Cross-Talk between Human Dendritic Cell Subsets Influences Expression of RNA Sensors and Inhibits Picornavirus Infection
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Abstract
Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional antigen-presenting cells that provide a link between innate and adaptive immunity. Multiple DC subsets exist and their activation by microorganisms occurs through binding of conserved pathogen-derived structures to so-called pattern recognition receptors (PRRs). In this study we analyzed the expression of PRRs responding to viral RNA in human monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs) under steady-state or pro-inflammatory conditions. We found that mRNA and protein levels for most PRRs were increased under pro-inflammatory conditions, with the most pronounced increases in the RIG-like helicase (RLH) family. Additionally, freshly isolated human plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) displayed significantly higher levels of TLR7, RIG-I, MDA5 and PKR as compared to myeloid DCs and moDCs. Finally, we demonstrate for the first time that cross-talk between TLR-matured or virus-stimulated pDCs and moDCs leads to a type I interferon-dependent antiviral state in moDCs. This antiviral state was characterized by enhanced RLH expression and protection against picornavirus infection. These findings might represent a novel mechanism by which pDCs can preserve the function and viability of myeloid DCs that are attracted to a site with ongoing infection, thereby optimizing the antiviral immune response.

Introduction
Dendritic cells (DCs) are specialized antigen-presenting cells that are crucial players in the decision process between tolerance and immunity. They participate in the innate immune response, but also orchestrate adaptive immunity via regulation of T cell activation and differentiation [1, 2]. Different DC subsets exist that are specialized in regulation of distinct facets of the immune response. For instance, plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) are well equipped to recognize viral structures, resulting in potent type I interferon (IFN) production [3]. Myeloid DCs (mDCs) can recognize structures derived from several classes of microorganisms and are key to the induction of Th1 responses via release of IL-12 [3–5]. For in vitro stud-
ies, monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs) are often used instead of mDCs. Responses of moDCs are similar to mDCs in many aspects [3, 6], yet differences exist [7, 8].

Recognition of microorganisms occurs via binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) to so-called pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs), such as the cytoplasmic NOD-like receptors and RIG-I-like helicases and the membrane-bound C-type lectins and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) [9]. Triggering of TLRs on DCs by PAMPs, such as double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) or lipopolysaccharide (LPS), results in production of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines and upregulation of MHC and costimulatory molecules that collectively determine T cell activation and differentiation [10]. Despite their crucial role in antiviral immunity, TLRs that recognize viral RNA are unable to detect viruses in the cytoplasm, due to their endosomal localization. However, the recently identified PRR family called the RIG-I-like helicases (RLHs) appears to be involved in responses to cytoplasmic viral RNA. The RLHs retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5) respond to different RNA viruses through recognition of distinct RNA structures [11–14]. Activation of RIG-I or MDA5 is of crucial importance for the induction of innate antiviral immune responses upon virus infection [15]. The third member of the RLH family, LGP2, lacks a signaling domain and is thought to serve as a negative regulator [16]. Another RNA sensor that does not belong to the RLH family is protein kinase R (PKR), which inhibits translation upon detection of dsRNA in the cytoplasm [17].

Unlike TLRs, much less is known regarding the function and expression of RLHs. The vast majority of studies concerning RLHs have been done in mice or cell lines, with little data on expression of these molecules in primary human cells. In this study, we investigated the expression levels of TLRs and RLHs in human moDCs under steady-state or pro-inflammatory conditions. In addition, we determined the expression profiles of RNA sensors in different primary human DC subsets which revealed remarkably high expression of RLHs in pDCs compared to their myeloid counterpart. Differential expression of PRRs likely tailors the response to specific pathogens executed by various DC subsets. Cross-talk between DCs subsets might further aid in induction of optimal immune responses. We therefore determined the influence of cross-talk between pDCs and moDCs, which showed that TLR- or virus-activated pDCs increased expression of RLHs in moDCs and induced protection against picornavirus infection.

Materials and Methods

Culture and Stimulation of Cells
moDCs were generated from different donors as described previously [18]. Immature moDCs were harvested on day 6 using cold PBS and incubated with medium (RPMI-1640) alone or stimulated with purified LPS (100 ng/ml) + IFN-γ (400 U/ml) at 37°C for a period of 4, 8 or 24 h. Alternatively, moDCs were stimulated using 100 U/ml IFN-α2 (Roferon-A®, Roche) or 1:100 dilution of cell-free supernatant of CpG-C or R848-activated pDCs (collected 24 h after stimulation) or virus-simulated pDCs (see below). Myeloid and pDCs were isolated using anti-BDCA-1 and anti-BDCA-4-conjugated magnetic microbeads, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Miltenyi Biotec).

RNA Isolation
Total RNA was isolated from DC cultures using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA integrity was determined by analyzing the ribosomal 28S and 18S bands on a 1% agarose gel. The reverse transcription reaction was performed using Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. To exclude genomic DNA contamination we included a `-RT’ control for each sample in which the reverse transcriptase was replaced by DEPC-treated milli-Q water. The reverse transcription was then followed (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Primer sequences are available upon request and were from the Primer Bank database [19]. Reactions were performed on an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). Data were analyzed using 7000 System SDS software (Applied Biosystems). Genes with a Ct value >37 were considered not expressed. ΔCt values were calculated for all individual genes in all donors, with that of porphobilinogen deaminase (PBGD, also known as hydroxymethylbilane synthase) as a reference. An Excel macro was used to generate a heat map, where 1 gray scale encompasses 2 ΔCt units. White indicates highest expression, whereas black corresponds to undetectable expression.

Confluent Microscopy
moDCs or pDCs were harvested, washed and allowed to adhere to poly-L-lysine coated coverslips in serum-free medium for 1 h at 37°C. Cells were fixed with 1% paraformaldehyde and blocked in PBS with 3% BSA, 10 mM glycine and 2% human serum (blocking buffer, BB). For cell surface stainings, moDCs and pDCs were incubated using mouse-anti-human DC-SIGN (Beckman Coulter) or mouse-anti human BDCA4 (Miltenyi Biotec GmbH), respectively, or the appropriate isotype control in BB. Following incubation and washes, cells were incubated with isotype-specific Alexa-labeled goat-anti-mouse IgGs (Molecular Probes). For intracellular staining, cells were fixed using 1% para-
formaldehyde, permeabilized using 0.1% Triton-X100 in PBS and incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-MDA5 [20] followed by incubation with goat-anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 488 (Phamingen) in BB. Cells were sealed using Mowiol (Merck) and analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy on an MRC1024 confocal microscope (BioRad). Signals were collected sequentially to avoid bleed through and were processed with Photoshop 7.0 software (Adobe Systems Inc.).

**Western Blot**

Equal amounts of protein were separated by 7.5% SDS-PAGE, electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad), followed by probing with the indicated antibodies. Anti-RIG-I and anti-PKR antibodies were purchased from ProSci Incorporated and Becton Dickinson Transduction Laboratories, respectively. RIG-I, PKR and MDA5 antibodies were used in 1:1,000, 1:500 and 1:10,000 dilutions, respectively. After washes, membranes were incubated with IRDye anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (1:15,000; Li-Cor Biosciences). Imaging was done using the Odyssey System.

**Virus Stimulation of pDCs and Infection of moDCs**

Echovirus 9 Hill (EV9) and Coxackievirus B3 Nancy (CVB3) were propagated as described before [18]. pDCs, isolated as described above, were stimulated with CVB3 at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 in the presence or absence of 10% human serum. After 24 h, supernatants are harvested and used in a 1:10 dilution to stimulate moDC cultures. Unstimulated and stimulated moDCs were harvested using cold PBS, washed and infected with EV9 at an MOI of 1 in serum-free RPMI. After a 60-min incubation at 37°C, cells were washed 3 times in an excess volume of PBS after which viral titers were determined at different time points post infection as described before [18]. To exclude CVB3 interference during EV9 replication analysis, titrations were done in the presence of neutralizing anti-CVB3 antibodies.

**Statistical Analysis**

Differential expression was assessed by means of one-factor ANOVA, and nonparametric pairwise comparison of the DC subsets was done using the Tukey’s post hoc test in the R statistics package [21]. A p value of <0.05 was considered a significant difference.

**Results**

**TLR and RLH Profiles in Human Immature and Mature Monocyte-Derived DCs**

mRNA levels of TLRs, RLHs and other PRRs in human moDCs from three different donors were determined using custom-designed low-density arrays based on microfluidic card technology (see Materials and Methods) and qPCR. In line with their function as ‘sentinels’ of the body, human immature DCs were found to express a broad variety of PRRs. Regarding TLRs responding to RNA, that is TLR3, TLR7 and TLR8, moderate steady-state levels were observed (fig. 1a). In contrast to their RNA-sensing TLR counterparts, significant transcript levels of the RLHs RIG-I, MDA5 and LGP2 were detected in all donors, along with high levels of the dsRNA activated anti-viral effector molecule PKR. The levels of RIG-I and MDA5 were as much as 25- to 100-fold higher compared to expression of TLR3 or TLR7, implying an important role for RLHs in moDC biology (fig. 1a). Furthermore, transcripts for essentially all TLRs were detected, as well as a broad panel of C-type lectin receptors (online suppl. fig. 1, www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000300568) that can bind many pathogens, among which different viruses, and potentially function as entry receptors [22, 23].

To study potential changes in PRR mRNA levels under pro-inflammatory conditions, we stimulated DCs with LPS (100 ng/ml) + IFN-γ (400 U/ml) for 24 h. Some members of the TLR family showed a modest up- or down-regulation following exposure to LPS/IFN-γ, and significant donor differences were observed. Also the expression of C-type lectin receptors showed moderate changes (online suppl. fig. 1). Among the TLRs, the largest increases were found in the RNA-sensing TLRs (fig. 1a; online suppl. fig. 1). TLRs involved in the detection of bacteria, such as TLR1, TLR2 and TLR4, whose expression levels were already substantial, showed only a modest increase. Interestingly, differences in expression levels were more apparent within the family of cytoplasmic viral RNA sensors. Expression of RLHs RIG-I and MDA5 as well as effector molecules like PKR were significantly up-regulated following stimulation with LPS/IFN-γ, suggesting that exposure to these pro-inflammatory stimuli can affect the responsiveness of DCs to viral pathogens.

**Dynamics of PRR Expression upon DC Stimulation**

Next, we analyzed kinetics of PRR expression following stimulation of DCs, focusing on PRRs involved in recognition of viral RNA, that is TLR3, TLR7, TLR8 and the cytoplasmic viral RNA sensors. Upregulation of TLRs and RLHs peaked approximately 8 h after exposure to pro-inflammatory stimuli, and expression of most genes had returned to baseline levels after 24 h (fig. 1b). TLR3 expression showed surprisingly little modulation in time compared to the other TLRs and RLHs studied (fig. 1b, right panel), whereas RIG-I and MDA5 mRNA expression increased more than 50-fold (fig. 1b, left panel). Western blot analysis corroborated our PCR results on protein level by showing enhanced expression of RIG-I, MDA5 and PKR following 24 h treatment with LPS/IFN-γ (fig. 1c). Thus, upon exposure to PAMPs and pro-inflammatory stimuli, moDCs rapidly upregulate ex-
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Expression of PRRs responding to viral RNA at the mRNA and protein level, which might result in an increased capacity to respond to microorganisms.

Expression of RNA Sensors in Blood Myeloid DCs and Plasmacytoid DCs, and moDCs

To further study the RLH-family of RNA sensors in human DC subsets, we determined their expression profile in moDC, freshly isolated mDCs and plasmacytoid (p)DCs, which play a crucial role in antiviral immunity. The expression of TLR3, TLR7 and TLR8 that are also involved in viral RNA recognition was analyzed in parallel. Quantitative analysis showed that TLR8 levels were approximately 10-fold higher than levels of TLR3 or TLR7 in moDCs, while differences in expression of these TLRs in freshly isolated mDCs were less pronounced (fig. 2a). In contrast, pDCs were found to express approximately 100-fold higher levels of TLR7 as compared to TLR8 and as much as 500 times more TLR7 than TLR3. In addition, highly divergent TLR expression profiles were detected between the different DC subsets analyzed. The most pronounced TLR3 expression was found in moDCs of 3 different donors were stimulated as described for a and total RNA was isolated 4, 8 or 24 h after stimulation. Quantitative mRNA expression levels of the indicated genes were analyzed using conventional qPCR and are displayed relative to unstimulated cells (put to 1). PBGD was used as reference gene. One representative example of 3 independent experiments using different donors is shown. b DCs were stimulated as described for a, and protein levels of RIG-I, MDA5, PKR and actin were analyzed using Western blot 24 h after stimulation. Shown is a representative example from 2 independent experiments using different donors.

Fig. 1. Expression of RNA sensors in human moDCs in steady-state and under pro-inflammatory conditions. a Human moDCs were obtained by culturing freshly isolated blood monocytes from 3 different donors with IL-4 and GM-CSF. Unstimulated moDCs or DCs stimulated with LPS (100 ng/ml) + IFN-γ (400 U/ml) for 24 h were used. Total RNA of unstimulated, or stimulated cells was isolated and quantitative mRNA expression levels of the indicated genes were analyzed using low-density arrays and conventional qPCR. ΔCt values were calculated with the Ct value for PBGD as a reference. One gray-scale color encompasses a 2-ΔCt range. Black corresponds to undetectable mRNAs. b Immature moDCs of 3 different donors were stimulated as described for a and total RNA was isolated 4, 8 or 24 h after stimulation. Quantitative mRNA expression levels of the indicated genes were analyzed using conventional qPCR and are displayed relative to unstimulated cells (put to 1). PBGD was used as reference gene. One representative example of 3 independent experiments using different donors is shown. c DCs were stimulated as described for a, and protein levels of RIG-I, MDA5, PKR and actin were analyzed using Western blot 24 h after stimulation. Shown is a representative example from 2 independent experiments using different donors.

Human DC Cross-Talk Influences Antiviral Immunity

mDCs that showed over 20-fold higher expression compared to moDCs or pDCs. The observed differences in expression of TLR7 were even more dramatic: pDCs showed 40- and over 200-fold higher TLR7 levels as compared to mDC and moDC (fig. 2a). Thus far, no data is available regarding the expression or function of cytoplasmic RNA sensors in human mDCs or pDCs. We found that all DC subsets expressed mRNA of RIG-I, MDA5, PKR and LGP2 (fig. 2b). Of these genes, LGP2 expression was the lowest in all DC types analyzed, which
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Taking into account the crucial role of pDC in antiviral immunity, we next investigated whether pDCs could influence antiviral responses in other DC subsets. Therefore, we set out to determine whether activation of pDCs could influence RNA sensor expression in DCs of the myeloid lineage by analyzing RLH levels in moDCs that were exposed to supernatant of pDCs activated with CpG DNA. No significant direct effect of CpG DNA on moDC was observed (data not shown). Strikingly, pDC supernatant diluted as much as 100 times triggered a 150- to 300-fold increase in RIG-I, MDA5 and PKR mRNA levels. This was further substantiated by the high constitutive expression of MDA5 in pDCs (fig. 2c). The high expression of not only TLR7, but also cytoplasmic RNA sensors and antiviral effector molecules in pDCs provides additional evidence for specialization of DC subsets and the essential contribution of pDCs to antiviral immunity. Furthermore, Western blot analysis confirmed increased protein levels of not only MDA5, but also RIG-I and PKR upon IFN stimulation (fig. 3c). Interestingly, MDA5 has been reported to play a crucial role in the responses to the picornavirus encephalomyocarditisvirus (EMCV) in mouse DCs [12] and we recently showed that echoviruses, single-stranded RNA viruses also belonging to the picornavirus family, can efficiently infect human moDCs [18]. To study whether upregulation of viral sensors, including MDA5, was associated with decreased susceptibility for infection, moDCs were exposed to pDC supernatant and subsequently infected with EV9. EV9 titers rapidly increased in untreated moDCs or moDCs exposed to supernatant of unstimulated pDCs, indicating efficient virus replication. In contrast, treatment with supernatant of CpG-stimulated pDCs completely blocked EV9 replication in human moDCs (fig. 3d).

To study DC cross-talk under more physiological conditions, pDCs were stimulated with CVB3 in the presence or absence of 10% human serum (HS). As we have shown before, CVB3 is not capable of infecting moDCs, and has no effect on moDC function [18]. However, pDC activation by CVB3 has been reported in the presence of specific antiviral antibodies found in HS [28]. Stimulation of moDC with supernatant of unstimulated pDCs, or CVB3-stimulated pDC without HS had little or no effect on expression of RIG-I, MDA5 and PKR mRNA. However, supernatant of pDC exposed to CVB3 in the presence of 10% HS caused a profound increase in RNA sensors in moDC (fig. 4a). The observed effects were highly IFN-α/β dependent, since mRNA induction in the presence of blocking anti-IFN-α/β antibodies was completely absent (fig. 4a). pDCs produced up to 40 ng/ml IFN-α upon stimulation with CVB3 and human serum (data not shown). This is a substantial increase that even exceeds the induction of IFN-α by CpG (approx. 17 ng/ml; data not shown). To determine if stimulation with virus-activated pDC supernatant provided functional protection from infection, moDCs were subsequently exposed to EV9. Efficient EV9 replication was observed in moDCs that had been treated with pDC supernatant from mock-stimulated pDCs, as indicated by the increase in virus titer. In contrast, moDCs stimulated with supernatant from pDC that were exposed to CVB3 in the presence of HS were completely protected from EV9 infection, as shown by the dramatic effect on EV9 replication (fig. 4b). Thus, pDC activation can increase the resistance against viral infection in DCs from the myeloid lineage.

In conclusion, we show that endosomal and cytoplasmic viral RNA sensors are widely expressed in moDCs,
and this expression is increased upon inflammation. Furthermore, under steady-state conditions, these RNA sensors display a differential expression pattern in various human DC subsets, with the most substantial expression found in pDCs. Finally, cross-talk between virus-activated pDCs and moDCs offers protection against viral infection, which underscores the importance of cross-talk between DC subsets in optimal induction of immune responses against invading pathogens.

**Discussion**

DCs act as sentinels of the body and are present in virtually all tissues, where they constantly monitor their surroundings for signs of infection. To recognize microorganisms, DCs express a vast array of PRRs. Although a large body of information is available regarding the expression and function of TLRs in DCs, data on expression and function of the different RLH family members in hu-
Human DCs are virtually absent. We set out to determine the quantitative expression levels of TLRs and RLHs in human DCs at different experimental conditions, focusing on PRRs involved in recognition of viral RNA. Furthermore, we compared steady-state expression levels of these sensors in different DC subsets, and investigated cross-talk between virus-stimulated pDCs and moDCs.

Human moDCs were found to express transcripts for all TLR family members, and most of the C-type lectins analyzed. The mean expression levels of TLRs responding to nucleic acids were considerably lower than those responding to bacterial or fungal pathogens. This could represent a mechanism to prevent unwanted responses to host nucleic acids that have been associated with autoimmune diseases like systemic lupus erythematosus [29, 30]. On the other hand, expression of PRRs in different cell types can be altered under pro- or anti-inflammatory conditions (this study, Homma et al. [31], Rosenstiel et al. [32] and Fitzner et al. [33]). Under pro-inflammatory conditions (that is LPS/IFN-γ), the expression of TLRs – especially TLR7 and TLR8 – was increased, providing a mechanism to efficiently fight infection upon encounter with pathogens. The upregulation of these molecules was most pronounced at early time points following stimulation, and had declined again after 24 h. The rather swift PRR downregulation most likely functions to prevent unwanted immune responses.

Fig. 4. CVB-stimulated pDCs induce a type I IFN-dependent induction of viral RNA sensors in moDCs and protection from subsequent EV9 infection. a Human moDCs were exposed to cell-free supernatants of pDCs (1:10 dilution in RPMI 1640) that were either mock or CVB stimulated in the presence of absence of 10% human serum as indicated. Total RNA was isolated following stimulation and mRNA transcript levels were determined using qPCR with expression of PBGD as a reference. Expression is given relative to unstimulated cells (medium). b moDCs were stimulated with pDC supernatant as described in a for 24 h and subsequently harvested, infected with EV9 at an MOI of 1. EV9 replication was analyzed as for figure 3d. Shown is a representative example of 2 independent experiments using different donors.
necessary high PRR expression levels once infection has been cleared, thereby avoiding uncontrolled inflammatory responses.

Myeloid DCs and pDCs have been shown to display distinct TLR profiles that enable them to respond to different microbial structures [4, 34]. We here confirmed these data on a quantitative level and showed that expression of TLR7 in pDCs vastly exceeded that of TLR3 and TLR8. This is in accordance with the findings that pDCs respond strongly to synthetic TLR7, but not TLR8-specific ligands [35], and are unresponsive to the synthetic dsRNA mimic poly(I:C) [4]. In contrast, moDCs expressed much higher levels of TLR8 compared to TLR3 and TLR7. The highly divergent expression profile of TLR7 and TLR8 in human pDCs and myeloid DC subsets likely reflects their ability to induce specific responses following recognition of different virus structures.

Virtually no data is currently available regarding RIG-I and MDA5 in human DC subsets. A recent mouse study has suggested that RIG-I is crucial for the antiviral response of myeloid DCs following exposure to the RNA virus Newcastle disease virus. In contrast, in pDCs RIG-I was shown to be dispensable and the antiviral responses against Newcastle disease virus in mice were dependent on the TLR signaling pathway [16]. Interestingly, our finding that RIG-I mRNA levels in human pDCs were significantly higher than the levels found in moDCs suggests that in the human setting, RIG-I could play a pivotal role in the response of pDCs to RNA viruses. Even more pronounced were the expression levels of MDA5 in human pDCs that were remarkably higher than those found in moDCs or mDCs. MDA5 has recently been shown to mediate type I IFN responses following exposure of mouse myeloid DCs to poly(I:C) and the picornavirus EMCV [12]. Whether the prominent expression of MDA5 signifies involvement of this molecule in the response of pDCs to picornaviruses remains to be determined. It could be envisioned that the high constitutive levels of TLR7, RLHs and PKR in pDCs might not only mediate the robust type I IFN production of pDCs in response to viral pathogens but also protect these cells from becoming infected themselves.

The cytoplasmic localization of RIG-I and MDA5 suggests that they play a dominant role in case of infection of DCs themselves. However, it could be envisioned that viral replication intermediates can come into contact with these molecules upon phagocytosis of infected cells, as it has been shown that antigen delivery from the endosomal compartment to the cytosol takes places in DCs, for instance to facilitate cross-presentation [36–38]. However, whether these pathways can efficiently contribute to the RIG-I- or MDA5-mediated antiviral responses remains to be determined.

Finally, we revealed a novel effect of cross-talk between pDCs and DCs of myeloid origin. It has been described that cross-talk between pDCs and mDCs can influence cytokine production, DC maturation and antigen presentation [3, 39–41]. In this study, we showed that cross-talk can induce rapid upregulation of RNA sensors in moDCs and protection against infection with picornaviruses. This protection depended on type I IFNs, cytokines with well-known anti-viral activity that signal through the ubiquitously expressed type I IFN receptor. Myeloid DCs are known to respond to IFN-α [40, 42, 43], but pDC-derived type I IFNs are known to affect many other cell types when present in their vicinity. For example, it has been shown that type I IFNs can influence expression of RLHs in keratinocytes and macrophages [44–46]. Importantly, our study revealed that protection of moDC by pDC-derived type I IFNs not only occurred upon pDC stimulation using synthetic TLR ligands, but also following a more physiological exposure to CVB. The finding that pDC-mediated protection largely depended on the presence of human serum during virus exposure is in line with earlier result by Wang et al. [28]. Most likely, virus particles coated with anti-viral antibodies are being taken up via an FcR-mediated process, which ultimately leads to activation of the pDC through TLRs and possibly other PRRs [28]. This antibody-mediated process depends on the presence of specific anti-viral antibodies induced following activation of B cells. Hence, it is likely to be more efficient upon secondary encounter with the same virus, when antibodies are already present and production is enhanced. Thus, pDCs could preserve the function and viability of myeloid DCs in case of infection with DC-tropic viruses. This will ensure antigen presentation to both CTL and Th cells, thereby enhancing the antiviral immune response. On the other hand, pDCs might mediate unbalanced responses by myeloid DCs or T cells [47], possibly contributing to immune-mediated diseases associated with CVB infections, such as cardiomyopathy and type 1 diabetes [48, 49].

In conclusion, we demonstrate for the first time that human moDCs express high mRNA and protein levels of RLHs and PKR, which show a transient increase following exposure to pro-inflammatory stimuli. Expression profiling of PRRs involved in the antiviral response in different human DC subsets demonstrated that pDCs express significantly higher levels of RLHs, PKR and IRF-7 compared to myeloid DCs. The profound expression of
these antiviral genes in human pDCs is in line with their important function in antiviral immunity, and might also explain how these cells survive and function in an environment with high virus burden. Finally, cross-talk between virus-stimulated pDCs and moDCs enhanced the expression of RIG-I, MDA5 and PKR in moDCs and created a state of antiviral resistance that protected these cells against viral infection. Further investigation into the novel findings presented here regarding the effect of human DC cross-talk on RLH expression and antiviral resistance will contribute significantly to our understanding of DC-pathogen interaction.
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