Guanidino Compounds as Cause of Cardiovascular Damage in Chronic Kidney Disease: An in vitro Evaluation
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Abstract
Chronic kidney disease is considered a major cause of cardiovascular risk and non-traditional risk factors remain largely unknown. The in vitro toxicity of 10 guanidino compounds (GCs) was evaluated via a standardized approach on different cell systems of relevance in cardiovascular disease. The parameters evaluated were production of reactive oxygen species, expression of surface molecules, cell proliferation, cytotoxicity and calcification. Several GCs had a stimulatory effect on monocytes and granulocytes (SDMA, creatine and guanidinobutyric acid (GBA)). Some GCs (guandine (G), guanidinosuccinic acid (GSA) and SDMA) inhibited endothelial cell proliferation or reduced calcification in osteoblast-like human VSMC (ADMA, GSA and SDMA). Stimulation of osteoclastogenesis could be demonstrated for ADMA, G, guanidinoacetic acid and GBA in a RAW264.7 cell line. No compounds were cytotoxic to AoSMC or endothelial cells, nor influenced their viability. GCs, especially SDMA, likely contribute to cardiovascular complications in uremia, mainly those related to microinflammation and leukocyte activation.

Introduction
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is from the early stages on complicated by cardiovascular disease (CVD) in a large majority of patients [1, 2]. More than half of hemodialysis patients die from cardiovascular events and survival of dialysis patients at start is worse than that of most malignancies at diagnosis [3].
According to the latest analysis, more than 10% of the US population suffers from advanced CKD [4]. Knowledge of the factors affecting cardiovascular status in this population is therefore of key importance. Although traditional factors play a role, a broad range of pathophysiological mechanisms induced by less traditional risk factors seems to be involved as well [5]. One of these is microinflammation, which by itself is a cardiovascular risk factor. Renal failure from its early stages on induces a status of microinflammation, which as such is the consequence of the retention of a host of as yet largely unknown compounds [6]. These compounds, excreted into the urine by the healthy kidneys, accumulate in the body and are called uremic retention solutes [7]. Next to inflammation, other elements also play a key role in cardiovascular damage, such as smooth muscle cell proliferation, endothelial damage and the subtle interplay between bone and vessels via mineral metabolism [8, 9]. Therefore, all these factors should be considered in the quest for compounds at play in CVD of CKD.

Among the substances accumulated in uremia, the guanidino compounds (GCs) are a large group of low-molecular-weight, water-soluble uremic toxins. They are structural metabolites of l-arginine and increased levels of these compounds have been determined in serum, urine, cerebrospinal fluid and brains of uremic patients [10, 11]. De Deyn et al. [12] extensively described the involvement of GCs in neurotoxicity and sporic reports have demonstrated a pro-inflammatory impact of certain GCs. Glorieux et al. [13] demonstrated that GCs activate baseline immune status, and Perna et al. [14] showed their involvement in decreasing protein binding of homocysteine. They have also been related to the propensity for infection of uremic patients [13]. Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), a known inhibitor of nitric oxide synthase, as a marker of endothelial dysfunction has been related to several parameters of vascular outcome [15–19]. Its structural counterpart symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA), considered inert, was recently shown to increase activation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) production in endothelial cells via inhibition of nitric oxide synthesis and in monocytes via store-operated calcium channel-mediated calcium entry [20, 21].

In the present study, the potential of a broad group of GCs to damage vessels is analyzed in vitro via a holistic approach, involving several test systems of vascular pathophysiology in parallel.

**Table 1. List of the GCs tested and the concentration at which they were tested**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guanidino compounds</th>
<th>Concentration, μM</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric dimethylarginine</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine</td>
<td>556.0</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>2123.9</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanidine</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanidino acetic acid</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Guanidino butyric acid</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Guanidino propionic acid</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanidino succinic acid</td>
<td>268.6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylguanidine</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetric dimethylarginine</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Materials and Methods**

ADMA·HCl and SDMA·HCl were obtained from Calbiochem (San Diego, Calif., USA), creatine monohydrate (CT) and creatinine (CTN) were purchased from Merck (Hohenbrunn, Germany). All the other GCs listed in table 1 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, Mo., USA).

**General Experimental Set-Up**

Following a standardized approach for in vitro research in uremia, described by Cohen et al. [22], 10× stock solutions of the GCs, to be stored at –20 °C, were prepared in saline (0.9% NaCl) corresponding to the high range of reported uremic plasma concentrations (table 1) [23–28].

**Studies on Leukocytes**

**Whole Blood**

Heparinized whole blood (sodium heparin, VacutainerTM, Becton Dickinson, San Jose, Calif., USA) from healthy volunteers was collected after informed consent. Exclusion criteria were smoking, infection, pregnancy or medication intake affecting the immune status. The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee.

**Oxidative Burst Activity.** After a 10-min incubation period of the whole blood at 37 °C with saline (control) or the GCs, their effect on the leukocyte oxidative burst activity was evaluated using the Bursttest (Phagoburst®) (Orpegen Pharma, Heidelberg, Germany), as described previously [29]. Oxidative burst activity was measured at baseline, after stimulation with N-formyl-methionine-leucine-phenylalanine (FMLP, 0.83 μM), *Escherichia coli* (1.66 × 10⁶ cells/ml) and phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA, 1.35 μM) by flow cytometry. Monocytes, granulocytes and lymphocytes were gated separately in the light scatter dot blot according to size (forward scatter) and granularity (side scatter).

**Expression of Cell Surface Molecules.** The surface expression of CD11a, CD11b, CD11c, CD14, CD18 and CD62L on leukocytes was assessed after incubation of whole blood with the GCs (60 min, 37 °C). After addition of fluorescence-labeled monoclonal antibodies (10 min, room temperature), a combination of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-anti-CD11a, phycoerythrin-cyanine
Cytolysis was measured by evaluating lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)-release using the LDH-cytotoxicity detection kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Results were expressed as percentage versus Ct.

**Cytotoxicity Assays.** The HAoSMC were incubated with the GCs (24 h, 37°C) and both the LDH assay and the ATP assay were performed as described above.

**Human Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell (HVSMC) Culture.** HVSMCs were obtained from a primary culture of aorta explants obtained during cardiac surgery. Medial tissue was separated and pieces of tissue (1–2 mm³) were cultured in 60-mm dishes for 2 weeks in pyruvate-enriched DMEM containing 15% fetal calf serum (FCS). Cells were then collected and routinely cultured in this medium. HVSMC stained positive for smooth muscle α-actin. Cells were assessed between passages 2 and 8.

**Proliferation and Viability Assessment.** HVSMCs were trypsinized and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 3.2 × 10³ cells/well. After overnight starvation (0.5% FCS), HVSMCs were cultured for 7 days, under starvation conditions as a negative control (Ct–) and in DMEM containing 5% FCS as a positive control (Ct+). GCs were added to DMEM with 5% FCS and the addition of 100 ng/ml epidermal growth factor was used as a proliferation control. Cell proliferation and viability were measured at the end of the experiment by addition of the water-soluble tetrazolium salt WST-1 (Roche). The WST-1 metabolized by the active cells was quantified by measuring the optical density values (ODs) at 450 and 630 nm as a reference using a microplate reader. Results were expressed as percentage versus Ct.

**Calcification Assessment.** To study calcification, HVSMCs were trypsinized and seeded overnight in 48-well plates at a density of 7.5 × 10³ cells/well. Media were replaced by DMEM with 5% FCS without inorganic phosphate (Pi) as a control (Ct) or with Pi (final concentration 3 mM Pi) to induce osteoblast-like cells [33]. GCs were added with or without Pi. Media were replaced twice a week and cells were cultured for 9 days. Mineralization of the extracellular matrix was determined using alizarin red S (Sigma). Cells were washed with PBS, fixed with 70% ethanol (30 min), washed again and stained with 1% (w/v) alizarin red in water (pH 4.2, 5 min, room temperature), followed by water rinsing. For quantification of the mineralization, the alizarin red was extracted with 10 mM cetylpyridinium chloride (Sigma). The extracted stain was diluted 1:1 with water and absorbance at 570 nm and a reference at 750 nm was measured using a microplate reader. Results were expressed as percentage versus Ct.

**Studies on Osteoclast-Like Cells.** RAW264.7 mouse monocyte/macrophage cells were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (TIB-71, ATCC, France) and routinely cultured in DMEM containing 10% FCS.

**Proliferation and Viability Assessment.** To study RAW264.7 proliferation, cells were gently scraped and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 10³ cells/well. After overnight starvation (0.5% FCS), media were replaced by DMEM with 0.5% FCS as a negative control (Ct–) and with 10% FCS as a positive control.
GCs were added to DMEM with 10% FCS and cell proliferation and viability were measured with the use of WST-1 as described above.

**Osteoclastic Differentiation by Measurement of Tartrate-Resistant Acid Phosphatase (TRAP) Activity.** To study RAW264.7 cell differentiation into osteoclast-like cells (OCLs), they were gently scraped and seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 10^3 cells/well. After 2 h, media were replaced by H9251-MEM supplemented with 10% FCS without (Ct–) or with (Ct+) 30 ng/ml recombinant murine Receptor Activator of NFκB Ligand (RANKL) (Ct+). GCs were added both with and without RANKL supplementation. Media were replaced once and cells were cultured for 5 days. TRAP activity was assessed as previously described [32, 34], and results, normalized on a protein basis, are expressed as percentage TRAP activity versus Ct+.

**Endotoxin Contamination**

Experimental solutions were checked for endotoxin contamination by means of the Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) QCL-1000-test (Lonza).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed with the Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, Calif., USA). Determination of significant differences was performed using a non-parametric paired Wilcoxon test or Mann-Whitney test or a parametric unpaired or paired t test as appropriate. p values <0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

**Leukocyte Function**

**ROS Production and CD Expression in Whole Blood Monocytes**

At baseline, less than 5% of the monocytes produced free radicals (3.9 ± 0.5, n = 8, fig. 1a); in the presence of ADMA and guanidine (G), an increased basal oxidative burst activity was observed. In fMLP-stimulated monocytes, the percentage of ROS-producing monocytes was significantly higher versus baseline in all tested conditions. Guanidino butyric acid (GBA), guanidino propionic acid (GPA), methylguanidine (MG) (p < 0.05) and SDMA (p < 0.01) enhanced the fMLP-stimulated burst activity compared to saline (fig. 1a). After stimulation with PMA, only guandino succinic acid (GSA) induced a significant increase in mean fluorescence intensity versus saline (150.5 ± 8.3 vs. 123.1 ± 15.0, p < 0.05, n = 8) (data not shown). These results are compatible with a pro-inflammatory effect of specific GCs on monocytes.

The surface expression of CD14, a marker for monocyte differentiation, the α-components of integrins (CD11a, CD11b and CD11c) and their common β-component CD18 were measured on monocytes (table 2). CD14 showed an increased expression in the presence of all GCs, except for G. CT induced an increased surface ex-

Fig. 1. Oxidative burst activity of whole blood monocytes (a) and granulocytes (b) following incubation with GCs. Data are shown as the percentage of ROS-producing cells and are given for unstimulated leukocytes (white bars, baseline) and fMLP-stimulated leukocytes (black bars). The bars represent the mean ± SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. saline (control); *** p < 0.01 vs. baseline; n = 8.
expression of all measured CDs, GSA and SDMA of CD11a, SDMA of CD11b and GPA and GSA of CD18. Incubation with GBA significantly increased CD11c surface expression. Thus, the GCs also contribute to monocyte CD expression resulting in enhanced differentiation and adhesion capacity to the endothelium.

ROS Production and CD Expression in Whole Blood Granulocytes
As shown in figure 1b, 4.2 ± 0.4 granulocytes were rhodamine-positive at baseline; after incubation with guanidino acetic acid (GAA), GBA and SDMA an increased percentage of ROS-producing granulocytes is observed. Also the granulocytes showed an increased ROS production in response to fMLP versus baseline. Addition of G, GAA, GBA and SDMA to the blood induced a further significant rise after fMLP stimulation as compared to saline (fig. 1b).

In the presence of GPA and MG, an inhibition of the mean fluorescence intensity of granulocytes was seen on the E. coli-stimulated burst activity (491.3 ± 104.9 and 497.2 ± 81.0, respectively, vs. 549.1 ± 106.2) and none of the GCs had a significant effect on the PMA-stimulated ROS production (data not shown).

The surface molecules evaluated on granulocytes were the integrin components and CD62L (L-selectin). CD11c expression was significantly increased in the presence of ADMA, CT and GBA and CD18 expression in the presence of CT, CTN, G, GBA, GPA, GSA and SDMA (table 2). CD62L surface expression was enhanced by GSA and inhibited by ADMA, MG and SDMA.

Table 2. Effects of the GCs on the expression of CD markers in whole blood monocytes and granulocytes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CD11a</th>
<th>CD11b</th>
<th>CD11c</th>
<th>CD14</th>
<th>CD18</th>
<th>CD11a</th>
<th>CD11b</th>
<th>CD11c</th>
<th>CD18</th>
<th>CD62L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADMA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 ± 2*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 ± 4*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>9 ± 2**</td>
<td>30 ± 10**</td>
<td>22 ± 5**</td>
<td>18 ± 3**</td>
<td>23 ± 5**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13 ± 7**</td>
<td>17 ± 3**</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTN</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14 ± 4**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11 ± 4**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6 ± 2*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18 ± 5**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14 ± 4*</td>
<td>16 ± 5**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21 ± 10*</td>
<td>18 ± 8*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17 ± 4**</td>
<td>21 ± 10*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17 ± 6**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA</td>
<td>6 ± 1**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22 ± 3**</td>
<td>13 ± 5**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9 ± 5**</td>
<td>10 ± 5*</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MG</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17 ± 4**</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDMA</td>
<td>6 ± 2*</td>
<td>15 ± 6*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7 ± 4*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 ± 2*</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent the percentage change vs. saline ± SEM. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. saline, n = 8.

For the granulocytes thus both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects of the GCs could be observed.

ROS Production in Whole Blood Lymphocytes
None of the GCs had an effect on the lymphocyte oxidative burst activity (data not shown, n = 8).

NADPH Oxidase Activity
The activity of NADPH oxidase isolated from lymphocytes was enhanced in the presence of ADMA and GPA, while CT inhibited the enzyme (fig. 2). CTN, G and SDMA showed a trend to activate NADPH oxidase.

Endothelial Cell Function
Cell Proliferation in HUVEC
The endothelial proliferation of the cells incubated with saline (control) corresponded with an absorbance for BrdU incorporation of 1.201 ± 0.025. No effect on cell proliferation was noted with culture medium alone (data not shown, n = 7). Among the different GCs tested, G, GSA, and SDMA inhibited endothelial proliferation (fig. 3) respectively by 8.3, 9.5 and 6.4% (p < 0.05 vs. control). This inhibition of endothelial cell proliferation in the presence of specific GCs has the potential to contribute to the endothelial dysfunction in uremic patients.

Cytolysis in HUVEC
The different GCs tested did not induce significant cytolyis in HUVEC (n = 8), as measured by LDH release. Serum-starved HUVEC, used as a positive control, displayed significant increase in LDH release (data not shown).

Contribution of Guanidines to Uremic Toxicity

Cytolysis and ATP Content in HAEC
Both on cytolysis and ATP content no significant differences were observed between the cells incubated with the different GCs and their respective controls (data not shown, n = 6). These results show that GCs are neither cytotoxic nor induce proliferation in HAEC.

Smooth Muscle Cell Function
Cytilysis and ATP Content in AoSMC
As observed for the HAEC, no significant effects of the GCs on AoSMC were observed on cytolysis and ATP content using the same experimental conditions (data not shown, n = 6). GCs are thus not cytotoxic or do not induce proliferation in AoSMC.

Proliferation of HVSMCs
None of the GCs showed an effect on the proliferation of HVSMCs for 7 days by using WST-1 reagent compared to Ct+, whereas epidermal growth factor, the proliferation control, did (data not shown, n = 24).

Pi-Induced Matrix Calcification in HVSMCs
The GCs alone did not induce mineralization by HVSMCs (data not shown, n = 4). However, in combination with Pi (3 mM) as inducer of differentiation into osteoblast-like cells, calcification of HVSMCs was significantly decreased in the presence of ADMA, GSA and SDMA (fig. 4). These compounds may therefore prevent calcification in this osteoblast-like model.

Osteoclast-Like Model
RAW264.7 Precursor Cells Proliferation
None of the GCs modulated the RAW264.7 precursor cell proliferation (data not shown, n = 12).

RANKL-Induced Differentiation of RAW264.7 Cells into OCLs
As shown in figure 5, the RANKL-induced differentiation of RAW264.7 cells into OCLs was significantly increased in the presence of ADMA, G, GAA and GBA as measured by enhanced TRAP activity. Increase of TRAP in this cellular model directly correlates with an increased osteoclastic differentiation.

Discussion
Uremia is a complex syndrome in which dysfunction of multiple organs arises during progression of the disease and is attributed to the accumulation of uremic retention solutes. Up to now, in vitro research on these solutes in the different cell types and tissues involved is fragmentary, often using concentrations irrelevant for uremia and/or performed at non-comparable conditions.

The most important findings of this study are that after incubation of whole blood leukocytes with the GCs, at least two pro-inflammatory effects for each toxin could be observed and the presence of SDMA showed the highest number of effects on leukocytes. G, GSA and SDMA
inhibited endothelial cell proliferation and a decrease in calcification of the osteoblast-like cells could be observed by ADMA, GSA and SDMA. Additionally, osteoclastogenesis was stimulated by ADMA, G, GAA and GBA.

The present study applies a multifaceted approach using standardized conditions needed to understand the pathophysiological impact of the retention solutes and was performed by parallel assessment methods in several laboratories which are members of the European Uremic Toxin work group (EUTox) [35]. The intention was to evaluate a panel of GCs, a group of water-soluble uremic retention solutes, in specific cell systems involved in CVD, the major cause of death in CKD. The GCs tested were ADMA, CT, CTN, G, GAA, GBA, GPA, GSA, MG and SDMA. In each in vitro set-up the compounds were added to cell systems (leukocytes, endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and OCLs) at a consistent concentration corresponding to what is observed in uremic conditions [22, 27].

To the best of our knowledge, no previous efforts have been made to study the impact of uremic toxins in such a parallel and systematic manner. This holistic approach follows previous recommendations by the EUTox group [22]. It is in our opinion the only possibility to understand the impact of uremic toxicity on organs and organ groups with clinical relevance as well as to classify uremic toxins according to their biological importance.

The toxic effects of the GCs on the leukocyte function are summarized in table 3. For the oxidative burst activity, most important effects are observed in both monocytes and granulocytes at baseline and especially after stimulation with fMLP, which can be considered representative for the baseline inflammatory status of the uremic patient [36, 37]. An increased fMLP-stimulated burst in both leukocyte subtypes is observed after incubation with GBA and SDMA. The pathways involved in the pro-inflammatory effect of SDMA have recently been unraveled in monocytes; SDMA, previously considered inert, enhances the fMLP-stimulated oxidative burst via enhancement of store-operated calcium entry [21]. The stimulation of ROS production in leukocytes is related to oxidative stress, which is present from the early stages of CKD on and is generally considered as one of the key factors causing vascular damage [38]. In contrast to the monocytes and granulocytes, lymphocytes showed no significant changes in their oxidative burst activity after incubation with the GCs.

Although for most GCs an effect on leukocyte oxidative burst activity was observed, only ADMA, GPA and CT directly affected the activity of the NADPH oxidase, the main enzyme involved in ROS production. Other enzymes involved in ROS production, like superoxide dismutase and myeloperoxidase, or a component in the pathway upstream of the enzyme might also be affected by the GCs, but this needs further research.

Several monocyte and granulocyte surface receptors are related to inflammation. The β2-integrins play a key role in the recruitment of leukocytes to sites of inflam-
mation and were shown here to be upregulated by several GCs. Caimi et al. [39] found an altered behavior of granulocyte integrin pattern in mild CKD, reflecting a state of granulocyte activation potentially related to the high incidence of cardiovascular events. Fardon et al. [40] suggest that granulocyte accumulation induced by CD11b/CD18 expression contributes to vascular damage in essential hypertension. Decreased expression of CD62L (L-selectin) on granulocytes points to its shedding, a marker of cell activation and a prerequisite for cell migration after attachment of granulocytes to the endothelial cells [41–44]. In patients with CKD, a high CD11b and a low CD62L on the leukocyte surface was previously described by Dou et al. [45]. CD14 expression is enhanced after incubation with nearly all GCs tested and adds to the pro-inflammatory effect of these compounds in monocytes. The present data demonstrate that especially CT and SDMA exert a pro-inflammatory increase in the expression of surface molecules and thus are likely involved in the interaction of leukocytes with endothelial cells, a key step in the process of atherogenesis.

Several dose-response experiments (effects on leukocytic ROS production at baseline and after fMLP stimulation and on NADPH oxidase activity) were performed. Nevertheless, none of them revealed an effect at lower concentrations than the one reported in the present paper.

The effects of the GCs on endothelial cells were also evaluated (table 4). In HUVEC, G, GSA and SDMA decreased endothelial cell proliferation; therefore, these compounds may reduce endothelial repair in response to injury. Similar effects were found previously for the ure-
mic toxins $p$-cresol and indoxyl sulfate, resulting in a decrease in endothelial wound repair [32].

Considering the effects of GCs on osteoblast and osteoclast-like cells (table 4), from the vascular point of view the results seem contradictory to those described above (pro-inflammatory) on leukocytes and endothelial cells. Inhibition of the Pi-induced calcification observed in the presence of ADMA, GSA and SDMA in the HVSMC and induction of osteoclast differentiation in RAW264.7 cell line in the presence of ADMA, G, GAA and GPA might be rather vasoprotective. Nevertheless, from the bone perspective, these GCs could contribute to bone disease observed in CKD patients. A stimulation of osteoclastogenesis was demonstrated previously by Menaa et al. [46] for $\beta_2$-microglobulin, supporting its involvement in bone resorption. The breakdown of the bone may result in a transfer of calcium from the bone to the blood, which may lead to vascular calcification.

In general, the data presented here suggest that the GCs, although structurally related, show a different in vitro behavior. Mainly pro-inflammatory/pro-atherogenic effects were observed, especially on leukocytes. The compound exerting the highest number of pro-inflammatory effects is SDMA, which further strengthens the observations by Bode-Böger et al. [20] and Schepers et al. [21] that this compound is not biologically inert. A limitation of the present study includes that incubation periods are limited from only 10 min to a maximum of 7 days. Since CKD is a disease that may last for years, some potential toxic effects of the GCs due to chronic exposure might not be unraveled by this in vitro approach.

Finally, although the GCs are small and water-soluble, like urea, and therefore believed to be easily removed by modern dialysis strategies, recent data suggest that they have a different kinetic behavior compared to urea. Eloit et al. [47, 48] demonstrated that the GCs were characterized by a markedly larger distribution volume as compared to urea so that they can be classified as difficult to remove uremic retention solutes, like middle molecules and protein-bound compounds. Recently, a mathematical two-compartmental kinetic model demonstrated that prolonged dialysis in combination with an increased dialysis frequency are effective in significantly reducing concentration of the GCs compared to classical hemodialysis (three times 4 h per week) [49].

The present paper evaluated, for the first time, the toxicity of ten members of a group of uremic solutes in parallel in different in vitro models relevant for evaluating their cardiovascular damaging potential according to a standardized protocol. By expanding this approach to other known uremic retention solutes, the in vitro data will lead to the identification of the potential culprits of

### Table 4. Overview of effects of the GCs on endothelial cells, smooth muscle cells and OCLs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ADMA</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>CTN</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>GAA</th>
<th>GBA</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>GSA</th>
<th>MG</th>
<th>SDMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Endothelial cells</strong></td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUVEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrdU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAEC</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smooth muscle cells</strong></td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoSMC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytolysis</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HVSMC</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCLs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAW264.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoclastogenesis</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

- ADMA: Arginine-Derived Aminoadenosine
- CT: Citrulline
- CTN: Citrulline-Valine
- G: Guanidine
- GAA: Guanidinoacetic Acid
- GBA: Guanidinobutyric Acid
- GPA: Guanidinopropionic Acid
- GSA: Guanidinosuccinic Acid
- MG: Guanidinomethylguanidine
- SDMA: Guanidinosulfonic Acid
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the uremic syndrome. This gathering of experimental data obtained in a standardized way will allow the classification of uremic toxins according to their toxicity, indicating the most important substances to be submitted to more in-depth pathophysiological research finally leading to the development of new pharmacologic and more specific removal techniques. In this way, the present study can be considered as a pilot analysis guiding future multifaceted uremic toxin research.
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