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Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) is the etiologic agent of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). It can be transmitted by three means: sexual contact; exposure to infected blood products, and vertical, mother-to-child transmission [1, 2]. After exposure to HIV-1, the level of HIV-1 RNA in the infected individual varies at different stages of disease progression. With the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy for these individuals, the HIV-1 RNA levels markedly and rapidly decreased [3–5]. Therefore, HIV-1 viral load is used as a marker to predict disease progression and to monitor the efficiency of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in HIV-infected individuals. In order to initiate ART, monitor the efficacy of antiretroviral drugs and change therapeutic regimens, it is essential to measure HIV-1 RNA levels for both assays.
levels with commercially available HIV-1 viral load assays. These assays should be specific, possess a broad dynamic range, and not be impacted upon by the different clades of HIV-1.

Phylogenetic analyses of HIV gene sequences from around the world indicate that the genome of HIV-1 exhibits high genetic diversity, and that the prevalence of HIV-1 clades, including subtypes and circulating recombinant forms (CRFs), varies in different regions [6]. HIV-1 group M, including 9 subtypes designated A–J and over 45 CRFs, predominates in many regions around the world. HIV-1 group N is only found in Cameroon, while the relatively rare group O is mainly concentrated in western Africa. In China, subtypes A, B, B’, C, D and F, and CRF 07_BC, CRF 08_BC, CRF 01_AE, and CRF 02_AG have been identified amongst the population. However, the predominant HIV-1 clades in China are subtype B’, CRF BC and AE, accounting for more than 95% of the HIV-1 clades prevalent in China [7–10], and are quite different from those in Western countries.

The current commercially available assays for measuring HIV viral loads were developed on the basis of different technologies, including reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), nucleic acid sequence-based amplification, branched-DNA (bDNA) signal amplification, ligase chain reaction and TaqMan real-time RT-PCR [11–14]. Real-time RT-PCR offers the advantages of broad dynamic range, simplicity, reduced total testing time, and diminished risk of contamination. It is an ideal method to combine with an automated procedure for sample preparation (nucleic acid extraction) as automation significantly increases throughput whilst reducing the hands-on time required and operator-associated errors.

Recently, a new automated system for monitoring HIV-1 viral loads, namely the COBAS® AmpliPrep/COBAS TaqMan® HIV-1 Test (CAP/CTM test; Roche Diagnostics, France), which combines automated sample preparation and amplification/detection on a fully integrated platform was approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration. Several studies on the performance of the CAP/CTM test against the COBAS AmpliCor HIV-1 Monitor Test, v1.5 (Cobas), an earlier semi-automated assay, for HIV-1 M samples from Western countries have been completed [15, 16], and the results indicated a significant correlation between the two assays; however, the predominant clades of HIV-1 in China differ from Western countries, and the issue of whether the clades prevalent in China would impact upon the performances of the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays is also unclear. In the present study, 185 clinical samples with clades prevalent in China from untreated HIV-1-infected patients were used to evaluate the performance of the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays.

**Materials and Methods**

**Clinical Samples and Their Molecular Characteristics**

A total of 185 plasma samples were collected from untreated HIV-1-infected individuals in different geographical regions of China, including Beijing municipality, Xinjiang Autonomous Region, and Yunnan, Henan, Hebei, Sichuan and Guangdong provinces. Among the 185 samples, 147 samples were successfully genotyped based on the env regions as described by Wang et al. [17]. Of these genotyped samples, 47 belonged to clade B’, 86 belonged to clade BC and 14 samples belonged to clade AE. The remaining 38 samples, which could not be genotyped based on the env region, were designated as the unsubtyped group. All 185 samples were stored in the presence of the anticoagulant, sodium citrate, dispensed into 0.5-ml aliquots, and stored at −70°C until ready for use.

To determine the HIV-1 viral load, all 185 samples were tested with the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays in parallel, then the performance of the two assays was comparatively evaluated.

**HIV-1 Viral Load Determination Using CAP/CTM Test**

The CAP/CTM test applies TaqMan technology, targeting the gag p24 gene of HIV-1. RNA was extracted from 1-ml plasma samples following the automated specimen preparation procedure, outlined by the manufacturer of the COBAS AmpliPrep Instrument (Roche, France). HIV-1 quantitation standard (QS) armored RNA molecules were introduced into each specimen along with a lysis reagent and magnetic glass particles by robotic manipulation on the COBAS AmpliPrep Instrument. The processed specimen containing the magnetic glass particles as well as the released HIV-1 RNA and HIV-1 QS RNA was added to the amplification mixture automatically. The amplification mixtures were manually transferred to a COBAS TaqMan 96 Analyzer. The HIV-1 target RNA and HIV-1 QS RNA were reverse-transcribed, amplified and simultaneously detected by cleavage of target-specific and QS-specific dual-labeled oligonucleotide probes. According to the package insert, the dynamic range of the assay is 40–1,000,000 copies/ml (1.833–7.230 log_{10} IU/ml, calculated using a conversion factor indicated in the manufacturer’s instructions) for 1,000-µl plasma samples.

**HIV-1 Viral Load Determination Using Cobas Assay**

The Cobas Amplipcr HIV-1 Monitor Test, v1.5 (Roche Molecular Systems, USA) is a standard semi-automated in vitro nucleic acid amplification assay, consisting of manual sample preparation (extraction of HIV-1 RNA) and automated amplification and detection steps on a Cobas Amplipcr Analyzer. Like the CAP/CTM assay, this test targets the gag p24 gene of HIV-1 [18] and co-processes an appropriate QS for accurate quantification. When standard specimen preparation procedures are used, the linear range of the Cobas assay is 4 × 10^2–7.5 × 10^5 copies/ml (2.894–6.167 log_{10} IU/ml) and requires 200 µl of plasma [14].
Statistical Analysis

According to the conversion factors indicated in the package insert of CAP/CTM and Cobas assays (1 copy/ml is equivalent to 1.7 and 1.96 IU/ml for CAP/CTM and Cobas assays, respectively), all plasma HIV-1 RNA concentration values expressed as copies per milliliter were transformed into international units per milliliter and then transformed into $\log_{10}$ IU/ml before statistical analysis. The mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated using conventional statistical methods. A paired $\chi^2$ test (McNemar’s $\chi^2$ test) and k-values were used to qualitatively assess differences between the performance characteristics of the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to assess the strength of the linear association between the $\log_{10}$-transformed levels in samples assessed as positive by the two assays. To compare the quantitative results obtained for the positive samples detected by both assays, a fitted regression line was compared with the line of equality by testing the two-tailed hypothesis of slope = 1 and intercept = 0. According to the Bland-Altman method, a scatter plot of the differences between the paired measurements plotted against the mean values of the samples, was used to graphically assess the magnitude of disagreement between the two assays [19].

Results

Viral Load Determination

Of the 185 samples, 184 (99.46%) were qualitatively assessed as positive for HIV-1 RNA whilst 1 sample (0.54%), although confirmed as positive for anti-HIV antibodies, was determined to be negative for the presence of HIV-1 RNA using both assays. Hence, the qualitative comparison of the results of the two assays for the 185 samples revealed concordance for 184 positive and 1 negative sample (100%, $\kappa = 1.000$). No discordance was found in these qualitative results (McNemar’s $p = 1.000$). The viral loads of the 184 positive samples were within the dynamic range for the CAP/CTM assay; however, 5 of these positive samples were beyond the dynamic range for the Cobas assay. The viral load values within the dynamic range for both assays were $2.395 - 6.451 \log_{10} \text{IU/ml}$ for the CAP/CTM assay and $2.994 - 6.063 \log_{10} \text{IU/ml}$ for the Cobas assay.

Performance Comparison of the Cobas and CAP/CTM Assays

A total of 179 clinical samples with viral loads within the dynamic range for both assays were used to compare the performance of the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays. A high linear correlation was found between the two assays ($R = 0.862, p < 0.001$). The fitted regression line (fig. 1) is described by the equation $\text{CAP/CTM} = 0.9518 \times \text{Cobas} - 0.1805$, with a 95% CI for the estimated slope of $0.712, 0.848 \ (p < 0.001)$ and 95% CI for the estimated intercept of $0.978, 1.522 \ (p < 0.001)$. Furthermore, the agreement between the viral loads assessed by the two assays was analyzed for the 179 samples using the Bland-Altman model (fig. 2). The difference in viral load for each sample ($\log_{10} \text{IU/ml Cobas} - \log_{10} \text{IU/ml CAP/CTM}$) was plotted...
against the mean values obtained by the two assays. The mean difference was 0.388 log_{10} IU/ml and the SD was 0.377 log_{10} IU/ml. The difference in absolute values obtained by the two assays was 1.0 log_{10} IU/ml in 3.91% (7/179) of the tested samples. Subtyping showed these samples belonged to clades BC and AE. Further analysis by a paired t test demonstrated that the mean difference was significantly different from 0 (p < 0.001). This implies that on average, the Cobas quantitative values are higher than the CAP/CTM values. If the difference in values was normally distributed, one would expect to observe approximately 95% of them between 2 standard deviations of the mean. According to the Bland-Altman model, the limits of agreement were –0.367 and 1.143 log_{10} IU/ml (mean ± 2 SD), and 95.53% (171/179) of the difference values fell within this range for the limits of agreement. The remaining 8 samples were outside the limits of agreement yet 5 of these 8 samples exhibited higher quantitative values in the Cobas assay.

Performance Comparison of the Cobas and CAP/CTM Assays for Different Clades

Among the 179 samples, 81.01% (145/179) were genotyped successfully, with 46 samples belonging to clade B’, 86 to clade BC and the remaining 13 were determined to be part of clade AE. The correlation coefficients between the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays were 0.899, 0.873 and 0.694 for clades B’, BC and AE, respectively, and significant correlations (p < 0.001) were observed for both assays on detecting a genetically diverse panel of samples in China. For each of the different clade sample groups, the fitted regression line differed significantly from the line of equality (table 1; fig. 3).

As shown in table 2, the mean differences plotted by Cobas-CAP/CTM in log_{10} IU/ml ranged from 0.046 to 0.458 log_{10} IU/ml. These differences were statistically significant for clade B’, clade BC and ungenotyped samples (p < 0.001), whereas the mean difference in quantitation for clade AE was not significant (p = 0.808). By plotting the regression equation (intercept = 0), no great difference (difference ranged from 1.01 to 10.88%) was found in clades B’, BC or ungenotyped samples (table 2). Further Bland-Altman analysis revealed that the differences between the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays were within ±1 log_{10} IU/ml of the mean log_{10} values for the two assays in 95% of the tested samples (clade B’ 0.330, –0.241/0.900; clade BC 0.458, –0.217/1.133; clade AE 0.046, –1.282/1.374; ungenotyped 0.421, –0.323/1.16; table 2; fig. 4).

Discussion

In this study, two commercial HIV-1 viral load assays were comparatively evaluated using untreated HIV-1-infected individuals from different clades prevalent in China. Although the two assays apply RT-PCR technology, the Cobas assay detects a signal at the end-point of the assay whilst the CAP/CTM test involves monitoring of a signal in real time. A significant advantage of the CAP/CTM assay is the broad dynamic range of 40–10,000,000 copies/ml. This range is significantly greater than that of the standard semi-automated Cobas assay [14]. Although the high agreement (κ = 1.000) of qualitative results between the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays was observed for 185 clinical samples, 5 quantitative results were beyond the linearity range for the Cobas test but still within the dynamic range for the CAP/CTM assay.

Previous studies in Western countries revealed the high correlation between the standard Cobas assay, the automated Cobas, and bDNA testing [15, 16]. One study in China using samples from treated and untreated HIV-1-infected individuals also indicated a high correlation.
and good agreement between the CAP/CTM and bDNA assays [20]. Similar to the above studies, a significant correlation ($R = 0.863$, $p < 0.001$) between the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays was observed, although the fitted regression line differed significantly from the line of equality ($p < 0.001$). Analysis of agreement using the Bland-Altman model revealed that the differences for 95.53% of the 179 samples within the dynamic range for both assays, were within the limits of agreement. Further analysis involved plotting the regression equation (intercept = 0)
and indicated no great difference (8.91%) in the quantitative values for the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays.

The high level of genetic diversity and rapid rate of evolution characteristic of HIV-1, provides a significant challenge in the design of HIV-1 viral load assays for detection and quantitation of HIV-1 RNA. Those mutations located within primer- and probe-binding sites can reduce hybridization efficiency resulting in failed detection or inaccurate quantitation [21–23]. Ideally, a viral load assay should be capable of detecting and reliably quantifying HIV-1 RNA for all HIV-1 groups and subtypes. In this study, high agreement (91.30–95.35%) by the Bland-Altman model and significant correlations (R = 0.694–0.899, p < 0.05) were observed for samples in clades B', BC and AE as well as ungenotyped samples. The present study indicated that reliable quantitative results can be obtained from HIV-1 samples prevalent in China using both the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays. No significant difference was found (R = 0.694, mean difference = 0.046, p = 0.808) for samples within clade AE, however it should be noted that the number of samples within clade AE was relatively small (13 samples). There is also the additional issue of whether there are mismatches between the HIV-1 clade AE sequences, and the primers and probes of the two assays, which remains unclear. Therefore, the performances of the Cobas and

**Fig. 4.** Comparison of the agreements between the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays for samples from different clades.
CAP/CTM assays for samples within clade AE may require further investigation.

To evaluate the performance of HIV-1 viral load assays, the linearity was compared. Several previous studies were completed to evaluate the linearity of Cobas and CAP/CTM assays [17, 20]. For the Cobas assay, the linearity correlation coefficients were 0.9870, 0.9995 and 0.9995 for clades B’, BC and AE, respectively. For the CAP/CTM assay, the linearity correlation coefficients were 0.9997, 0.9989, and 0.9773 for clades B’, BC and AE, respectively. The results indicated excellent linearities in detecting HIV-1 RNA within different clades for the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays.

In the present study, no sample was selected from ARV-treated patients; however our previous studies focusing on the impact of ART drugs on commercial HIV-1 viral loads in China using the CAP/CTM HIV-1 test versus HIV-1 bDNA 3.0, and Abbott RealTime HIV-1 assay versus NucliSens HIV-1 easyQ, indicated that the ART drugs in China did not significantly affect the results of these assays [20, 24]. Whether the ART drugs affected the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays may need further investigation.

Currently, HIV-1 viral load assays are highly recommended by current guidelines as routine tools for monitoring HIV-1-infected patients in many laboratories. In the present study, a strong overall agreement and significant correlation between the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays was observed for quantifying HIV-1 RNA within different clades predominant in China from untreated HIV-1-infected individuals. Therefore, both the CAP/CTM and Cobas assays are valid for monitoring HIV-1 viral load in routine clinical samples regardless of clade.
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Fig. 5. Linearities of the Cobas and CAP/CTM assays for samples within clades B’ and AE.


