Validation of Glomerular Basement Membrane Thickness Changes with Aging in Minimal Change Disease
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Abstract
Measurement of the normal range of glomerular basement membrane (GBM) thickness by electron microscopy is required for the diagnosis of thin basement membrane disease or diabetic nephropathy; however, this measurement is influenced by aging. The aim of this study was to introduce a simple histogram plotting method for the validation of the results of the GBM thickness measurements by the accepted arithmetic mean ± SD method. We examined renal biopsy specimens obtained from 19 patients (10 males and 9 females) with minimal change disease, ranging in age from 3 to 70 years. Renal tissue samples obtained at autopsy from a male baby (3 months old) with no renal disease were also examined. For each case, GBM thicknesses at 10–15 evenly distributed points per glomerular loop were directly measured and the arithmetic mean ± SD was calculated. Subsequently, the arithmetic mean ± SD for each group of cases classified by age into 4 groups, i.e. babyhood (3 months old), childhood (3–11 years old), adulthood (12–57 years old), and old age (60–70 years old), was determined. On the other hand, a histogram of the frequency of GBM points measured against thickness was plotted to determine the distribution pattern and the range of measurements in each age group. The histogram plot showed 4 clearly divided modes for GBM thickness. Comparison of the results obtained by the 2 methods revealed a significant correlation indicating the feasibility of the histogram plotting method as a useful adjunct to validate GBM thickness measurements.

Introduction
Glomerular basement membrane (GBM) thickness is not exactly determinable visually by electron microscopy. Thus, measurement of the GBM thickness is required for the diagnosis of thin basement membrane nephropathy [1–14], prediabetes, and diabetic nephropathy [15–17]. One undesirable factor that influences this measurement is age-related changes. Several methods have been introduced for the measurement of GBM thickness; however, there are no standard criteria for defining the lower limit of normal GBM thickness below which the GBM can be considered thin. In addition, there is considerable vari-
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### Results

We measured GBM thickness in renal biopsies with MCD in order to determine changes introduced by age. Since it is difficult to distinguish between the lamina rara...
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The GBM thickness width was measured in electron micrographs at a high magnification (×10,000; fig. 1). Using the arithmetic mean ± SD method, the mean GBM thickness in babyhood (1 case, 3 months old) was 115 ± 36 nm (table 1). In the childhood group consisting of 7 patients ranging in age from 3 to 11 years, the mean thickness was 243 ± 12 nm. In the adulthood group comprising 8 patients with an age range of 12–57 years, the mean thickness was 353 ± 20 nm (358 ± 26 nm in males and 350 ± 17 nm in females). In the old-age group with 4 patients ranging in age from 60 to 70 years, the mean was 287 ± 25 nm. GBM thickness did not tend to increase in adults and it was not distinctive between male and female sexes.

Fig. 1. Electron micrograph of the glomerular loop from a case of MCD shows effacement of foot processes (FP) of the visceral epithelial cells. Circles indicate points at which GBM thickness was directly measured. GBM thickness was measured at 10–15 evenly distributed points per loop (dots) (magnification ×10,000). The oblique angle in the loop was excluded from the measurement.

Fig. 2. The histogram plot of the frequency of GBM points measured against thickness shows a near normal distribution pattern and 4 distinct groups of babyhood (dot marked/green in the online version), childhood (dark grey/red), adulthood (black/deep blue), and old age (light grey/yellow) graphs. M = Male; F = female.
Using the histogram plotting method, the frequency histograms showed a near normal distribution pattern (fig. 2). Based on the histogram mode, the peak GBM thickness was 125 nm in babyhood (dot-marked graph/green in the online version) and ranged from 225 to 275 nm in childhood (dark grey graph/red), from 325 to 375 nm in adulthood (black graph/blue), and from 250 to 300 nm in old age (light grey graph/yellow). In the adulthood group, the histogram peak showed a significantly higher GBM thickness than in the babyhood, childhood, or old-age groups. A GBM thickness of more than 600 nm was only observed in the adulthood group.

Statistical analysis of the correlation between the GBM thicknesses measurements obtained by the arithmetic mean ± SD and histogram plotting methods revealed a significant correlation (Pearson’s correlation coefficient 0.94973; 95% CI 0.87491184 and 0.9802686; p < 0.05) (table 1).

Discussion

Measurement of GBM thickness is usually performed using either methods of arithmetic or a harmonic mean with a little difference in the results [18]. In the present study, we used the arithmetic mean ± SD method to measure normal GBM thicknesses. In parallel, we plotted a histogram of the frequency of GBM points measured against thickness and determined the range of GBM thickness measurements for each age group.

The histogram mode of GBM thickness was clearly divided into 4 groups, i.e. babyhood (125 nm), childhood (225–275 nm), adulthood (325–375 nm), and old age (250–300 nm). Our results indicated that GBM thickness does not constantly increase with increasing age. Instead, it rapidly increases at ages 1–2 and 11–12 years with no considerable change in thickness at ages 3–11 and 12–57 years. After the age of 60 years, the GBM thickness begins to decrease. In addition, GBM thickness does not differ significantly between males and females at age 3–70 years by either the arithmetic mean ± SD method or the histogram method.

The histogram plot of GBM thicknesses showed a near normal distribution pattern for life. In our study, the arithmetic mean ± SD of 4-year-old (268 ± 75) and 6-year-old (298 ± 116) patients was different, but both values of the histogram mode were the same (250 nm). The mean GBM thickness of 20-year-olds (458 ± 110 nm) and 38-year-olds (399 ± 75 nm) was different too, but both values of histogram mode were the same (375 nm). These results indicated that GBM thickness can be well-defined by histogram mode values in addition to the mean ± SD. In our study, the mean range of GBM thickness was 269–273 nm in childhood, 371–458 nm in adulthood and 239–359 nm in old age. Especially in adults, the assessment of variation in the mean ± SD is difficult because of the high SD values. However, this problem is avoided when using histogram mode values. The histogram mode values ranged from 225 to 275 nm in childhood, from 325 to 375 nm in adulthood, and from 250–300 nm in old age. Babyhood, childhood, and adulthood groups were clearly divided by the histogram mode values. The modes in histograms were not affected by extreme values of the mean and SD. Thus, histogram plotting is useful to validate GBM thickness measurements.

GBM thickness did not increase continuously with age. It rapidly increased at age 1–2 years at first [18, 19] and then rapidly increased at age 11–12 years. After the age of 60 years, GBM thickness decreased, being the same at the age of 70 years as in childhood. In addition, previous studies showed that GBM thickness does not cause an increase in the degree of proteinuria [20]. Our study results are in agreement with this report. GBM thickness did not correlate with the degree of hematuria or proteinuria.

Comparison of the GBM thicknesses obtained by the arithmetic mean ± SD method and the histogram plotting method revealed a significant correlation suggesting the feasibility of the histogram plotting method as a simple and reliable approach to validate GBM thickness measurements.

It should be noted that we have not attempted to define a cutoff or range for normal GBM thickness changes with aging but have simply compared 2 measurement methods in order to provide a simple adjunct method for the validation of the GBM thickness measurement. Previous studies on transplant donor biopsies reported a normal GBM thickness range of 236–416 nm for women and 289–457 nm for men using the orthogonal intercept method (mean ± 2 SD), which corresponds to lower limits of 156 nm and 209 nm respectively by the direct method [21].

In conclusion, we have introduced a histogram plotting method which is simple and potentially useful for the validation of GBM thickness measurements in the diagnosis of thin basement membrane disease by electron microscopy. Further application of this method in GBM thickness changes with aging will add support to its feasibility.
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