Senile Nephrosclerosis – Does It Explain the Decline in Glomerular Filtration Rate with Aging?
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Among cardiovascular risk factors, estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) is the most strongly correlated with age ($r = -0.76$) \cite{1}. Consequently, a large proportion of elderly persons have an estimated GFR less than 60 ml/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}, which has been used to define chronic kidney disease (CKD) \cite{2}. As a consequence of this CKD classification scheme, age-related decline in GFR is viewed as a clinical kidney disease. There is no distinction made between GFR decline with age in healthy populations (e.g. kidney donors) from GFR decline with age due to specific disease processes more common in the elderly (e.g. diabetic nephropathy). Reduced GFR predicts increased mortality, but there is also effect modification by age. Specifically, the threshold for increased mortality risk occurs at a lower estimated GFR in older compared to younger adults \cite{3}. This raises the following questions: are all reductions in GFR with age reflective of underlying pathological injury in the kidney? In particular, does a decline in GFR by 10% with aging occur because 10% of the glomeruli become globally sclerosed? The serial renal histology for an age-related decline in GFR would be informative, but the vast majority of persons with mild to moderate reductions in GFR (45–89 ml/min/1.73 m\textsuperscript{2})
never undergo a renal biopsy. The rate of renal biopsy in
the general population is approximately 2 per 10,000 per-
sion-years and is limited to a select group of patients [4, 5].
Thus, the underlying renal parenchymal characteristics
for most with presumed CKD in the general population (13% of adults) [2] is unclear, creating uncertainty with
systematically considering all GFR decline as kidney dis-

ease.

The morphologic changes seen in the aging kidney
can be described as nephrosclerosis, a term that often re-
fers to parenchymal changes with hypertension. The clas-
ic textbook, Robbins and Cotran Pathological Basis of
Disease, distinguishes ‘benign’ from ‘malignant’ nephro-
sclerosis, with the latter characterized by onion-skinning
of arteries secondary to accelerated hypertension. Benign
nephrosclerosis is described as ‘the renal pathology asso-
ciated with sclerosis of renal arterioles and small arteries.
The resultant effect is focal ischemia of parenchyma
supplied by vessels with thickened walls and consequent
narrowed lumens. The parenchymal effects include glo-
merulosclerosis and chronic tubulointerstitial injury,
producing a reduction in functional renal mass’ [6]. How-
ever, there is uncertainty as to whether luminal narrow-
ing from arteriosclerosis is actually causal for glomerulo-
sclerosis or whether other undefined factors lead to both
[7]. Systematic study of nephrosclerosis has been difficult
as the entity is often tied to hypertension even though the
same histological findings occur in normotensive older
adults [8–10]. Moreover, nephrosclerosis in the aging kid-
ney is not necessarily related only to blood pressure and
vascular disease.

Nephrosclerosis can be identified in kidneys by sev-
eral different methods: gross appearance of a leathery
granular kidney surface at autopsy, reduced kidney vol-
ume on an imaging study, or histologic findings from a
cortical renal biopsy. On renal histology, glomeruloscle-
rosis, tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis and arterioscle-
rosis often occur together, and become more common
with aging (fig. 1, 2) [7, 10]. An operational definition for
nephrosclerosis is the presence of two or more of these
chronic histological abnormalities on a sectioned stan-
dard needle core biopsy [10]. These microanatomical
changes of tubular atrophy and glomerulosclerosis with
aging may account for the macroanatomical reduction in
kidney size by 10% per decade of age seen on the com-
puted tomographic scans of adults (fig. 3) [11].

Global glomerulosclerosis with aging may seem par-
ticularly relevant to the decline in GFR with aging. After
all, GFR is the sum of the single-nephron GFRs for all
functioning glomeruli, which would exclude globally scler-
osed glomeruli. The process leading to global glomeru-
losclerosis as a result of vascular compromise is not fully
understood. Affected glomeruli develop increased base-
ment membrane thickening and wrinkling and there is
shrinkage of the glomerular tuft towards the vascular pole
on light microscopy, along with periglomerular fibrosis
[12]. There is eventual global sclerosis of the glomerular
tuft with collagen deposition that fills Bowman’s space [9].
There may be eventual absorption of these sclerosed glo-
meruli since the total number of glomeruli in the kidney
decreases with older age [13]. Alternatively, sclerotic glo-
meruli may be under-represented on sections due to their
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smaller size. This process is further complicated by substantial variability in the number of glomeruli (210,332–1,825,380) with which a person is born [14]. There is also evidence that the remaining nonsclerotic glomeruli hypertrophy to increase single-nephron GFR [15]. The mechanism of this compensatory glomerulomegaly with aging is not fully understood, but may itself lead to glomerular hypertension and further glomerulosclerosis [16].

Podocyte injury may also contribute to glomerulosclerosis, particularly since the normal resident podocyte does not undergo cell division [17]. In a rat transgenic model with podocytes expressing the human diphtheria toxin receptor, podocyte depletion by exposure to diphtheria toxin caused segmental and global glomerulosclerosis [18]. Podocyte hypertrophy followed by podocytopenia occurs with age in a rat model [12]. A human study found no change in the number of podocytes with age, but the fraction of podocytes compared to the total cell number in glomeruli decreased with age [19]. There is evidence of podocyte regeneration via stem cells in disease states such as crescentic glomerulonephritis, but podocyte regeneration may be inadequate in the aging glomerulus [20].

**Fig. 2.** The average (median) histology for 20-year-old kidney donors show no chronic histological abnormalities (a) and for 70-year-old kidney donors shows 2 different chronic histological abnormalities (b) (in this example, global glomerulosclerosis and arteriosclerosis) [10].

**Fig. 3.** Renal size by age among 360 adults without kidney disease (30 men and 30 women in each of 6 age groups). Results normalized to 100% in the right kidney of the 20- to 29-year age group [11]. Reprinted with permission from the American Journal of Roentgenology.
Living kidney donors provide a unique opportunity to gain insights into age-related changes in the renal parenchyma and to relate these changes to clinical characteristics, particularly GFR, urine albumin excretion, and blood pressure. This can be done at transplantation programs that obtain implantation biopsies of the renal allograft during the transplantation surgery. The implantation biopsy can be related to age and other characteristics of a population in generally good health. In addition, the functional and morphological changes of the remaining kidney after nephrectomy allow systematic study of the adaptive response to stress in older compared to younger kidneys [21–23]. For example, there is less compensatory increase in the volume of the remaining kidney after donation in older compared to younger donors [22]. There may be some disconnection between kidney morphology and GFR in healthy adults as the compensatory change in the remaining kidney volume does not correlate with the compensatory change in the remaining kidney GFR [22].

At the Mayo Clinic, living kidney donors across 6 decades were studied and found to have a linear GFR decline of 6.3 ml/min/1.73 m² per decade of age [10], comparable to the decline of 7.5 ml/min per decade of age reported in a longitudinal study [24]. The prevalence of nephrosclerosis (two or more findings of glomerulosclerosis, tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis or arteriosclerosis on renal biopsy) in this population increased linearly from 2.7% in 20-year-olds to 73% in 70-year-olds. Yet the decline in GFR with age did not differ between donors with or without nephrosclerosis on the kidney biopsy. In fact, age differences in GFR, treated hypertension, nocturnal blood pressure, urine albumin excretion, family history of end-stage renal disease, body mass index, serum cholesterol, glucose, and uric acid also failed to account for this dramatic increase in nephrosclerosis with aging [10]. There are three hypotheses that may explain these findings. First, sampling error with a biopsy of limited tissue [25] may fail to detect nephrosclerosis with adequate precision. Senile nephrosclerosis may occur universally, but the probability of detection on a renal biopsy depends on severity, which increases with age. Second, the decline in GFR with aging may represent a renal response to nonrenal pathology. In particular, less GFR may be needed to process metabolic waste because of age-related sarcopenia [26]. Third, the decline in GFR with aging could reflect reabsorption of glomeruli or other pathological changes in the kidney not detected by standard light-microscopic evaluation of a renal biopsy.

This last option has been explored in detail. The glomerular ultrafiltration coefficient (Kf), which reflects the product of glomerular capillary surface area and glomerular water permeability, appears to decline with age. The two kidney Kf estimated from GFR, renal blood flow, plasma oncotic pressure and an assumed constant transcapillary hydraulic pressure gradient were 21% lower in older compared to younger healthy adults. Likewise, the single-nephron Kf estimated from structural characteristics determined from light microscopy (glomerular volume), electron microscopy (filtration surface density and filtration slit frequency) and functional studies in rats (water permeability of endothelium, basement membrane and epithelium) was 30% lower in older compared to younger kidney donors. A decreased filtration surface density and filtration slit frequency with aging suggest that a loss in water permeability within normal-appearing glomeruli may explain some of the decline in GFR with aging [27]. The occurrence of pathological changes in the kidney with age that are not readily apparent is supported by adverse changes in the recipient kidney allograft being predicted by donor age independent of the implantation biopsy histology by light microscopy [28].

However, more recent work suggests older age leads to a higher rather than lower single-nephron Kf [29]. In a study where the mean percentage global glomerulosclerosis was 2% in younger donors compared to 17% in older donors, the single-nephron Kf was 29% higher in older donors compared to younger donors. This adaptive response of hypertrophy and hyperfiltration of normal glomeruli in the aging kidney more than compensated for the global glomerulosclerosis with aging [29]. The increased size of nonsclerotic glomeruli in older kidney donors also correlates with the percentage global glomerulosclerosis present [30]. Thus, it is difficult to attribute the decline in GFR with aging to glomerulosclerosis alone. One possibility is that extensive glomerular reabsorption occurs with aging, leading to a decreased number of functioning glomeruli as estimated by two-kidney Kf divided by single-nephron Kf [21]. However, after nephrectomy, the one-kidney GFR increased by approximately 40% in both older and younger donors due to a proportional increase in the one-kidney Kf [21, 31]. While the GFR reserve capacity prior to donation is similar between older and younger donors, there does appear to be a loss of this reserve after donation in older donors [23]. If the remaining kidney in older donors can increase Kf in response to donation, why does the aging kidney not increase Kf to prevent GFR decline with aging? Since nonsclerotic glomeruli can increase their filtration rate in response to a nephrectomy, this again raises the possibility that some of the GFR decline with aging may be explained by extrarenal factors.
The factors that control GFR at levels seemingly higher than needed for clearance of metabolic waste are not fully understood [32]. The indexing of GFR to body surface area has the implicit assumption that body surface area is the best surrogate for the metabolic waste cleared by the kidney. However, measures of nitrogen waste may be better surrogates for the metabolic waste the kidney clears. Both young and elderly healthy adults increase their GFR by about 17% with infusion of amino acids [33]. Urinary creatinine clearance is highly correlated with urinary urea nitrogen excretion and protein intake, both of which decline with age [34]. If GFR were indexed to metabolic rate or urine urea nitrogen instead of body surface area, much of the decline in GFR with normal aging would be attenuated [34, 35].

In conclusion, existing data do not support the viewpoint that the decline in GFR seen with normal aging is explained by a disease (nephrosclerosis) that occurs in many but not all the elderly. Nephrosclerosis could account for the decline in GFR with aging only if this process occurred universally, but then senescence rather than disease would better describe this process. Alternatively, structural changes (e.g. decreased filtration slit frequency) in nonsclerotic glomeruli or extrarenal factors could contribute to GFR decline with aging. Clearly, further studies are needed to understand age-related changes in renal morphology and function. This could have substantial implications on our understanding and management of CKD in the elderly.
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