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Abstract

Objectives: To investigate the epidemiological and clinical features of 308 hospitalized patients suffering from infection with novel H1N1 influenza virus in China from May to August 2009, and to examine the effects of oseltamivir treatment for mild cases. Methods: Information on H1N1 influenza patients confirmed by real-time RT-PCR assay was gathered and analyzed from an influenza surveillance system, including demographic features, clinical symptoms and signs, therapeutic regimen, and duration of fever and virus shedding. Results: The clinical course of infected individuals appeared mild. Mainly young adults were affected. Most cases had low or mid-level fever, cough, headache, rhinorrhea, and sore throat. Few patients had vomiting (1.3%) and diarrhea (3.9%). Oseltamivir treatment did not shorten the duration of fever. Furthermore, early oseltamivir treatment as well as early conventional supportive treatment without antiviral drugs contributed to a reduction in the duration of virus shedding. Conclusions: In the first pandemic wave, novel H1N1 virus caused disease primarily in adults, causing mild febrile illness. Mildly ill patients cleared the virus rapidly even in the absence of oseltamivir treatment.

Introduction

During the spring of 2009, a novel swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus was identified in America and subsequently spread throughout the world [1, 2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) raised the pandemic level to phase 6 on June 11, which indicated that a global pandemic had begun [3]. As of November 8, 2009, more than 206 countries and territories had reported laboratory-confirmed cases of novel H1N1 influenza, including over 6,250 deaths [4]. The novel H1N1 virus is a triple reassortant influenza virus, which is genetically and antigenically distinct from seasonal influenza viruses [5, 6]. As a result, seasonal influenza vaccines do not provide effective cross-protection against novel H1N1 virus [7].
cause of this, novel H1N1 vaccines have been developed and used to immunize high-risk populations in China as well as many other countries.

In the view of current available data, infections with novel H1N1 virus are mild as compared with the 1918 influenza. The mortality rate caused by novel H1N1 influenza ranges from 0.20 to 1.23% [8]. Information on clinical features and risk factors for severity among patients with novel H1N1 virus infection are still emerging. In contrast to seasonal influenza, in which adults with underlying diseases appear to be at high risk of severe illness and deaths [9, 10], novel H1N1 influenza mostly occurred in younger individuals, including pregnant women. In the beginning of the pandemic, all novel H1N1 influenza patients were hospitalized in local infectious disease hospitals in China. On July 9, 2009, the government revised the hospitalization policy because of the rapid increase in the number of cases. From this date, patients with mild symptoms were placed under medical observation at home, and only severely ill patients were hospitalized. This report summarizes epidemiological and clinical features of 308 hospitalized novel H1N1 influenza patients in the first pandemic wave in China.

Materials and Methods

Surveillance and Data Collection
Since the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) epidemic in 2003, a national network for monitoring public health emergencies has been put in place. Patients with influenza-like illness (ILI) were examined at the nearest healthcare facilities and entered into the surveillance system. ILI was defined as fever (≥37.5 °C), cough, and at least 1 additional symptom or sign, such as sore throat, headache, rhinorrhea, arthralgias, myalgia, prostration, nasal congestion or diarrhea, and irritability in infants [11, 12]. Pharyngeal swabs were taken and submitted to local branches of the Chinese Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for detecting novel H1N1 virus, together with a case report containing clinical and epidemiological data, including health conditions, demographic data, tobacco use, influenza vaccinations, recent travel history, history of contact with an individual with novel H1N1 virus infection or ILI, symptoms, and vital signs.

Laboratory Confirmation
Patients with novel H1N1 virus infection were confirmed by a real-time RT-PCR assay developed by the United States CDC and recommended by WHO [13]. Viral loads of clinical samples were determined by comparison with a standard real-time RT-PCR curve generated from serial 10-fold dilutions of positive viral RNA control provided by Dr. Y. Guan, at the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China.

Table 1. History of travel to regions affected by the novel H1N1 pandemic among our 308 hospitalized cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>China Hong Kong</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>China Mainland</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>44.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From May 1 to August 5, a total of 308 PCR-positive cases were hospitalized in 3 infectious disease hospitals located in 3 different cities (Shenzhen Third Renmin Hospital, Guangzhou Eighth Renmin Hospital and Beijing Youan Hospital). The day of admission was considered to be hospital day 0. Among the 308 confirmed cases, the median age was 22.2 years (range 7 months to 79 years) and median length of hospital stay was 5.3 days. There were 165 male and 143 female patients; 7 patients had significant pre-existing underlying medical conditions, including asthma, diabetes, hyperpiesia, arthritis, and morbid obesity.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis included descriptive statistics and the results were expressed as mean ± SEM, the median or range. Student’s t test and the χ² test were used to compare statistical significance between 2 groups of patients, while 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the general linear model was used to compare statistical significance among 3 or more groups (GraphPad Prism software, version 4.0). In these analyses, p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Of 308 cases investigated, only 5 patients had received seasonal influenza vaccination in the previous 12 months. Eighty-two patients (26.6%) reported contact with an individual with ILI, and 93 (30.2%) reported contact with a patient who had novel H1N1 virus infection. The travel histories to novel H1N1 pandemic regions of 308 hospitalized cases are presented in table 1. We found that novel H1N1 influenza preferentially affected the 10- to 29-year-old age group, which accounted for almost 69.9% of patients (fig. 1), similar to the previous report [15].

Clinically, fever was most frequently observed and the average peak temperature was 38.52 ± 0.85°C (mean ± SEM). Among them 58.1% of patients had fever between 38°C and 39°C (fig. 2). Besides fever, cough (61.2%), headache (24.8%), rhinorrhea (27.1%) and sore throat (30.2%) were the most common symptoms. In addition, 1.3% of pa-
Patients had nausea and/or vomiting and 3.9% of patients had diarrhea, which were much lower than those reported in USA [16] and in Japan [17]. Other symptoms and signs involved chills, asthenia, tachypnoea, chest pain, myalgia, eye symptoms (ophthalmalgia, dacryorrhea or conjunctivitis), throat congestion and tonsillitis (fig. 3).

As observed elsewhere [18], the majority of patients with novel H1N1 virus infection, regardless whether they had underlying medical condition, had a short clinical course and recovered quickly with median time from symptom onset to resolution of 5.8 days (range 4–7 days). Only 12 patients had concurrent pneumonia involving Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus, Gram-negative rods, or mycoplasma. A 63-year-old patient was infected by methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) isolated from endotracheal aspirate. Seventy-two patients (23.4%) had leucopenia (leukocyte counts <4,000 mm$^3$) and 38 (12.3%) had thrombocytopenia (platelet counts <100,000 mm$^3$) on admission.

As shown in figure 4, before July 9, 169 patients received anti-viral treatment: 150 oseltamivir, 7 zanamivir and 12 both. After July 9, when the treatment guidelines were revised, another 9 patients were also given oseltamivir treatment as a result of morbid obesity (BMI ≥40), being under 2 years old, underlying diabetes, or complicating pneumonia. The remaining 130 patients only received conventional supportive therapy with no specific antiviral treatment.

To compare the duration of fever in patients with or without oseltamivir treatment, we found that the average time of fever in oseltamivir treatment group was 70.37 ± 36.17 h; while the average time of fever in the no anti-viral treatment group was 85.42 ± 48.50 h. Patients treated with oseltamivir had a shorter duration of fever compared to those who did not receive oseltamivir treatment (p < 0.05).

Fig. 1. Age distribution of 308 hospitalized cases with novel H1N1 virus infection in China during the first pandemic wave.

Fig. 2. Distribution of the peak temperature during disease course for 308 hospitalized cases with novel H1N1 virus infection in China during the first pandemic wave.

Fig. 3. Clinical symptoms and signs distribution of 308 hospitalized cases with novel H1N1 virus infection in China during the first pandemic wave.
treatment group was 68.33 ± 34.05 h (p = 0.624; fig. 5). These 2 treatment groups were then further divided into a younger group (age <18 years) and an adult group (age ≥18 years). Still no statistical differences were observed in either age group (data not shown).

To determine the potential correlation between viral loads and antiviral treatment, pharyngeal swabs were collected for RNA extraction from some patients daily.

Viral loads were quantified with quantitative real-time RT-PCR assay. As shown in figure 6, RNA from 1 patient was positive until 96 h after admission. Then it was at undetectable level for the 3 consecutive days.

The duration of virus shedding was shorter in patients who received oseltamivir within 24 h after illness onset than in those who received oseltamivir more than 24 h after illness onset. Similar to those observed in the oseltamivir + Zanamivir group, the duration of virus shedding was shorter in patients who received oseltamivir within 24 h after illness onset.
mivir treatment group, among patients who received no specific antiviral treatment these shorter periods were also observed in those who received conventional supportive treatment within 24 h after illness onset (fig. 7). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the duration of virus shedding between the group who received oseltamivir treatment within 24 h and the group who only received conventional supportive treatment within 24 h, indicating that earlier therapeutic intervention regardless of whether it involves oseltamivir or not contributes to a reduction in the duration of virus shedding.

Discussion

In March 2009, a novel H1N1 virus was recognized as having caused a large outbreak in Mexico [19], with subsequent cases in multiple other countries. After the first novel H1N1 influenza case was confirmed in China, the number of patients increased quickly. Initially the majority of cases were patients who had come from other countries with ongoing pandemic H1N1. Later, the majority of cases were through local infection rather than imported cases. This epidemiological pattern also occurred in other areas, such as Japan [17], Bolivia [20] and the city of Birmingham in the UK [18].

Similar to the 1918 H1N1 virus [21] and other previous reports on the novel H1N1 virus [22], our present study also found novel H1N1 influenza primarily affected young adults in the 18- to 24-year-old age group, which was slightly older than seasonal influenza, which occurred among 2- to 17-year-olds [15]. Similar to those observed in peak periods of seasonal influenza [23], the present study found that fever, cough, sore throat, headache, and rhinorrhea were the main symptoms of novel H1N1 influenza, which were highly predictive for the diagnosis of novel H1N1 influenza during the outbreak. Previous studies showed that a higher proportion of patients with gastrointestinal symptoms was observed as compared to seasonal influenza patients [16, 17]. In the present study we found less than 5% of patients had gastrointestinal symptoms.

The less severe and non-fatal cases found in the present study are different from more severe and fatal cases recently reported around the world [4]. As in seasonal influenza patients, medical conditions were associated with severity and mortality of novel H1N1 virus infection. Investigations in the USA showed that more than 70% of H1N1 admissions were individuals with underlying medical conditions [16]. In the present study 7 patients with medical conditions did not exhibit severe disease. However, the number of cases in this study was too low to draw any conclusion. Previous data showed that secondary infection caused by MRSA correlated with mortality of seasonal influenza [24]. In our study, the secondary MRSA infection in the 63-year-old pneumonia patient was effectively controlled after the treatment with vancomycin.

Neuraminidase inhibitors including oseltamivir and zanamivir had been widely used to treat novel H1N1 influenza. Recent data suggested that even if initiated late, oseltamivir treatment could reduce mortality of hospitalized patients with influenza pneumonia [25], meanwhile, reports from Canada also showed that patients who received antiviral therapy within 48 h after illness onset were less likely to die [26]. There have been increasing reports of sporadic cases with oseltamivir-resistant novel H1N1 virus with the H275Y mutation in the neuraminidase gene [27, 28]. In the present study, we showed that for patients with mild disease oseltamivir treatment does not shorten the duration of fever, which was different from a previous report [17]. Theoretically, as a neuraminidase inhibitor, oseltamivir could inhibit virus release and reduce virus replication, so oseltamivir treatment could shorten the duration of virus shedding. However, we show that both early oseltamivir treatment and early conventional supportive treatment have the same effect.
on the duration of viral shedding. Based on this finding, we conclude that it is not necessary to treat patients with mild disease with oseltamivir.

Our present study has several limitations. It is a retrospective clinical analysis, so division of patients into subgroups may have been subject to bias. Methods to gather clinical information were not standardized. As pharyngeal swab samples may have lower sensitivity, some false-negative results might have occurred. Most patients in this study had mild disease, which limited the research on epidemiological and clinical features of patients with severe novel H1N1 influenza. Finally, some data are incomplete. However, all these drawbacks are inherent in retrospective clinical studies.
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