Mutations in \textit{FOXC2} in Humans (Lymphoedema Distichiasis Syndrome) Cause Lymphatic Dysfunction on Dependency
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Background:} Human lymphoedema distichiasis syndrome (LDS) results from germline mutations in transcription factor FOXC2. In a mouse model, lack of lymphatic and venous valves is observed plus abnormal smooth muscle cell recruitment to initial lymphatics. We investigated the mechanism of lymphoedema in humans with \textit{FOXC2} mutations, specifically the effect of gravitational forces on dermal lymphatic function.

\textbf{Methods:} We performed (1) quantitative fluorescence microlymphangiography (FML) on the skin of the forearm (non-swollen region) at heart level, and the foot (swollen region) below heart level (dependent) and then at heart level, and (2) immunohistochemical staining of microlymphatics in forearm and foot skin biopsies, using antibodies to podoplanin, LYVE-1 and smooth muscle actin.

\textbf{Results:} FML revealed a marked reduction in fluid uptake by initial lymphatics in the LDS foot during dependency, yet normal uptake (similar to controls) in the same foot at heart level and in LDS forearms. In control subjects, dependency did not impair initial lymphatic filling. Immunohistochemical microlymphatic density in forearm and foot did not differ between LDS and controls. \textbf{Conclusions:} FOXC2 mutations cause a functional failure of dermal initial lymphatics during gravitational stress (dependency), but not hypoplasia. The results reveal a pathophysiological mechanism contributing to swelling in LDS.

\textbf{Introduction}

Lymphoedema distichiasis syndrome (LDS) (fig. 1) is an inherited primary lymphoedema with causative mutations in the \textit{FOXC2} gene [1–4], a forkhead transcription factor gene implicated in lymphatic development [5, 6]. LDS is characterised by bilateral lower limb lymphoedema (usually asymmetrical) presenting at or after puberty, plus an abnormal second row of eye lashes developing from a dysfunctional meibomian gland. The distichiasis, unlike the lymphoedema, is present from birth [7]. The delayed onset of the swelling contrasts with the other he-
rediy lymphoedemas with causal mutations, Milroy disease, hypotrichosis-lymphoedema-telangiectasia and generalised lymphatic dysplasia, in which the swelling is present from birth [8–10]. The germline mutation in LDS is by definition present in all cells but the swelling is con-
The resulting increase in capillary hydrostatic pressure raises the rate of fluid filtration into the interstitium of the feet [14]. This in turn raises the interstitial hydrostatic pressure and reduces the interstitial colloid osmotic pressure [15]. Both these interstitial changes act to limit the filtration rate; even so, foot swelling is mainly prevented in the healthy individual by an increase in the rate of drainage of interstitial fluid into the initial lymphatic network, increasing the leg lymph flow [16]. Lymph flow is coupled to interstitial matrix hydration and during gravitational stress (dependency) there is an increase in the contractile activity of the major lymphatics in the leg (chiefly the subcutaneous collector vessels), which drives the increased lymph load proximally [17, 18]. With venous valve dysfunction, venous pressure is chronically raised distally and hence also the microvascular filtration pressure, leading to an increased capillary filtration rate in the dependent legs and feet as well as a greater fluid load on the lymphatic system. A defect in the lymphatic semilunar valves would greatly exacerbate the effect of gravity on leg/foot microvascular filtration (with or without venous valve dysfunction) and promote swelling. The action of gravity on an uninterrupted vertical column of fluid (lymph) would increase distal lymph pressure and thus oppose fluid drainage from the interstitium into the initial lymphatic plexus.

We hypothesised, therefore, that the effect of gravity on the lymphatic system is affected by a FOXC2 germline mutation such as to impair interstitial fluid uptake into initial lymphatic system in the dependent leg. To test this hypothesis we performed intravital fluorescence microlymphangiography (FML) on the skin of the swollen foot, both at heart level and during dependency, and also in the non-swollen forearm. FML is a semi-quantitative method that tests the ability of the lymphatic capillary network to take up a dyed fluid from the interstitial compartment. The following questions were addressed in LDS patients. (2) Are functioning initial lymphatics (irrespective of their mutation status) present in the skin? (2) If functioning initial lymphatics are indeed present in the skin of the foot, is filling affected by gravitational stress (dependency)? (3) If functioning initial lymphatics (that is, lymphatics that take up dyed fluid during FML) are depleted or absent in the swollen foot, can lymphatics nevertheless be demonstrated histologically, that is, is the problem one of lymphatic function or lymphatic numbers? To answer this last question, we used immunocytochemistry of skin biopsies to quantify the histological density of the initial lymphatics, and any schism between histology and function was thus assessed.

### Methods

Three groups were examined.

- LDS group (penetrant FOXC2 group): 12 participants (8 male, 4 female) aged 48.7 ± 11.8 years (mean ± standard deviation, range 21–61 years), all with known mutations in FOXC2 (small deletions or insertions that induce a frameshift), were recruited from 8 families. All had bilateral lymphoedema affecting the feet and distichiasis. One did not undergo skin biopsy.
- Control group: this comprised 12 participants (6 male, 6 female) aged 38.0 ± 11.7 years (23–56 years) with no mutation in FOXC2, no lymphoedema and no distichiasis on examination. Eight were family members of the LDS group and 4 were unrelated. One did not undergo skin biopsy.
- FOXC2 carrier group (non-penetrant FOXC2 group): 3 participants (1 male, 2 female) aged 20, 31 and 36 years, with mutations in FOXC2, were examined by FML only (they declined skin biopsy). None had lymphoedema on examination.

The study was approved by the National Research Ethics Service (Wandsworth and St. Thomas’ Hospital Protocol No. 03.0015 and EC03/139) and each participant gave informed, written consent.

#### Intravital Fluorescence Microlymphangiography

The procedure, adapted from that of Bollinger et al. [19], has been used extensively in our laboratory [20–22]. Three skin sites were examined in turn in each individual.

1. The foot with the worse swelling was selected for study by FML in the LDS group, and either foot was selected in the other two groups. The participant was seated, with the legs dependent, for 60 min beforehand. The dorsum of the foot was examined in the dependent position with the participant reclining at approximately 45°. The vertical distance of the foot below the mid-right atrial level was similar in the LDS (80.4 ± 8.0 cm) and control groups (76.6 ± 5.3 cm, p = 0.20, Student’s unpaired t test); the distances were not precisely identical because of height differences in the groups. The mid-right atrial level (‘heart level’) was taken to be 5–6 cm below the manubriosternal angle.
2. The ventral forearm (a non-swollen region in the LDS group) was examined at heart level by FML following a 4-hour period spent away from the laboratory plus 30 min acclimatisation (seated).
3. The dorsum of the same foot was then re-examined by FML when positioned at heart level. For this examination the participant was supine and had been lying down for 45 min prior to dye injection.

The two FML injections (see below) on the same foot were thus well separated in time (by 5.5 h) and also by distance (by 5 cm between the injection sites), so that lymphatic filling during the first, dependent study did not influence the subsequent study at heart level.

Fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (5 μl of 25% w/v solution, dextran molecular mass approx. 150 kDa; Sigma, Poole, UK) was injected intradermally over approximately 10 s using a 36 gauge needle of outer diameter 0.2 mm (Unimed, Zurich, Switzerland) and a glass Hamilton 705 LT 50 μl syringe (ESSLAB, Hadleigh, UK). The network of initial lymphatics (lymphatic capillaries) around the fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran depot gradually filled with fluorescent tracer. The network and depot were viewed using a fluorescence videomicroscope (modified DMLB; Leica.
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of the dorsal foot and ventral forearm of 9 FOXC2+/− patients and 10 controls, following local intradermal administration of 2% lidocaine without adrenaline (Kent Pharmaceuticals, Ashford, UK). Biopsies were fixed immediately in 10% formalin and subsequently embedded in paraffin. For quantification, a rat monoclonal antibody to human podoplanin (NZ-1; Angiobio, Del Mar, Calif., USA) [25, 26] was used as a marker for lymphatic vessels on 5-µm serial sections after heat-induced epitope retrieval. The sections were then stained with Cy2-conjugated donkey anti-rat antibodies (secondary fluorescent antibody) (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, Pa., USA). In order to clearly distinguish the initial lymphatics from the blood vessels on each section the blood vessels were identified using Cy3-conjugated mouse antibody against α-SMA (Sigma). The blood vessels were not counted. A rabbit polyclonal antibody to LYVE-1 (Reliotech, Wolfenbüttel, Germany) was used as a second marker of (initial) lymphatic vessels. The slides were viewed and images were captured using Zeiss Axiobserver Z1 microscope equipped with a monochrome Hamamatsu Orca-AG camera and MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, Calif., USA).

Image Acquisition and Quantitative Analysis of Stained Sections

Sections were viewed at ×200 and greyscale images captured with a microscope-mounted CoolSnap-Pro CF colour digital camera (Leitz DMRB; Leica, and Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, Md., USA) and ProScan motorised stage (Prior Scientific, Cambridge, UK). Multiple images were compiled into a single composite image of the whole skin section, using image analysis software (Image-Pro Plus; Media Cybernetics). One complete image was analysed per biopsy. A vessel was counted as a lymphatic if it was podoplanin positive and had a lumen. Lymphatic vessel density was calculated as the total number of lymphatic vessels per unit cross-sectional area of the composite image of dermis.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 4.03; GraphPad, La Jolla, Calif., USA). Means ± SD are given in the text and table, and means ± SEM are shown in the figures. Statistical comparisons were performed using Student’s paired and unpaired t tests and, for multiple comparisons, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Bonferroni post-test. Differences were considered significant if p < 0.05.

Results

Fluorescence Microlymphangiography

Forearm versus Foot at Heart Level (All Groups)

The initial lymphatic vessel network was denser and more extensive in the foot at heart level than in the forearm in both the control and penetrant FOXC2+/− groups (fig. 2, 3; table 1). This finding mirrored our previous report that filled lymphatic density is greater in the leg (in the skin covering the shin) than forearm in healthy humans [22].
In the control group LL was $5.6 \pm 3.9$ times greater in the foot at heart level than in the forearm, while in the \textit{FOXC2}+/− group it was $4.2 \pm 4.9$ times greater (fig. 3; \( p = 0.51 \) for controls vs. \textit{FOXC2}+/−, \( n = 12 \) for each group, two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test). \( \text{LD}_{\text{max}} \) was $1.8 \pm 0.6$ times greater in the foot at heart level than forearm in the controls, with a similar difference in the \textit{FOXC2}+/− group ($1.7 \pm 1.3$ times) (fig. 3; \( p = 0.12 \) for controls vs. \textit{FOXC2}+/−). Peak \( \text{LD}_r \) showed the same pattern, being $2.5 \pm 2.9$ times greater in the foot at heart level than forearm in the controls and $1.5 \pm 1.0$ times greater in the \textit{FOXC2}+/− group ($p = 0.97$ for controls vs. \textit{FOXC2}+/−). The maximal spread and percent sector filled findings were consistent with the above but did not achieve significance at the conventional level (table 1). The two-way ANOVA and post-test results presented

---

**Table 1. Quantification of lymphatic filling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (( n = 12 ))</th>
<th>( p^a )</th>
<th>Lymphoedema distichiasis (( n = 12 ))</th>
<th>( p^a )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>forearm (HL)</td>
<td>foot (HL)</td>
<td>foot (depend)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LL, cm</td>
<td>$7.3 \pm 5.8$</td>
<td>$26.7 \pm 18.7$</td>
<td>$23.9 \pm 13.4$</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{LD}_{\text{max}} ), cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$27.6 \pm 7.0$</td>
<td>$45.4 \pm 5.6$</td>
<td>$45.5 \pm 6.4$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak ( \text{LD}_r ), cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$16.2 \pm 7.4$</td>
<td>$27.1 \pm 10.6$</td>
<td>$29.0 \pm 10.1$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. spread, cm</td>
<td>$0.8 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.7$</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% sector filled</td>
<td>$65.7 \pm 22.7$</td>
<td>$83.2 \pm 17.3$</td>
<td>$85.1 \pm 17.7$</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Quantification of lymphatic filling at heart level (HL) and during dependency (depend) by intravital fluorescence microlymphangiography of the forearm and foot in the healthy control and lymphoedema distichiasis groups (means ± SD).

\(^a\) Student’s paired \( t \) test comparing the foot at heart level with the dependent foot.
above showed that lymphatic filling did not differ significantly between the control and LDS groups, when both tissues were at heart level.

In the non-penetrant FOXC2 carrier group (n = 3) lymphatic filling was likewise greater in the foot at heart level than the forearm (by 1.2–3.4 times). The pattern of FML findings in the carriers was thus similar to that in control and FOXC2 penetrant groups (fig. 3).

Foot at Heart Level versus Dependent Foot
The dependent foot showed a much reduced degree of lymphatic filling in the penetrant FOXC2+/– group, but not in the control group (table 1; fig. 2, 4). In the control group, dependency caused no statistically significant change in any of the five lymphatic uptake measurements in the foot (p > 0.5, n = 12, Student’s paired t tests), though the numerical trend was towards increased lymphatic filling (by 2–27%) (fig. 4).

In the penetrant FOXC2+/– group, by contrast, there was a marked and statistically significant reduction in lymphatic filling in the foot during dependency for all five lymphatic measurements (fig. 4). LL fell by 44 ± 46% (p = 0.017, n = 12, Student’s paired t tests), LD max fell by 26 ± 39% (p = 0.008) and peak LD f fell by 21 ± 36% (p = 0.029). The fall in maximal spread, by 21 ± 56% (p = 0.11) and the % sector filled (17 ± 9%, p = 0.06) did not reach the conventional significance level.

In the non-penetrant FOXC2 carrier group (n = 3), the change in lymphatic filling on dependency was –9 to (+)31%, resembling the pattern of lymphatic filling seen in the controls (fig. 4).

Lymphatic Immunohistochemistry
Cutaneous lymphatic vessels were present in all sections in the FOXC2+/– group, in both foot and forearm (fig. 5). As previously reported [12], abnormal smooth muscle cell coverage of initial lymphatic vessels was observed in the foot of the FOXC2+/– group. In addition, the initial lymphatics showed lower LYVE-1 immunoreactiv-

**Fig. 3.** Functional and histological lymphatic density in the dermis of the ventral forearm and dorsal foot at heart level in controls and lymphoedema distichiasis. **a** Total lymphatic length (LL) measured by intravital FML. **b** Maximum lymphatic density (LD max) measured by intravital FML. **c** Lymphatic density determined by immunohistochemical staining of biopsies. p value for LL and LD max, Bonferroni post-test; p value for histological density, Student’s paired t test. The foot has a higher functional and histological lymphatic density than the forearm.
ity when compared to the control. The initial lymphatics of the $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ arm were normal, however, indicating that ectopic smooth muscle cell recruitment onto the lymphatic vessels may occur secondarily for reasons other than germline mutation. The lymphatic densities determined by immunohistochemistry were similar in the control and $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ subjects for the same site. As with the FML measurements, lymphatic density was markedly higher in the foot than forearm (fig. 3). In the control group the histological lymphatic density in the foot, $4.24 \pm 1.12 \text{mm}^{-2}$, was $1.31 \pm 0.41$ times greater than in the forearm, which was $3.40 \pm 1.03 \text{mm}^{-2}$ ($n = 10$). Similarly, in the $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ group the histological lymphatic density in the foot, $4.45 \pm 1.39 \text{mm}^{-2}$, was $1.39 \pm 0.58$ times greater than in the forearm ($3.35 \pm 0.70$, $n = 9$) ($p = 0.010$ for forearm vs. foot, $p = 0.83$ for control vs. LDS groups, two-way ANOVA). These observations show that the difference between lymphatic filling in the forearm and foot at heart level observed by FML has, at least in part, an anatomical basis.

**Discussion**

The principal findings were as follows. (1) Placing the foot in dependency substantially reduced the filling of initial lymphatics with tracer in the foot of the penetrant $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ group, but had no statistically significant effect in the controls. (2) Despite a germline mutation of $\text{FOXC2}$ no functional or histological abnormalities of dermal lymphatics (neither failure of dye uptake nor reduced density nor abnormal smooth muscle investment of initial lymphatics) was found in forearm skin (which was non-swollen). (3) With both sites at heart level there was a consistently greater density and extent of tracer-filled lymphatics in the foot than in the forearm, in both the control and $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ groups. (4) Immunohistochemistry confirmed that the density of dermal microlymphatics was higher in the foot than forearm in the control and $\text{FOXC2}^{+/–}$ groups, though the difference was less marked than that observed for functioning lymphatics identified by FML. (5) In the non-penetrant $\text{FOXC2}$ carriers without oedema the filling of the lymphatics showed no marked change in response to dependency, as in the controls.

The results indicate, therefore, that the initial lymphatic plexus of the skin of the swollen foot responded abnormally when challenged by dependency below heart level; fewer lymphatics showed fluid uptake than at heart level. The genetic mutation in LDS, present in all cells, did not influence the density and extent of functioning lymphatics in the forearm, a region closer to heart level than the foot during normal use and hence less affected by gravitational loading.

**Response to Dependency in Health**

Lymphatic function normally adapts to an increase in microvascular filtration rate so as to prevent or minimise oedema formation [27]. During orthostasis gravity causes a rise in microvascular filtration pressure in the dependent tissues such as the foot [14]. The resulting increase in microvascular filtration into the feet and legs is usually matched by an increase in lymph flow through the large trunk lymphatics of the legs, especially in ambulatory humans [17, 18, 27, 28]. In keeping with this, the density and extent of the dye-filled initial lymphatic network is much greater in the skin over the shin than on the ventral forearm (both examined at heart level) in healthy young adult men, indicating a greater capacity to transport interstitial fluid into the initial lymphatics in the leg.
Fig. 5. Histological sections of skin biopsies from the ventral forearm and dorsal foot (the superficial and deep dermis are shown for the foot) of two participants: upper two rows, healthy control; lower two rows, lymphoedema distichiasis. Higher magnifications of the boxed areas in the foot sections are shown below. The sections illustrated were stained for podoplanin (green) and LYVE-1 (blue), as well as α-SMA (red). Stained structures were only counted as lymphatics if they had a visible lumen (examples are indicated by long arrows). LYVE-1 immunohistochemistry is less selective than podoplanin for lymphatic vessels [24] and was not used for quantifying lymphatic densities, but the co-localisation of the two stains is evident. Some lymphatics in the foot of the lymphoedema distichiasis participants were associated with cells staining for α-smooth muscle actin (indicated by short arrows). The podoplanin lymphatic densities were similar in the healthy control and lymphoedema distichiasis groups for each site, but densities were higher in the foot than in the forearm. A = Muscular arterioles. Scale bars = 100 μm.
than arm. The forearm values observed by Stanton et al. [22] were a little higher than observed here, probably because a larger volume of injectate was used in the earlier study. The present results provide additional support for the 'greater transport capacity' concept; in healthy controls the lymphatic filling parameters in the foot were greater than in the forearm, and the biopsy results showed that the difference is at least partly due to a difference in microlymphatic density.

Functional (Tracer-Filled) Lymphatic Density versus Histological Lymphatic Density

As with the functional lymphatic density, the anatomical lymphatic vessel density determined by immunohistochemistry was higher in the foot than the forearm, by 31% in the control group and 39% in the FOXC2+/– group. The difference in histological density between foot and forearm was substantially smaller than the differences observed with FML (76 and 70% for LDmax in the control and LDS groups, respectively). This could be due to errors in vessel detection by either method, particularly immunohistochemistry, or it could be due to an influence of initial lymph formation rate (likely to be higher in the foot) on the number of vessels detected by FML.

The Abnormal Lymphatic Response to Dependency in LDS

In the foot of the penetrant FOXC2+/– subjects, but not the control or carrier groups, there was a significant reduction in lymphatic filling with tracer during dependency. This phenomenon has never previously been reported, to our knowledge, and it seems likely that the posture-dependent impairment is one of the primary factors causing the swelling of the interstitial compartment of the lower limb in LDS. The new finding raises the following question: By what mechanism might dependency adversely affect lymph uptake in LDS, but not in health?

The mutations in FOXC2 did not cause microlymphatic hypo-/aplasia, and did not influence forearm lymphatic filling or that of the LDS foot at heart level. These observations indicate that there is no intrinsic failure of the dermal microlymphatic ability to take up interstitial fluid, in contrast with the situation in humans with VEGFR3 mutations [24]. Moreover, oedema per se does not impair tracer uptake during FML, since uptake was normal in the swollen foot at heart level. Indeed, in breast cancer-related lymphoedema of the arm the number of tracer-filled microlymphatics is actually increased [20].

How might the deleterious effect of dependency on lymphatic filling in LDS subjects be explained? Although the present study does not establish the mechanism(s), three possibilities may merit future investigation.

1. Failure of proximal lymphatic valves. There is clear evidence that the FOXC2 mutations impair semilunar valve competence in the leg veins of human LDS subjects [13] and impair semilunar valve formation in lymphatic trunk vessels of the mouse Foxc2–/– model [12]. Clinical lymphoscintigraphy in LDS shows dermal backflow, which is interpreted as indicating lymph reflux, that is, retrograde lymph flow across a valve from collectors into dermal pre-collectors and initial lymphatics (although such flow cannot currently be imaged directly) [7].

2. Abnormal investment of initial lymphatics with smooth muscle cells. An abnormal association of smooth muscle-like mural cells around the initial lymphatics of Foxc2–/– mice was reported by Petrova et al. [12], an observation noted in the foot but not in the arm skin of the FOXC2+/– patients reported here (fig. 5). It is possible that these cells interfere with initial lymph absorption by contracting in response to dependency, in a manner analogous to the veno-arteriolar response of the microcirculation. In addition, ectopic smooth muscle cell coverage may lead to gene expression changes within lymphatic endothelia, thereby affecting vessel function. Interestingly, the expression of LYVE-1, a marker of initial lymphatic vessels, was reduced in the α-SMA-positive lymphatic vessels in FOXC2+/– foot. Consistent with this, previous studies in mice reported that contact with smooth muscle cells regulates LYVE-1 expression in lymphatic endothelial cells [29].

3. Venous distension. The gravitational pooling of refluxed blood in the upright posture, resulting from the multiple venous valve failure [13], might expand the leg veins sufficiently to compress adjacent collector lymphatics, leading to an increase in the pressure in distal (upstream) lymphatics, and in turn impairing effective fluid uptake [30, 31].

Taken in conjunction with the common embryological origin of the venous and lymphatic vessels, the above findings lead to the hypothesis that FOXC2 may be important in the normal development and maintenance of both lymphatic and venous luminal valves. Lymphatic semilunar valve failure seems to offer the most direct explanation for the FML finding that tracer uptake was only impaired only during orthostasis. When the lymphatic trunk vessels are in the vertical position, gravity acting on the vertical column of fluid will greatly raise the pressure in the microlymphatics of the foot unless competent semilunar valves break up the column of fluid into short segments, just as in leg veins. A large rise in lymph pres-
sure inside the initial lymphatics ($P_L$) during dependency will reverse the pressure gradient across the endothelial flap valve and thus close it [32]. Moreover the rise in $P_L$ will reduce or reverse the pressure gradient $P_i - P_L$ that drives interstitial fluid (pressure $P_i$) into the initial lymphatic. Thus, structural failure of the proximal semilunar valves will lead to functional failure of fluid uptake through the distal flap valves during dependency (effect of gravitational stress) but not at heart level (no gravitational stress). A key feature of this hypothesis, the posture-sensitive magnitude of $P_L$, should be testable in humans by using micropipettes to measure $P_L$ in the LDS foot at heart level and during dependency [33].

Since venous semilunar valves are undoubtedly also impaired in LDS it is likely that the oedema is of mixed origin, that is, it is caused by the combination of (1) increased microvascular fluid filtration, which results from dependent venous pressure elevation (averaged over time) when venous valves are incompetent, and (2) impaired uptake of microvascular filtrate into the initial lymphatic system, resulting from a functional failure of endothelial flap valves to open normally, which is secondary to excessive lymph pressure elevation during dependency when the proximal lymphatic semilunar valves are incompetent. In theory, therefore, overcoming the effects of gravity with compression therapy and elevation when resting from an early age in individuals with a $FOXC2$ mutation could prevent the onset of swelling, and in particular the secondary and irreversible changes that take place in untreated lymphoedema. Indeed, this has been observed in one of our other patients who has worn compression hosiery since teenage years.

Conclusions

This is the first study to our knowledge showing that fluid uptake into the initial lymphatic system of the lower limb in humans with $FOXC2$ mutations is impaired during dependency yet is normal at heart level. This phenomenon, whatever its mechanism, is likely to contribute importantly to the pathogenesis of the leg swelling in LDS. Indirect evidence raises the possibility that structural incompetence of the proximal semilunar valves in the lymph trunk vessels may be the cause of the functional failure of the initial lymphatic uptake process during gravitational stress (dependency). If the molecular mechanism for valve failure can be elucidated, it may provide a useful target for the development of treatment for LDS.
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