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Summary
Background and Methods: As a source of hematopoietic stem cells, cord blood (CB) is an alternative to bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cells (PBSC). The Mannheim Cord Blood Bank has currently stored about 1,750 allogeneic CB units. Here we report our experiences and discuss future perspectives of CB banking. We analyzed CB units for nucleated cell (NC), mononucleated cell (MNC) and CD34+ cell count, volume, colony-forming units (CFU-GM) as well as ethnic background of the donor. Transplanted CB units were analyzed for patient and transplant characteristics and compared to stored CB units.

Results: Only 25% of all collected CB units met storage criteria. Main reasons for exclusion were: i) insufficient volume (57.7%), ii) delayed arrival at the processing site (19.2%) and iii) little cell count (7.2%). Up to now 36 CB units have been released for transplantation mainly to children (62%). Transplant indications were hematological diseases, immune deficiencies and metabolic diseases. Transplanted CB units showed significantly higher cell counts compared to stored units (NC: 12.5 vs. 7.2 × 10^8, MNC: 4.7 vs. 2.9 × 10^8, CD34+ cells: 3.3 vs. 1.8 × 10^6, mean; p < 0.001 each) and were found more often in ethnic minority groups (36 vs. 20%; p = 0.04). Conclusions: Even though cell count and volume are key parameters for the eligibility of CB units, our data indicate that the ethnic background of the donor also plays a major role. Collection and processing of CB should be optimized in order to gain maximum volume and cell counts.
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Zusammenfassung
Hintergrund und Methoden: Nabelschnurblut (CB) ist eine Alternative zur Gewinnung von hämatopoietischen Stammzellen aus Knochenmark oder peripheren Stammzellen. Die Mannheimer Nabelschnurblutbank hat bisher 1750 allogene Nabelschnurblutpräparate eingelagert. Wir berichten über unsere Erfahrungen und diskutieren Zukunftsperspektiven der Nabelschnurbluteinlagerung. Folgende CB-Parameter wurden untersucht: Volumen, nukleäre (NC), mononukleäre (MNC) und CD34+ Zellen, Kolonie bildende Einheiten (CFU-GM) sowie ethnische Herkunft des Spenders. Bei den transplantierten Präparaten wurden die Patienten- und Transplantatdaten ausgewertet und die Präparateneigenschaften mit eingelagerten CB verglichen. Ergebnisse: Nur 25% aller gesammelten Nabelschnurblutpräparate erfüllten die Einlagерungskriterien. Die Hauptursachen hierfür waren 1) zu niedriges Vollblutvolumen (57,7%), 2) zu spätes Eintreffen der Präparate im Verarbeitungszentrum (19,2%) sowie 3) zu niedrige Zellzahl (7,2%). Bisher wurden 36 Mannheimer Nabelschnurblutpräparate, vorwiegend an Kinder (62%) abgegeben. Die Transplantationsindikationen waren primär hämatologische Erkrankungen, Erkrankungen des Immunsystems und metabolische Erkrankungen. Die Zellzahlen der transplantierten Präparate waren signifikant höher, als die der eingelagerten (NC: 12,5 vs. 7,2 × 10^8, MNC: 4,7 vs. 2,9 × 10^8, CD34+ Zellen: 3,3 vs. 1,8 × 10^6, Mittelwert; jeweils p < 0,001). Bei den Spendern der transplantierten Präparate fanden wir bei 36% einen Migrationshintergrund gegenüber 20% bei den eingelagerten Präparaten (p = 0,04). Schlussfolgerungen: Obwohl vor allem das Volumen und der Zellgehalt für die Auswahl von Nabelschnurblutpräparaten verantwortlich sind, zeigen unsere Daten, dass dabei auch der ethnische Hintergrund der Spender eine bedeutende Rolle spielt. Um Nabelschnurblutpräparate mit einem möglichst großen Volumen und einem hohen Zellgehalt zu gewinnen, müssen die Sammel- und Verarbeitungsmethoden optimiert werden.
Introduction

Cord blood (CB) as a source of hematopoietic stem cells in clinical application has proved to be a valuable alternative to bone marrow or peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) transplantation. It is a curative option for many hematologic malignancies and genetic diseases [1–6]. Although there are about 13 million registered stem cell donors worldwide [source: Bone Marrow Donors Worldwide (BMDW), September 2009], it is still not possible to find a suitable donor for approximately one third of patients in need of a transplant [7]. Using cryopreserved CB as an additional pool for hematopoietic stem cells has considerably increased the probability to find a suitable transplant for the patients. Another major advantage of CB is the immediate availability for high-risk patients [8]. More than 20,000 allogeneic cord blood transplantations have been performed since the first CB transplantation in 1988 [9]. Generally, the collection can be performed with the placenta either in utero or ex utero or in deliveries by cesarean section. At present, approximately 400,000 CB units are stored in more than 50 CB banks worldwide [10].

The Cord Blood Bank Mannheim was established in 1996 at the Mannheim Institute of the German Red Cross Blood Service Baden-Wuerttemberg – Hessen, now being part of the ‘Deutsche Stammzellspenderdatei’ (German Stem Cell Donor Registry). We exclusively store voluntarily donated CB units (at present n = 1,750) for allogeneic transplantation that have been collected at 7 hospitals in south-western Germany and reported to the German National Bone Marrow Donor Registry (Zentrales Knochenmarkspender-Register Deutschland; ZKRD) in Ulm and to NetCord for worldwide distribution.

Here we report our experiences with collecting and processing of CB and discuss future perspectives of CB storage. We evaluated all CB units that were collected between the foundation of the Cord Blood Bank Mannheim in 1996 and June 2009. Since records were not complete in all cases, we analyzed all available data (collected n = 7,921, stored n = 2,014, transplanted n = 36). The CB units were analyzed concerning volume (transplant volume), nucleated (NC), mononucleated (MNC) and CD34+ cells as well as the reasons why collected CB units did not fulfill storage criteria. CB units released for transplantation were analyzed concerning the pertinent indications for transplantation, patients’ age and transplant center situation. Furthermore, we compared stored versus released CB units with regard to volume, cell count and ethnic background of the donor in order to reappraise our collection and preparation strategies.

Material and Methods

Collection of CB

Due to the increase in CB transplantations, German guidelines for the transplantation of stem cells from CB were established in 1999. They are mandatory for storage and release of allogeneic CB transplants in Germany and lay down all medical and legal requirements. CB units are classified as medical drugs, and thus the German Pharmaceutical Law (Arzneimittelgesetz; AMG) does apply. Therefore, a manufacturing license and a marketing authorization issued by Regional and Federal Administrative Authorities (Regierungspräsidium and Paul-Ehrlich-Institut) are required. Furthermore, international quality standards such as FACT (Foundation for the Accreditation of Cellular Therapy) have been established and are considered as essential for the worldwide distribution of CB transplants [11]. In addition to the German guidelines, these standards describe all medical details and cover all relevant aspects of CB collection, processing, testing, storage, selection and release for transplantation.

As donor selection has to be performed carefully, a detailed medical history of the whole family (including grandparents) is mandatory in order to minimize the potential risk of transmitting unrecognized hereditary diseases. In addition to the German Guidelines for the Collection of Blood and Blood Components (Richtlinien zur Gewinnung von Blut und Blutbestandteilen und zur Anwendung von Blutprodukten (Hämotherapie)), special aspects have to be considered for CB donation. CB must not be collected if there are known hereditary diseases affecting hematopoiesis in the family or if there is any evidence of severe disabilities or diseases in the unborn child. Further major exclusion criteria are infectious diseases of the mother that may be transmitted to the child, severe complications during pregnancy, premature delivery, dystrophia (birth weight < 1,500 g) or perinatal asphyxia. The mother has to agree on testing for infectious diseases and on HLA typing (child/donor) and furthermore accepts her data to be recorded at the Mannheim Cord Blood Bank (informed consent).

In general the collection of CB must not affect the delivery and should be performed by continuously trained staff (e.g. midwives and physicians). After the birth of the infant and the placenta still in utero, the umbilical cord is clamped off. A thorough cleaning and disinfection of the umbilical cord is essential in order to prevent contamination with maternal blood or germs. Subsequently, the umbilical cord is punctured under sterile conditions, and thus the blood flows into the collection bag due to gravity. We use a triple bag system which contains citrate phosphate dextrose (CPD) as anticoagulant. After the collection process (requiring only a few minutes), a detailed documentation and labeling of the CB unit is required before it is stored in a secure temperature-controlled box and subsequently transported to the CB Bank Mannheim by courier. The storage and transport temperature must not fall below or rise above 22 ± 4 °C.

Testing of CB

Each CB unit is analyzed for volume, NC, MNC and CD34+ cell count, erythroblasts (stained blood smear), CFU-GM, HLA class I/II antigens, ABO and Rhesus, parvovirus B19, CMV-PCR (optional) as well as bacterial contamination. Infectious disease testing on maternal blood samples includes HBs antigen, anti-HBc, anti-HIV I/II, anti-HCV, anti-CMV IgG and IgM, TPHA, anti-HTLV I/II, HCV-PCR, HBV-PCR, HIV-PCR and ALT; in addition an indirect antiglobulin testing is performed.

The volume of the CB is determined by weighing the collection bag (minus tara, 1 g equates to 0.95 ml CB). NC are measured by an automated cell counter (Cell-Dyn 3200, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA), CD34+ cells are determined by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) with CD34-PE and CD45-FITC (BD Biosciences). Sterility testing is performed using an automated system with aerobic and anaerobic incubation (Bact/Alert, bioMérieux, Nürtingen, Deutschland). Viability is tested by colony-forming unit assays (MethoCult GFH 444, Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada).

The maternal blood has to be drawn within ±8 h around the delivery. Back-up samples of maternal blood and CB are stored for future testing at −70 °C and ≤ −150 °C (vapor phase of liquid nitrogen), respectively.
Six months after birth, we request an optional additional maternal blood sample in order to repeat the serological tests and a medical questionnaire addressing the development of the child.

**Preparation of CB**
According to the German guidelines, the minimum CB volume before preparation is 60 ml, whereas the minimum NC count after preparation must be >5 × 10^8. Furthermore cryopreservation of the CB unit must be performed within 48 h after collection (delivery).

Preparation techniques vary considerably amongst different CB banks. However, volume reduction and cryopreservation of the buffy coat is currently considered to be the standard preparation technique worldwide. Major production steps include centrifugation, separation of the buffy coat using an automated device and further preparation in the GMP clean room area. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) is commonly used as a cryoprotectant. At our center we use autologous CB plasma to prepare the cryoprotectant solution with a DMSO concentration of 5%. Cryopreserved CB is then stored at the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen at ≤–150 °C. Former analysis showed the achievement of high progenitor cell recovery [12]. Once all relevant testing results are available and approved by the head of the production department, the data of the CB units are reported to the ZKRD via the national GERMIS (German Marrow Donor Information System) computer network and are released from quarantine storage.

**Statistics**
Statistical differences of cell counts and ethnic background of the donors between released and stored CB units were tested using the Mann-Whitney test. The level of significance was defined as p < 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS® 13.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Collection Data**
Data on volume, cell count (NC, CD34+ cells) after preparation, time from collection to cryopreservation and viability were collected from all CB units shipped to the Cord Blood Bank Mannheim that met the preparation criteria (table 1). Mean (±SD) values were: time from collection to freezing 30.9 ± 14.6 h, volume 30.0 ± 15.6 ml, NC count 6.9 ± 4.3 × 10^8, CD34+ cell count 1.8 ± 2.1 × 10^6 and CFU-GM 4.4 ± 5.1 × 10^5.

**Exclusion Criteria**
Since there are various reasons for the exclusion of collected CB units from further processing, only 25% of all CB units are finally stored at the Mannheim CB bank (table 2). The main reasons for exclusion are i) insufficient collection volume, ii) delayed arrival at the processing site, iii) NC count <5 × 10^8, i.v.) loss during preparation and v) wrong or incomplete documentation and labeling at the maternity unit.

**Patient Data**
Until now, 36 Mannheim CB units have been released for transplantation worldwide since 1998. Transplant centers that received CB units from Mannheim were mainly located in North America and Europe (table 3). In Europe, the majority of CB units were sent to France which reflects the experiences of CB transplantation in this country. So far, no CB unit from the CB Bank Mannheim has been shipped to a German transplant center.

---

**Table 1.** Collection data of CB units, mean ± SD, minimum and maximum values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data after CB preparation:</th>
<th>Time from collection to cryopreservation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>30.0 ± 15.6 ml (range 6.0–270.0 ml, n = 3,071)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC count</td>
<td>6.9 ± 4.3 × 10^8 (range 0.6–91.7 × 10^8, n = 3,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34+ cell count</td>
<td>1.8 ± 2.1 × 10^6 (range 0.0–57.1 × 10^6, n = 2,666)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFU-GM</td>
<td>4.4 ± 5.1 × 10^5 (range 0.0–90.0 × 10^5, n = 2,327)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Reasons for the exclusion of collected CB units (collected n = 7,921, stored n = 2,014; 25%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume &lt; 68 ml</td>
<td>57.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage/transport time &gt; 48 h</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleated cell count &lt; 5 × 10^8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems during preparation (e.g. leakage of collection bag, breakage of collection bag during centrifugation, clot)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrong/incomplete documentation in hospital</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal maternal infectious disease testing</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal sterility testing</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport temperature &lt;18 °C or &gt;26 °C</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient CFU-GM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Countries of the patients / transplant centers who received transplants from the Mannheim CB bank

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analysis of patients’ age showed that 62% of the recipients were children under 15 years of age (fig. 1), the mean age of all patients was 20 years.

In addition, we evaluated transplantation indications (fig. 2). Most patients suffered from hematological malignancies, primarily from acute leukemias, non-malignant hematological diseases, immune deficiencies and metabolic diseases. However, in 11% of all cases, we did not get any information about the recipients’ underlying disease from the transplant center (table 4). In Germany, CB banks are obliged to report in detail to the Paul-Ehrlich-Institut about the clinical outcome after transplantation. Hence medical questionnaires are sent to the transplant centers at certain intervals. However, feedback is limited, and thus our analysis is incomplete.

**Comparison of Transplanted Units with Stored CB Units**

We compared transplanted CB units to the pool of all stored CB concerning cell count (NC, MNC, CD34+ cells) and volume after preparation. Transplanted CB units showed significantly higher cell counts for NC, MNC and CD34+ cells (fig. 2) and had a larger volume after preparation (33.5 ± 17.6 ml (n = 36) vs. 30.2 ± 15.6 ml (n = 1,999); p = 0.006).

Correlating cell counts to the patient’s body weight (BW) revealed a mean cell dose of $5.5 \times 10^7$ NC/kg BW (n = 29, median 3.2 × 10^7 NC/kg BW, min. 0.6 × 10^7 NC/kg BW, max. 27.0 × 10^7 NC/kg BW) and a mean CD34+ cell dose of $1.5 \times 10^5$ CD34+ cells/kg BW. Pursuant to international transplantation standards, a minimum NC dose of $2.5 \times 10^7$ NC/kg BW and a minimum CD34+ cell dose of $2.0 \times 10^5$ CD34+ cells/kg BW is required to expect a successful transplantation [13, 14]. Unfortunately, we did not receive reliable information in all cases as to whether units were part of a single or multiple transplant.

**Ethnic Background**

CB transplants are an important alternative, particularly for patients who cannot be provided with PBSC or stem cells from bone marrow because of their infrequent HLA type. Those patients are often immigrants or persons with a mixed ethnic background [15, 16]. We therefore analyzed the ethnic background of the donor parents. We compared the percentage of donors with an ethnic minority background among transplanted versus stored CB units. Among the transplanted CB units we found significantly (p = 0.04) more donors from ethnic minorities (mother and/or father of the infant donor): 36 versus 20% (n = 150 randomly selected stored units).
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Fig. 2. Comparison of cell counts between stored and transplanted CB units. 

a NC count, b MNC count, c CD34+ cell count.
Discussion

CB is a valuable source of hematopoietic stem cells that is successfully applied for allogeneic transplantation. More than 6,000 unrelated CB transplantations to treat malignant and non-malignant diseases have been performed since the first CB transplant in 1988. In accordance with recent publications our data confirm that main indications for CB transplantations are malignant diseases (acute myeloid leukemia (AML); chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML); non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL);) and non-malignant hematologic and metabolic diseases as well as immune deficiencies [2, 3, 13, 17, 18]. Although our CB units were mainly used for children, CB is becoming increasingly relevant for the transplantation of adult patients too [5, 19–21]. We assume when Mannheim CB units were used for the transplantation of adults, they were part of a double transplant. According to the latest Eurocord data, since 2006 the majority of CB units is now being used for the transplantation of adults instead of children. CB offers several advantages. Firstly it is available in great quantities and can be stored for more than a decade (145 months at our center). Secondly, it can be easily obtained since it does not interfere with the delivery and does not cause any risk for the mother or the infant donor. Thirdly, in contrast to bone marrow or PBSC, CB units are already tested and characterized in detail when reported to the registry. Thus, they are immediately available, which is especially important for high-risk patients in urgent need of a transplant or for patients who cannot be provided with a bone marrow- or PBSC-derived transplant. Fourthly, CB seems to have immunological advantages, as transplant data show, in that there is a lower incidence of and less severe graft-versus-host disease compared to bone marrow or PBSC. There is increasing evidence that this may be due to the immune immaturity of the infant cells [22–25]. In line with this, several studies proved that the clinical outcomes of HLA-mismatched CB transplantations are comparable to fully HLA-matched bone marrow or PBSC transplantations [5, 23, 26]. Furthermore, it was shown that HLA disparities can be compensated by transplanting a higher cell dosage [14, 27]. Fifthly, CB transplants are considered to have a lower risk of transmitting viruses to the patient [14].

However, there are some considerable limitations. As the donor is an infant, certain congenital or genetic diseases may not have been detected at the time of birth. A second collection of stem cells or additional donor lymphocytes will not be possible. Additionally, the amount of CD34+ cells is restricted due to the relatively small collection volume.

As our data confirm, the eligibility of collected CB is often limited by little volume and small NC count as well, as only 25% of all collected CB units fulfilled storage criteria. In our experience, the time of clamping the cord is crucial for the collection volume. Since this span of time varies among hospitals and midwifes/physicians, the success of additional training in order to increase the collection volume is limited. Nevertheless, to maximize the volume of CB, continuous training of collecting staff is essential. Therefore, a close contact between hospital staff and the CB bank including discussion of problems is necessary. According to the German guidelines for the transplantation of stem cells from CB, a minimum collection volume of 60 ml is required for suitable CB units (cut-off value at the Cord Blood Bank Mannheim: 68 ml including 8 ml for diagnostic purposes). Since the number of double cord transplantations is increasing constantly, the demand for smaller CB units might also grow, especially in case of a rare HLA type. Thus the requirement of the German guidelines should be discussed and adapted to the new developments in clinical transplantation. A considerable reduction of the high exclusion rate will also help to improve the motivation of collecting staff and parents. However, until now our data show that mainly the large volume and high NC count units are transplanted.

In several countries, e.g. in the USA or the UK, public CB banks employ their own staff performing CB collections and documentation on-site. This seems to be an effective strategy to support midwives and physicians; however, under these conditions CB collection is not possible during nights and weekends.

The development of new technical devices, e.g. vacuum systems, could become a valuable tool to improve cell yield. Increasing volume and cell count would minimize the percentage of excluded CB units and thus considerably increase the number of stored CB units.

Interestingly, we found a comparably low bacterial contamination [28, 29]. Even though no further details were given in the mentioned publications, we assume that a thorough cleaning and disinfection protocol of the cord could explain these results.

As mentioned above, a current strategy to overcome the low cell count in adult transplantation is to use two CB units rather than one which was performed for the first time in 2005 [30, 31]. A higher dose of NC might result in a shorter engraftment period, minimizing the risk for infections and other complications [6, 32], while the HLA genotype types of the different units do not need to be identical. This has become the standard protocol in adult CB transplantations, especially in the USA. However, the minimum NC dose per CB per kg BW should not be less than 1.5 × 10^7 NC [31].

Even though cell count and volume are key parameters for the eligibility of CB units, our data indicate that the ethnic background of the donor also plays a major role. An evaluation of the donors of the 36 transplanted Mannheim CB units showed an ethnic minority background or a mixed ethnic background in 36% compared with only 20% in the stored units. A major advantage of CB is the possibility to collect and store selected units with potential rare HLA types from ethnic minorities. Those donors are underrepresented in the pool of registered bone marrow or stem cell donors which ac-
counts for a lack of certain HLA genotypes [15]. It is often impossible to find a matching donor for patients with a mixed ethnic background. Thus CB offers an important alternative source of hematopoietic stem cells. Our data indicate that more CB units from ethnic minorities are needed. Therefore, a directed recruitment of those donors would be reasonable.

In several countries, the value of CB has been recognized by the government, and efforts have been taken in order to build up national inventories. In the USA, the Stem Cell Therapeutic and Research Act of 2005 provides federal funding for CB banks that collect and register CB units for public use. An interim target is to set up an US inventory of 150,000 public CB units. Unfortunately, in Germany, no such objectives concerning public CB banks have been set yet. Additional support by the government would be important to initiate a national program for the benefit of the public. From our recent experiences, there is tremendous public interest in allogeneic CB donation, since CB storage for autologous use (commercial CB banking) does not appeal all parents. We generic CB donation, since CB storage for autologous use.

fore a promising strategy to improve donor search for those children and adults in allogeneic transplantation. Its eligibility is still limited by insufficient volume and/or cell count. Therefore, more high-quality CB units that fulfill international standards are required, especially from ethnic minorities or mixed ethnic minority donors. The pool of potential transplant plants has to be increased. Furthermore, CB units with a high cell count as well as units from ethnic minorities are highly requested. Collection of CB from ethnic minorities is therefore a promising strategy to improve donor search for those patients that cannot be provided with a matching donor from the established registries.
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