Mineral Metabolism Management in Hemodialysis Patients with Secondary Hyperparathyroidism in Japan: Baseline Data from the MBD-5D
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Abstract

Background/Aims: The Mineral and Bone Disorder Outcomes Study for Japanese CKD Stage 5D Patients (MBD-5D) is a multicenter, prospective observational study of hemodialysis patients with secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT) in Japan, where the national guideline recommends targets for serum calcium (8.4–10.0 mg/dl), phosphorus (3.5–6.0 mg/dl), and intact parathyroid hormone (PTH) (60–180 pg/ml).

Methods: The MBD-5D involved patients who were receiving hemodialysis for more than 3 months and met at least one of the following conditions: having intact PTH levels >180 pg/ml, or receiving intravenous vitamin D receptor activators (VDRAs) or oral VDRA analog. This report describes the baseline characteristics of the study participants and examines factors associated with mineral metabolism controlability. Results: A total of 8,229 patients were registered from 86 facilities as the whole cohort, and 3,276 patients were randomly selected as the subcohort. The severity of SHPT was associated with a lower likelihood of achieving the targets for calcium and phosphorus, whereas patients with a history of parathyroidectomy were more likely to achieve these targets as compared with those who had not undergone surgery despite high PTH levels. The use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate was also associated with a higher likelihood of achieving the targets compared with the use of 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate.

Conclusion: The severity of SHPT and the use of dialysate with higher calcium concentration are associated with practical difficulty in managing mineral metabolism in dialysis patients. Further prospective follow-up is needed to confirm our findings and to examine their impact on patient-level outcomes.
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Introduction

Chronic kidney disease-mineral and bone disorder (CKD-MBD) is a common complication of dialysis patients, which not only contributes to the development of bone disease [1–3], but also increases the risk for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality [3–8], potentially mediated through vascular calcification [9, 10]. It is, therefore, crucial to control biochemical parameters of CKD-MBD [e.g., serum calcium, phosphorus, and parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels]. Many national [11, 12] and international [13] guidelines recommend control of these parameters within specific target ranges.

Secondary hyperparathyroidism (SHPT), characterized by increased secretion of PTH [14, 15], is one of the major abnormalities underlying CKD-MBD. In patients receiving dialysis, SHPT is frequently associated with alterations in calcium and phosphorus metabolism, as a result of either excess PTH alone or the therapeutic use of vitamin D receptor activators (VDRAs) [16]. Generally, SHPT progresses with increasing dialysis vintage, leading to the development of parathyroid hyperplasia. As parathyroid hyperplasia progresses to advanced stages, the expression of calcium-sensing receptors (CaSR) and vitamin D receptors (VDR) is progressively reduced [17–19], resulting in resistance to treatment with VDRAs [20–22] and, finally, requiring parathyroidectomy (PTx) [23].

Despite considerable advances in the understanding and management of SHPT, however, mortality rates for patients on maintenance dialysis remain extremely high, with substantial variations between countries. The Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) reported that the crude 1-year mortality rates were 6.6% in Japan, 15.6% in Europe, and 21.7% in the United States [24]. Due to such lower mortality and limited chance for transplantation, a growing number of Japanese patients receive dialysis therapy for a longer time. This could increase the prevalence and degree of SHPT in this population and may differentiate practice patterns for the management of SHPT from that of the rest of the world.

The Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy (JSDT) guideline, released in 2006, recommends target ranges for serum levels of calcium (8.4–10.0 mg/dl), phosphorus (3.5–6.0 mg/dl), and intact PTH (60–180 pg/ml) [12]. This guideline is characterized by a lower target range for intact PTH compared with that of the KDOQI guidelines (150–300 pg/ml) [11] and the KDIGO guideline (two to nine times the upper limits of normal, corresponding to 130–600 pg/ml) [13]. The threshold beyond which surgical PTx is indicated in the JSDT guideline is intact PTH >500 pg/ml, which is also lower than that of the KDOQI guidelines (intact PTH >800 pg/ml). The JSDT guideline is, however, mainly based on retrospective analysis of the nationwide survey [7] and expert opinion, due to a paucity of high-level evidence. In addition, cinacalcet hydrochloride [25, 26] and lanthanum carbonate [27, 28] were launched on the Japanese market after publication of the guideline. These introductions brought about significant changes in the management of CKD-MBD in Japanese dialysis patients.

The Mineral and Bone Disorder Outcomes Study for Japanese CKD Stage 5D Patients (MBD-5D) is a multicenter, prospective observational study of hemodialysis patients with SHPT to examine the impact of patient characteristics and practice patterns on mortality, hospitalization and other clinical outcome measures [29]. This report describes the baseline characteristics of the study participants and examines factors associated with controllability of biochemical variables of CKD-MBD.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

The MBD-5D is a 3-year prospective observational study with a case-cohort and a cohort design. Details of the study design have been published [29]. In brief, patients were eligible for inclusion if they had received dialysis regularly for more than 3 months at the participating facilities as of January 1, 2008, and if they met at least one of the following conditions: (1) having intact PTH levels >180 pg/ml, or (2) receiving intravenous VDRAs (calcitriol or maxacalcitol) or oral VDRA analog (falecalcitriol), the only oral drug approved in Japan for the treatment of SHPT. The whole cohort was planned to assess rare clinical events such as all-cause and cardiovascular death, and the subcohort, a random sample (40%) of the whole cohort, was planned to assess more frequent clinical events and longitudinal laboratory and medication data. The study protocol was approved by central and local ethical review boards. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and it was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov, No. NCT00995163.

Data Collection

Background and demographic information included age, sex, cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD), dialysis vintage, height, body weight, comorbid conditions, and medical histories. Dialysis prescription, laboratory data, and medication were collected prospectively. Baseline laboratory data, analyzed in local laboratories, were obtained from July 1 to December 31, 2007. Serum levels of calcium, phosphorus, creatinine, hemoglobin, albumin, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total cholesterol, and C-reactive protein were measured using standard methods. Serum calcium levels were corrected for albumin concentration using Payne’s formula [30]. Measurements of intact PTH were performed by various second-generation assays depending on the different participating facilities. Serum whole PTH levels measured with a
third-generation PTH assay (Whole PTH; Scantibodies Laboratories, Santee, Calif., USA) were converted to intact PTH levels by the following equation: intact PTH = whole PTH × 1.7 [12]. Serum bone-specific ALP (BAP) levels were mostly measured with an enzyme immunoassay kit (Osteolinks BAP; Quidel Corporation Serum, San Diego, Calif., USA), and values measured with other than this assay were not included in the analyses.

Statistical Methods

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± SD and compared with Student t tests or one-way ANOVA (analysis of variance), as appropriate. Categorical variables were expressed as proportions and compared with $\chi^2$ test. We used Pearson’s correlation analysis to test the association between total ALP and BAP. We used logistic regression to test the association between the achievement of the JSDT targets for calcium and phosphorus and the following factors: severity of SHPT according to the JSDT guideline (60–180, 181–300, 301–500, and $>500$ pg/ml), history of successful PTx (defined by having a history of PTx and intact PTH levels $<300$ pg/ml), and dialysate calcium use (2.5 or 3.0 mEq/l).

For each analysis, three types of models were examined: (1) unadjusted models included only the independent variable of interest; (2) case-mix adjusted models included age, sex, dialysis vintage, and cause of ESRD and (3) multivariable adjusted models included the case-mix covariates plus body mass index (BMI), dialysis adequacy (Kt/V), history of cardiovascular disease (ischemic heart disease, coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular diseases), creatinine, hemoglobin, albumin, total cholesterol, and VDRA use. We also examined the association between the achievement of targets for calcium and phosphorus and the severity of SHPT according to the KDOQI guidelines ($<150, 150–300, 301–600$, and $>600$ pg/ml) and the KDIGO guideline ($<130, 130–600$, and $>600$ pg/ml). A 10-$\mu$g dose of maxacalcitol was considered to be equal to 1.5 $\mu$g of calcitriol, and all results for injectable vitamin D sterol dosages are presented as calcitriol equivalents. p values $\leq 0.05$ were considered as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with SAS software, version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, N.C., USA).

Results

Overall Demographics

A total of 8,229 patients from 86 facilities were registered as the whole cohort, and 3,276 patients were randomly selected as the subcohort. There were no significant differences in age, sex, or intact PTH between the whole cohort and subcohort (table 1). Detailed patient characteristics in the subcohort by the JSDT PTH category are shown in table 2. Mean age was 61.9 $\pm$ 12.7 years and mean dialysis vintage was 122 $\pm$ 99 months. The most common primary cause of ESRD was chronic glomerulonephritis. Patients with higher intact PTH levels were associated with longer dialysis vintage and lower proportion of diabetic nephropathy. Similar trends were observed in the analysis using PTH category according to the KDIGO or KDOQI guidelines (for all online supplementary material, see tables 1 and 2, www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000327654).

Distributions of serum calcium, phosphorus, intact PTH, and ALP are shown in figure 1. Because the study participants were restricted to those with SHPT, the proportion of patients with intact PTH levels $\leq 180$ pg/ml was substantially lower than that of the general Japanese dialysis population. Serum BAP was measured in 398 patients (12.1%) as a routine measurement. These levels correlated highly with total ALP levels albeit with wide variations ($r = 0.515$; slope = 0.099; online suppl. fig. 1). Patients with higher intact PTH levels were more likely to have higher BAP levels, suggesting high-turnover bone disease (table 2).

Medication Use

Among patients in the subcohort, intravenous VDRAs were used in 48.6% (calcitriol 11.5%, maxacalcitol 37.3%) and oral VDRAs were used in 30.6% (fig. 2). The mean dose of administered intravenous VDRAs, expressed as calcitriol dose equivalents, was 2.14 $\pm$ 1.55 $\mu$g/week. A distribution of intravenous VDRAs dose is shown in online supplementary figure 2. Phosphate binders used were calcium carbonate in 43.7%, sevelamer hydrochloride in 18.2%, and both in 23.1%. The proportion of patients treated with intravenous VDRAs and sevelamer hydrochloride tended to be higher and that of calcium carbonate tended to be lower in patients with higher intact PTH levels. All patients with intact PTH levels $\leq 180$ pg/ml received an intravenous VDRA or oral VDRA analog falemcalcitriol, in accordance to the inclusion criteria. No patients received cinacalcet hydrochloride or lanthanum carbonate, which were not available in Japan at the time of the study start. Dialysates containing 2.5 and 3.0 mEq/l calcium were used by comparable proportions of patients. Previous history of surgical PTx, percutaneous ethanol injection therapy, and percutaneous vitamin D injection therapy was noted in 6.2, 1.4, and 1.1%, respectively.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>&lt;60 (n = 92)</th>
<th>60–180 (n = 492)</th>
<th>181–300 (n = 1,368)</th>
<th>301–500 (n = 622)</th>
<th>&gt;500 (n = 502)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>62.9±13.9</td>
<td>63.2±12.5</td>
<td>62.5±12.7</td>
<td>61.6±12.9</td>
<td>59.6±11.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender female, %</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cause of ESRD, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glomerulonephritis</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic nephropathy</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyelonephritis</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polycystic kidney disease</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephrosclerosis</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis vintage, months</td>
<td>110±110</td>
<td>112±98</td>
<td>110±96</td>
<td>125±94</td>
<td>160±103</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD, %</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>20.8±4.2</td>
<td>21.2±3.3</td>
<td>21.4±3.5</td>
<td>21.5±3.9</td>
<td>21.2±3.4</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kt/V</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>1.4±0.3</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium, mg/dl</td>
<td>10.1±1.1</td>
<td>9.6±0.8</td>
<td>9.3±0.9</td>
<td>9.5±3.4</td>
<td>9.7±0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus, mg/dl</td>
<td>4.9±1.4</td>
<td>5.2±1.3</td>
<td>5.3±1.3</td>
<td>5.8±1.4</td>
<td>6.1±1.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact PTH, pg/ml</td>
<td>34±15</td>
<td>125±34</td>
<td>234±35</td>
<td>380±55</td>
<td>807±470</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP, U/l</td>
<td>276±216</td>
<td>242±109</td>
<td>266±136</td>
<td>294±133</td>
<td>372±259</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAP, U/l</td>
<td>19.4±6.3</td>
<td>25.7±14.9</td>
<td>34.1±31.3</td>
<td>46.5±41.9</td>
<td>58.6±59.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine, mg/dl</td>
<td>10.2±2.9</td>
<td>11.1±2.8</td>
<td>11.1±2.9</td>
<td>11.2±2.8</td>
<td>11.8±2.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dl</td>
<td>10.3±1.2</td>
<td>10.9±5.6</td>
<td>10.5±1.3</td>
<td>10.5±1.1</td>
<td>10.5±1.2</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin, g/dl</td>
<td>3.6±0.6</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>3.7±0.4</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dl</td>
<td>155±38</td>
<td>154±35</td>
<td>155±37</td>
<td>155±46</td>
<td>153±33</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-reactive protein, mg/dl</td>
<td>0.7±1.8</td>
<td>0.5±1.2</td>
<td>0.4±1.3</td>
<td>0.5±1.2</td>
<td>0.5±2.2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV vitamin D use, %</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcitriol, %</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxacalcitol, %</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV vitamin D dose, μg/week1</td>
<td>1.90±1.20</td>
<td>1.95±1.16</td>
<td>2.10±1.47</td>
<td>2.12±1.68</td>
<td>2.47±1.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral vitamin D use, %</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcaltoriol, %</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcitriol, %</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfacalcidol, %</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate binder use, %</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium carbonate, %</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevelamer hydrochloride, %</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysate calcium, mEq/l</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.7±0.2</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>2.8±0.3</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 mEq/l, %</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 mEq/l, %</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, %</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of PTx, %</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of PEIT, %</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of PDIT, %</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALP = Alkaline phosphatase; BAP = bone-specific alkaline phosphatase; BMI = body mass index; CVD = cardiovascular disease; ESRD = end-stage renal disease; IV = intravenous; JSDT = Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy; PDIT = percutaneous vitamin D injection therapy; PEIT = percutaneous ethanol injection therapy; PTH = parathyroid hormone; PTx = parathyroidectomy.

*p value refers to overall ANOVA or χ² analysis. ¹ Vitamin D doses were calcitriol dose equivalents. 1.5 μg of calcitriol = 10 μg of maxacalcitol.
Severity of SHPT and Mineral Metabolism Management

The proportions of patients achieving the JSDT targets for serum calcium (8.4–10.0 mg/dl) and phosphorus (3.5–6.0 mg/dl) were 64.7 and 62.7%, respectively, and 40.9% of patients achieved both targets simultaneously (table 3). The proportions of patients achieving both the serum calcium and phosphorus targets were 47.8% for those with intact PTH 60–180 pg/ml, 48.3% for those with intact PTH 181–300 pg/ml, 35.2% for those with intact PTH 301–500 pg/ml, and 25.0% for those with intact PTH >500 pg/ml (p < 0.001). In a subgroup analysis that excluded patients receiving VDRAs, the corresponding percentages were 46.3% for those with intact PTH 60–180 pg/ml, 48.3% for those with intact PTH 181–300 pg/ml, 37.4% for those with intact PTH 301–500 pg/ml, and 30.9% for those with intact PTH >500 pg/ml (p = 0.007). Logistic regression analysis showed that severe SHPT was associated with a lower likelihood of...
achieving the JSDT targets for serum calcium and phosphorus in crude, case-mix adjusted and fully adjusted models (fig. 3). The results were unchanged in analyses that used the KDIGO or KDOQI PTH category (online suppl. fig. 3, 4).

**History of PTx and Mineral Metabolism Management**

Compared with patients who had not undergone surgical PTx despite high PTH levels (>500 pg/ml), a greater proportion of patients with a history of successful PTx achieved the targets for serum calcium and phosphorus simultaneously (25.5 and 38.6%, respectively; p = 0.013). Logistic regression analysis showed that a history of successful PTx was associated with a higher likelihood of achieving the targets for serum calcium and phosphorus in all analysis models (fig. 4). These results were materially unchanged when the threshold for surgical PTx specified in the KDOQI guidelines (intact PTH >800 pg/ml) was applied (data not shown).

**Dialysate Calcium Concentration and Practice Patterns of CKD-MBD**

Patient characteristics according to the dialysate calcium concentration, 2.5 or 3.0 mEq/l, are shown in table 4. Serum calcium levels were significantly lower in patients using 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate, whereas intact PTH and phosphorus levels were comparable between patients on 2.5 calcium dialysate and those on 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate. The use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate was associated with increased use of calcium carbonate and high doses of intravenous VDRAs. The proportion of patients receiving sevelamer hydrochloride was comparable between groups.

Both serum calcium and phosphorus levels were within the target ranges in 43.4% of patients using 2.5 mEq/l...
calcium dialysate and 38.7% of patients using 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate (p = 0.010). Logistic regression analysis showed that the use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate was associated with a higher likelihood of achieving the JSDT targets for serum calcium and phosphorus in all analysis models (fig. 5).

**Discussion**

The MBD-5D is a multicenter, prospective observational study among hemodialysis patients with SHPT in Japan [29]. This paper describes the baseline characteristics of patients participating in the study. The results revealed that the proportion of patients who achieved the JSDT targets for serum calcium and phosphorus still remains low, particularly in patients with higher PTH levels. Progression of SHPT was independently associated with increased difficulty in controlling serum calcium and phosphorus, whereas a history of PTx for severe SHPT was associated with improved control of these variables. It was also found that as compared with 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate, the use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate was associated with enhanced use of calcium carbonate and intravenous VDRAs, and related to increased proportion of patients achieving the targets for serum calcium and phosphorus.

It is well known that the development of SHPT, characterized by elevated PTH secretion, contributes to increased risk for bone fractures [1–3] and cardiovascular diseases [3–8]. Previous studies showed that high PTH damages cardiac myocytes [31] and contributes to the development of uremic cardiomyopathy [32]. However, recent works suggest that elevations in serum calcium and phosphorus that accompany SHPT play a more critical role in the development of vascular calcification rather than PTH itself [9, 10]. Analyses from several large dialysis databases provide support for this notion, demonstrating that serum calcium and phosphorus levels are more closely associated with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality risks than PTH levels are [3–8]. Based on these data, many guidelines released in recent years recommend giving priority to the control of serum calcium and phosphorus rather than PTH levels [11–13].

In this regard, our findings that progression of SHPT is associated with increased difficulty in managing serum calcium and phosphorus are worthy of consideration. This suggests that halting progression of SHPT is crucial to adequately control serum calcium and phosphorus and thereby improve survival, even though PTH has only a limited independent effect on outcomes as reported in previous observational studies [3–8]. In this study, we also found an association between a history of successful PTx and improved control of serum calcium and phosphorus, which further bolsters the hypothesis that the degree of SHPT has a considerable impact on the management of mineral metabolism. Recent observational data suggest that PTx for severe SHPT improves...
survival at least in the long term [33]; these positive effects by PTx on survival may be attributed to improved control of serum calcium and phosphorus after the surgery, as well as marked reduction in PTH levels.

Abnormalities in calcium and phosphorus metabolism in SHPT are not only caused by high PTH levels, but also by therapeutic measures used to manage it. VDRAs enhance the intestinal absorption of calcium and phosphorus, and their use to manage SHPT raises serum calcium and phosphorus levels [16]. In this study, we showed that the development and progression of SHPT is associated with practical difficulty in managing serum calcium and phosphorus, and notably, this was also the case with patients who were not treated with VDRAs. This finding suggests that SHPT causes abnormal mineral metabolism independently of the effects of VDRAs, presumably by directly affecting bone to increase calcium and phosphate efflux.

This study also showed that dialysate calcium concentration, 2.5 or 3.0 mEq/l, affect the practice patterns and controllability of mineral metabolism in CKD-MBD. Compared with 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate, the use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate was associated with increased use of calcium carbonate and high doses of VDRAs, while improving achievement of the JSDT targets for serum calcium and phosphorus. Theoretically, it is possible that the use of 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate resulted in a tendency towards lower serum calcium compared with 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate, which may have enabled the administration of calcium carbonate, leading to improved management of serum phosphorus. Possibly for the same reason, the doses of VDRAs might have been increased in patients on 2.5 mEq/l calcium dialysate compared with those on 3.0 mEq/l calcium dialysate [34]. Recent retrospective data suggest a possible link between the use of VDRAs and improved survival [35–37]. Whether the increased use of VDRAs as well as improved management of mineral metabolism associated with the use of 2.5 calcium dialysate provides a survival benefit, or, rather, higher dose of VDRAs and calcium-based binders limit the survival advantage predicted by a better biochemical control should be investigated in future studies.

In this study, we also examined baseline serum ALP levels among patients in the MBD-5D, showing that 22.9% of patients had serum ALP >350 U/l (approximately the upper limit of the reference ranges). It is well known that serum ALP can be used as a biochemical marker of high-turnover bone disease [38]. In addition, recent epidemiological studies have shown an increased risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality associated with higher serum ALP in hemodialysis patients [39, 40]. It is also reported that higher serum ALP was associated with coronary artery calcification in hemodialysis patients [41]. Although definitive evidence remains to be provided, these data lead to the hypothesis that serum ALP could be a potential biomarker to guide treatment of CKD-MBD. The MBD-5D will determine whether lower serum ALP is also associated with better survival in Japanese hemodialysis patients.

Our study has several important limitations. First, this was a baseline analysis of a prospective cohort study, and the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow us to determine the causal direction between the severity of SHPT and difficulty in managing mineral metabolism. Thus, although we used rigorous statistical methods to adjust for baseline differences between patients, we cannot exclude the possibility that poor control of mineral metabolism contributes to the development of SHPT.

Second, all study participants in this study were Japanese patients and their SHPT were mostly treated according to the JSDT guideline. We do not, however, consider that our results should be limited to Japanese patients. In Japan, laboratory measurements are usually performed following the longest interdialytic period; thus, the slightly higher target range for serum phosphorus in the JSDT guideline can be considered to be identical to that of the KDOQI guidelines [42]. Also, the upper limit of the target range for serum calcium in the JSDT guideline is slightly higher than that of the KDOQI guidelines; however, recent clinical data suggest that serum calcium in this range is associated with lowest mortality in the US population [43]. Finally, and most importantly, the target range for intact PTH in the JSDT guideline is substantially lower than that of the KDOQI and the KDIGO guideline. Although, whether such a lower target for intact PTH actually provides a survival benefit remains to be determined, our sensitivity analysis showed that the use of PTH category according to the KDIGO or KDOQI guidelines did not alter the association between the severity of SHPT and mineral metabolism management. Collectively, the results of this study would provide important implications for the management of SHPT worldwide, although our findings should be confirmed by international studies, such as the DOPPS and the Current Management of Secondary Hyperparathyroidism: A Multicenter Observational Study (COSMOS) [44].

In conclusion, this baseline analysis of the MBD-5D suggests that the severity of SHPT is associated with practical difficulty in managing mineral metabolism in CKD and that PTx for advanced SHPT leads to improved con-
control of serum calcium and phosphorus. Dialysate calcium concentration also appears to substantially affect practice patterns for CKD-MBD and controllability of mineral metabolism. Further prospective investigation will determine associations between practice patterns for CKD-MBD and improved patient-level outcomes in Japan, which will provide important perspectives for future clinical trials and clinical practice guidelines worldwide.
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