Minithoracoscopy: A Complementary Technique for Medical Thoracoscopy
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Instruments
The most important characteristics of instruments to allow an acceptable endoscopic examination to be performed are good illumination and sufficient definition of images, which depend mainly on the caliber of the optics. Even though minithoracoscopy are quite small, they are sufficient to explore the pleural cavity, especially in the presence of small effusions. This is mainly due to the transmission of light through the Hopkins rod-lens system which remains the best system to obtain an acceptable depth of field and good visibility.

The basic instruments commonly used are trocars, optics and forceps (fig. 1).

Metal trocars are preferable, being autoclavable and reusable for years; normally they have a caliber of about 4 mm with a pointed stylet. The optics is about 3 mm in diameter and 25 cm in length. Generally, two optics (0° and 30°) are sufficient to achieve adequate examination of the cavity.

The forceps commonly used have a 3-mm diameter with a sufficient opening, at least 5 mm, since the volumes of the biopsies depend on the size of the opening. Rotating forceps are preferable because they permit easier performance of biopsies. Other accessory instruments are now available, such as scissors to resect adhesions, needles to aspirate material for cytological examination and aspiration/irrigation cannulae to treat infection of the pleural space.

Technique
Minithoracoscopy is performed using two trocars, one for the endoscope and one for the biotic forceps or accessory instruments. It is carried out under simple local anesthesia with sedation by midazolam. It is also possible to use propofol as con-
tinuous infusion or boluses. It is advisable, especially for small effusions, to choose the first entry point for the optics by ultrasonography that is performed directly on the endoscopy table with the patient lying in lateral decubitus on the healthy side. After introduction of the first trocar, the liquid is aspirated, the air enters and the cavity can be explored (fig. 2, 3).

Biopsies or other operating maneuvers, such as removal of adhesions, are made through a second trocar introduced in an adjacent intercostal space, under visual control. At the end of the examination, a small drain is placed. It is removed after a few hours following a chest radiograph.

**Indications and Results**

In our experience, minithoracoscopy was primarily applied to deal with small effusions, which are frequently inaccessible with the standard 7- or 10-mm equipment. We have also applied it in patients of small stature and in elderly patients with effusions or in patients with recontracting pleurisy. All of them are characterized by narrow intercostal spaces in which the introduction of a 4-mm trocar is easier. The method is also suitable in suspected tuberculous effusion as an alternative to closed needle biopsy; the invasivity is almost similar, with the advantage of visual identification of the lesions. This is advisable in particular in areas of low incidence of tuberculosis where the thoracoscopic approach should be considered as the diagnostic ‘gold standard’ and should be performed as soon as possible since even laboratory tests such as adenosine deaminase may not be conclusive [14].

A further possible application is the evaluation of accessibility of the pleural space in case of multiple adhesions, in order to enable safe examination with the standard larger-caliber instrument.

Series of cases examined with small instruments for diagnostic purposes are still limited [9–13]. However, pleural biopsy yield and incidence of complications are comparable to those obtained using the standard technique.
To achieve complete evaluation of the method, it is important to compare its advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

Besides the technical value of the instruments described above, the main advantage of the method is its tolerance by the patient: because of the small caliber of the instruments, less local anesthesia is required and the procedure causes less pain during and after the examination. Moreover, no sutures are required and the aesthetic results are excellent.

The main disadvantage of the method lies in the fragility of the optics, which should be used carefully to avoid breakage. Needless to say that the method requires expertise in standard thoracoscopy, but it should also be remembered that minithoracoscopy is complementary to the standard technique and that in some cases conversion is required. The small size of the biopsy is not a problem because it can be compensated for by a larger number of samples; on the other hand, the possible inadequacy of lung biopsy can be avoided by careful use of the electroscautery.

In minithoracoscopy, perhaps more than in standard thoracoscopy, ultrasonographic guidance plays an essential role to safely approach small effusions, correctly introduce the trocar even in the presence of a very small effusion when the ‘gliding sign’ (the respiratory movements of parietal and visceral pleura) is present.

**Table 1.** Advantages and disadvantages of minithoracoscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliable instruments</td>
<td>Fragile instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easy to use</td>
<td>Necessity of expertise in standard thoracoscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good visibility</td>
<td>Conversion required in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less local anesthesia required</td>
<td>Small size of biopsies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less pain during and after the examination</td>
<td>Possible inadequacy of lung biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sutures required</td>
<td>20% more time required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

To achieve complete evaluation of the method, it is important to compare its advantages and disadvantages (Table 1).

Besides the technical value of the instruments described above, the main advantage of the method is its tolerance by the patient: because of the small caliber of the instruments, less local anesthesia is required and the procedure causes less pain during and after the examination. Moreover, no sutures are required and the aesthetic results are excellent.

The main disadvantage of the method lies in the fragility of the optics, which should be used carefully to avoid breakage. Needless to say that the method requires expertise in standard thoracoscopy, but it should also be remembered that minithoracoscopy is complementary to the standard technique and that in some cases conversion is required. The small size of the biopsy is not a problem because it can be compensated for by a larger number of samples; on the other hand, the possible inadequacy of lung biopsy can be avoided by careful use of the electroscautery.

In minithoracoscopy, perhaps more than in standard thoracoscopy, ultrasonographic guidance plays an essential role to safely approach small effusions, correctly introduce the trocar even in the presence of a very small effusion when the ‘gliding sign’ (the respiratory movements of parietal and visceral pleura) is present.

**Conclusion**

Minithoracoscopy can be considered as a complementary technique to the standard method for medical thoracoscopy [15]; it can be applied for small effusions that are inaccessible to standard equipment and is well tolerated by patients with narrow intercostal spaces. Moreover, it can be recommended when tuberculous pleurisy is suspected, especially in low-incidence areas.

Generally speaking, it can be seen as an endoscopic tool in interventional pulmonology [16] that increases the versatility and adaptability of thoracoscopy on a case-by-case basis.
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