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Abstract
Aim: To study long-term effects of dietary patterns on dementia and Alzheimer’s disease (AD).
Methods: Of 525 subjects randomly selected from population-based cohorts surveyed at midlife, a total of 385 (73%) subjects were re-examined 14 years later in the CAIDE study. A healthy-diet index (range 0–17) was constructed including both healthy and unhealthy dietary components. Results: Persons with a healthy diet (healthy-diet index >8 points) had a decreased risk of dementia (OR 0.12, 95% CI 0.02–0.85) and AD (OR 0.08, 95% CI 0.01–0.89) compared with persons with an unhealthy diet (0–8 points), adjusting for several possible confounders. Conclusions: Healthy diet at midlife is associated with a decreased risk of dementia/AD in late life. These findings highlight the importance of dietary patterns and may make more effective measures for dementia/AD prevention or postponement possible.
Introduction

Various single dietary components have been linked with cognitive impairment and dementia/Alzheimer’s disease (AD). For example, it has been reported that a diet rich in saturated fatty acids (SFAs) and cholesterol may increase the risk of dementia/AD, whereas polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) and fish may be protective [1, 2]. Also, higher dietary intake of vitamin E, vitamin C, and flavonoids, and higher levels of vitamin B12 and folate have been associated with a reduced risk of cognitive impairment and dementia/AD, although these findings are not uniform across studies [2]. Furthermore, moderate coffee drinking [3] and alcohol consumption [4] have been suggested to decrease the risk of cognitive impairment and dementia/AD, but these findings are also not unequivocal [4].

So far, the main focus of studies on diet and dementia has been on single dietary components. However, single nutrients are not consumed in isolation but as a part of a dietary pattern. Examining the role of single nutrients/food items is complicated because they are strongly correlated. Also, the many possible interactions between nutrients are difficult to estimate. Therefore, examining dietary patterns rather than single nutrients might be more useful in understanding the role of diet in chronic diseases. A healthy diet has been linked, among other factors, with a reduced risk of mortality from cardiovascular diseases and cancers, as well as lower incidences of Parkinson’s disease and diabetes [5]. Only three previous longitudinal studies have investigated the relationship between a healthy diet (including several dietary components) and dementia/AD. A recent report from the Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Project (WHICAP) among elderly persons found that a dietary pattern rich in several single nutrients earlier identified as reducing the risk of AD was associated with a reduced risk of AD during a follow-up of 4 years [6]. An earlier report from the same project found that higher adherence to the Mediterranean diet was associated with a decreased risk of AD development [7] as well as with lower mortality in AD [8]. In the Three-City (3C) study among elderly persons, the Mediterranean diet was associated with a slower decline in the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), but not with dementia/AD risk over a 5-year period [9]. All these previous studies were conducted among elderly persons, and follow-up times were relatively short.

Today, no studies exist on whether a healthy diet as early as in midlife has an effect on the risk of developing dementia later on. The aim of our study was to investigate the associations of a midlife healthy diet with the development of dementia and AD later in life in a population-based cohort of people living in Eastern Finland. The healthy-diet index was constructed using information on both beneficial and detrimental components of individuals’ midlife diet.

Participants and Methods

Study Population

The participants of the Cardiovascular risk factors, Aging and Dementia (CAIDE) study were randomly selected from survivors of population-based random samples firstly studied within the North Karelia Project and the FINMONICA study in 1972, 1977, 1982, or 1987 (baseline, midlife visit) [10]. A random sample of 2,000 survivors aged 65–79 years at the end of 1997 and living in the study area in Eastern Finland (in Joensuu or Kuopio) were invited to the re-examination in 1998 [11]. Altogether 1,409 (70.5%) individuals completed the follow-up examination (late-life visit). For the current study, we used the sub-samples, who were first enrolled in 1982 or 1987, because of the more extensive dietary information available. Of these 525 people, 385 (73.3%) participated. These 239 women (62.1%) and 146 men (37.9%)
had a mean (SD) age of 56.7 (4.0) years at the time of their midlife examination, and 70.6 (3.7) years at the time of their late-life examination in 1998. All participants gave written informed consent in 1998, and the local Ethical Committee of Kuopio University and Kuopio University Hospital approved the study.

**Measurements**

The survey methods used during the baseline visit were carefully standardized and complied with international recommendations [12]. In brief, the survey included an exhaustive self-administered questionnaire on health behavior (including dietary habits), health status and medical history. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), height and weight were measured, body mass index (BMI) was calculated, and a venous blood sample was taken to determine serum cholesterol. During the follow-up examination in 1998, the survey methods followed those utilized in the previous surveys in all aspects. Additionally, the apolipoprotein E (APOE) genotype of participants was determined with a method described by Tsukamoto et al. [13], and the cognitive status was assessed [11].

**Assessment of Cognitive Status**

Global cognitive function was assessed first with the MMSE [14]. For the diagnosis of dementia, a three-step protocol (screening, clinical, and differential diagnostic phase) was used; individuals scoring ≤ 24 on the MMSE were addressed for further diagnostic examination. The diagnosis of dementia was based on DSM-IV criteria, and probable and possible AD was diagnosed according to the NINCDS-ADRDA criteria [15]. Of the 1982 and 1987 sub-population, a total of 13 persons met the diagnosis of dementia, out of whom 11 had AD. All patients with AD showed generalized or medial temporal atrophy, and none showed appreciable vascular pathology on magnetic resonance scans. Isolated minor lacunae or periventricular white matter signal changes were not considered as exclusion criteria for AD. All patients with AD scored ≤ 4 on the Hachinski ischemia scale [16]. When diagnoses derived from the patient records of the non-participants in the follow-up examination were taken into account, the number of demented persons increased to 29.

**Dietary Assessment and Formation of a Healthy-Diet Index**

Dietary habits were inquired with a survey questionnaire consisting of approximately 20 mostly qualitative or frequency-based multiple choice questions, but some questions, e.g. the consumption of coffee and eggs, were assessed quantitatively at the midlife examination. The usual number of bread slices consumed per day, and the type and amount of spread used was inquired during the examination.

For calculating the healthy-diet index, we modified the method that Trichopoulou et al. [17] used for the construction of the Mediterranean diet score. A value of 0 or 1 was assigned to each of the 18 food groups, using mainly sex-specific medians as cutoffs. For beneficial components [vegetables and roots, berries and fruits, bread, fish, coffee drinking, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and PUFAs from milk products and spreads], persons whose consumption was below the median were assigned a value of 0 and persons whose consumption was at or above the median were assigned a value of 1. For components presumed to be unhealthy (sausage foods, eggs, candies, sweet soft drinks, sugar lumps in coffee, salty fish, and SFAs from milk products and spreads), points were given vice versa (below the median = 1, at or above the median = 0). The consumption of salty fish was available for the 1982 sample only, and the consumption of fish for the 1987 sample only. In the final index, the information that was available on these two variables was used. For alcohol drinking, a value of 1 was assigned to persons with moderate alcohol consumption and a value of 0 to persons not consuming alcohol or consuming abundantly (moderate and abundant were de-
fined with sex-specific median values among drinkers). For the use of salt on the dining table, a value of 1 was given to persons who were not adding salt to the meals and a value of 0 to the other categories (i.e. adding salt when the food is not salty enough or almost always before tasting). Finally, we also included fats used for cooking and baking in the household into our healthy-diet index: using vegetable oil or margarine was assigned a value of 1 and butter or a butter-oil mixture a value of 0. There were some missing values for some of the food groups (0–19 persons with missing values apart from the variable ‘fat used in baking’, where there were 51 missing values). Those with missing values were given 0.5 points for the respective food group. After adding up the points of every food category, we received the total healthy-diet index that could range theoretically from 0 (minimal adherence to healthy diet) to 17 (maximal adherence). The healthy-diet index was dichotomized based on median values: 0–8 points (low adherence to healthy diet) and >8 points (high adherence to healthy diet).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using PASW statistics, version 17 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). To assess differences between persons according to their dietary habits, independent samples t tests and \( \chi^2 \) tests were run. Logistic regression models were used to analyze the independent effect of a healthy diet on the risk of dementia and AD so that the group with low adherence to a healthy diet served as the reference group. Possible confounders were added in blocks in the analyses. Odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. Model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, education, follow-up time, community of residence, and APOE \( \varepsilon 4 \) carrier status. Model 2 was adjusted additionally for cardiovascular risk factors including midlife SBP, serum total cholesterol, BMI, and the presence of late-life myocardial infarction/stroke/diabetes mellitus. Model 3 was adjusted additionally for midlife leisure-time physical activity and smoking. For MMSE, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was applied with similar adjustments as for dementia and AD. Bonferroni adjustments were used for multiple comparisons. The level of significance was \( p < 0.05 \). For the non-participants, logistic regression analyses were done to the appropriate extent (model 1 was adjusted for age, sex, education, and community of residence; model 2 was adjusted additionally for midlife SBP, serum total cholesterol and BMI, and model 3 was adjusted additionally for midlife leisure-time physical activity and smoking).

Results

The composition of the CAIDE midlife healthy-diet index with the sex-specific cutoff values is given in table 1. In our population, the scores ranged from 2 to 14.5, with no subjects having the absolute lowest or highest possible scores.

At midlife, persons with a high adherence to a healthy diet were more educated compared with persons with a low adherence to a healthy diet. DBP and BMI were higher among those with a low adherence to a healthy diet, and also the proportion of persons with a sedentary lifestyle was higher in this group. Persons who had the healthiest diet at midlife had the lowest occurrence of dementia and AD late in life (table 2).

The non-participants of the follow-up examination were older, less educated, and had higher SBP, DBP, and serum total cholesterol at midlife than the participants. They were also more often demented (diagnoses from patient records) than the participants. Altogether 59.0% of the non-participants belonged to the group with a low adherence to a healthy diet compared to 53.7% of the participants; however, the difference was not statistically significant (\( p = 0.29 \)).
The subjects with a high adherence to a healthy diet performed better on global cognitive function than those with a low adherence to a healthy diet [model 3: mean MMSE (standard error, SE) 26.2 (0.2) vs. 25.6 (0.2), respectively, p = 0.02].

Those persons who ate healthiest had an 86–90% decreased risk of dementia and a 90–92% decreased risk of AD compared with persons whose diet was least healthy (table 3). Adjustments for various confounders did not change the results. When we rerun the analyses for dementia also including diagnoses from the patient records for the non-participants in the follow-up, persons in the high-adherence group showed a tendency towards a decreased risk of dementia compared with the group with a low adherence to a healthy diet (model 1: OR 0.5, 95% CI 0.23–1.27; model 2: OR 0.27, 95% CI 0.07–1.00; model 3: OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.07–1.09).
Discussion

Our findings indicate that adherence to a healthy diet already at midlife is associated with a decreased risk of dementia and AD later in life. Although a healthy diet is an important determinant for the level of several risk factors for dementia, e.g., blood pressure, serum cholesterol, diabetes, and obesity, the effect of a healthy diet remained highly significant even after adjustments for several potential confounding or mediating factors, suggesting an independent effect of the healthy diet. There is only one previous study on dietary patterns and dementia showing the beneficial effect of the Mediterranean diet [7] and of a dietary pattern low in AD-related nutrients [6], and our results are in accordance with these findings. Our study is the first to show that a healthy diet as early as at midlife is important.
Our CAIDE healthy-diet index differs from the methods used in previous studies in that we were not able to take into account the total energy intake when calculating the index, and many of the variables were frequency based. On the other hand, our approach gives very practical information on the foods included, making it simple for a person to calculate his or her adherence to the healthy diet. In the calculation of the index, the median values were used as cutoffs, and general dietary recommendations were used to define what was healthy and what was unhealthy.

**Possible Mechanisms**

It is still unclear how a healthy diet exerts its effect on dementia. Its effect could be due to the specific characteristics of single beneficial nutrients and/or their combination. Oxidative stress may contribute to the neuronal damage in AD, and reduced oxidative stress related to a healthy diet could explain the results [18]. Folate deficiency in diet can lead to high homocysteine levels, which has direct neurotoxic effects in cell lines and animal models [19]. The combination of vitamins E and C [20] has been shown to promote neuronal survival in rat brains. Furthermore, unsaturated fatty acids may influence the pathogenesis of AD by modulating, for example, the composition and fluidity of neuronal membranes and preventing neuro-inflammation [21].

Partly, the effect could be mediated through a vascular mechanism. A Mediterranean/healthy diet has also been associated with cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes, and obesity [22, 23]. In our analyses, we took into account several vascular risk factors and diseases, but an independent effect of a healthy diet still remained. Additionally, dietary habits are related to other social and lifestyle-related factors [24], and thus the association might be due to such confounding effects. In our analyses, we adjusted for several possible confounding factors, and the results remained unchanged. However, there might still be some residual confounding of unmeasured variables.

The trials conducted to prevent AD that have been carried out to test single nutritional components, such as vitamin E or C supplements, have yielded disappointing results [25]. So far, trials with a more complete nutritional intervention have not been done. For other out-
comes, such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes, also the trials on single nutrients have not been very successful, whereas trials with more comprehensive dietary interventions have shown positive effects [26, 27]. It would be interesting to see if comprehensive dietary interventions would also prevent or postpone dementia in susceptible persons. One multidomain trial, the Finnish Geriatric Intervention Study to Prevent Cognitive Impairment and Disability (FINGER), also including dietary intervention, has recently been initiated, and the results will eventually shed new light on this issue.

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

There are several strengths in our study. First, we had a population-based design, high participation rates (both at baseline and even at the re-examination), and both women and men were represented, all of which factors increase the generalizability of our findings. Second, dietary information was collected with the previously validated food frequency questionnaire (the primary method of dietary assessment in epidemiologic studies) because it refers to a whole year and is easy to complete [28]. Third, the dietary information was collected already at midlife (on average 14 years before the diagnosis of dementia), and therefore it was not prone to bias caused by subclinical dementia.

Reliance on self-report for data of dietary habits may constitute a limitation, although we do not believe that this would have led to systematic errors in reporting dietary habits (i.e. the future dementia did not affect how dietary habits were reported). The diet categories were derived from the nutritional data available. We did not have complete quantitative information, or information on some of the potentially interesting dietary factors (e.g. total energy intake, fiber intake, or intake of various vitamins). Fatty acid intake was derived from spreads and milk only, which constituted approximately 50% of the total dietary fat intake in Finland at the time of the midlife examinations [29]. However, due to the fact that many nutrients and dietary behaviors were included in the index, we were able to categorize people into groups of high and low adherence to a recommended diet in our population. Whether our CAIDE healthy-diet index is a valid tool for assessing the risk of dementia in other populations has still to be evaluated. We cannot completely exclude the possibility of residual confounding due to measurement error in the assessment of confounding factors, or the potential role of some unmeasured factors. However, we adjusted our analyses for a large number of potential confounding factors, but our results still remained significant. Our sample was relatively small, which led to large CIs, and we were not able to conduct stratified analyses in a reliable way. The non-participants of the follow-up study were more often demented (diagnoses from patient records) than the participants, but there were no significant differences in adherence to a healthy diet at midlife between the groups. Therefore, it is unlikely that selective non-participation would have biased our results.

Conclusion

To conclude, our results suggest that a healthy diet at midlife may be associated with a decreased risk of dementia/AD later in life. These findings highlight the importance of dietary patterns (instead of single nutrients) and might open possibilities to more effectively prevent or delay the onset of dementia/AD. The CAIDE healthy-diet index might be used as a tool to distribute information on the importance of dietary patterns in connection with the occurrence of dementia. However, this finding needs to be confirmed, and the index could be improved by large cohort studies with more accurate dietary data. Identification of mechanisms of how dietary habits exert their protection against dementia/AD might help in the development of new therapies for these diseases.
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