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Introduction

Many breast cancer patients receive one or several radiotherapy treatments throughout the course of their disease. Adjuvant radiotherapy reduces the risk of local recurrence and increases overall survival. Palliative radiotherapy is indicated to treat metastases that produce local symptoms or are expected to do so during the lifespan of the patient. Symptom relief is not only achieved by reduction of tumor size. Radiotherapy also has an anti-inflammatory, anti-secretory, anti-edematous, and analgesic effect, even at low doses. Local radiotherapy is efficient, safe, has few side effects, and is cost-effective. Metastatic breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease; the clinical course depends on several factors such as pattern of metastasis, tumor burden, interval between primary diagnosis and occurrence of metastasis as well as on biological tumor parameters such as receptor status and grading. Metastatic disease may be indolent with long-lasting remissions, or aggressive with rapid progression and death.

Intention and Goals

It is important to consider the following in order to define the goal of treatment: i) Palliative symptom-oriented radiotherapy is initiated to alleviate patients’ symptoms such as...
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Alleviation of Pain Caused by Bone Metastases

Rades [8] presented an overview of dose fractionation schedules for radiotherapy of bone metastases in breast cancer patients. Irradiation of bone metastases for symptom control to relieve pain can be performed with $1 \times 8$ Gy. Meta-analyses have shown that one-time irradiation of uncomplicated bone metastases (no fracture, no neurological deficits) relating to analgesia without intensifying toxicity is as effective as fractionated irradiation. In up to 66–87% of patients, significant pain relief can be achieved, in 31–61% complete pain remission (overview in [8] table 3). Radiotherapy is also effective in neuropathic bone pain (25% complete and 32% partial response, no difference $1 \times 8$ Gy vs. $10 \times 3$ Gy [10]). Pain relief results in both an improved quality of life and a significant reduction of pain medication required (fig. 2) [11, 12]). The analgesic effect of radiotherapy usually sets in within 1–3 weeks after the start of irradiation. In some patients, increased temporary pain is observed (flare phenomenon), which can be effectively treated with dexamethasone. Therefore, pain medication should be initiated according to the World Health Organization scheme. Medication has to be adjusted individually in the course of treatment to prevent over- or underdosing.

Clinical Case: Bone Metastases

During lactation, a 37-year-old woman was diagnosed with breast cancer, 8 cm in diameter, cT3 N+. Treatment of the primary tumor consisted of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, mastectomy with axillary lymph node dissection, and adjuvant radiotherapy. Three months after the end of adjuvant radiotherapy, we saw this patient again with newly diagnosed bone metastases. She complained of pain in the lower back. Bone scan and computed tomography (CT) showed multiple lesions in the spine and pelvis, mainly osteolytic, especially in the lumbar spine and sacrum. We started therapy with bisphosphonates and irradiation of the lumbar spine, sacrum, and left ilium with 40 Gy in 20 fractions, which was well tolerated. Unfortunately, the patient developed pain in her right knee directly afterwards, and magnetic resonance imaging showed further metastasis in the right proximal fibula. This region was irradiated with 30 Gy resulting in rapid pain relief. Although the metastases in the thoracic spine were asymptomatic and not at risk of pathologic fracture, the patient was afraid of...
possible complications resulting from these bone lesions and insisted on treatment. After careful counseling, we decided to treat the thoracic spine with 30 Gy. As her breast cancer was triple negative, the patient was offered chemotherapy with capecitabine afterwards. Now, 10 months after radiation of the bone metastases, the patient is in good condition, free of pain, and able to lead a normal life. Restaging shows that all scintigraphic bone lesions have disappeared, tumor markers are negative, and there are no signs of metastatic spread to lung and liver.

**Single Fraction versus Fractionated Radiotherapy**

More patients (21.5%) need re-irradiation after single fraction radiotherapy because of recurring pain, compared to 7.4% after fractionated radiotherapy (meta-analysis [11]). In the case of recurrent pain, repeated radiotherapy showed the same relieving effect in 63% of patients as the first irradiation [13]. Fractionated radiotherapy is significantly more effective than single fraction radiotherapy with respect to restabilization and potentially avoiding fractures. An increase in bone density (restabilization) is visible after 4–6 months [14].

**Alleviation of Neurological Symptoms Caused by Metastatic Spinal Cord Compression**

Intraspinal metastases or an infiltration of the spinal cord by vertebral metastases can lead to spinal cord compression with motor and sensory deficits and pain. Radiotherapy should be started as soon as possible, i.e. within 24 h from the patient’s first presentation [15, 16]. Anti-edematous treatment with corticosteroids should be started immediately. Neurosurgical intervention prior to radiotherapy should be considered for selected patients [17]. A total of 10–15% of patients with spinal cord compression are likely to benefit from surgery and postoperative irradiation regarding their ability to walk and long-term local control. These are patients with a Karnofsky index higher than 70%, a survival prognosis of at least 3 months, paraplegia no longer than 48 h, and involvement of only one spinal segment. Patients who were able to walk at the beginning of radiotherapy have an 80% chance of retaining the ability to walk. In paraparesis, the probability of regaining the ability to walk decreases to 40%, in paraplegia to 7%. Fast progress of paralysis is less favorable than a more protracted development of motor deficits. In cases of slow development, neurologic deficits arise due to venous congestion which is mostly reversible. In cases of fast development, however, compression of the arterial vessels often occurs with subsequent spinal ischemia or even spinal cord infarction [15]. Improvement of pain and neurologic function (e.g. urinary dysfunction) after irradiation for spinal cord compression in the range of 25–80% has been reported in several studies ([18–20], overview [10]).

**Alleviation of Neurological Symptoms Caused by Brain Metastases and Leptomeningeal Carcinomatosis**

Breast cancer is the second most common cause of brain metastases (BM) amounting to 10–40% of patients who develop metastatic disease. Parenchymal metastases are found at autopsy in 30–40%, and leptomeningeal central nervous system (CNS) metastases in 5–16% of cases. The incidence of BM is increasing, particularly because improved systemic therapies lead to extended survival rates. BM can be the only site of progression in patients receiving systemic chemotherapy. Particularly patients with Her2/neu-positive tumors are at risk of developing BM + leptomeningeal carcinomatosis [21]. Historically, median survival after the diagnosis of BM is 4–6 weeks if untreated or if purely symptomatic medication like steroids is given. Retrospective analyses report a median survival for patients with BM from breast cancer of 4.2–6 months after whole brain radiotherapy (WBRT) alone, depending on the patient’s general condition and the extent of extracranial disease [22–25].

**Brain Metastases**

For patients treated with radiotherapy, prognostic factors for survival are age, functional status, number of BM, systemic disease status, and the interval between primary diagnosis and development of BM [26, 27]. For breast cancer patients, hormone status and Her2/neu status also play an important role. The Recursive Partitioning Analysis (RPA) classification system is used to score patients with BM in order to assess their prognosis. It is based on the results of 3 RTOG (Radiation Therapy Oncology Group) studies with 1,200 patients with BM, 12% of them with breast cancer [28]. Patients with a Karnofsky index of 70% or more, aged < 65 years, without extracerebral metastases, and with controlled primary tumor (RPA class 1) have the best prognosis with a median survival of 11.6 months. Patients in bad general condition with a Karnofsky index of < 70% (RPA class III) have a median survival time of only about 3 months. All other patients are classified RPA II with a median survival of 4–6 months. This classifications provides a framework for treatment decisions in individual cases: For patients with multiple BM, WBRT with 30–40 Gy is the mainstay of therapy. Short-term radiation (5×4 Gy in 1 week) does not result in a worse prognosis when compared to 30 Gy in 10 fractions. Nevertheless, this shortened radiotherapy regimen with higher single doses should only be used in patients with an especially poor prognosis (RPA class III) to minimize the risk of radiogenic long-term consequences such as neurocognitive deficits. For patients with only 1–3 BM, an additional stereotactic boost may be beneficial [29]. RPA class I patients should be treated with either surgical resection or radiosurgery, followed by WBRT to prevent new intracranial metastases and death from BM [30]. In spite of a lack of randomized trials, survival is comparable for surgery and radiosurgery, and may reach a median
survival of 11–17 months in this favorable group of patients [29–32]. In the case of a single BM but progressive extracranial disease or other unfavorable clinical features, WBRT alone is an adequate option [33]. The effect of radiotherapy on symptom control for BM is difficult to determine because medication with corticosteroids is usually started immediately and leads to a rapid improvement of neurological symptoms but can have negative effects, e.g. muscle weakness, drowsiness, sleep disturbances, and changes in physical appearance, especially if continued for a longer time. Overall, 75–80% of patients with multiple BM experience an improvement of preexisting neurological symptoms after WBRT. Significant improvement of headaches was seen in 50–70% of patients, improvement of paresis in 30–40% of patients, and improvement of cerebral dysfunction in 40–50% of patients [34, 35]. Prospective studies on WBRT with the explicit endpoints ‘alleviation of symptoms’ or ‘quality of life’ are rare and the results are inconsistent. In one study, everyday life and health were significantly improved (29/54%). Neurological function (47%) and fatigue (44%) were stable or improved, other areas of quality of life (e.g. weakness, memory loss, confusion) did not change significantly following WBRT (129 patients, [36]). Chow et al. [37], applying 10x3 Gy or 4x5 Gy to the neurocranium, showed a significant deterioration of fatigue, drowsiness, and appetite after WBRT using the Edmonton Symptom Assessment Scale (ESAS). Other symptoms did not change significantly (sense of well-being, pain, depression, anxiety, shortness of breath [37]. The study of the German DEGRO Quality of Life Working Party showed slightly improved scores for headaches and seizures after 3 months, but a significant deterioration of certain quality of life areas (drowsiness, hair loss, and weakness of legs (20/46 patients)) [38]. Of 91 patients in bad general condition (Karnofski index 30–60%), an improvement of clinical symptoms in a standardized quality of life assessment was reported in 35% of cases, and in 61% of cases an increase in symptoms (for example fatigue, hair loss) was reported. In the open questions at the end of the survey, a significantly higher number of patients evaluated WBRT more positively (75% stated symptom improvement), and only 7% of patients would not undergo radiotherapy again. Coping strategies can influence the re-assessment. Independent from WBRT, changing results of quality of life surveys might also be caused by disease progression at other locations. Further examinations are necessary to define patient-oriented outcome criteria and to avoid overtreatment.

Leptomeningeal Carcinomatosis
Breast cancer is the most common underlying disease for leptomeningeal carcinomatosis from solid tumors [39]. Diffuse leptomeningeal carcinomatosis has a bad prognosis with a median survival of only a few weeks if untreated. Intensive treatment like radiotherapy of the neurocranium or intrathecal chemotherapy will extend this to a few months. The formerly used irradiation of the whole cranio-spinal axis was abandoned because of myelotoxicity, especially in adult patients treated with multiple regimens of chemotherapy. For large intraspinal masses that cause pain and/or neurological symptoms and hinder circulation of cerebrospinal fluid (thus impairing intrathecal cytostatic treatment), local radiotherapy is indicated and often results in rapid improvement of symptoms [40, 41].

Pain, Bleeding, and Ulceration Caused by Locally Advanced Breast Cancer, Lymph Node Metastases, or Soft Tissue Metastases
Patients with locally advanced breast cancer with lymph node or soft tissue metastases are treated with a combination of surgery, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy with the aim of local control and prolonging survival time. Patients with an isolated inoperable tumor mass should receive radiotherapy [41]. Long-term radiotherapy prevents adverse consequences of uncontrolled growth of locoregional disease (5-year local control rates 25–77%) [42–44]. In situations with a short survival prognosis, symptoms like pain, bleeding, or exulceration, and smelling can be stopped by short-term radiotherapy with higher doses per fraction. Radiotherapy leads to a quick reduction in the size of ulcerated tumors and thus to pain relief; in the weeks following radiotherapy, healing of the defects can often be observed (see Clinical Case). For superficial tumors, radiotherapy with fast electrons is recommended. Deep-seated tumors are radiated with photons after CT-based 3-D planning. An initial increase in the size of the ulcerated tumor due to inflammation caused by tumor necrosis is common. With local irradiation of ulcerating tumors, wound treatment is simplified, and superinfection, unpleasant smells and distortion are reduced (fig. 2).

Patient Information, Requirements, Assessment, and Decision Making
Decisions regarding treatment in a palliative situation have to always be made individually for each patient. When determining radiotherapy for symptom control, the patient’s prognosis, quality of life, performance status, level of distress, and individual resources regarding the practical requirements of radiotherapy (e.g. daily trips to therapy, positioning on the treatment couch during irradiation) need to be integrated in an individual concept. The intention and goals of therapy must be communicated to the patients and – if desired – also to the relatives. A survey of patients with advanced cancer showed that a significant proportion of patients had misconceptions regarding their illness and unrealistic expectations of palliative radiotherapy [45]. On the other hand, the...
Radiotherapist’s communication style can be affected by the treatment intention [46]. Shared decision making is widely accepted as an option to improve patients’ participation in an evidence-based collaborative approach [47, 48]. Patient surveys have shown that this is also possible and required in palliative radiotherapy [49, 50]. The importance of shared decision making has now also been recognized by the health care sector and the initiative Nationaler Krebsplan (National Cancer Plan). Special training programs are being developed to enable patients and medical staff to follow the principles of shared decision making.

Conclusions

Radiotherapy for symptom control is an important treatment option for breast cancer patients. Pain, neurological symptoms, symptoms of obstruction and compression, bleeding, or exulceration in advanced breast cancer can be effectively controlled. Dose fractionation and the type of radiotherapy must be tailored to each patient individually, taking into account the patient’s perspective, goals of treatment, and prognosis. In the case of limited life expectancy, irradiation should be performed with higher doses per fraction and a short overall treatment time. Selection of the individual palliative treatment concept including radiotherapy should be performed in a multidisciplinary and multiprofessional team. Patients and perhaps relatives should participate in the decision making process. A closer cooperation between patients, relatives, radiation oncologists, other physicians, and other members of the palliative team is required to achieve the maximum benefit from radiotherapy for patients in a palliative situation.
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