Duodenal Bleeding from Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma
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Abstract
Massive upper gastrointestinal bleeding due to malignancy is relatively uncommon and the duodenum is the least frequently involved site. Duodenal metastasis is rare in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and early detection, especially in case of a solitary mass, helps in planning further therapy. We report a case of intractable upper gastrointestinal bleeding from metastatic RCC to the duodenum. The patient presented with melena and anemia, 13 years after nephrectomy for RCC. On esophagogastroduodenoscopy, a submucosal mass was noted in the duodenum, biopsies of which revealed metastatic RCC. In conclusion, metastasis from RCC should be considered in nephrectomized patients presenting with gastrointestinal symptoms and a complete evaluation, especially endoscopic examination followed by biopsy, is suggested.

Introduction
Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has a potential to metastasize to almost any site. In descending order of frequency, the most common sites of metastasis are the lung, lymph nodes, liver, bones, adrenal glands, kidneys, brain, heart, spleen, intestine, and skin [1]. It can involve any part of the bowel and accounts for 7.1% of all metastatic tumors to the small intestine [2]. Duodenal metastasis from RCC is very uncommon and only few cases have been described in the literature (table 1). Also duodenal metastasis generally occurs when there is widespread nodal and visceral involvement and evidence of metastatic disease elsewhere in the body. Commonly, renal cell metastases present many years after initial treatment, with recurrences reported up to 17.5 years after initial surgery [3]. Most cases of duodenal metastasis from RCC present with upper gastrointestinal bleeding or obstructive symptoms, and sequelae may include anemia, melena, fatigue, and early satiety. Several treatments of solitary RCC metastasis have been reported. These include a variety of surgical and interventional therapy options that have been shown to provide

Tarun Rustagi, MD
Department of Internal Medicine, University of Connecticut
263 Farmington Avenue, Farmington, CT 06032 (USA)
Tel. +1 860 221 4034, E-Mail trustagi@resident.uchc.edu
effective survival benefits. Here we report on a patient with solitary duodenal metastasis who presented with gastrointestinal bleeding 13 years after nephrectomy.

Case Report

A 66-year-old male presented with progressively worsening shortness of breath, fatigue and generalized weakness for the last 3 weeks. He reported black tarry stools for about 3–4 days prior to admission. He also complained of loss of appetite and 15 pounds unintentional weight loss over the last few weeks. He denied nausea, vomiting, or abdominal pain. There was no history of recent use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. His past medical history was significant for hypertension, bilateral RCC status post right nephrectomy and partial left nephrectomy done 13 years earlier, ileocolectomy for perforated cecal diverticulitis, and prostate cancer treated with radiation. He had a 50 pack year history of smoking, but denied any alcohol use. On physical exam, he was orthostatic and appeared pale. Pertinent physical findings included melanoctic stools. Abdominal examination was unremarkable. No signs of chronic liver disease were noted.

Laboratory investigations on admission were significant for microcytic hypochromic anemia with hemoglobin 5 g/dl, hematocrit 16.8%, MCV 72 fl and MCH 21.7 pg/l. Liver enzymes were within normal range. Esophagogastroduodenoscopy showed an actively bleeding, 4 cm irregular, polypoid, ulcerative mass in the second portion of the duodenum, adjacent to but not involving the papilla (fig. 1). Biopsies were obtained from this mass, following which the patient started having severe bleeding from the lesion. Endoscopic interventions to control the bleeding were unsuccessful. Visceral angiography was done which demonstrated no extravasation or pseudoaneurysm in the duodenal vascular distribution or pancreaticoduodenal arcade. However, empirical angiographic embolization of the gastroduodenal artery was performed. Despite these endoscopic and radiologic embolization attempts, bleeding continued, requiring another endoscopic procedure when the lesion was treated with argon plasma coagulation with successful control of the bleeding. The patient received a total transfusion of 11 units of packed red blood cells during his hospital course. Histopathology of the biopsies from the duodenal mass during endoscopy revealed metastatic RCC.

Further investigations included a computed tomography scan of the abdomen which showed an ill-defined mass measuring 5.4 × 3.3 cm at the junction of the second and third portion of the duodenum, adjacent to but not involving the head of the pancreas (fig. 2). There was no evidence of liver or visceral metastasis, and the visceral vessels and lymph nodes appeared normal. Ultrasound of the abdomen showed normal pancreaticobiliary system with no common bile duct dilatation suggestive of obstruction.

Subsequently, the patient underwent exploratory laparotomy which revealed a 7 × 4 cm mass in the second portion of the duodenum. There was no evidence of malignant ascites, carcinomatosis, omental implants, or involvement of the pancreas or liver. He underwent pylorus-sparing pancreaticoduodenectomy, choledochojejunostomy, handsewn pancreaticojejunostomy and gastrojejunostomy with removal of the mass. Biopsies from the mass during endoscopy and surgical pathology were consistent with RCC. Postoperatively, the patient’s hospital course was complicated by leakage of the pancreaticojejunostomy fistula and sepsis, and he died two weeks later.

Gross examination of the surgical specimen revealed a 2.0 × 1.5 × 0.8 cm tan-red polypoid, pedunculated tumor protruding into the duodenum approximately 0.5 cm away from the ampulla of Vater. The tumor appeared confined grossly to the mucosa and submucosa of the duodenum and did not appear to extend into or through the muscularis. There was no involvement of the pancreas. Eight lymph nodes were identified in the resection specimen, and all were negative for metastatic tumor with successful excision of all involved structures with clear margins and with no lymph node involvement.

Microscopically, the tumor was composed of clear cells arranged in a trabecular and alveolar pattern (fig. 3). Immunohistochemically the tumor was positive for vimentin, CD10, AE1/AE3 and epithelial membrane antigen and negative for CK7, CK20 and PNRA (fig. 4). Histopathological features and immunostaining findings were compatible with a diagnosis of metastatic RCC of clear cell type.
Discussion

Gastrointestinal tract metastases are a rare cause of massive gastrointestinal bleeding. Small bowel involvement by metastatic tumors is rare and has been reported in only 1–2% of autopsy cases [2, 4]. Common metastatic malignancies known to involve the small bowel are melanomas, lung cancer, cervical carcinomas, RCCs, thyroid carcinomas, hepatomas and Merkel cell carcinomas. RCC metastases account for 7.1% of these lesions [2]. Graham reported that only 4% of RCCs metastasized to the small intestine [5]. Solitary duodenal metastasis from RCC is exceedingly rare and most frequently involves the periampullary region or the duodenal bulb [6, 7].

We have summarized the reported cases of duodenal metastasis from RCC in table 1. We have included patients with the bulk of the gross tumor in the duodenal or ampullary regions, distinct from pancreatic involvement. The majority of patients were found to have metastasis within 1 year after nephrectomy though it could be seen even after several years [8]. The mean duration post nephrectomy to diagnosis of these solitary duodenal metastases was 7.9 ± 4.7 years (median 8 years). The range was 0 to 13 years, with one patient presenting with a synchronous metastasis. Males were more commonly affected (male:female ratio 3:1) and the incidence of metastasis increased with age [7]. Mean age at diagnosis of duodenal metastasis was 60.7 ± 14 years (median age 63 years, range 16–86 years).

The natural history of RCC is unpredictable. Disease eradication and cure are possible after nephrectomy; however, there is also the possibility of a long period of disease latency, followed by recurrence of metastatic disease at unsuspected anatomic locations. RCC can metastasize via the lymphatic or hematogenous route, as well as by peritoneal dissemination or direct invasion into adjacent anatomic structures [8]. The duodenum is an exceptionally rare site of metastasis in RCC, which is perhaps counterintuitive given its retroperitoneal proximity to the right kidney, though a majority (around 70%) occur from the right kidney.

The most common clinical presentation of gastrointestinal metastases of RCC is gastrointestinal bleeding, resulting from the invasion of intestinal vessels by the neoplastic disease and/or intestinal obstruction [7, 9]. Most of the patients with solitary duodenal RCC metastasis present with gastrointestinal bleeding (69%), anemia and fatigue, whereas others present with early satiety, bowel obstruction, abdominal pain or jaundice from biliary obstruction [4, 7, 10, 11]. Patients post nephrectomy for RCC presenting with gastrointestinal symptoms should undergo complete diagnostic work-up with both endoscopic and radiologic evaluation, for detection and evaluation of the extent of metastatic disease. On endoscopy the lesion can be seen as a submucosal mass with ulceration of the tip, multiple nodules of varying sizes or raised plaques [12]. Endoscopic biopsy of suspicious lesions provides tissue for histologic diagnosis of metastasis and helps to distinguish primary gastrointestinal malignancy from metastatic disease.

The treatment options in a case of solitary duodenal RCC metastasis depend upon the extent and location of the lesion and therapy must be individually tailored. Procedures ranging from classic pancreateicoduodenectomy (Whipple procedure) to interventional embolization have been reported (table 1). Any patient with solitary metastatic RCC to the duodenum should be considered a candidate for complete surgical excision if medically and technically feasible, both for palliation of symptoms and because
it provides the opportunity for meaningful disease-free survival. Therapeutic goals include complete metastatectomy whenever surgically feasible. A curative role for pancreaticoduodenectomy in patients with solitary duodenal metastasis has been reported and has been shown to improve survival [11, 13–16]. Hemostasis of gastrointestinal bleeding occurring due to metastasis or invasion of malignant tumor is hard to manage endoscopically, and data on endoscopic therapy of bleeding from these duodenal lesions are limited. In selected cases, intractable gastrointestinal bleeding can be treated with arterial embolization of tumor-supplying arteries that has been reported to control gastrointestinal bleeding effectively, but there are no long-term follow-up data [17–19]. However, embolotherapy is only palliative while the tumor develops other collateral vessels and has potential for re-bleeding [20]. Also, in these cases, the physician should keep in mind that embolization for control of hemorrhage in the small bowel carries a significant risk of bowel infarction. For disseminated malignancy, treatment is mainly supportive and palliative, in the form of palliative surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy or immune-stimulating agents (interleukin-2) [10, 21, 22]. Patients with metastatic disease have poor survival despite the above treatment. The average survival is about 4 months and only 10% of them survive for 1 year [23].

This case report highlights the importance of vigilance and high index of suspicion in post nephrectomy patients upon presentation of new clinical symptoms. Appropriate awareness, recognition and aggressive work-up of gastrointestinal symptoms in patients post nephrectomy for RCC are of paramount importance.
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### Table 1. Previously reported cases of solitary renal cell carcinoma metastatic to the duodenum/ampulla

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Duration post nephrectomy (years)</th>
<th>Location of metastasis</th>
<th>Presenting symptoms</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rustagi et al. (current)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>66/M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding, fatigue, weight loss</td>
<td>embolization and PPPD</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamo et al. [4]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>86/F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding, fatigue, weight loss</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhatia et al. [10]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>50/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>jaundice, abdominal mass</td>
<td>diagnostic only</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo et al. [24]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>75/F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arroyo et al. [24]</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loualidi et al. [6]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>76/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>palliative radiotherapy</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavlakis et al. [7]</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>65/M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>obstruction</td>
<td>intestinal resection</td>
<td>9 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawh et al. [25]</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>53/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>duodenectomy and embolization</td>
<td>4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabi et al. [26]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>obstruction with bilious vomiting, abdominal pain</td>
<td>gastrojejunostomy</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hashimoto et al. [27]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>57/M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>PPPD</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sohn et al. [14]</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>–/–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>22 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Borgne et al. [13]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>53 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Borgne et al. [13]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>72/F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohmura et al. [20]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>62/M</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>obstruction</td>
<td>embolization and local resection</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janzen et al. [3]</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>75/M</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>duodenectomy, total pancreatectomy</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastaca Mateo et al. [28]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>anemia, fatigue, weight loss</td>
<td>duodenectomy</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie et al. [29]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>78/F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>GI bleeding, weight loss, abdominal discomfort, pruritus</td>
<td>PPPD</td>
<td>30 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leslie et al. [29]</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>53/M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>GI bleeding, weight loss, pruritus</td>
<td>PPPD</td>
<td>78 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venu et al. [30]</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>64/M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>GI bleeding, fatigue</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robertson &amp; Gertler [31]</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>70/M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>ampulla</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch-Nyhan et al. [17]</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>16/M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>embolization</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch-Nyhan et al. [17]</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>61/M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>jaundice</td>
<td>embolization</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynch-Nyhan et al. [17]</td>
<td>1987</td>
<td>67/M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McNichols et al. [32]</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>52/M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>malabsorption</td>
<td>diagnostic only</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heymann &amp; Vieta [9]</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>64/M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding</td>
<td>complex procedure</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolia &amp; Whitmore [33]</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>–/–</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawson et al. [34]</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>69/F</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>duodenum</td>
<td>GI bleeding, anemia</td>
<td>classic Whipple</td>
<td>8 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PPPD = Pylorus-preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy.
Fig. 1. Endoscopic image showing a 4 cm polypoidal mass in the second part of the duodenum. This mass was actively bleeding and appeared irregular, ulcerative and friable giving it a 'malignant appearance'.

Fig. 2. Computed tomography scan of the abdomen showing a 5.4 × 3.3 cm mass at the junction of the second and third part of the duodenum: axial view (a) and sagittal view (b). The mass is noted to be adjacent but not involving the head of the pancreas. No evidence of hepatic or visceral metastasis is seen and no lymphadenopathy is noted.
Fig. 3. Histopathology of the resected mass showing large polygonal clear cells arranged in a trabecular and alveolar pattern, yielding a diagnosis of RCC of clear cell type.

Fig. 4. Immunohistochemical staining demonstrates clear cells positive for vimentin. Immunostaining was also positive for CD10, AE1/AE3 and epithelial membrane antigen and negative for CK7, CK20 or PNRA markers, confirming the diagnosis of RCC of clear cell type.
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