Cardiac Arrest after Patent Blue V Injection for Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy in Breast Cancer
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Summary
Background: Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is a widely accepted method to determine lymph node status in for instance breast cancer, cervical cancer, or cutaneous melanomas. Although injection of blue dyes facilitates successful detection of sentinel nodes, they have also been shown to cause adverse reactions. Case Report: A 62-year-old female patient was referred to the surgical department of the Atrium Medical Centre with a suspicious lesion located in the right breast, detected during population-based screening. Immediately after injection of patent blue V, the patient developed tachycardia on top of preexisting supraventricular tachycardia and showed an instant drop in blood pressure, after which cardiac arrest occurred. These clear symptoms of anaphylactic shock required prompt treatment, and the patient was treated accordingly. Conclusions: Anaphylactic shock after injection of patent blue V remains a serious adverse event and warrants awareness. Immediate action with ephedrine, antihistamines, and subsequently corticosteroids can stabilize the patient. Tc-99m, isosulphan blue, and methylene blue can alternatively be used for SLNB, although also not without side effects.
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Zusammenfassung
Introduction

Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is a widely accepted method to determine lymph node status in for instance breast cancer, cervical cancer, or cutaneous melanomas. Injection of a dye into a lymph vessel adjacent to the tumor site in addition to the use of Tc-99m enables detection of the sentinel node. Several dyes are currently available for this procedure. These include isosulphan blue, patent blue V, and methylene blue. Although injection of blue dyes has facilitated successful detection of sentinel nodes, they have also been shown to cause adverse reactions [1]. Besides local blue staining of the skin, complications vary from minimal tissue responses to mild and severe anaphylactic shock [2]. Especially the latter complication should be taken into account as it may result in death. The incidence of allergic reactions is 1–2.8% [2–8], but consequences can be severe and patent blue V is frequently applied. Therefore it remains imperative to maintain awareness regarding these severe complications. Here we report a severe case of anaphylactic shock after patent blue V injection for SLNB in a breast cancer patient.

Case Report

A 62-year-old female patient was referred to the surgical department of the Atrium Medical Centre with a suspicious lesion located in the right breast, detected during population-based screening. The medical history of the patient showed mitral valve prolapse grade II and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia. The patient's medication comprised sotalol, digoxin, furosemide, acetylcysteine acid, and losartan. No pre-existing pulmonary disease, more specifically no asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, was diagnosed. Contact allergies, food allergies and signs of eczema had not occurred before, and she had never undergone surgery. To our knowledge, there was no prior sensitization to patent blue. Breast cancer was diagnosed by mammography and subsequent biopsy. Consequently, the patient was scheduled for a lumpectomy with a sentinel lymph node procedure. Preoperatively, alprazolam was administered. Anesthetic induction and maintenance was achieved with propofol, sufentanil was used as analgesic. Fifteen minutes following induction of anesthesia, the surgical procedure was started with peri-auricular intra-arterial injection to the use of Tc-99m enables detection of the sentinel node. Several dyes are currently available for this procedure. These include isosulphan blue, patent blue V, and methylene blue. Although injection of blue dyes has facilitated successful detection of sentinel nodes, they have also been shown to cause adverse reactions [1]. Besides local blue staining of the skin, complications vary from minimal tissue responses to mild and severe anaphylactic shock [2]. Especially the latter complication should be taken into account as it may result in death. The incidence of allergic reactions is 1–2.8% [2–8], but consequences can be severe and patent blue V is frequently applied. Therefore it remains imperative to maintain awareness regarding these severe complications. Here we report a severe case of anaphylactic shock after patent blue V injection for SLNB in a breast cancer patient.

Case Report

A 62-year-old female patient was referred to the surgical department of the Atrium Medical Centre with a suspicious lesion located in the right breast, detected during population-based screening. The medical history of the patient showed mitral valve prolapse grade II and paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia. The patient's medication comprised sotalol, digoxin, furosemide, acetylcysteine acid, and losartan. No pre-existing pulmonary disease, more specifically no asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, was diagnosed. Contact allergies, food allergies and signs of eczema had not occurred before, and she had never undergone surgery. To our knowledge, there was no prior sensitization to patent blue. Breast cancer was diagnosed by mammography and subsequent biopsy. Consequently, the patient was scheduled for a lumpectomy with a sentinel lymph node procedure. Preoperatively, alprazolam was administered. Anesthetic induction and maintenance was achieved with propofol, sufentanil was used as analgesic. Fifteen minutes following induction of anesthesia, the surgical procedure was started with peri-auricular intra-arterial injection to the use of Tc-99m enables detection of the sentinel node. Several dyes are currently available for this procedure. These include isosulphan blue, patent blue V, and methylene blue. Although injection of blue dyes has facilitated successful detection of sentinel nodes, they have also been shown to cause adverse reactions [1]. Besides local blue staining of the skin, complications vary from minimal tissue responses to mild and severe anaphylactic shock [2]. Especially the latter complication should be taken into account as it may result in death. The incidence of allergic reactions is 1–2.8% [2–8], but consequences can be severe and patent blue V is frequently applied. Therefore it remains imperative to maintain awareness regarding these severe complications. Here we report a severe case of anaphylactic shock after patent blue V injection for SLNB in a breast cancer patient.

Discussion

At present, adequate treatment of breast cancer patients includes tumor mapping with SLNB. This enables detection of the lymph node that is most likely involved in the tumor process. Consequently, based on a histopathological analysis, a decision can be made whether complete dissection of the axillary lymph nodes is required. Sentinel lymph nodes are detected by injection of specific dyes. Currently, patent blue V, isosulphan blue, and methylene blue are available for this procedure. However, there are some reports of patients developing adverse reactions following injection. Symptoms are diverse and can include minor reactions such as urticaria, pruritus, erythema, generalized rash, and blue hives. Severe reactions such as pulmonary edema, bronchospasm, and shock are rare [5, 9]. To the best of our knowledge, no deaths have been reported. Allergic reactions have been graded from I to IV in order of increasing severity: grade I, cutaneous signs; grade II, measurable but not life-threatening signs (including cutaneous effects, > 30% decrease in arterial blood pressure associated with unexplained tachycardia, cough, or difficulty in mechanical ventilation); grade III, life-threatening reactions (cardiovascular collapse, tachycardia or bradycardia, arrhythmias, and severe bronchospasm); and grade IV, circulatory shock and cardiac arrest, respiratory arrest, or both. Based on several retrospective and prospective studies, the estimated incidence of reactions of all grades of severity varies from 1 to 2.8%, with severe (grade III and IV) reactions observed in 0.2–1.1% of cases [5, 10–12]. This case concerned a grade IV anaphylactic reaction by definition.

The incidence of anaphylactic shock after patent blue V is too low to discontinue this approach. Nevertheless, it remains imperative to keep reporting these severe cases in order to uphold vigilance. A sudden drop in blood pressure and increase in heart rate should direct the attention of the operating team towards an anaphylactic shock. Patients can be adequately stabilized with cardiac resuscitation, adrenergic support, mast cell stabilizing medication, and corticosteroids.

Mechanisms underlying allergic reactions to blue dyes have not been fully elucidated. Most likely, an IgE-mediated activation of mast cells causes this allergic response. IgE-based tests such as an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and skin Prick test support this view [9, 13]. An IgE-dependent response must of course be preceded by exposure to blue dyes. Since blue dyes are extensively used for commercial purposes such as cosmetics, textile, paper, medical products, sensitization is very well possible. In contrast, the Prick test does not provide an unequivocal true-positive...
test [4]. This may be a test-specific characteristic but it also suggests an alternative non-IgE-dependent pathway. Irrespective of underlying mechanisms, treatment remains similar for both causes.

Absence of an allergic response to blue dyes in the past does not imply that the patient has not been pre-exposed, especially when considering their widespread industrial use. Preventive measures such as administration of hydrocortisone, diphenhydramine, and famotidine prior to induction of anesthesia or just before blue dye injection have reduced the severity but not the overall incidence of adverse reactions to dye [14]. Kalimo et al. [4] showed a significant reduction in clinical hypersensitivity reactions after performing a predictive patent blue skin Prick test in patients scheduled for lymphography. Another approach is the use of methylene blue instead of patent blue V. Anaphylactic reactions to methylene blue have rarely been reported but are not uncommon [15, 16]. Methylene blue can be used as an alternative to patent blue V in addition to Tc-99m tin colloid use for patients with breast cancer undergoing SLNB [17].

In conclusion, anaphylactic shock after patent blue V injection remains a serious adverse event and warrants awareness. Immediate action with ephedrine, antihistamines, and subsequently corticosteroids can stabilize the patient. In high-risk patients, preventive anti-allergy medication should be applied before patent blue injection, or alternatively Tc-99m tin colloid, isosulphan blue, or methylene blue could be used for sentinel lymph node imaging.
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