Characterization of Hepatitis B Virus Molecular Genotypes in Chongqing and Quantitative Serological Markers in Patients during Natural Phases of Chronic Hepatitis B Infection
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Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is an important worldwide public health problem and the major cause of chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [1]. HBV replication persists throughout the course of chronic infection, and host immune response plays a pivotal role in HBV-related liver damage and control of HBV replication. On the basis of the interplay between virus and host, the natural history of chronic hepatitis B (CHB) patients was divided into four dynamic phases [2]: the first immune tolerance (IT) phase is characterized by extremely high serum HBV DNA levels and positive HBeAg with low hepatitis activities as reflected by normal serum transaminase levels despite active HBV replication. After 20–30 years of persistent infection, the second immune clearance (IC) phase ensues, during which the liver cells suffer continuous damage due to immune-mediated cytotoxic response towards infected hepatocytes. However, after these immune attacks, HBV replication is temporarily suppressed. The third low replicative (LR) phase is characterized by the absence of HBeAg, presence of anti-HBe, persistently normal serum transaminase levels and low or
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undetectable serum HBV DNA by PCR. HBV could also replicate in the absence of HBeAg, hence the fourth reactivation (RE) phase was observed, which may occur spontaneously or as a result of immunosuppression.

Advances in understanding the natural history of HBV infection suggest that in addition to serum HBV DNA level, various factors including levels of circulating HBsAg and HBeAg and HBV genotypes may contribute to disease progression and patients’ response to antiviral therapy [3]. However, additional work is needed to validate these assays before they can be considered to be of true diagnostic value, and further evaluation is needed to decide which will have the greatest clinical applicability. Natural history studies of CHB have shown that loss of HBsAg is associated with improved survival and reduced risk of HCC, indicating that HBsAg loss is clearly a reliable marker of surrogate therapeutic endpoint [4]; however, its relatively rare occurrence limits its utility for evaluation of new therapies, thus a better understanding of the factors related to HBsAg level is urgently needed. HBV genome evolves due to the high error rate of the viral reverse transcriptase, and a long period of HBV evolution leads to the occurrence of various genotypes and subgenotypes, which may influence disease progression and response to therapy [5]; however, many of the studies that have included genotype assessment do not compare across the range of genotypes during the natural course of CHB, and genotype determination was not incorporated into the current management guidelines.

The present study was designed to systematically characterize the molecular genotypes and quantitative serology during the natural phases of CHB in order to evaluate the dynamic changes of various biomarkers and to further explore their possible clinical utilities.

140 currently untreated mono-infected CHB patients, including 86 males (61.4%) and 54 females (38.6%) with a mean age of 30.34 ± 10.63 years (9–74 years), from The First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, were enrolled into the present study and informed written consent was obtained from all the subjects. All the procedures of this study were performed according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Chongqing Medical University.

Molecular genotyping for genotypes A–D and subgenotypes B1, B2, C1 and C2 was performed according to a nested PCR method previously established by Jin et al. [6], followed by genetic confirmation through bidirectional DNA sequencing. Serum HBsAg and HBeAg levels were quantified using the quantitative Abbott Architect i2000 Assay (Abbott Diagnostics, Abbott Park, Ill., USA). The serum HBV DNA levels were quantified by real-time quantitative PCR with a linear range of $10^3$–$10^8$ copies/ml, and ALT and AST were detected by automatic biochemistry analyzer. CHB patients were categorized according to the phase of persistent HBV infection: IT (n = 29), IC (n = 55), LR (n = 21) and RE (n = 35).

Agarose gel electrophoresis-based genotyping was performed after nested PCR, and the representative amplification products of various HBV genotypes are shown in figure 1. Among all the 140 serum samples, a total of 123 samples were successfully genotyped and subgenotypes B2 (85/123, 69.1%) and C2 (27/123, 22.0%) were found to be the most prevalent forms in southwestern
China, while no patients were found to be with genotype A, B1, C1 or D (table 1). Furthermore, when patients were divided into three strata according to HBV DNA levels, significant differences in subgenotype distribution were found among patients in the three HBV DNA strata ($\chi^2 = 13.054$, $p = 0.011$). In general, patients infected with genotypes B2 and MIX (B/C2) tend to be infected with high levels of HBV DNA load (64 and 81% with $1 \times 10^{6.5}$ copies/ml viral DNA, respectively), and those with genotype C2 tend to show intermediate HBV viremia (48% with $1 \times 10^{4.5-6.5}$ copies/ml viral DNA) (table 1).

When patients were divided into four subgroups on the basis of the natural courses of CHB, no statistical significance was found in genotype distribution among the IT, IC, RE and RE phases ($\chi^2 = 5.094$, $p = 0.532$).

HBV genotypes were previously reported to be correlated with HBeAg seroconversion and liver disease activity [5, 7]. In the present study, we further explored the possible correlation of HBV genotypes with log DNA and serum HBsAg, HBeAg and ALT, four well-acknowledged biomarkers important for the prediction of clinically relevant outcomes of CHB. While genotype C2 was demonstrated to be associated with elevated ALT ($R = 0.999$, $p = 0.024$), genotype B2 was found to be closely correlated with elevated serum HBsAg and HBeAg levels as shown in table 2.

### Table 1. Characterization of HBV molecular genotypes among patients with different serum HBV DNA level strata

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBV DNA</th>
<th>Number of detections</th>
<th>Number of typing</th>
<th>Number of genotypes (% of the column)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{4.5}$ IU/ml</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{4.5-6.5}$ IU/ml</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{6.5}$ IU/ml</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. The correlation of log DNA, HBsAg, HBeAg and ALT with HBV subgenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgenotype</th>
<th>log DNA, copies/ml</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>HBsAg, IU/ml</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>HBeAg, S/CO</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>ALT, U/l</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.191</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.113</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.024*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant correlation was found between HBV subgenotype and level of the indicated marker.

### Table 3. Correlations of HBV DNA, HBeAg, ALT, AST and age with HBsAg in the IT, IC, LR and RE groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBsAg vs.</th>
<th>IT group (n = 29)</th>
<th>IC group (n = 55)</th>
<th>LR group (n = 21)</th>
<th>RE group (n = 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>log (HBV DNA)</td>
<td>-0.204</td>
<td>0.289</td>
<td>0.337*</td>
<td>0.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg</td>
<td>-0.165</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>-0.447</td>
<td>0.015*</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.038*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant correlation was found between HBsAg level and level of the indicated marker.
The variations of HBsAg levels in consecutive phases of CHB may reflect the natural course of infection. To further explore the factors that can predict HBsAg level during disease progression, the possible correlation of HBsAg level with other well-known HBV activity predictors within each phase of infection was performed. As is shown in table 3, significant positive correlation was noticed between HBsAg levels and HBV DNA quantity in the IC, LR and RE groups (R = 0.337, p = 0.012; R = 0.580, p = 0.006; R = 0.451, p = 0.007, respectively). Moreover, HBsAg was found to be positively correlated with HBeAg (R = 0.402, p = 0.002) and reversely correlated with age (R = –0.280, p = 0.038) in the LC group. Interestingly, HBsAg showed a positive correlation with ALT level only within the RE group (R = 0.985, p = 0.001), and while a reverse correlation between HBsAg and AST was found in the IT group (R = –0.447, p = 0.015), HBsAg was found to be positively correlated with AST in the RE group (R = 0.992, p = 0.001).

To sum up, the most important finding of this study was the identification of the most prevalent forms of subgenotypes (B2, C2 and B2/C2 mixed) in southwestern China and the characterization of the HBV molecular genotypes according to the quantitative serum HBV DNA level strata (table 1), natural courses of CHB, and serum levels of log DNA, HBsAg, HBeAg and ALT (table 2), respectively. HBV genotypes were previously shown to have distinct geographic and ethnic distribution, with genotypes B and C prevailing in Southeast Asia [8], and our present study further confirmed this finding. Moreover, HBV genotypes were previously demonstrated to influence the natural course of liver disease progression in HBV carriers in Asian countries [9]. Interestingly, in the present study, HBV genotypes showed no significant distribution differences among patients in various disease phases, but we did find significant difference in the genotypic distribution of HBV subgenotypes among patients in the low, intermediate and high HBV DNA strata for the first time. In addition, genotype C was previously demonstrated to be an independent factor predictive of ALT elevations as well as HBV reactivation [10], while HBV patients with genotype B were previously shown to have early and frequent HBeAg seroconversion and less progressive liver disease compared with genotype C by Chu et al. [11]. In contrast, in the present study, genotype B was demonstrated to be most frequently distributed in the highest DNA level stratum (table 1), closely associated with elevated serum HBsAg and HBeAg (table 3), indicating more potent viral activity in patients with genotype B. Because genotype B patients showed a higher frequency of HBeAg positivity than genotype C patients in our study, it is not surprising to see that the former patients have a higher viral load than the latter patients. Although we cannot explain the discrepancy for the disease severity of genotype B and C between our results and that reported by Chu et al. [11], one possible explanation is that they conducted a longitudinal study of HBeAg-positive patients with normal aminotransferase levels at baseline, whereas we used a cross-sectional design with patients belonging to all the four natural phases of CHB infection. Though it would have been useful to follow patients longitudinally through different phases of infection, such longitudinal follow-up is difficult given that patients can remain in either the IT or LR phases for years, and patients in the IC and RE phases are potential treatment candidates. Another possibility is likely due to the major differences in demography or ethnicity of patients in different regions. On the other hand, it should be noted that though genotype C was confirmed to be associated with elevated ALT in the present study as was previously reported [10], our study suggests that genotype C2 was not associated with either HBV DNA or HBeAg level, which is just incongruent with prior reports [10].

The second finding of this study was the demonstration that HBsAg variations in consecutive phases of CHB reflected the natural course of CHB, which was just concordant with recent researches in both European and Asian populations [12, 13].

In conclusion, the present study provides a detailed analysis about the molecular genotypic, virologic and quantitative serologic features of 140 HBV patients during the natural phases of CHB in southwestern China. Subgenotypes B2 and C2 were found to be most prevalent, with genotype B patients showing more active viral activity. The HBsAg level was found to reflect serum HBV DNA content and virus productivity during the natural phases of CHB. However, these results should be considered cautiously given the relatively small number of recruited patients in each group. Further evaluation involving large-scale longitudinal studies with serial serum samples are definitively needed to further characterize and understand these mechanisms. However, based on the preliminary data of the present research, the use of HBV DNA genotyping and quantitative immunoassays may allow fine-tuning of treatment protocols. Thus, we do recommend a role for genotype determination and quantitative serology in the current management and treatment guidelines for CHB.
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