High-Grade Breast Epithelioid Angiosarcoma Secondary to Radiotherapy Metastasizing to the Contralateral Lymph Node: Unusual Presentation and Potential Pitfall

Ana Luísa Cunha   Isabel Amendoa
Department of Pathology, Hospital de S. João, Porto, Portugal

Keywords
Breast-conserving surgery · Radiotherapy · Epithelioid angiosarcoma · Lymph node metastasis

Summary
Background: Breast angiosarcoma is a rare disease occurring as primary tumour or secondary to lymphoedema or radiotherapy. The more frequent use of breast-conserving therapy and radiotherapy for breast carcinoma explains the increasing diagnosis of these tumours. Case Report: We report a case of a breast epithelioid angiosarcoma which metastasized to the contralateral axillary lymph node, occurring 4 years after breast-conserving therapy with axillary lymph node dissection and radiotherapy. The patient presented skin lesions and an axillary lump (clinically diagnosed as carcinoma relapse and lymph node metastasis). Fine-needle cytology on both lesions and a core needle biopsy of the axillary lump were carried out. Differential diagnosis included carcinoma, malignant melanoma, and angiosarcoma. Immunohistochemistry confirmed the diagnosis of angiosarcoma. Conclusions: Breast angiosarcoma is a challenge – clinically, radiologically and pathologically – and requires a high index of suspicion in susceptible patients.

Introduction
Breast-conserving surgery (BCS) followed by radiotherapy (RT) is now a common option for breast cancer treatment. Postirradiation vascular proliferations are a growing diagnostic problem ranging from atypical vascular lesions to angiosarcoma [1]. Breast angiosarcoma (BAS) accounts for 0.05% of all breast malignancies [2] and can be primary or secondary to radiotherapy or lymphoedema. The relative risk for developing angiosarcoma of the chest and/or breast among women with a history of invasive breast cancer has been estimated to be 11.6 [2]. The incidence of postradiation BAS has increased [1, 3], and data suggest that its frequency is significantly higher than previously thought [3]. We report a case of high-grade (epithelioid) angiosarcoma arising in the skin following BCS and RT, with contralateral axillary lymph node metastases.
Case Report

A 55-year-old woman presented with a 4-cm lump in the left axilla. 4 months earlier, she had been examined in our hospital for evaluation of scattered skin lesions on her right thorax and breast, near a breast surgery scar (fig. 1). 6 years earlier, she had been treated for a right breast invasive ductal carcinoma. As the tumour at presentation was 6 cm in width, it was decided to begin with neoadjuvant chemotherapy (CT) followed by BCS and RT. The resection specimen showed a good response to CT with tumoural regression leaving less than 10% of malignant cells and ductal carcinoma in situ with changes secondary to therapy; vascular invasion was seen. A total of 14 axillary lymph nodes were dissected, 5 with metastases and response to CT. The tumour was classified as ypT2N2MxR0 according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM classification (6th edition). Skin lesions (clinically a carcinoma relapse) and axillary lump were both submitted to fine-needle aspiration (FNA) cytology. Smears were haematic with scattered lymphocytes and numerous isolated neoplastic large cells (high N/C ratio, round nuclei, irregular nuclear contour with reinforced nuclear membrane and fine chromatin); the nucleoli were prominent (fig. 2 A). Some binucleated cells, cell-in-cell structures, and groups of packed cells could be seen. Core needle biopsy and histological examination of the axillary lump revealed a metastatic solid proliferation with rare slit-like and papillary structures of epithelioid cells with haemorrhagic foci (figs. 2 B and C) – similar to the FNA smear cells. The mitotic index was high. Differential diagnoses were carcinoma, malignant melanoma, and angiosarcoma. Tumour cells were diffusely positive for CD31 and negative for CAM5.2, CK7, HMB45, S100 protein, oestrogen receptor, and progesterone receptor, confirming the diagnosis of angiosarcoma. The patient was treated with CT. One year after the diagnosis, abdominal skin relapse and inguinal lymph node metastasis appeared.

Discussion

RT is a standard procedure after BCS being reported in nearly all patients by several authors [4–6]. BAS arising in the radiated breast is a well known complication. According to recent reports, latency ranges from 2 to 9 years [7, 8]. Our patient had an 8-year latency period. The median age for secondary BAS is 65 years, and there seems to be an increased susceptibility with advancing age and a less favourable early outcome [8, 9]. Cases of postradiation BAS are mainly high-grade [10]. Diagnosis can be a clinical and pathological challenge.

Fig. 1. Disease presentation (drawing).

Fig. 2. A Fine-needle cytology of the axillary lump – highly cellular smear of isolated or grouped neoplastic large cells with high N/C ratio, round nuclei, irregular nuclear contour, reinforced nuclear membrane, and prominent nucleoli; B Core needle biopsy of the axillary lump – solid proliferation of epithelioid cells, with C rare slit-like and papillary structures and haemorrhagic foci.
Any change in colour or consistency of the skin [9] of patients treated with breast RT should alert the clinician and the pathologist to the possibility of BAS. Pitfalls of FNA can be due to either scant cellularity of spindle cells or the presence of epithelioid cells which can be misdiagnosed as carcinoma [11]. Our case raised 2 main problems: the (uncommon) epithelioid pattern and the unexpected contralateral lymph node metastases. It presented as an epithelioid malignant neoplasia, clinically diagnosed as local relapse from ductal invasive carcinoma, followed in a short interval of 4 months by lymph node metastases in the contralateral axilla. On a morphologic basis, FNA raised the differential diagnosis of carcinoma, melanoma, and less likely large cell lymphoma. Knowing that carcinoma relapse/metastasis is, in cases like this, the most important potential pitfall, the pathologist must perform immunostaining for keratins to confirm this suspicion; our case was keratin (CAM5.2, CK7)-negative. One has to be cautious because angiosarcomas, especially of the epithelioid type, can be positive for keratins and focally positive for EMA [12, 13]. Some authors report also positivity for oestrogen and progesterone receptors [14] contributing to extra trouble if one uses only these 2 antibodies to support the diagnosis of (relapse) carcinoma. As binucleated cells and prominent nucleoli were obvious on both lesions, diagnosis of malignant melanoma was also considered but melanocytic markers (HMB45 and protein S100) performed on core needle biopsy were negative. The most useful antibodies to demonstrate endothelial cell differentiation are factor VIII, CD31, and CD34, but they can be absent in less differentiated angiosarcomas. As carcinomas do not usually stain for endothelial markers, the combined use of epithelial and endothelial antibodies is important.

BAS has a high probability of local relapse, and extra chest wall metastases are rare. Most distant metastases occur via the bloodstream (bone, lungs, liver). It can also spread to the other breast [15]. There are reports calling attention to the particular preference of epithelioid sarcomas for lymphatic vessel invasion irrespective of the primary location [16]. This particular clinicopathologic behaviour of epithelioid angiosarcoma may play a major role in delaying the diagnosis and treatment of cases like the present one.

The aim of local treatment is to achieve complete resection with clear margins to prevent local relapses and potentially prolong survival. Metastatic patients have a guarded prognosis [17]. A new treatment approach using hyperfractionated RT and accelerated RT for angiosarcoma developing after BCS is being used to provide a high rate of local control, disease-free survival, and overall survival [18].

In summary, the increasing use of BCS and RT for breast carcinoma will probably result in a growing number of cases of RT-induced sarcomas such as BAS. Early detection requires a high index of clinical and pathological suspicion. Whenever a patient in the right setting presents for evaluation for a carcinoma ‘relapse’, a panel of antibodies for vascular differentiation should be used.
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