54], traded against the advantages of large body size. Early menarche is therefore a trade-off of current reproduction against later under-fertility.

Early infancy and childhood growth are also strong predictors of juvenility. Allowing for current weight, infants who showed rapid postnatal weight gain between 0 and 3 years had higher DHEAS and androstenedione levels at age 8 [40]. Thus, juvenility onset and adrenal androgen secretion are programmed during fetal and early postnatal development, and early weight gain might therefore represent an additional mechanism that contributes to the association between LBW and hyperandrogenism.

An additional trade-off may relate to the neurological effects of DHEA, as it rises with the onset of juvenility. The social function of the juvenile, as he gains new assignments, requires the androgenic, but also synapto-genic, and mood effects of DHEA. In fact, DHEAS levels positively correlate with ratings of aggression and delinquency among juvenile boys [64], and girls with premature adrenarche show higher levels of anxiety associated with increased DHEAS levels [65]. Among women with adrenal insufficiency, DHEA supplementation improved self-esteem, sexuality and overall well-being, and decreased depression and anxiety [12, 66], traits that are consistent with the newly assigned social role of the juvenile.

The age of transition into juvenility is not of any major importance for the determination of final height [67, 68], although it is associated with distinct milestones in child growth and body proportions.

Conclusions: When the Package Disintegrates

This review has been an attempt to use the life history theory in understanding premature juvenility in a broad evolutionary perspective. Life history traits respond to environmental cues in order to enhance fecundity-survival schedules and behavioral strategies that yield the highest fitness in a given environment. The transition from childhood to juvenility is part of a strategy in the transition from a period of total dependence on the family and tribe for provision and security into self-supply; it is assigned with a predictive adaptive response of body composition and energy metabolism. The transition from juvenility to adolescence is assigned with the age and length of fecundity. It entails plasticity in adapting to energy resources, other environmental cues, the social needs of adolescence and their maturation to determine fitness directly. These periods influence each other in an intricate web of connections that are related to evolutionary fitness and lifelong advantages. The data presented argue that juvenility is endowed with a programming/predictive adaptive response for a thrifty phenotype, metabolism and body composition.

In a stable environment, evolutionary pressures operate to select traits that match the organism to its environment. It seems probable that the timing of adrenarche, growth deceleration and adiposity rebound would be linked to social maturation associated with preparation for the next life history stage – adolescence. This synchrony would have been selected because the energy-consuming brain would have reached both its quasi-final size and maturation, and energy stores for future energy-consuming adolescent growth spurt would have been on hand. To prevent social competition, it would be disadvantageous for growth to precede the capacity for sexual maturation.

Gluckman and Hanson [69] proposed that human females evolved to enter puberty at a relatively young age and progressed to reproductive competence at 11–13 years of age. They argue that this would have matched the degree of psychosocial maturation necessary to function as an adult in Paleolithic hunter-gatherer society. Juvenile training for adolescence would accordingly take place between the ages 6–11. Over the following agrarian period and modern civilization, they argue, biological puberty in females has significantly preceded, rather than being matched to, the age of successful functioning as an adult.

The overweight and obesity that characterize modern society result in early adiposity rebound [1, 56]. With weight being the major signal for biological juvenility,
growth deceleration and adrenarche follow suit, as evident from studies in the LBW – premature pubarche – PCOS complex. What does not change is the social role expected from the juvenile as he leaves the security of home and family, and engages in wider social interactions, such as school. This mismatch between the age of adolescent biological and psychosocial maturation, which has only appeared in the past 100 years, has created fundamental pressures on contemporary adolescents and on how they live in society [69]. We have not yet seen how disintegration of juvenility affects modern children.
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