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Abstract
Vasopressin is a stress hormone. However, vasopressin levels are inappropriately low in septic shock. Vasopressin stimulates AVPR1a, AVPR1b, AVPR2 and purinergic receptors. Vasopressin increases blood pressure by occupying AVPR1a receptors on vascular smooth muscle. An increase in ventricular afterload due to vasopressor administration limits ventricular systolic ejection, an effect that becomes increasingly important as systolic contractility is decreased. Stimulation of AVPR1a receptors may also decrease edemagenesis. Stimulation of AVPR1b by vasopressin releases ACTH and cortisol. AVPR2 stimulation increases retention of water by increasing cyclic AMP. Yet, vasopressin infusion may increase urine output, creatinine clearance and improve renal function in septic shock. Vasopressin has many effects on immune function such as altering cytokines, neuroimmunity, prostaglandins, humoral immunity and immune cells. For example, vasopressin decreases sepsis-induced pulmonary inflammation, could have renal anti-inflammatory effects and may decrease prostaglandin levels in a dose-dependent manner. Vasopressin may also modulate responses to stress by expression and release from immune cells. Interestingly, there are vasopressin receptors on immune cells. Many small clinical studies of vasopressin infusion in septic shock have shown that vasopressin infusion increases blood pressure, decreases requirements for norepinephrine and improves renal function. However, vasopressin could decrease coronary, cerebral and mesenteric perfusion. A multicenter trial of vasopressin versus norepinephrine in septic shock found no overall difference in mortality. Vasopressin may decrease mortality in patients with less severe septic shock. Vasopressin plus corticosteroid treatment may decrease mortality compared to corticosteroids plus norepinephrine. Potential mechanisms are that vasopressin plus corticosteroids beneficially alter immunity in septic shock.

Introduction
Vasopressin is a critical stress response hormone. Vasopressin levels increase rapidly in septic shock, but then decrease to inappropriately low levels for the degree of hypotension. Low-dose vasopressin infusion increases vasopressin levels in septic shock, decreases dose requirements for infused norepinephrine and often improves renal function.

Vasopressin is an evolutionarily ancient central nervous system-derived signaling peptide [1]. It plays a lead role in volume homeostasis and blood pressure regulation in stress states. Therefore, it is not surprising that it
is of central importance in shock states and plays multiple roles via a variety of receptors. The mechanism of action of vasopressin in shock-related physiologic states has been understood at a rudimentary level for many years, but recently understanding of vasopressin’s many roles has expanded substantially.

It has been long understood that vasopressin is produced in magnocellular neurons in the hypothalamus, stored in axonal terminals of these cells located in the posterior pituitary gland, and released in response to (1) hypopersmolality of extracellular fluid and (2) hypotension. Classically, vasopressin binding to V1 receptors (also known as V1a receptors, AVPR1a) increases blood pressure by increasing vascular tone and vasopressin mediates its antidiuretic hormone activity by binding to V2 receptors (AVPR2) in the kidney. Vasopressin also binds V3 receptors (also known as V1b receptors, AVPR1b) in the central nervous system, resulting in incompletely delineated central nervous system effects. Conventional understanding is that arterial hypotension associated with shock states is the strongest stimulus for vasopressin release from the posterior pituitary and for a resulting increase in blood vasopressin concentrations. However, recent findings have expanded our understanding. In addition to increased understanding of its classical physiologic effects, vasopressin has recently been found to have important effects in modulating the inflammatory response.

**Gene Structure, Synthesis and Release of Vasopressin**

The vasopressin gene is conserved across mammalian species (as arginine vasopressin except for pig which has lysine vasopressin). The vasopressin gene and oxytocin gene are linked on the short arm of chromosome 20. The precursor of vasopressin is preprovasopressin which has vasopressin, neurophysin II and copeptin linked together. Neurophysin II plays a role in the packaging of vasopressin in secretory granules. Vasopressin is a nonapeptide.

Vasopressin is released into both the systemic circulation and the portal circulation to the anterior pituitary gland from the posterior pituitary gland. Vasopressin, like many hormones, is synthesized as a prohormone and is then cleaved to form the mature active hormone. Interactions of the synthesis, release and metabolism control the serum levels of vasopressin. Preprovasopressin is synthesized in neurohypophyseal neurons (magnocellular neurons) of hypothalamic paraventricular and supraoptic nuclei. Preprovasopressin is packaged in neurosecretory granules and transported along the suprachiasmatic tract to the posterior pituitary. Subsequently, there is conversion of provasopressin by subtilisin-like proprotein convertase (SPC3) to vasopressin. LNPEP (vasopressinases) metabolizes vasopressin and vasopressin has a short half-life of 6–10 min. Hypotension and hyperosmolality stimulate immediate release of stored vasopressin and trigger synthesis of new vasopressin. Hypotension is a powerful stimulus for new synthesis of vasopressin; indeed, vasopressin synthesis increases within about 10 min after onset of hypotension [2]. Thus, vasopressin stores are ample and available for instantaneous release from the posterior pituitary in response to hypotension. The relative importance of hypotension as a stimulus to vasopressin release is illustrated by studies showing that if an animal is challenged with conflicting signals to vasopressin release, such as hypotension (which should increase vasopressin levels to restore blood pressure) and hyponatremia (which should decrease vasopressin levels to increase serum sodium), vasopressin levels will increase. Cytokines such as IL-1β also increase vasopressin expression [3] and could be an important additional modulator of vasopressin levels in septic shock.

**Circulating Vasopressin Concentrations**

In health, vasopressin concentrations in the blood are low, in the range of 1–4 pg/ml. When faced with dehydration and corresponding hypertonicity of extracellular fluid, vasopressin is released and blood concentrations rise to 10–20 pg/ml. Shock and hypotension initially induce greater release of posterior pituitary vasopressin stores resulting in increased blood vasopressin concentrations [4] of up to 100–500 pg/ml. Vasopressin levels decline rapidly after the initial release of vasopressin (at least in part because of depletion of stored vasopressin [5]) to levels that are inappropriately low compared to similarly hypotensive patients who had cardiogenic shock [6–8]. Landry et al. [6, 7] first discovered that vasopressin levels are inappropriately low in septic shock. Others found [9] in patients in emergency rooms that vasopressin levels were lower in those who went on to septic shock (3.6 pg/ml) compared to those who had sepsis (10.6 pg/ml) and severe sepsis (21.8 pg/ml). Vasopressin levels remain extremely low for up to 7 days after onset of septic shock [10]. The vasopressin axis represents one example of several hormone systems that are rapidly activated (to
increase serum levels) in septic shock and then so rapidly exhausted (such that serum levels decrease).

Increased expression and activity of iNOS, and consequently nitric oxide, contributes to the early increase in vasopressin release in septic shock [11, 12]. In contrast, heme oxygenase activation attenuates the early increase in vasopressin levels that occurs in septic shock [13].

A number of mechanisms may contribute to the subsequent decreased and sustained low vasopressin levels during septic shock. The baroreceptor reflex, which leads to increased vasopressin release in normal physiologic settings, is downregulated in sepsis [14]. In addition, NO released by inflammation-upregulated iNOS downregulates hypothalamic activation and vasopression production [15]. The type of resuscitation fluid may alter blood vasopressin concentrations [16]. Isotonic solutions did not increase vasopressin levels, whereas hypertonic solutions increased vasopressin levels and resulted in a greater increase in blood pressure [16], an effect mediated by AVPR1a (V1) receptors. Further interaction is suggested by the ability of AVPR1a receptor antagonists to block the hypertensive effect of hypertonic saline [17]. Sustained release of vasopressin depends to some extent on desensitization-resistant purinergic receptors type P2X, whose recruitment is partially dependent on α1-adrenoreceptors [18] which are downregulated during septic and other forms of shock.

Since vasopressin is rapidly degraded by circulating vasopressinases (half-life of 6–10 min), ongoing production and release is required to sustain levels. This also means that vasopressin blood concentrations may not be good measures of vasopressin production and release. Copeptin is a 39 amino acid glycopeptide which is located at the C terminal of provasopressin. Copeptin is easier to measure than vasopressin because copeptin is stable in serum. Therefore, some authors have suggested that copeptin could be used as a surrogate for measurement of vasopressin.

Serum copeptin levels are much higher in human sepsis and septic shock (critically ill, no sepsis 27 pm; sepsis 50 pm; severe sepsis 74 pm; septic shock 171 pm) than normal volunteers (4 pm) [19]. Similar to prior studies of vasopressin levels, patients after cardiovascular surgery usually have higher vasopressin [1] and copeptin [11, 12] levels than patients with septic shock. Copeptin levels are related to subsequent survival: copeptin was higher in nonsurvivors (vs. survivors) of adult septic shock (171 vs. 87 pm [19]; 70 vs. 24 pm [20]) and of pediatric septic shock [21].

Vasopressin Receptors

Vasopressin stimulates a family of receptors: AVPR1a (also known as V1a), AVPR1b (also known as V1b) and AVPR2 (also known as V2). AVPR1a, a G protein-coupled receptor, is responsible for vasoconstriction associated with vasopressin and is expressed on vascular smooth muscle, hepatocytes and platelets (fig. 1). G proteins stimulate a phosphatidylinositol-calcium signaling pathway causing smooth muscle contraction [22–24]. Genetic variants of AVPR1a have been associated with essential hypertension [23], autism [25] and generosity [26].

There is an important interaction of vasopressin and the adrenal axis in response to stresses such as hypotension [27, 28] that is linked by the AVPR1b receptor (fig. 2). AVPR1b (or AVPR3) is expressed in the anterior pituitary gland and hippocampus. Stimulation of AVPR1b by vasopressin releases ACTH because vasopressin flows from the posterior pituitary through pituitary portal capillaries to bind to the AVPR1b on corticotrophic cells of the anterior pituitary. Thus, vasopressin interacts with the corticosteroid axis in response to stresses such as hypotension.
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Tension [27, 28]. Vasopressin and corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH) stimulate different signaling systems and have synergistic effects on release of ACTH. The AVPR1b receptor is important in the acute response to stress. AVPR1b knockout mice have impaired acute stress response because of blunted ACTH response [29]. It is also important to note that vasopressin stimulation of corticotrophic cells is not downregulated in response to increased cortisol levels, whereas the corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRF)/ACTH response is.

AVPR2 is central to water balance regulation managed by vasopressin (fig. 3). AVPR2 is expressed in the renal collecting duct. AVPR2 stimulation increases retention of water (anti-diuretic activity) by increasing cyclic AMP which causes movement of aquaporin-2 water channels from cytoplasm to the apical membrane of collecting duct cells. If there is vasopressin deficiency, aquaporin-2 channels internalize from the apical membrane to subapical vesicles so that there is no active water reabsorption. Vasopressin deficiency decreases synthesis of aquaporin-2, which also decreases water reabsorption.

Stimulation of the AVPR2 receptor increases release of von Willebrand factor (vWF), vWF multimers and risk of clotting. Hence, stimulation of AVPR2 is the mechanism of increased coagulation in response to ddAVP. Interestingly, vWF levels are increased in sepsis [30, 31], but it is unknown whether vasopressin increases vWF and risk of thrombosis in septic shock. In the Vasopressin and Septic Shock Trial (VASST) [10], there was no difference between vasopressin and norepinephrine in the occurrence of thrombotic events such as myocardial infarction, stroke or mesenteric ischemia, although there was nu-

Vasopressin occupies the AVPR1b receptor. Schematic representation of the three-dimensional model of the AVPR1b receptor. Two different orientations are shown. The 191 and the 364 residues are highlighted (orange halos). The former is in close proximity to the Asp185 and Cys186 residues (green halos) that are known to be in close contact with vasopressin. From Cagliani et al. [115].

Vasopressin (AVP) occupies the AVPR2 receptor. Three-dimensional model of the human arginine vasopressin (AVP) receptor (V2), which is encoded by the AVPR2 gene, with the vasopressin docked into the putative active site. Side view from a direction parallel to the cell membrane surface. The transmembrane helices are shown in red, the intracellular and extracellular loops are shown in blue. The model is oriented such that the extracellular side is at the top.
merically more digital ischemia associated with vasopressin than norepinephrine.

Sepsis may downregulate vasopressin receptors. Models of sepsis decrease expression of AVPR1a, therefore limiting smooth muscle contraction and increase in blood pressure [32–34], AVPR2 [35] and AVPR3 receptors [36]. Downregulation of AVPR1a in lung, liver, kidney and heart is likely caused by increased TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IFN-γ [32, 34]. The magnitude of downregulation of AVPR1a may be large because continuous infusion of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) decreased AVPR1a expression by 43% in one study [33].

Models of sepsis also downregulate AVPR1b and AVPR2. LPS decreases mRNA levels of the AVPR1b receptor in the pituitary [36] and downregulates AVPR2 expression in rat renal medulla [35].

Vasopressin and Its Effects on Vascular Tone

It has been long recognized that vasopressin increases vascular tone and, therefore, blood pressure by binding to V1 receptors (also known as V1a receptors) on vascular smooth muscle. However, the action of vasopressin is more complicated, particularly in the setting of shock and sepsis [37]. Yang et al. show that in a model of hemorrhagic shock the superior mesenteric artery becomes hyporesponsive to conventional vasoconstrictors [38]. Vasopressin administration left-shifts concentration-response curves to norepinephrine and calcium, thus restoring vascular reactivity [38, 39]. Vasopressin also acts to restore vascular tone in septic shock by inhibition of opened ATP-sensitive potassium (KATP) channels, an effect which is enhanced by V1 receptor signaling via protein kinase C [40].

Vasopressin also increases venous vascular tone so that mean systemic filling pressure is increased by vasopressin administration [41]. This effect should increase venous return. However, cardiac output is generally unchanged or decreased, indicating that vasopressin has additional effects on cardiac function.

Vasopressin and Effects on Cardiac Function

One fairly uniform observation is that vasopressin infusion decreases the heart rate. In healthy subjects, the decrease in heart rate appears to be due to the baroreceptor reflex. In septic shock the baroreceptor reflex is downregulated [42], yet vasopressin infusion compared to norepinephrine still results in a decrease in heart rate and a decrease in catecholamine infusion rates [10, 43]. In this setting, the bradycardic effect of vasopressin infusion may be partially due to sparing of catecholamine vasopressors.

An increase in ventricular afterload due to vasopressor administration limits ventricular systolic ejection, an effect that becomes increasingly important as systolic contractility is decreased. This may be important in some patients who have septic shock and depressed left ventricular contractility. Therefore, vasopressin infusion to increase arterial pressure in shock states has the potential to decrease stroke volume and cardiac output. Furthermore, vasopressin may increase coronary arterial vasoconstriction and therefore has the potential to decrease coronary blood flow and induce ischemia. Indeed, the more selective V1 receptor agonist, terlipressin, induced coronary vasoconstriction in an animal model which was associated with a decrease in contractile function [44]. In another animal model of cardiac ischemia, vasopressin infusion resulted in decreased vital organ blood flow, in particular to the heart [45]. This concern resulted in exclusion of patients with recent evidence of coronary insufficiency from the VASST trial [10]. Therefore, we have limited knowledge of the effect of vasopressin in the setting of coronary artery disease and myocardial ischemia.

In a myocardial ischemia-reperfusion model, vasopressin infusion resulted in a decrease in ejection fraction [46]. Since pressure afterload was similar to control values, there appeared to be an intrinsic decrease in myocardial contractility. This did not appear to be due to changes in V1 receptor density but oxytocin receptor expression was reduced by 40% in vasopressin-treated animals [46]. Seven-day mortality was increased in vasopressin-treated animals compared to controls. Furthermore, in a fecal peritonitis model of septic shock, troponin I blood levels where increased in vasopressin-treated animals compared to controls [43]. Thus, vasopressin may have direct ventricular contractile effects in shock states and these effects may be mediated directly or indirectly (for example through coronary blood flow) by V1 and other receptors.

The Effects of Vasopressin on Renal Function

Vasopressin infusion increased urine output in several small clinical studies of septic and distributive shock [47, 48], may increase creatinine clearance [47–49], and
results in improved outcome and renal function in those patients in the RIFLE risk category of renal dysfunction in the VASST trial [50]. In a septic shock model in pigs, increased vasopressin infusion resulted in less severe renal dysfunction and decreased renal tubular apoptosis [43].

The septic inflammatory response, modeled by cecal ligation and puncture, and subsequent signaling via NF-κB caused a time-dependent downregulation of renal V2 receptors which was postulated to contribute to acute kidney injury in sepsis [51]. Indeed, in a rat endotoxin model the V2 agonist desmopressin restored endotoxin-induced decreases in sodium reabsorption, inner medullary osmolality and urine osmolality, while decreasing urine flow [52], suggesting that V2 receptor stimulation plays a beneficial role. In contrast, in an animal model of sepsis which resulted in impaired renal function in the presence of vasopressin, V2 receptors appeared to mediate impaired creatinine clearance [53]. Thus, V2 receptor agonist activity appears to be involved in renal dysfunction of sepsis but the beneficial versus adverse mechanisms are incompletely elucidated.

**The Effects of Vasopressin on Other Organ Dysfunction**

There is ongoing concern that low-dose vasopressin used to treat septic shock may reduce mesenteric blood flow [54, 55] as it does, for example when vasopressin is infused in high doses in the setting of variceal hemorrhage. A range of results are reported in animal models of sepsis and shock [55–58], but, overall, vasopressin effects appear to be relatively minimal and hepatosplanchnic microcirculatory flow may also be maintained [58, 59]. Indeed, vasopressin may have a beneficial effect on the gut microcirculation [60]. In a septic shock model in pigs, vasopressin infusion increased glucose oxidation, reduced blood lactate concentrations and decreased liver injury [43].

Similarly, there is a concern that vasopressin could decrease cerebral blood flow. In a porcine hemorrhagic shock model vasopressin infusion did not alter S100β levels, suggesting that vasopressin did not result in increased cerebral damage [61]. Vasopressin appears to have additional effects in other organs. Skeletal muscle wasting contributes substantially to morbidity in septic shock and is contributed to by inhibition of muscle regeneration by TNF. Vasopressin counteracted the effects of TNF in myocyte cell cultures [62].

**The Effects of Vasopressin on Endothelial Permeability**

Shock states trigger an inflammatory response. For septic shock the main triggers are pathogen-derived molecules (pathogen-associated molecular patterns) such as Gram-negative endotoxins, Gram-positive cell wall products and viral nucleic acids. For hypovolemic shock, cardiogenic shock and obstructive shock, endogenous molecules (danger-associated molecular patterns) produced or released, such as heat shock proteins and S100A8/A9 [63], trigger very similar innate immune receptors and the subsequent inflammatory response.

A variety of inflammatory mediators result in increased endothelial permeability [64, 65]. This results in permeability pulmonary edema which contributes to acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. Increased endothelial permeability also contributes to tissue edemagenesis in many organs. Tissue edema contributes to impaired tissue oxygen extraction and delayed restoration of organ function in shock patients. Recently, vasopressin has been found to play a significant role in tissue edemagenesis.

V1 receptors appear to decrease edemagenesis in a smoke inhalation, bacterial exposure acute lung injury model [66]. Terlipressin is a vasopressin analogue with greater V1 receptor specificity. Terlipressin compared to vasopressin in an ovine model of fulminant septic shock resulted in a reduction in positive fluid balance during the first 12 h of shock supporting the hypothesis that V1 receptor signaling limits edemagenesis of sepsis.

**Inflammatory and Immune Effects of Vasopressin**

Much more research is needed on the effects of vasopressin infusion on inflammation and immunity in septic shock. Two observations regarding the VASST randomized controlled trial of vasopressin versus norepinephrine in septic shock highlight a need to understand the immune effects of vasopressin infusion. First, the potentially beneficial effects of vasopressin in patients who had less severe septic shock found in the VASST became evident after about 10 days of vasopressin infusion (based on the observation that this is when the vasopressin and norepinephrine groups begin to separate on the Kaplan-Meier survival curves [10]). This is a time when effects of vasopressin on inflammation and immunity could explain the separation of rates of survival between groups. Second, the combination of vasopressin infusion and
Corticosteroid treatment may have decreased mortality in the VASST. Of note, both vasopressin and corticosteroid treatment have important effects on inflammation and immunity.

There is a wealth of research on the inflammatory and immune effects of vasopressin, although little has been done in the field of sepsis and septic shock. Published studies focused on the effects of vasopressin (and which specific vasopressin receptors are needed) on cytokines, neuroimmunity (because vasopressin is a neurohormone), prostaglandins, humoral immunity and immune cells (table 1). These effects of vasopressin on immune function could modulate the global effects of vasopressin infusion in human septic shock and may be important in interpreting the results of studies such as the VASST.

There are few studies of the effects of vasopressin on cytokine expression. Astrocytes are the key immune response cells of the brain. Vasopressin decreased both

---

**Table 1. Inflammatory and immune effects of vasopressin**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflammatory and immune molecules, cells and mechanisms</th>
<th>Author Model or species</th>
<th>Effects of vasopressin (or analogues)</th>
<th>Receptor(s) involved as mechanism of action of vasopressin (or analogues)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2004 [67]</td>
<td>↓ TNF-α mRNA and protein, ↓ IL-1β mRNA and protein</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokines</td>
<td>Chassin et al., 2007 [69]</td>
<td>ddAVP ↓ NF-κB, ↓ MIP-2, ↓ TNF-α ↓ bacterial load</td>
<td>AVPR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimmunity</td>
<td>Banisadr et al., 2003 [71]</td>
<td>MCCP-1/CCL2 co-localized with AVPR1b receptors in hypothalamus</td>
<td>AVPR1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimmunity</td>
<td>Banisadr et al., 2003 [71]</td>
<td>SDF-1/CXCL12 co-localized with vasopressin-expressing neurons in the supraoptic and paraventricular hypothalamic nuclei</td>
<td>AVPR1b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimmunity</td>
<td>Shibasaki et al., 1998 [72]</td>
<td>↓ splenic T cell proliferation and NK cytotoxicity</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandins</td>
<td>Fleischer-Berkovich et al., 2004 [74]</td>
<td>Low concentration of AVP ↓ PGE2, High concentration of AVP ↑ PGE2</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrophages, lymphocytes</td>
<td>Baker et al., 2003 [75]</td>
<td>AVP localized in human macrophages, B and T lymphocytes</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cells</td>
<td>Jessop et al., 1995 [77]</td>
<td>AVP localized in rat IgG-staining plasma cells of spleen</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>Wickramasinghe et al., 1982 [78]</td>
<td>Binding of ddAVP and AVP to AVP receptors on human lymphocytes</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>Ekman et al., 2001 [80]</td>
<td>AVP localized in human lymphocytes</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>Hu et al., 2003 [85]</td>
<td>Loss of the AVPR1a receptor (AVPR1a knockout mice) shifts from IgM (high)/IgD (high) to mature IgM (low)/IgD (high), B cells, greater splenic B cell proliferation, enhanced IgG1 and IgG2b AVPR1a is negative regulator of B cell receptor signaling</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes</td>
<td>Bell et al., 1992 [84]</td>
<td>↑ autologous mixed lymphocyte response</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK cells</td>
<td>Yirmiya et al., 1989 [86]</td>
<td>AVP deficiency, ↑ NK activity</td>
<td>AVPR1a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MIP-2 = Macrophage inflammatory protein 2; NK = natural killer.
mRNA and protein expression of TNF-α and IL-1β of astrocytes via AVPR1a (table 1), so vasopressin may be anti-inflammatory in the brain [67]. Vasopressin decreases sepsis-induced pulmonary inflammation through the V2 receptor [68]. Using an intraperitoneal endotoxin model of sepsis, Boyd et al. [68] found that a vasopressin infusion decreased NF-κB activation and subsequent serum and pulmonary IL-6 concentrations. This effect was attenuated by a specific V2 receptor antagonist, not by a specific V1 receptor antagonist. Conversely, the septic inflammatory response appears to downregulate V1 receptor expression via NF-κB [32].

Vasopressin could have renal anti-inflammatory effects and thus decrease bacterial clearance in the lower urinary tract by binding to AVPR2. Thus, it could modulate the innate immune response to lower urinary tract infection [69]. Collecting duct cells are the important sites of bacterial adhesion and the inflammatory response and are also the sites of action of vasopressin on renal water absorption. Renal collecting duct cells that express TLR-4 and TLR-4 are important in the renal inflammatory response to common urinary tract infections such as Escherichia coli. Indeed, TLR-4 knockout mice do not effectively clear Gram-negative bacteria from the lower urinary tract [70]. Chassin et al. [69] used a model of pyelonephritis and found that ddAVP (a potent AVPR2 agonist) inhibited TLR-4, decreased the transcription factor NF-κB as well as downstream chemokines (including macrophage inflammatory protein 2, MIP-2) and cytokines (TNF-α) in collecting ducts of rats in response to LPS. That is, ddAVP inhibited LPS-mediated cell activation. This effect required both phosphatase 2A and the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator chloride channel. ddAVP infusion in vivo in rats also decreased neutrophil recruitment and pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in renal collecting duct and these effects were associated with much increased bacterial burden in a model of urinary tract infection. These adverse effects of ddAVP (that is, inhibition of MIP-2 in response to LPS and increased bacterial burden) were completely reversed by the AVPR2 antagonist SR121463B. Thus, the effects of vasopressin on cytokines and bacterial killing in the kidney could be anti-inflammatory through AVPR2.

Therefore, the renal effects of vasopressin could be beneficial (anti-inflammatory effects could decrease inflammation-induced renal injury) or detrimental (by increasing bacterial infection) depending on variables such as bacterial load, underlying immune status of the host as well as the timing and type of vasopressin agonist treatment (such as vasopressin, an AVPR1a agonist or an AVPR2 agonist).

Vasopressin is an important neuro-immune hormone that has emerged as a regulator of immune function in a complex neuroendocrine-immune network. Some immune receptor systems such as MCCP-1/CCL2 and SDF-1/CXCL12 co-localize with AVPR1b receptors (MCCP-1/CCL2) and with vasopressin-expressing neurons (SDF-1/CXCL12) in the hypothalamus [71]. This suggests an interaction of vasopressin with immune receptor systems of the brain. Interestingly, osmotic challenge modulates vasopressin levels and also modulates the expression of chemokines in the neurons of the magnocellular and paraventricular nuclei of the hypothalamus where vasopressin is synthesized [73].

Vasopressin may alter prostaglandin levels in a dose-dependent manner, a relevant finding because of controversy regarding the optimal dose of vasopressin in septic shock. IL-1β increases PGE-2 synthesis and release by fibroblasts and this increase was diminished significantly by low concentrations of vasopressin [74]. Low concentrations of vasopressin also inhibited basal PGE-2 production. In contrast, higher concentrations of vasopressin increased the PGE-2 response to IL-1β. Furthermore, higher concentrations of vasopressin alone increased PGE-2 of fibroblasts. These effects of vasopressin were reversed by co-administration of a vasopressin AVPR1a antagonist SR49059 and a vasopressin AVPR2 antagonist SR121463 [74].

Vasopressin may modulate responses to stress by virtue of expression and release from immune cells. It is expressed in the cells of the immune system and is released in response to inflammatory stimuli, suggesting a direct role for vasopressin in modulating immune response. Vasopressin is expressed in peripheral mononuclear cells including T cells, B cells and monocytes/macrophages [74]. Interestingly, it is co-localized with CRF in T cells, B cells and monocytes/macrophages [75]. Vasopressin is also found in human thymic epithelial cells [76] and in B cells of the spleen [77]. Furthermore, vasopressin of immune cells is released in response to chronic inflammation (adjuvant arthritis) [79]. Vasopressin modulates helper signaling by interaction with a novel receptor on splenic lymphocytes. Vasopressin also interacts with natural killer cells: vasopressin deficiency (in Brattleboro rats) increases natural killer cell activity.

There are vasopressin receptors on immune cells. ddAVP and AVP bind to AVP receptors on human lymphocytes [80, 81], on spleen lymphocytes [82] and on human mononuclear cells.
Another indirect role for vasopressin in modulating immune response is suggested by findings that vasopressin of immune cells also interacts with the CRH/ACTH axis of immune cells to further modulate immune responses. For example, vasopressin potentiates CRH-induced ACTH release from peripheral blood monocytes [83].

Vasopressin alters acquired immunity. It modulates primary antibody production by potentiating production of IgM and by activating T cells [84]. Vasopressin appears to modulate humoral immune responses in that it (via AVPR1a) may be a negative regulator of B cell receptor signaling (based on studies of AVPR1a knockout mice [85]). AVPR1a knockout mice have a shift of immunoglobulins from IgM (high)/IgD (high) to the more mature IgM (low)/IgD (high) expression in B cells (that is, vasopressin alters B cell development) and have greater splenic B cell proliferation. AVPR1a knockout mice also show enhanced IgG2a and decreased IgG2b compared to normal mice.

Thus, there is a complex relationship between vasopressin, inflammation and immunity that could be part of the complex effects of vasopressin infusion in patients who have septic shock.

VASST – A Randomized Controlled Trial of Vasopressin versus Norepinephrine in Septic Shock

There have been many relatively small clinical studies of vasopressin infusion in septic shock that showed that vasopressin infusion increases blood pressure, decreases dose requirements for norepinephrine and often improves renal function. However, none of these studies were powered for mortality. The VASST was a randomized controlled trial of vasopressin versus norepinephrine in patients with septic shock [10]. The primary hypothesis was that vasopressin, compared to norepinephrine infusion, would decrease 28-day mortality from 60 to 50%. An important secondary hypothesis was that the effects of vasopressin, compared to norepinephrine, would be more pronounced in patients with more severe septic shock. We therefore stratified patients into less and more severe septic shock.

Patients were randomized to vasopressin or norepinephrine infusion. Blinded vasopressin infusion was titrated from 0.01 to 0.03 U/min while blinded norepinephrine infusion was titrated from 5 to 15 µg/min. Clinicians titrated open-label vasopressors to maintain mean arterial pressure of 65–75 mm Hg.

Patients enrolled in the VASST were severely ill (for example high APACHE II scores, high fraction with new organ dysfunction, high norepinephrine infusion rates). Mean arterial pressure was similar in the two treatment groups. Heart rate was significantly lower in the vasopressin than in the norepinephrine group (first 4 days). As in many previous human trials [1, 6, 7, 47, 87–102], vasopressin infusion allowed rapid decrease of norepinephrine dose over the first four days. As expected, plasma vasopressin was extremely low at baseline (median 3.2 pmol/l) and did not change in the norepinephrine group. Low-dose vasopressin infusion increased vasopressin levels to 73.6 pmol/l (median) at 6 h and to 98.0 pmol/l at 24 h [10].

Overall, there was no significant difference between vasopressin and norepinephrine-treated groups in 28-day mortality (35.4 vs. 39.3%, respectively, p = 0.26), in 90-day mortality (43.9 vs. 49.6%, respectively, p = 0.11) or in organ dysfunction rates.

Vasopressin may have decreased mortality in patients who had less severe septic shock in the VASST. There were trends suggesting an association of vasopressin infusion with decreased 28-day (and 90-day) mortality in less severe septic shock. This finding of possible benefit of vasopressin compared to norepinephrine infusion in less severe shock was robust in that the result was consistent when several definitions of less severe shock were assessed. Vasopressin infusion was associated with decreased mortality rate in less severe septic shock defined by norepinephrine infusion (mortality: vasopressin 26.5% vs. norepinephrine 35.7%, p = 0.05), lowest lactate quartile (≤1.4 mmol/l; 18.9 vs. 33.8%; p = 0.03) and by use of one vasopressor at baseline (31.3 vs. 39.9%; p = 0.04).

In distinct contrast, there were no differences in mortality in more severe septic shock between vasopressin and norepinephrine. The test for the interaction of treatment group by severity of shock subgroup was not significant (p = 0.10).

The potential benefits of vasopressin (compared to norepinephrine) in patients who had less severe septic shock were somewhat delayed. Kaplan-Meier survival curves show that the vasopressin-treated patients separated from the norepinephrine-treated patients at about day 10 (fig. 4). This delayed separation of the Kaplan-Meier curves could be due to effects of vasopressin on inflammation and immunity, delayed benefit of improved shock, or delayed benefits of the decreased dose of norepinephrine.

There are well-described side effects of infusion of vasopressin as well as norepinephrine in septic shock. In the
VASST, the rates of serious adverse events were similar in the vasopressin and norepinephrine groups (10.3 vs. 10.5%). Vasopressin infusion was reported to increase risk of cardiac arrest [1]; in the VASST there were more cardiac arrests in the norepinephrine than in the vasopressin group (2.1 vs. 0.8%, p = 0.14). Other reported adverse effects of vasopressin and norepinephrine are decreased cardiac output [1, 6, 7, 93], mesenteric ischemia [96, 103], hyponatremia (vasopressin), skin necrosis [104] and digital ischemia [105]. In the VASST, there was a trend to more digital ischemia in the vasopressin versus norepinephrine group (2.0 vs. 0.5%, p = 0.11).

The VASST was the first randomized controlled trial of vasopressin versus norepinephrine that was powered for mortality. Strengths of the VASST include multicenter design, large sample size, blinding, use of low-dose vasopressin, blinded evaluation of serious adverse events, clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, and assessment of pharmacokinetics of vasopressin infusion. Limitations of the VASST are that the vasopressin infusion rate was predetermined, plasma vasopressin levels were not used to guide dose or duration of infusion, the VASST evaluated low-dose vasopressin as a ‘catecholamine-sparing drug’ and, finally, the mean time from meeting inclusion criteria to study drug infusion was 12 h. Rivers et al. [106] identified benefits of early goal-directed therapy when applied in the first 6 h after arrival in the emergency department with septic shock. There was numerically lower mortality with vasopressin (33.2% vs. norepinephrine 40.5%, p = 0.12) in patients treated within 12 h.

**Interactions of Vasopressin Infusion and Corticosteroid Treatment in Septic Shock**

There have been no large studies of the interaction of vasopressin and corticosteroids until the VASST. Many of the patients in the VASST were treated with corticosteroids because they had septic shock. Therefore, we evaluated the interaction of vasopressin infusion, corticosteroid treatment and mortality of septic shock in the VASST [107]. Low-dose vasopressin infusion plus corticosteroids significantly decreased 28-day mortality compared to corticosteroids plus norepinephrine (35.9 vs. 44.7%, respectively, p = 0.03; p = 0.008 interaction statistic). In patients who were corticosteroid treated, the vasopressin group may have had less organ dysfunction [trend to increased days alive and free of shock (p = 0.09), ventilation (p = 0.03), renal failure (p = 0.07) and to any organ failure (p = 0.02)]. In contrast, if patients were not corticosteroid treated, vasopressin may have increased mortality compared to norepinephrine (33.7 vs. 21.3%, respectively, p = 0.06). In patients who re-
ceived vasopressin infusion, corticosteroids increased plasma vasopressin levels significantly by 33% at 6 h (p = 0.001) to 67% at 24 h (p = 0.032) versus patients who did not receive corticosteroids. Torgersen et al. [95] also found that corticosteroid treatment increased plasma levels of vasopressin.

Some have asked whether there is an inconsistency of the results of the VASST for use of vasopressin versus nor-epinephrine in less severe and more severe shock subgroups compared to the interaction of vasopressin and corticosteroids. The overlap of severity of shock and use of corticosteroids in the VASST is shown in table 2. There was a significant interaction in the less severe shock subgroup (p = 0.03) and a trend to a significant interaction in the more severe shock subgroup (p = 0.06). Therefore, there is no marked inconsistency between these two subgroup results. Post-hoc analyses must be interpreted cautiously, especially when subgroups have a small sample size.

Plasma vasopressin levels tended to be higher in both less and more severe shock patients who were also treated with corticosteroids: less severe shock, median at 6 h: 56.0 vs. 79.5 pmol/l (no corticosteroids vs. corticosteroids, p = 0.10), at 24 h: 45.5 vs. 95.9 pmol/l (p = 0.11); more severe shock, median at 6 h: 37.2 vs. 75.7 pmol/l (p = 0.04), at 24 h: 72.6 vs. 100.3 pmol/l (p = 0.31).

The effects of corticosteroids on vasopressin levels and efficacy are consistent with studies showing that corticosteroids increase vasopressin mRNA [108] and restore responsivity to vasopressin [109].

The effects of vasopressin on the corticosteroid axis are clearer [110–112]. When vasopressin binds to AVPR1b (V3) it increases corticotroph responsiveness to CRF, thus increasing ACTH [110–112] even in conditions of stress when corticosteroid levels are increased [110–112]. Vasopressin-induced increase of ACTH (unlike effects of CRF on ACTH) is resistant to corticosteroid-negative feedback because binding to AVPR1b is coupled to phospholipase C and is not regulated by corticosteroid levels [112]. Vasopressin infusion did not change serum ACTH or cortisol levels in a cohort of septic shock [88, 90].

Bauer et al. [113] also reported an interaction of vasopressin and corticosteroids in a nonrandomized study of patients with septic shock. Patients who received corticosteroids and vasopressin had lower mortality (compared to patients who did not receive corticosteroids with vasopressin; 47.6 vs. 80.9%, p = 0.02). A randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled trial of vasopressin plus either corticosteroids or placebo in cardiac arrest found a beneficial interaction of vasopressin and corticosteroids [114]. Patients who received vasopressin plus corticosteroids had more frequent return of spontaneous circulation (81 vs. 52%, p = 0.003) and higher survival (19 vs. 4%, p = 0.02) than patients who received vasopressin plus placebo.

It is not known how vasopressin plus corticosteroids (vs. norepinephrine plus corticosteroids) could have altered mortality rates. Potential mechanisms could be that corticosteroid-increased vasopressin levels enhanced responsiveness to vasopressin [106], or the combination of vasopressin and corticosteroids beneficially altered inflammation and immunity.

### Conclusions

Vasopressin levels are inappropriately low in septic shock. Vasopressin stimulates AVPR1a, AVPR1b, AVPR2 and purinergic receptors. The VASST found no overall difference in mortality; however, vasopressin may have decreased mortality in patients who had less severe septic shock. There is an interaction of the vasopressin and corticosteroid axes. Low-dose vasopressin infusion plus corticosteroid treatment decreased mortality compared to corticosteroids plus norepinephrine in the VASST. Vasopressin plus corticosteroids could have altered immunity beneficially in septic shock. Vasopressin has many effects.

### Table 2. Subgroups in the randomized controlled trial of vasopressin versus norepinephrine in septic shock (VASST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Less severe shock</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>more severe shock</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AVP (pct)</td>
<td>NE (pct)</td>
<td>AVP (pct)</td>
<td>NE (pct)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No corticosteroids</td>
<td>15/65 (23.1)</td>
<td>9/56 (16.1)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>19/36 (52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
<td>37/131 (28.2)</td>
<td>56/126 (44.4)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>69/164 (42.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses are % mortality. p value is calculated by the $\chi^2$ of AVP vs. norepinephrine (NE) in each pair of rows.
on immune function such as altering cytokines, neuro-immunity, prostaglandins, humoral immunity and immune cells. Yet little research has focused on immune effects of vasopressin in septic shock.
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