Pathophysiological Classification of Functional Dyspepsia Using a Novel Drinking-Ultrasonography Test

Mototsugu Kato^a Urara Nishida^b Mutsumi Nishida^c Tamotsu Hata^b
Rumiko Asaka^b Masahira Haneda^b Keiko Yamamoto^b Aki Imai^b
Takeshi Yoshida^b Shouko Ono^a Yuichi Shimizu^b Masahiro Asaka^b

^aDivision of Endoscopy, Hokkaido University Hospital, ^bDepartment of Gastroenterology, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, and ^cDepartment of Clinical Laboratory, Support of Clinical Practice, Hokkaido University Hospital, Sapporo, Japan

Key Words

Functional gastroduodenal disorders • Drink test • Visceral hypersensitivity • Gastric emptying • Gastric relaxation

Abstract

Background: Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a heterogeneous disease characterized by various upper abdominal symptoms. The major mechanism of FD symptoms includes impaired fundic accommodation, delayed gastric emptying, and visceral hypersensitivity. We developed a novel drinking-ultrasonography test to combine a drink test with ultrasonography to assess gastric motility and sensory function of FD patients. **Method:** Subjects were 60 successive FD patients according to the Rome III criteria. A drinking-ultrasonography test was performed after subjects had fasted. The subjects ingested 200 ml of water at 2-min intervals 4 times (total 800 ml) through a straw. The maximum cross section of the proximal stomach was visualized before water intake, after each water intake, and 5 and 10 min after the completion of drinking using extracorporeal ultrasonography. Abdominal symptoms were evaluated using the visual analog scale (VAS) a total of 5 times. The normal range of cross-sectional area and VAS were set using average $\pm$ standard de-

viations of 33 healthy volunteers. Cases outside the normal range were diagnosed with a motor or sensory disorder. **Results:** The drinking-ultrasonography test classified FD patients into four groups without adverse effect or trouble. The distribution of each group was 27% in the normal group, 15% in the impaired relaxation group, 10% in the delayed emptying group, and 48% in the visceral hypersensitivity group. There was no significant correlation between the pathophysiological classification and subtypes of FD defined by the Rome III criteria. **Conclusion:** We developed a novel drinking-ultrasonography test that was effective in classifying FD patients according to pathophysiological features.

Copyright © 2010 S. Karger AG, Basel

Introduction

Functional dyspepsia (FD) is a clinical condition characterized by various upper abdominal symptoms, such as postprandial fullness, early satiation, epigastric pain or burning, marked by the absence of organic, systemic, or metabolic disease that would explain the symptoms. Recently, the Rome III committee proposed new diagnostic criteria for functional gastrointestinal disorders includ-

ing FD [1]. Rome III criteria divided FD into two categories according to predominant dyspeptic symptoms: postprandial distress syndrome (PDS) and epigastric pain syndrome (EPS). However, the two subtypes overlap greatly. Heterogeneity of FD symptoms depends on different pathophysiological features. The major mechanism of FD symptoms includes impaired fundic accommodation, delayed gastric emptying, and visceral hypersensitivity, as well as other complicating factors [2, 3]. It seems likely that understanding of pathophysiology in different types of FD patients is required for different management approaches.

Tests of gastric motility and sensory function are available in clinical practice. Gastric barostat is regarded as the gold standard for the measurement of gastric accommodation [4, 5]. However, it is not widely used because the procedure is extremely invasive. Imaging methods such as single photon emission computer tomography, magnetic resonance imaging or scintigraphy have also been occasionally reported [6–9]. These tests also cannot be used extensively because of radiation exposure and long examination time. On the other hand, ultrasonography, which is safe, non-invasive and inexpensive, allows the direct observation of gastric movements [10–12]. In addition, a drink test has recently been developed for the evaluation of sensory function [13–15]. We combined a similar drink test of our own design with ultrasonography to assess gastric motility and sensory function of FD patients in terms of a pathophysiological classification.

Subjects and Methods

Subjects

Sixty successive subjects that had been diagnosed with FD according to the Rome III criteria at Hokkaido University Hospital between August 2006 and December 2008 were enrolled in this study. Subjects with a mean age of 50.0 years consisted of 14 males and 46 females. All subjects had had one or more of the following symptoms in the preceding 3 months: postprandial fullness, early satiation, epigastric pain or burning. All subjects underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and abdominal ultrasonography to exclude organic abdominal disease. Normal control included 33 healthy volunteers without any abdominal symptoms, pregnancy, or history of gastrointestinal diseases.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hokkaido University Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Basic Procedure

A drinking-ultrasonography test was performed after subjects had fasted for at least 6 h. Subjects were supine and ingested water through a straw that was placed at facial height so that they raised

themselves minimally. Commercially available water in PET bottles (Alkali Ion Water®; Kirin, Tokyo, Japan) and graduated plastic cups were used. During the drinking period, the subjects ingested 200 ml of water at 2-min intervals 4 times (total 800 ml). When they felt unable to ingest more, the test was discontinued. Examination of the emptying period was conducted 5 and 10 min after the completion of drinking 800 ml (or discontinuation), at which point the test was concluded.

Evaluation of the Gastric Cross-Sectional Area

All ultrasonographic examinations were performed using an Aplio™ XV (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) and a 3.5-MHz convex-type probe (375BT) by one ultrasonography technician with more than 20 years of experience. The cross section of the proximal stomach was visualized by extracorporeal ultrasonography via the 10th intercostal space using the spleen as an echo window. The maximum cross section of the proximal stomach was visualized before water intake, after each water intake at 2-min intervals, and 5 and 10 min after the completion of the drinking test. After the image was frozen, the mucosal surface of the gastric lumen was traced using the ultrasonography system, and the cross-sectional area was calculated. Static and animated images were stored on hard disk.

We set the normal range of the cross-sectional area of the proximal stomach using average $\pm$ standard deviations (SD) of 33 healthy volunteers. All cross-sectional areas of healthy volunteers were plotted inside the normal range within 8 min of a drinking period [16]. FD patients were diagnosed with impaired relaxation if the cross-sectional area fell within the normal range within 8 min (fig. 1a). All healthy volunteers showed that 5- and 10-min marks of the emptying period fell within the maximum last mark of the drinking period [16]. FD patients were diagnosed with delayed emptying if the cross-sectional area at 5- and 10-min marks of the emptying period exceeded the cross-sectional area at the end of the drinking period (fig. 1b).

Evaluation of Symptoms

During the drinking period, abdominal symptoms were evaluated using the visual analog scale (VAS) a total of 5 times, as well as before the test and immediately after each ingestion of water. Abdominal symptoms before the test were used as the baseline. Subjects were asked about difficulty in drinking due to symptoms such as abdominal fullness and epigastric pain. During the test, they filled out a questionnaire by themselves using a numerical scale of 0 (no difficulty) to 10 (most difficult).

The normal range was set to within SD of the average VAS score of the control subjects. All VAS scores of healthy volunteers were plotted inside the normal range within 8 min of the drinking period [16]. FD patients are diagnosed with visceral hypersensitivity if their VAS score is plotted over a normal range within 8 min of the drinking period (fig. 1c).

Statistical Analysis

The distribution of PDS and EPS in each group was compared to evaluate the relationship between pathophysiological classification and subtypes of Rome III criteria. Fisher's exact test was performed to compare the distribution of PDS and EPS in three groups with that of a normal group using SPSS software (version 11.0 for Microsoft Windows®). A result of $p < 0.05$ was considered significant.

Fig. 1. Cases of impaired relaxation (a), delayed emptying (b), and visceral hypersensitivity (c). Cases outside the normal range were diagnosed with a motor or sensory disorder.

Fig. 2. Distribution of FD patients was 16/70 (27%) in the normal group, 9/60 (15%) in the impaired relaxation group, 6/60 (10%) in the delayed emptying group, and 29/60 (48%) in the visceral hypersensitivity group.

Results

The drinking-ultrasonography test was performed on all patients without adverse effect or trouble. The results were classified into four groups: normal, impaired relaxation, delayed emptying, and visceral hypersensitivity. Nine FD patients were diagnosed with both impaired relaxation and visceral hypersensitivity. These patients were classified into the impaired relaxation group. Overall, there were 16 FD patients in the normal group, 9 in the impaired relaxation group, 6 in the delayed emptying group, and 26 in the visceral hypersensitivity group (fig. 2). The distribution was 27% in the normal group, 15% in the impaired relaxation group, 10% in the delayed emptying group, and 48% in the visceral hypersensitivity group.

The relationship between pathophysiological classification and subtypes of Rome III criteria (i.e. PDS and EPS) was evaluated. The impaired relaxation group consisted of 3 PDS and 3 EPS. The delayed emptying group consisted of 7 PDS and 2 EPS. The visceral hypersensitivity group consisted of 14 PDS and 2 EPS. The normal group consisted of 9 PDS and 7 EPS (table 1). There was no significant correlation between the two classifications.

Table 1. Relationship between the pathophysiological group and FD subtypes

Group	n	PDS	EPS	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p
Normal	16	9 (56%)	7 (44%)	1.0	
Visceral hypersensitivity	29	14 (48%)	15 (52%)	0.73 (0.21–2.5)	0.39
Impaired relaxation	9	7 (78%)	2 (22%)	2.72 (0.43–17.4)	0.90
Delayed emptying	6	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	0.78 (0.12–5.1)	0.92

Discussion

We developed a novel drinking-ultrasonography test and classified patients with FD into four pathophysiological groups. In this drinking-ultrasonography test, a drink load is given at equal intervals, the cross-sectional area of the fornix is measured, and symptoms are verbally assessed at each interval. This approach allows the simultaneous evaluation of gastric relaxation, sensory function, and gastric emptying. It seems that the greatest benefit of the drinking-ultrasonography test is its non-invasive nature, ease of use, tolerability, and short duration (under 20 min) for patients. Recently, minimally invasive tests of gastric motility and sensory function such as ultrasonography, ¹³C-octanoic acid urea breath test, and drink test have been reported. These tests are able to evaluate gastric relaxation, gastric emptying, gastroduodenal reflux, or visceral hypersensitivity. However, these minimally invasive tests do not detect simultaneously both gastric motor disorder and sensory disorder. The drinking-ultrasonography test does not require radiation, expensive chemical substances, and unusual equipment. In terms of money and time-saving, this novel test is useful for routine examination of dyspeptic patients.

To develop the drinking-ultrasonography test, we examined adequate interval time, tidal volume, and total volume of water intake. A preliminary trial confirmed that gender, age, and BMI were unrelated to the results of this test [16]. FD patients showed low water intake, poor increase in the cross-sectional area of the fornix, and rapid increase of severity of upper abdominal symptoms such as epigastric discomfort and gastric pain after water intake compared with healthy controls [16]. In particular, there was a significant difference in gastric relaxation.

The pathophysiological mechanisms of FD include motility disorders, perception disorders, acid hypersensitivity, psychological factors, *Helicobacter pylori* infection, duodenal dysfunction and abnormalities within the brain-gut axis. Effective treatment depends on the

specific pathophysiological condition of each FD patient. In general practice, prokinetic drugs or antisecretory acid drugs have been empirically used as a first line for the treatment of FD. The drinking-ultrasonography test makes it possible to identify a pathophysiology-based subgroup. In this study, half of the FD patients revealed a gastric sensory disorder. On the other hand, 25% of the FD patients revealed neither a motility nor sensory disorder of the stomach. Delayed gastric emptying has long been considered the main pathology of FD and extensively studied. In this study, delayed gastric emptying was detected in only 10% of FD patients. Recent studies have shown that the incidence of delayed gastric emptying is about 25% [17, 18] and many studies have suggested no association between delayed gastric emptying and specific symptoms. Until now, no data have been available on the physiological features of the categories EPS or PDS as defined by the Rome III criteria. We found no association between the EPS or PDS subgroup and pathophysiological features diagnosed with this novel test. EPS and PDS were a mixture of different pathophysiological features. Further study is necessary to clarify the relationship between the pathophysiological classification using this novel test and the strategy of FD treatment.

Conclusion

We have developed a novel test by combining a drink test with ultrasonography to classify FD patients according to pathophysiological features. The drinking-ultrasonography test is adequate for evaluating gastric motility and sensory function.

Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that no financial or other conflicts of interest exist in relation to the content of the article.

References

- 1 Talley NJ, Camilleri M, Holtmann G, Hu P, Malagelada JR, Stanghellini V: Functional gastroduodenal disorders. *Gastroenterology* 2006;130:1466–1479.
- 2 Tack J, Piessevaux H, Coulie B, Caenepeel P, Janssens J: Role of impaired gastric accommodation to a meal in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 1998;115:1346–1352.
- 3 Tack J, Lee KJ: Pathophysiology and treatment of functional dyspepsia. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2005;39:S211–S216.
- 4 Azpiroz F, Malagelada JR: Physiological variations in canine gastric tone measured by an electronic barostat. *Am J Physiol* 1985;24:G229–G237.
- 5 Tack J, Caenepeel P, Fischler B, Piessevaux H, Janssens J: Symptoms associated with hypersensitivity to gastric distention in functional dyspepsia. *Gastroenterology* 2001;121:526–535.
- 6 Piessevaux H, Tack J, Walrand S, Pauwels S, Geubel A: Intragastric distribution of a standardized meal in health and functional dyspepsia: correlation with specific symptoms. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2003;15:447–455.
- 7 Van den Elzen BD, Bennink RJ, Wieringa RE, Tytgat GN, Boeckxstaens GE: Fundic accommodation assessed by SPECT scanning: comparison with the gastric barostat. *Gut* 2003;52:1548–1554.
- 8 De Zwart IM, Mearadji B, Lamb HJ, Eilers PH, Masclee AA, de Roos A, Kunz P: Gastric motility. Comparison of assessment with real-time MR imaging or barostat measurement initial experience. *Radiology* 2002;224:592–597.
- 9 Fruehauf H, Goetze O, Steingoetter A, Kwiatek M, Boesiger P, Thumshirn M, Schwizer W, Fried M: Intersubject and intrasubject variability of gastric volumes in response to isocaloric liquid meals in functional dyspepsia and health. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2007;19:553–561.
- 10 Schwizer W, Steingötter A, Fox M, Zur T, Thumshirn M, Bösigler P, Fried M: Noninvasive measurement of gastric accommodation in humans. *Gut* 2002;51:159–162.
- 11 Kusunoki H, Haruma K, Hata J, Tani H, Okamoto E, Sumii K, Kajiyama G: Real-time ultrasonographic assessment of antroduodenal motility after ingestion of solid and liquid meals by patients with functional dyspepsia. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2000;15:1022–1027.
- 12 Gilja OH, Lunding J, Hausken T, Gregersen H: Gastric accommodation assessed by ultrasonography. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006;12:2825–2829.
- 13 Boeckxstaens GE, Hirsch DP, Kuiken SD, Heisterkamp SH, Tytgat GN: The proximal stomach and postprandial symptoms in functional dyspeptics. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002;97:40–48.
- 14 Jones MP, Hoffman S, Shah D, Patel K, Ebert CC: The water load test: observations from healthy controls and patients with functional dyspepsia. *Am J Physiol* 2003;284:G896–G904.
- 15 Gonenne J, Castillo EJ, Camilleri M, Burton D, Thomforde GM, Baxter KL, Zinsmeister AR: Does the nutrient drink test accurately predict postprandial gastric volume in health and community dyspepsia? *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2005;17:44–50.
- 16 Hata T: Evaluation of gastric adaptive accommodation, gastric emptying, and gastric perception using a novel test combined drink test and ultrasonography. *Hokkaido Igaku Zasshi* 2008;83:359–367.
- 17 Lee KJ, Vos R, Janssens J, Tack J: Differences in the sensorimotor response to distension between the proximal and distal stomach in humans. *Gut* 2004;53:938–943.
- 18 Talley NJ, Verlinden M, Jones M: Can symptoms discriminate among those with delayed or normal gastric emptying in dysmotility-like dyspepsia? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2001;96:1422–1428.